Agency NameDCA	_ CDBG-DR Program _	RREM	Application ID Number _	RRE0002457MF	
----------------	---------------------	------	-------------------------	--------------	--

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5

Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Resp	onsible Entity: New	Jersey Department	of Comm	nunity Affairs	, Richard Const	able III,	, Commissioner
Appl	icant Name: Paul	(First)	Waldma	n		<u>(</u> Last)
	-or-			_(Business/Co	rporate Name)		
Proje	ect Location: 57 Brid	lgewaters Drive, Apt	19				
	Oceanport	(Municipality)	<u> Mon</u>	mouth	(County) _	NJ	(State) <u>07757</u>
_1	00 (Block) <u>1.3</u>	<u>19 (</u> Lot)					
Becau Depa Rule. minir value	use this project cons rtment. Pursuant to However, the appli nize the threat to pi	N.J.A.C. 7:13-7.2(b) cant must carry floo	ilitation, i 14, repair d insurar sses from	it does not re of a lawfully nce on the sub n flooding and	quire Flood Haz existing structu pject structure d high-wind eve	zard Ar ire qua for its e	ea permits from the lifies for a Permit-by- economic life in order to id benefit floodplain
	This categorically ex	cluded activity/projec	t converts	s to EXEMPT p	er Section 58.34	(a)(12),	because it does not
	require any mitigati	on for compliance wit	h any liste	ed statutes or a	uthorities, nor r	equires	any formal permit or s (now) EXEMPT project.
X	authorities listed are protocol requirement	t Section 58.5 requir	es formal F and obt	l consultation tain "Authority	or mitigation. (Complet	one or more statutes or te consultation/mitigation HUD 7015.16) per Section
	• •	,	-	,	,	-	is now subject to a full inces (Section 58.35(c)).

Agency NameDCA CDBG	-DR ProgramRREM Application I	D NumberRRE0002457MF
CERTIFICATIONS:		
Joshua Schnabel, Louis Berger Preparer Name and Agency	Preparer Signature	2/5/2015 Preparer Completion Date
RE Certifying Officer Name	RE Certifying Officer Signature	RE CO Signature Date

Agency Name	DCA	CDBG-DR Program	RREM	Application ID Number	RRE0002457MF

Funding Information:

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
B-13-DS-34-0001	RREM	\$43,705.40

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$43,705.40

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: (HUD and non-HUD funds) \$43,705.40

The estimated total HUD Funded Amount is the sum of the Eligible Work in Place (\$35,270.68) and the Estimated Cost of Repair (ECR; \$8,434.72) from Form 6AD. The estimated Total Project Cost is the sum of the Total Work in Place (\$35,270.68) and the ECR (\$8,434.72) from Form 6AD.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of this project is to rehabilitate an existing apartment unit located in Oceanport at the address listed above. The structure was damaged as a result of Superstorm Sandy. The structure was constructed in 1986. Renovations would include addressing storm-related damage and bringing the property up to current minimum property standards. All activities would be limited to the disturbed area of the existing unit. Preaward and pre-application activities are limited to work completed within the same footprint of the damaged structure.

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32, 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The project parcel is located within a 6-unit, 2,256-square-foot building constructed in 1986. The building is part of a 24-unit development totaling 4.9 acres and comprised of four buildings containing 6 units each. The project involves the minor rehabilitation of a residential condo unit, as necessary. No exterior work will be required, and there will be no change in footprint, land use, or density. The unit has public water, sewer, and utilities. General repairs would include: foundation work and demolition; central air and flooring installation; and vapor barrier installation. Based on the fact that the costs for the minor rehabilitation planned for the unit is \$43,705.40, which is less than 50% of the estimated pre-storm market value of the entire 6-unit multifamily building before property damage occurred, which is estimated at \$2,170,500 from tax records (see *RRE0002457MF TaxCard.pdf*), the project is not considered a "substantial improvement."

Agency	/ Name	DCA	CDBG-DR Program	RREM	Application ID Number	RRE0002457MF	
--------	--------	-----	-----------------	------	-----------------------	--------------	--

STATUTORY CHECKLIST [24 CFR 50.4, 24 CFR 58.5]

DIRECTIONS - For each authority, check either Box "A" or "B" under "Status."

