

Williams, Beth

From: Sherman, Steven <Steven.Sherman@icfi.com>
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 10:24 AM
To: Bock, John
Cc: Williams, Beth; Malmi, Nick
Subject: FW: SRP0043415 CEST

Hi John,

Please see the response from DCA which confirms this application should go through as just Rehab.

Thanks,
Steve

From: McEvoy, Kim [mailto:Kim.McEvoy@dep.nj.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 10:16 AM
To: Sherman, Steven <Steven.Sherman@icfi.com>
Cc: Rickman, Brett <Brett.Rickman@icfi.com>; Malmi, Nick <Nick.Malmi@icfi.com>
Subject: FW: SRP0043415 CEST

Please see response below – looks like just Rehab

Sincerely,
Kim McEvoy
NJDEP
Sandy Recovery EHP
609-789-2526 (cell)
609-292-0307 (direct)

From: Christopher Kelly [mailto:Christopher.Kelly@renewjerseystronger.org]
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 10:12 AM
To: McEvoy, Kim
Cc: Chris Trench; Rosemarie Santana
Subject: RE: SRP0043415 CEST

Ok, OPS reached out to the LA and can confirm that they do not want to elevate. The first floor is currently believed to be above ABFE, making an elevation not required to proceed. The elevation figures on file reflect demolition and filling of a basement crawlspace, and not a traditional elevation. Hope that helps!

Regards,

Christopher Kelly
LRRP: Operations Analyst
P: (973)-500-3503

reNewJerseyStronger
2 Gateway Center, 9th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

From: McEvoy, Kim [<mailto:Kim.McEvoy@dep.nj.gov>]
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 9:59 AM
To: Christopher Kelly <Christopher.Kelly@renewjerseystronger.org>
Cc: Chris Trench <Chris.Trench@renewjerseystronger.org>; Rosemarie Santana <Rosemarie.Santana@renewjerseystronger.org>
Subject: RE: SRP0043415 CEST

Chris,

This information helps but if there is a possibility of elevation then we should evaluate this as Rehab and elevation. Can you confirm with Housing Advisor if they are considering elevation? If they are then I we should evaluate as such.

Thanks
Kim

Sincerely,
Kim McEvoy
NJDEP
Sandy Recovery EHP
609-789-2526 (cell)
609-292-0307 (direct)

From: Christopher Kelly [<mailto:Christopher.Kelly@renewjerseystronger.org>]
Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2015 3:00 PM
To: McEvoy, Kim
Cc: Chris Trench; Rosemarie Santana
Subject: RE: SRP0043415 CEST

Hey Kim,

Ok, so I took a look at these and the only place an elevation figure is shown is in the Common area ECR (\$15,262.25 – which does seem a bit low). Every Allowable Activities form indicates that elevation is not required for the app to proceed. That being said, it would appear that Gilbane is stating that if the applicant wants to elevate they can – but they are not required to do so. I'm looping Rose into the conversation, but I believe this one should be fine to continue without elevation. Does this answer your question? Do you need me to reach out to the LA and find out if the applicant has mentioned any intentions to elevate? Please advise – thanks!

Regards,

Christopher Kelly
LRRP: Operations Analyst
P: (973)-500-3503

reNewJerseyStronger
2 Gateway Center, 9th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

From: McEvoy, Kim [<mailto:Kim.McEvoy@dep.nj.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2015 3:16 PM

To: Christopher Kelly <Christopher.Kelly@renewjerseystronger.org>

Cc: Chris Trench <Chris.Trench@renewjerseystronger.org>

Subject: FW: SRP0043415 CEST

Chris,

We need clarification on this. Can you see if this one is funded for elevation too. We have elevation costs in the ECR but none in the Allowable Activities documents. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Kim McEvoy

NJDEP

Sandy Recovery EHP

609-789-2526 (cell)

609-292-0307 (direct)

From: Sherman, Steven [<mailto:Steven.Sherman@icfi.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2015 1:00 PM

To: McEvoy, Kim

Cc: Rickman, Brett

Subject: FW: SRP0043415 CEST

Hi Kim,

Could you please confirm the project scope of SRP0043415? The attached ECR provides costs for elevation but the Allowable Activities Forms (also attached) do not provide any costs for elevation. Please let me know what you think and I will inform Tetra Tech.

Thanks,

Steve

From: Bock, John [<mailto:John.Bock@tetrattech.com>]

Sent: Monday, August 03, 2015 6:03 PM

To: Sherman, Steven <Steven.Sherman@icfi.com>; Rickman, Brett <Brett.Rickman@icfi.com>

Cc: Williams, Beth <Beth.Williams@tetrattech.com>; NJERRQUESTIONS <NJERRQUESTIONS@icfi.com>

Subject: SRP0043415 CEST

ERMS identifies the project activity as rehabilitation and elevation, but the five Allowable Activities forms indicate that elevation is not required and do not include estimates of the elevation costs. For the purposes of the five-day review, we have assumed that no elevation is required. Can the project activity be confirmed with DCA?

For the subject application, we have completed our initial desktop screening and field investigation. Based on the results of those activities, we have determined that the outside consultation required at this time is with DEP. The application will require the 8-step floodplain process.

No other environmental topics appear to require additional analysis.

Due to the need for the 8-step analysis, we do not have an estimated date for delivery of the draft CEST at this time.

Thank you and let me know if you have any questions.

John R. Bock | Senior Environmental Scientist

Main: 510.302.6300 | Fax: 510.433.0830

john.bock@tetratech.com

Tetra Tech | Complex World, Clear Solutions

1999 Harrison Street, Suite 500 | Oakland, CA 94612

www.tetratech.com

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.