

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE
ACTION
OF THE
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of Clerk 3 (M0752P), City of Paterson

CSC Docket No. 2016-3770

Administrative Appeal

ISSUED:

NOV 2 9 2016

(SLK)

In In the Matter of Clerk 3 (M0752P), City of Paterson (CSC, decided February 26, 2014), the Civil Service Commission (Commission) granted the request of the City of Paterson (Paterson) for an appointment waiver for the April 15, 2013 certification and ordered that no selection costs were to be assessed at that time since there was a possibility that the list could be utilized prior to its expiration. A copy of that decision is attached hereto and incorporated herein. However, the appointing authority did not utilize the subject eligible list and the matter of the assessment of costs is now before the Commission.

:

Agency records reveal that no further certifications were issued from the subject list. Therefore, the appointing authority was notified that since the eligible list was not utilized by its expiration date, the matter of the costs for the selection process in the amount of \$2,048 would be forwarded to the Commission for a determination. In response, the appointing authority requests that the costs of the selection process be waived as it has been facing severe financial hardship and receives substantial Transitional State Aide each year in order to close gaps in its budget. It presents that due to these financial hardships, it is under strict hiring regulations by the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and that all hiring requires a waiver from DCA. It indicates that its need for a Clerk 3 during the past three years has not risen to the level where it has requested a waiver for approval to hire. On the contrary, it highlights that it has been reducing hiring.

CONCLUSION

N.J.S.A. 11A:4-5 and N.J.A.C. 4A:10-2.2(a)2 state that if an appointing authority receives permission not to make an appointment, it can be ordered to reimburse the costs of the selection process. While administering examinations and providing the names of eligible job candidates to the jurisdictions under the Civil Service system are two of the primary activities of this agency, these costly efforts are thwarted when appointing authorities fail to utilize the resulting eligible lists to make appointments and candidates have needlessly expended their time, effort and money to take these examinations in hopes of being considered for a permanent appointment. The amount of \$2,048 has been determined to be the cost of the selection process for open-competitive examinations for local government positions. In the instant situation, although the appointing authority had shown a valid reason for not making an appointment from the subject eligible list, it failed to provide a sufficient basis for not being charged for the costs of the selection process which produced the subject eligible list. The Commission can appreciate that the appointing authority has faced many financial hardships since the certification was issued. However, the subject list was never utilized and the appointing authority's actions in provisionally appointing Sharone Butler to the subject title resulted in the announcement of the examination and the resulting eligibility list. Although the Commission agrees that it would not be appropriate to assess the appointing authority for the total costs of the selection process due to its status as being a distressed city that receives substantial transitional aid, it is appropriate to assess partial costs in the amount of \$1,024. See the Matter of Community Service Worker, Bilingual in Spanish and English (M0464E), City of Hoboken (MSB, decided November 15, 2006) (Hoboken assessed half of the selection costs on the basis that it was a distressed city); In the Matter of Director of Economic and Industrial Development (M6882A), City of Camden (MSB, decided January 29, 2002) (Camden assessed half of the selection costs on the basis that it was a distressed city).

ORDER

Therefore, it is ordered that the appointing authority be assessed the costs of the selection process in the amount of \$1,024 to be paid within 30 days of the issuance of this decision.

This is the final administrative determination in this matter. Any further review should be pursued in a judicial forum.

DECISION RENDERED BY THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ON THE 23rd DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2016

Robert M. Czech

Chairperson

Civil Service Commission

Inquiries

and

Correspondence

Director

Division of Appeals and Regulatory Affairs Civil Service Commission Written Record Appeals Unit

P.O. Box 312

Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0312

Attachment

c:

Nellie Pou Kelly Glenn Beth Wood Records Center



STATE OF NEW JERSEY

In the Matter of Clerk 3 (M0752P), City of Paterson

CSC Docket No. 2014-288

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION OF THE **CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

Appointment Waiver

ISSUED: FEB 2 6 2014

(SLK)

The City of Paterson (Paterson) requests permission not to make an appointment from the April 15, 2013 certification for Clerk 3 (M0752P).