"A box" The project is in compliance, either because: (1) the nature of the project does not implicate the authority under consideration, or (2) supporting information documents that project compliance has been achieved. In either case, information must be provided as to WHY the authority is not implicated, or HOW compliance is met; OR "B box" The project requires an additional compliance step or action, including, but not limited to, consultation with or approval from an oversight agency, performance of a study or analysis, completion of remediation or mitigation measure, or obtaining of license or permit.

IMPORTANT: Compliance documentation consists of verifiable source documents and/or relevant base data. Appropriate documentation must be provided for each law or authority. Documents may be incorporated by reference into the ERR provided that each source document is identified and available for inspection by interested parties. Proprietary material and studies that are not otherwise generally available for public review shall be included in the ERR. Refer to HUD guidance for more information.

Statute, Authority, Executive Order,	STATUS				
Regulation, or Policy cited at 24 CFR §50.4 & 58.5	Α	В	Compliance Documentation		
1. Air Quality [Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly sections 176(c) & (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	A		Although the County of Monmouth is designated as Nonattainment or Maintenance for 5 NAAQS pollutants, the project does not include acquisition of undeveloped land, change of land use, demolition, major rehabilitation, or new construction, so it can be assumed that any associated emissions are below the de minimis levels. In addition, the NJDEP Division of Air Quality has issued a Memorandum stating that the activities under the CDBG-DR Program are below the Federal General Conformity regulation's de minimis thresholds, are presumed to conform to the SIP, and are therefore in compliance with the Clean Air Act. See RRE0002457MF_AirQualityMap.pdf and RRE0002457MF_AirQualityGenConfMemo.pdf		
2. Airport Hazards (Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones) [24 CFR 51D]	Α		The airport hazards rule is not applicable to the proposed project as the project involves only minor rehabilitation, and the scope does not involve acquisition for construction, change in land use, increased density, major rehabilitation, or new construction. Additionally, the project site is located 23 miles north of the Lakehurst Naval Air Station, 25 miles south of Newark Liberty International Airport, and 65 miles north of Atlantic City International Airport. As a result, the proposed project would not interfere with any established airport clear zones or lie within accident potential zones. See RRE0002457MF_AirportClearZonesMap.pdf Source: 24 CFR 51.302, HUD Guidance, and NJDEP HUD Environmental Review GIS Tool 2.1		

3. Coastal Zone Management [Coastal Zone Management Act sections 307(c) & (d)]	A	Although this project is located within the Coastal Area Facility Review Act (CAFRA) zone and within 150 feet of the Mean High Water Line, the Coastal Zone Management Act is not applicable to the proposed project as the scope does not include acquisition of undeveloped land, change of land use, major rehabilitation, or new construction. See RRE0002457MF_CoastalZoneManagementMap.pdf Source: N.J.S.A. 13:19, N.J.A.C. 7:7, and HUD Guidance
4. Contamination and Toxic Substances [24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed project is not located within 3,000 feet of a site that contains hazardous materials or contaminants that could affect the health and safety of occupants or that conflict with the intended use of the property. The parcel may be within the 3,000 foot radius of additional Hazardous Waste cleanup sites, Landfills, solid waste cleanup sites or Hazardous Waste facilities that handle hazardous materials or toxic substances; however, all sites that were determined by NJDEP to be "nonthreatening" to the potential HUD project are not depicted on the map. No evidence of contamination or RECs was identified during the site inspection. See RRE0002457MF_ToxicHazardsMap.pdf Source: NJDEP HUD Environmental Review GIS Tool 2.1 Radon: The property is in a municipality designated as a Tier 2 municipality for radon potential. No further action is required (e.g. mitigation or testing), provided the applicant complies with DCA construction codes. See: RRE0002457MF_RadonTier.pdf; RRE0002457MF_RadonMap.pdf Source: http://www.nj.gov/dep/rpp/radon/ctytiera.htm#13 Asbestos and Lead-Based Paint: The potential exists for lead-based paint to be present in buildings constructed prior to 1978 and for asbestos to be present in buildings constructed prior to 1980. The project property was constructed in 1986 (See RRE0002457MF_TaxCard.pdf), so it is assumed that there is no potential for lead-based paint or asbestos to be present. Additionally, a limited survey for asbestos containing materials was performed on 10/23/2014 (See RRE0002457MF_AsbestosReport.pdf), which identified no asbestos containing materials (ACM) at the property.

