ATTACHMENT B

POST-AUCTION ASSESSMENT FOR THE NEW JERSEY BGS AUCTION

This assessment summarizes the findings of regard to the auction of Basic Generation Service in New Jersey.		with
The auction began with the opening of Round 1 at	_ on	, 2002.
The auction finished with the close of Round at	_ on	, 2002.

SUMMARY OF BGS AUCTION

Aggregate eligibility ratio at start of auction: _____

(If applicable) Aggregate eligibility ratio after final revision to auction volume / timing of volume revision: _____

Table 1	PSE&G	GPU	Conectiv	RECO	State- wide
(1) Quantity available at start of					
auction (# tranches / % of BGS Load)					
(2) Load cap (# tranches / % of BGS					
Load)					
(3) Final quantity available at auction					
(# tranches / % of BGS Load)					
(4) Quantity purchased (# tranches /					
% of BGS Load)					
(5) # Winning bidders					
(6) Maximum tranches purchased by any one bidder					
(7) Final minimum and maximum					
starting prices prior to indicative bids					
(cents/kWh)					
(8) Starting price at start of auction					
(cents/kWh)					
(9) Price paid to winning bidders					
(cents/kWh)					

OVERVIEW OF FINDINGS

(Some of the questions below refer to the occurrence of problems or unexpected events. In the comments we assess whether the response to these problems or unexpected events was appropriate and effective and whether these problems or unexpected events had a material effect on the auction.)

Table 2	
Question	Comments
(1) Recommendation as to whether the	
Board should certify the auction results?	
(2) Did bidders have sufficient information in	
a timely manner to prepare for the auction?	
(3) Were previously unresolved issues and	
questions resolved prior to the auction to	
minimize uncertainty for bidders?	
(4) Were any procedural problems or errors	
observed with the auction, including the	
electronic bidding process, the back-up	
bidding process, and communications	
between bidders and the Auction Manager?	
(5) Were protocols for communication	
between bidders and the Auction Manager	
adhered to?	
(6) Were any hardware or software problems	
or errors observed, either with the auction	
system or with its associated communications	
systems?	
(7) Did unanticipated delays, if any, appear to	
adversely affect bidding in the auction?	
(8) Were appropriate data back-up procedures	
carried out?	
(9) Were any security breaches observed with	
the auction process?	

Table 2		
Question	Comments	
(10) Were protocols followed for		
communications among the EDCs, the		
Auction Manager, BPU staff and advisors,		
and the Board (if necessary), during the		
auction?		
(11) Were the guidelines for setting the		
auction volume followed?		
(12) Was any discretion used in setting price		
decrements, and if so, was it used in a way		
that was beneficial to the auction?		
(13) Were the calculations (e.g., for bid		
decrements or bidder eligibility) produced by		
the auction software checked or reproduced		
off-line?		
(14) Was there evidence of confusion or		
misunderstanding on the part of bidders?		
(15) Were there effective communications		
between the Auction Manager and bidders?		
(16) Was there evidence that bidders felt		
unduly rushed during the process?		
(17) Were there any complaints from bidders		
about the process?		
(18) Was the auction carried out in an		
acceptably fair and transparent manner?		
(19) Was there evidence of non-productive		
"gaming" on the part of bidders?		
(20) Was there any evidence of collusion or		
improper coordination among bidders?		
(21) Other than issues discussed in item 20,		
was there any evidence of a breakdown in		
competition in the auction?		
(22) Was information made public		
appropriately?		

Table 2		
Question	Comments	
(23) Does the auction appear to have		
generated a result that is consistent with		
competitive bidding, market-determined		
prices, and efficient allocation of the BGS		
load?		
(24) Did any "extraordinary events" occur and		
were they handled appropriately?		
(25) Were there other factors, in addition to		
any noted in item 24, exogenous to the		
auction (e.g., changes in market environment)		
that materially affected the auction in		
unanticipated ways?		
(26) Are there any issues to note with regard		
to any specific EDC(s)?		