

Chu, Thomas

From: sales <sales@guicheng-group.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 9:45 PM
To: Secretary, Board
Subject: We are a professional company which have been specialists in the procurement

To sales department

Dear Sirs,

This is Elaine from **Guicheng Group (Hongkong) Limited**. so glad to have this opportunity to contact you.

We are a professional company which have been specialists in the procurement and supply of mechanical and electrical products. We offer a wide range of equipment of various brands such as **Siemens,ABB,Wika, Krohne, Ametek, Rosemount, Fisher, Xylem (ITT) , Grundfos,Daniel**, Beta, Emerson, Plate Heat Exchanger, Magnetrol, Honeywell , SMC and so on.



Please visit www.guicheng-group.com to get the detailed information.

If any need, please contact us freely.

Best regards,

Elaine Zhang(Miss)

Guicheng Group (Hongkong) Limited

Add.: Flat/RM A30 9/F silvercorp int'l tower 707-713 Nathan RD Mongkok Kln Hongkong

Tel.:+852-3078 6636; CELL:+852-6670 8058

Fax.:+852-2110 0996

Skype:elaine6148

E-mail:sales@guicheng-group.net

Chu, Thomas

From: oitmailadmin@oit.state.nj.us
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 8:08 PM
To: Secretary, Board
Subject: End User Digest: 1 New Message



End User Digest: 1 New Message
For Board Secretary (board.secretary@bpu.state.nj.us)

The emails listed below have been placed in your personal Quarantine since you received your last End User Digest. They will be deleted after 14 days. To deliver an e-mail to your inbox, click on Release to Inbox. To deliver an e-mail to your inbox and add the e-mail sender to your Safe Senders List, click on Add to Safelist. This ensures that no emails from that sender will be blocked in the future. To report messages that are not spam but are reported in the digest, click on Not Spam.

[Request New Quarantine Digest](#) [Request Safe Senders List](#) [Manage My Account](#) [Help](#)

Quarantine			
	Score	From	Subject
View Release to Inbox Add to Safelist Not Spam	0	orlandobounce@collegecareerreadyteam.org	"Make and Take" Performance Assessments

For more information contact your departments System Administrator.

Powered by Proofpoint Protection Server

Chu, Thomas

From: Joseph Brancone <jcb0103@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 3:48 PM
To: Secretary, Board
Subject: Verizon New Jersey, Docket No. TO12020155

I am very upset that the State of New Jersey has abandoned their citizens when it comes to broadband by Verizon in this state. For years I have been inundated by Verizon ads, mail and phone calls urging me to adopt FIOS as my broadband choice. I kept saying that I would once FIOS came to my town (Wayne). Well it's been more than a decade and still no signs of FIOS. It's in other towns nearby but not available in Wayne.

I am disgusted by the BPU and the State of New Jersey for allowing Verizon out of their agreement to provide FIOS throughout the state, especially since I have been forced to pay a tax all these years to support the initiative.

The BPU is conspiring with Verizon to short-change many New Jersey citizens. You should all be ashamed. I would like to know who is getting paid off by Verizon - which parties, which politicians and which BPU board members. Maybe not a direct payoff but maybe a job for themselves in the future or for a close friend or relative.

I have nothing but utter contempt for the BPU members and New Jersey politicians from the Governor on down. I may have to investigate joining with other New Jersey residents to create a class action lawsuit against the NJ BPU, the BPU members individually as well as Verizon for allowing Verizon to collect a tax for unprovided service. I will also see about getting involved with a political group to halt this travesty and to bring down any politician in New Jersey who will not oppose the BPU's flagrant and willful slap in the faces of New Jersey consumers. I have never written to you before about an issue but this one really bothers me.

Joseph Brancone
Wayne, New Jersey

Chu, Thomas

From: Chris Denario <cdenario@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 3:27 PM
To: Secretary, Board
Subject: Verizon New Jersey, Docket No. TO12020155

Dear Ms. Izzo,

I am writing to take exception to Docket No. TO12020155, which would alter the terms of the State of New Jersey's agreement with Verizon to provide infrastructure for broadband service to customers in the state as defined in the Opportunity New Jersey agreement of May 6, 1993. My personal experience with attempting to get fiber optic service (FiOS) to my home in Cherry Hill, NJ, indicates quite clearly that Verizon has not taken its prior commitment to the people of New Jersey seriously, and had no intention of fulfilling its obligations. Allow me to explain.