5. Endangered Species [Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR 402]	А	Potential long-eared bat habitat is mapped in the general area of the project property, but not in the immediate project area or on the project property. The project property centroid indicates that the potential presence of a state-listed species; however, due to the nature of the proposed project activities, the proposed project has been determined to have no effect. The proposed project is expected to have no potential to affect species or habitats because the activities are limited to interior renovations of the existing residential building. See *RRE0002457MF_EndangeredSpeciesMap.pdf* and *RRE0002457MF_EndangeredSpeciesMap2.pdf* Source: NJDEP HUD Environmental Review GIS Tool 2.1 and HUD Guidance				
6. Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	Demographic (minority/poverty) indicators reveal that the area in which the proposed project is located experiences lower minority and poverty levels than the county in which it is located (Monmouth). Moreover, the proposed project is residential in nature and there would be no adverse environmental impact caused by the proposed action, nor is the proposed action subject to an adverse environmental impact. Therefore it is not expected to pose an environmental justice concern. Geography Percent Minority Percent Below Poverty (by blockgroup) Poverty (by tract)				
7. Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	Survey 5-year Summary (ACS) as viewed on EPA's EJView. The proposed action is in compliance with 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C. As a result of this proposed action, the number of dwelling units on the proposed residential site would not increase. Moreover, the proposed project does not include development, construction, or conversion. No further analysis is required. Source: 24 CFR 51C and HUD Guidance				
8. Farmland Protection [Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) & 1541; 7 CFR 658]	А	The Farmland Protection Policy Act does not apply as the proposed project does not include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land, or conversion that could potentially convert one land use to another. Source: 7 CFR 658.2(a) and HUD Guidance				

	1	_	
9. Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55; Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a)]		В	The proposed project unit is located within the Special Flood Hazard Area (100-year floodplain Zone A, which incorporates Zone AE) as mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). As a result, and due to the fact that the proposed HUD funding is less than 50 percent of the estimated pre-storm property value of the structure, a 5-Step Floodplain review was warranted. The 5-step review determined that there is no practicable alternative to locating the proposed project in the flood zone. This is due to: 1) the structure already being located within the floodplain and 2) the ability to mitigate and minimize impacts on human health, public property, and floodplain values. Because this project is rehabilitation, it does not require Flood Hazard Area permits from the Department. Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:13-7.2(b)4, repair of a lawfully existing structure qualifies for a Permit-by-Rule. However, NFIP flood insurance is required on the subject structure for its economic life in order to minimize the threat to property, minimize losses from flooding and high-wind events, and benefit floodplain values. See RRE0002457MF_FloodplainManagementMap.pdf Source: NJDEP HUD Environmental Review GIS Tool 2.1
10. Historic Preservation [National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 & 110; 36 CFR 800]	А		No historic properties would be affected. The proposed project is located within the Historic Property Exemption Zone. Additionally, as no ground disturbance is proposed for this project, no archaeological investigation is required. See: RRE0002457MF_HistoricPreservationMap.pdf Source: NJDEP HUD Environmental Review GIS Tool 2.1
11. Noise Abatement and Control [Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR 51B]	A		The proposed project does not involve acquisition, conversion, new construction. The rehabilitation activities would not increase residential density. Thus, the noise abatement and control authority is not applicable. In addition, noise abatement and control regulations are not applicable to RREM projects pursuant to 24 CFR Part 51.101(a)(3), which exempts projects that are part of disaster recovery programs, provided that the project does not increase residential density and does not involve new construction. Source: 24 CFR Part 51