:

The record reveals that on September 14, 2005, the appointing authority provisionally appointed Sharone Butler, pending open competitive examination procedures, to the subject title. The examination was announced with a closing date of September 10, 2012. The examination resulted in an employment roster of six eligibles which promulgated on April 11, 2013 and expires on April 10, 2016. It is noted that Butler did not apply for the subject examination. On April 15, 2013, a certification was issued which contained the names of the six eligibles.

The appointing authority returned the certification on July 8, 2013, indicating that Butler was removed from the title and returned to her prior permanent title of Keyboarding Clerk 1 and no permanent appointment was made from the certification.1 It is noted that the appointing authority took no action to obviate the need for this examination at the time of the announcement or prior to its administration. See N.J.A.C. 4A:10-2.2(a)1. On July 8, 2013, the appointing authority requested an appointment waiver due to fiscal restraints and to leave the position vacant until such time, but within the time frame of the expiration date of the certification, as Paterson would be in a better position to consider a permanent appointment. On August 6, 2013, the Division of Appeals and Regulatory Affairs (DARA) acknowledged Paterson's request for a waiver of the appointment and the assessment of costs in the above matter. Moreover, it was advised that if an

A movement from the title of Clerk 3 to Keyboarding Clerk 1 represents a demotion.

appointment waiver was granted, it could be assessed for the costs of the selection process in the amount of \$2,048 and that the appointing authority could submit within 20 days from the receipt of this notice reasons why costs of the selection process should not be assessed. The appointing authority, despite being provided the opportunity, did not respond.

A review of agency records indicates that there are no other employees recorded as serving provisionally pending open competitive examination procedures in the subject title in Paterson.

CONCLUSION

In accordance with N.J.S.A. 11A:4-5, once the examination process has been initiated due to the appointment of a provisional employee or due to an appointing authority's request to fill a vacancy, the appointing authority must make an appointment from the resulting eligible list if there are three or more interested and eligible candidates. The only exception to this mandate may be made for a valid reason such as fiscal constraints.

In the instant matter, the examination for the subject title was generated as the result of the provisional appointment of Sharone Butler to the subject title. However, after a complete certification was issued, the appointing authority returned the certification and indicated that Butler was returned to her prior permanent title of Keyboarding Clerk 1. Consequently, since there is no provisional serving in the title at issue, there is sufficient justification for an appointment waiver.

Although an appointment waiver is granted in this matter, both N.J.S.A. 11A:4-5 and N.J.A.C. 4A:10-2.2(a)2 state that if an appointing authority receives permission not to make an appointment, it can be ordered to reimburse for the costs of the selection process. While administering examinations and providing the names of eligible job candidates to the jurisdictions under the civil service system are two of the primary activities of this agency, these costly efforts are thwarted when appointing authorities fail to utilize the resulting eligible lists to make appointments and candidates have needlessly expended their time, efforts and money to take these examinations in hopes of being considered for a permanent However, the appointing authority indicates that there is a possibility that the list could be utilized prior to its expiration date of August 4. 2013. Accordingly, under the particular circumstances of this matter, it would not be appropriate to assess the appointing authority for the costs of the selection process at this time. Nevertheless, in the event the appointing authority fails to utilize the list by its expiration date of April 10, 2016, this matter can be reviewed to ascertain whether an assessment for the costs of the selection process should be made.

ORDER

Therefore, it is ordered that the request for the waiver of appointment requirement be granted and no selection costs presently be assessed.

This is the final administrative determination in this matter. Any further review should be pursued in a judicial forum.

DECISION RENDERED BY THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ON THE 26th DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2014

Falt & Brene

Robert E. Brenner Presiding Member Civil Service Commission

Inquiries

Henry Maurer

and

Director

Correspondence

Division of Appeals and

Regulatory Affairs

Civil Service Commission Written Record Appeals Unit

P.O. Box 312

Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0312

c: Charles Thomas Kenneth Connolly Joseph Gambino