My husband and I are current Verizon customers. We are presently charged \$49.99 each month for service that is listed on our Verizon bill as "High Speed Internet Enhanced." This Internet service is provided through the old original Bell Telephone line that was run to our house when the original owners, Mr. & Mrs. J.A. Sweeton, had the house constructed in 1951. I am rarely able to stream video for more than a few seconds at a time through this connection. I was willing to consider that this might be due to the age of my computer, and so I purchased a new computer last year that is suitable for "gamers," in order to assure that my equipment had maximum capacity to transmit data at the highest Mbps rate offered by my Internet connection. You can imagine my disappointment when my financial investment in my new computer offered no improvement in my Internet experience.

We have been hearing about FiOS for years. I believe that FiOS would provide a much more timely and useful connection to the Internet for my residence, and so I have been pursuing Verizon quite literally for years to run FiOS to my home. As Verizon frequently litters our monthly statement with advertising that they offer FiOS in my area, my husband and I have placed calls to Verizon every few months for the past several years requesting that they initiate service to our home. Each time, we were told that they had no record of FiOS being available in our area, but promising to "start a file" to determine if we could get FiOS. Each time, nobody followed up. We would call again, indicating that we had been told that the previous representative had "started a file" for us, only to be told that this file could not be found, and so a new file would be started. This went on for months and months. Last year, the church just up the block from us (of which we are members) announced that the church had just obtained FiOS service. This time when we called Verizon, we insisted that we know for a fact that FiOS is available in our area because our church (whose property line borders ours) has FiOS. Finally, after looking into our situation, a very candid representative shared with us that Verizon simply refuses to run fiber optic lines to our residence, because it is too great an investment of equipment and labor for the amount that they would be able to bill us for their services.

In light of the surcharge New Jersey Verizon customers have paid in order to fund the expansion of Verizon's network throughout the state, it is unconscionable that Verizon should not be required to fulfill its obligation to complete its job. The fact that this is a matter of concern to the State of New Jersey Board of Public Utilities indicates clearly that FiOS is, indeed, a public utility, and not merely a service provided to an elite clientele by a private entity. Thus, any member of the public who is able to pay for this service has a right to receive said service. However, according to the Stipulation of Settlement described in Docket No. TO12020155, I and many other New Jersey residents like me will

be denied the right to the high quality internet service that is best delivered through a fiber optic network. This is unacceptable.

I respectfully request that the State of New Jersey Board of Public Utilities formally oppose the Stipulation of Settlement described in Docket No. TO12020155, requiring instead that Verizon make good on its obligations set forth in the Opportunity New Jersey agreement entered by Verizon New Jersey's predecessor, New Jersey Bell Telephone Company, on May 6, 1993. The residents of New Jersey deserve better, particularly after having paid surcharges to their communications bills for so many years.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely yours,
Christine Denario
373 Kings Highway North
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

Chu, Thomas

From: Elizabeth Dworak <acdworak@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 3:21 PM
To: Secretary, Board
Subject: Tell Verizon to Live Up to the Opportunity New Jersey Agreement

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Attn: Ms. Kristi Izzo, Secretary
44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor
P.O. Box 350
Trenton, NJ 08625-0350

Re: In the Matter of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. (Docket# TO12020155)

Dear Ms. Izzo:

Under the 1993 "Opportunity New Jersey" regulatory agreement, Verizon is obligated to upgrade New Jersey's copper wire network. To fund this expansion, which was supposed to be completed in 2010, Verizon was permitted to collect excess charges from their customers. These charges, which began more than 20 years ago, are still being collected today.

However, Verizon failed to meet its timeframe requirements to New Jersey residents under Opportunity New Jersey. As a result of Verizon's failures, on March 12, 2012, the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities initiated a legal action against Verizon. The Board and Verizon have now entered into a proposed settlement agreement which I believe is inadequate and not in the best interests of myself and other New Jersey consumers who have paid for a service that has not been fully delivered.