12. Sole Source Aquifers [Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR 149]	А	The proposed project does not involve new construction or conversion activities, so the sole source aquifer (SSA) authority is not applicable. The property is services by municipal sewer and water. Sewer service is provided by Two Rivers Water Reclamation Authority. Water service is provided by New Jersey American Water. Source: 40 CFR Part 149, and HUD Guidance		
13. Wetlands Protection [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 & 5]	А	The proposed project does not involve new construction (as defined in Executive Order 11990), acquisition of undeveloped land, change in land use, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance that would impact wetlands. Therefore this authority does not apply (see project description). Wetlands are mapped approximately 23 feet from the proposed project site, but are not mapped on the property and were not observed on the project property during site reconnaissance. The project is in compliance and no further action is required. Source: Executive Order 11990 and HUD Guidance See RRE0002457MF_WetlandsProtectionMap.pdf		
14. Wild and Scenic Rivers [Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) & (c); 36 CFR 297]	А	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act does not apply as the proposed project does not include the acquisition of undeveloped land, change in land use, major rehabilitation, or new construction. Moreover, the proposed action is not within proximity to a designated Wild, Scenic, or Recreational River. The proposed project site is 46 miles from the Lower Delaware River, which is the closest Wild and Scenic River. See: RRE0002457MF_WildScenicRiversMap.pdf Source: NJDEP HUD Environmental Review GIS Tool 2.1		

Agency Name	DCA CDBG-DR Prog		ation ID NumberRRE000245	57MF
1. AIRPORT RU	NWAY CLEAR ZONES AND CLE ct involve the sale or acquisition	EAR ZONES NOTIFICATION [24 CFR Part 51.303(a)(3), D] n a Civil Airport Runway Clear Zor	ne or a
X No. Cite	or attach Source Documenta		ental Review GIS Tool 2.1 https://www.irportClearZonesMap.pdf	
miles south of Ne	wark Liberty International Air	port, and 65 miles north of	niles north of the Lakehurst Nava Atlantic City International Airport r zones or lie within accident poto	. As a result,
Clear Zone or C may, at a later	lear Zone, what the implication	ons of such a location are, ar ort operator. The buyer mus	e the buyer that the property is in nd that there is a possibility that the t sign a statement acknowledging ERR.	he property
	RRIERS RESOURCES ACT [Coa ement Act of 1990 (16 USC 350		•	
X No. Cite	or attach Source Documenta	tion: Coastal Barrier Resou	rces System (CBRS), USFWS, 2010)
fo 11		See RRE0002457MF_C	CoastalBarrierResourcesActMap.p	<u>odf</u>
[Proceed with Yes. Fed	project.j leral assistance may not be us	ed in such an area.		
1994 (42 USC 4	001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a)] ct involve acquisition, construc		1973 and National Flood Insuranc	
No. Cite	or attach Source Documentat project.]	ion:		
' '	e or attach Source Documenta 2457MF_FloodplainManagem		ental Review GIS Tool2.1; See	
	nmunity participating in the Na on of Special Flood Hazards)?	ational Insurance Program (or has less than one year passed s	ince FEMA
provided as a g project cost (or insurance must	rant, insurance must be maint up to the maximum allowable be maintained for the term o	tained for the economic life e coverage, whichever is les if the loan and in the amour	rogram must be obtained. If HUD of the project and in the amount s). If HUD assistance is provided a at of the loan (or up to the maxim tion must be kept on file in the El	of the total is a loan, um allowable
☐ No	o. Federal assistance may not	be used in the Special Floor	d Hazard Area.	
NIDED CDRC-DR	Form 2.1	Version 1 0	11-19-13	Рада 9

NJDEP CDBG-DR Version 1.0 Page 9

Agency Name	DCA	CDBG-DR Program _	RREM	Application ID Number _	RRE0002457MF
·				· · · —	

Summary of Findings and Conclusions

Field Inspection (Date and completed by): Tom Shinskey and Gregory Russo on January 15, 2015.

Summary Statement of Findings and Conclusions:

The proposed project has been reviewed and it is the finding of this environmental review that, upon compliance with the requirements for mitigation and project modification measures detailed below, the federal action of releasing funding to aid this project will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

Required Mitigation and Project Modification Measures: [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1505.2(c), 40 CFR 1508.20] (Recommend feasible ways in which the proposal or its external factors should be modified in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts and restore or enhance environmental quality.)

Because this project consists of minor rehabilitation, it does not require Flood Hazard Area permits from the Department. Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:13-7.2(b)4, repair of a lawfully existing structure qualifies for a Permit-by-Rule. However, the applicant must carry flood insurance on the subject structure for its economic life in order to minimize the threat to property, minimize losses from flooding and high-wind events, and benefit floodplain values. No other mitigation or project modification measures are required.