I oppose the Board's proposed settlement agreement and ask that the Board of Public Utilities hold Verizon to the requirements of Opportunity New Jersey by expanding broadband service to every customer in the state. The proposed settlement has the potential to cost me and other residents even more money than we have already paid over the last 21 years. The Board of Public Utilities should not allow Verizon to flagrantly disregard the stipulations which are the framework for the charges and tax breaks that the company has enjoyed.

I am asking the Board of Public Utilities to be my advocate and not only require Verizon to give me what I was originally promised under Opportunity New Jersey, but to also investigate where my dollars were spent.

Very Truly Yours,

Elizabeth Dworak
23 Kelly Ct
Hamilton, NJ 08690

Chu, Thomas

From: Diane Quaas-Lopez <retireechairman@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 2:58 PM
To: Secretary, Board
Subject: Tell Verizon to Live Up to the Opportunity New Jersey Agreement

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Attn: Ms. Kristi Izzo, Secretary
44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor
P.O. Box 350
Trenton, NJ 08625-0350

Re: In the Matter of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. (Docket# TO12020155)

Dear Ms. Izzo:

Under the 1993 "Opportunity New Jersey" regulatory agreement, Verizon is obligated to upgrade New Jersey's copper wire network. To fund this expansion, which was supposed to be completed in 2010, Verizon was permitted to collect excess charges from their customers. These charges, which began more than 20 years ago, are still being collected today.

However, Verizon failed to meet its timeframe requirements to New Jersey residents under Opportunity New Jersey. As a result of Verizon's failures, on March 12, 2012, the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities initiated a legal action against Verizon. The Board and Verizon have now entered into a proposed settlement agreement which I believe is inadequate and not in the best interests of myself and other New Jersey consumers who have paid for a service that has not been fully delivered.

I oppose the Board's proposed settlement agreement and ask that the Board of Public Utilities hold Verizon to the requirements of Opportunity New Jersey by expanding broadband service to every customer in the state. The proposed settlement has the potential to cost me and other residents even more money than we have already paid over the last 21 years. The Board of Public Utilities should not allow Verizon to flagrantly disregard the stipulations which are the framework for the charges and tax breaks that the company has enjoyed.

I am asking the Board of Public Utilities to be my advocate and not only require Verizon to give me what I was originally promised under Opportunity New Jersey, but to also investigate where my dollars were spent.

Very Truly Yours,

Diane Quaas-Lopez
3 Highway Terr.
Edison, NJ 08817

Chu, Thomas

From: Catherine Sokolewicz <Catsokes@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 2:48 PM
To: Secretary, Board
Subject: Tell Verizon to Live Up to the Opportunity New Jersey Agreement

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Attn: Ms. Kristi Izzo, Secretary
44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor
P.O. Box 350
Trenton, NJ 08625-0350

Re: In the Matter of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. (Docket# TO12020155)

Dear Ms. Izzo:

Under the 1993 "Opportunity New Jersey" regulatory agreement, Verizon is obligated to upgrade New Jersey's copper wire network. To fund this expansion, which was supposed to be completed in 2010, Verizon was permitted to collect excess charges from their customers. These charges, which began more than 20 years ago, are still being collected today.

However, Verizon failed to meet its timeframe requirements to New Jersey residents under Opportunity New Jersey. As a result of Verizon's failures, on March 12, 2012, the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities initiated a legal action against Verizon. The Board and Verizon have now entered into a proposed settlement agreement which I believe is inadequate and not in the best interests of myself and other New Jersey consumers who have paid for a service that has not been fully delivered.

I oppose the Board's proposed settlement agreement and ask that the Board of Public Utilities hold Verizon to the requirements of Opportunity New Jersey by expanding broadband service to every customer in the state. The proposed settlement has the potential to cost me and other residents even more money than we have already paid over the last 21 years. The Board of Public Utilities should not allow Verizon to flagrantly disregard the stipulations which are the framework for the charges and tax breaks that the company has enjoyed.

I am asking the Board of Public Utilities to be my advocate and not only require Verizon to give me what I was originally promised under Opportunity New Jersey, but to also investigate where my dollars were spent.

Very Truly Yours,

Catherine Sokolewicz
238 Rowland ave
Clifton, NJ 07012