LAWN CARE PESTICIDE USE IN NEW JERSEY: 1990 SURVEY

Introduction

The New Jersey Pesticide Control Program (NJPCP) began a series of pesticide use surveys in 1985. These surveys address pesticide use in the state of New Jersey for agriculture, golf courses, termite control, right-of-way, mosquito control, and lawn care. The lawn care survey targets pesticides used for lawn care purposes. This report focuses on the first survey completed in the lawn care series (1990).

All statewide pesticide use surveys are performed under the authority of the New Jersey Pesticide Control Code, N.J.A.C. 7:30-1 et.seq., requiring applicators to maintain pesticide records for two years and to submit use records to the state when requested. This regulative authority provides an accuracy and level of response that is difficult to duplicate in a voluntary, nationwide survey. In fact, these New Jersey surveys almost represent a pesticide usage census rather than a probabilistic survey.

The information collected from the NJPCP pesticide use surveys is used by agencies within the NJ Department of Environmental Protection along with other state agencies to aid in research, exposure management and monitoring efforts in areas such as ground water protection, farm worker protection and education, and residual pesticide sampling. The survey data are also entered into state and federal geographical information systems for geographical distribution.

Methods

The NJPCP's registration records were used to identify all 4142 licensed commercial applicators holding a category "3B" (turf) on his or her license. Surveys were mailed over an eight month period in 1991, the first mailing going to all New Jersey registered pesticide businesses with a responsible applicator holding a 3B category on his or her license. A second mailing went to all 3B applicators and a third mailing went out (uncertified) to non-respondents. Survey forms were mailed along with return envelopes and instructional letters asking for 1990 lawn care pesticide use. Lists of 3B businesses and applicators were kept in the office and marked off as surveys returned.

The survey requested information on each pesticide product used. This included trade name, EPA registration number, percent active ingredient, amounts applied and number of acres treated.

Survey information was entered into a database file. This information file was then merged with a second database that linked chemical names with trade names, and a subprogram converted total amounts of formulated product to total amounts of active ingredient (lbs ai).

Results

Once all three mailings were completed, 2668 out of 3472 (77%) applicators were accounted for. Due to this lower than desired return rate, the third mailing for future surveys will go out certified.

Table 1 lists the chemicals and their respective amounts appearing in the survey.

Table 2 selects out the highest use compounds.

In reporting and evaluating pesticide use, it is important to consider the many, diverse influences on pesticide use. No single factor, or even set of factors, can completely account for fluctuations in the amounts of pesticide active ingredients used from survey to survey. Weather conditions such as temperature and rainfall, in terms of duration, timing and amounts or degrees, influence pest pressure and the associated response. In agricultural settings, issues such as cropping patterns and the associated pest impacts vary from year to year. Economic factors play a significant role, ranging from crop demand to golf course playability to product and/or service cost. The changing face of land use also plays a part. While agricultural acreage has been declining, new home building starts and the associated lawns around those new homes have been increasing.

Another factor is the adoption of IPM (Integrated Pest Management). Short term, some pest control situations may require increased pesticide applications beyond the alternative means contained in an IPM program. Long term, however, IPM should result in overall pesticide use reduction. This may be confounded by the increased use of reduced-risk alternatives that may have higher application rates than the materials they replace.

[Curt Brown, RSII] revised 2/02

Table 1. Pesticide amounts (lbs active ingredient) reported in the New Jersey 1990 Lawn Care Pesticide Use Survey.

| HERBICIDES: | | Sodium chlorate | 450 |
|--------------------|--------|-----------------------|-------|
| 2.4.5 | 221000 | Sodium metaborate | 1020 |
| 2,4-D | 321990 | Sulfometuron | 150 |
| 2,4-DP | 22810 | Tebuthiuron | 6 |
| Amitrole | 5 | 1 5 | 46816 |
| Ammonium Sulfamate | 81 | | 12289 |
| Benfluralin | 28373 | TOTAL HERBICIDES: 7 | 68143 |
| Bensulide | 8043 | | |
| Bentazon | 13202 | | |
| Bromacil | 61 | | |
| Bromoxynil | 15 | INSECTICIDES: | |
| Chlorthal-Dimethyl | 6429 | | |
| Dalapon | 6 | Acephate | 53 |
| Dicamba | 34796 | Bendiocarb | 1518 |
| Dichlorbenil | 82 | Bt | 1 |
| Diquat | 21 | Carbaryl 2 | 2984 |
| DSMA | 646 | Chlorpyrifos | 22111 |
| Endothal | 16 | Cyfluthrin | 100 |
| EPTC | 1 | Cypermethrin | 1 |
| Ethofumesate | 32 | Diazinon | 11547 |
| Fenoxaprop-ethyl | 217 | Dicofol | 19 |
| Fluazifop-butyl | <1 | Dimethoate | 5 |
| Glyphosate | 14468 | Disulfoton | 2 |
| Imazapyr | 159 | Ethoprop | 221 |
| Imazethapyr | <1 | Fenvalerate | <1 |
| Isoxaben | 77 | Fluvalinate | 2 |
| MCPA | 15200 | Isazofos | 4465 |
| Mecoprop | 78618 | Isofenphos | 15276 |
| Metalochlor | 122 | Lindane | 4 |
| Metsulfuron | <1 | Malathion | 28 |
| MSMA | 3311 | Methoxychlor | 10 |
| Naphtha | 19 | Milky spore | <1 |
| Oryzalin | 1847 | Oil | 2128 |
| Oxadiazon | 350 | Soap | 71 |
| Oxyfluorfen | <1 | Trichlorfon 3 | 4794 |
| Paraquat | 48 | TOTAL INSECTICIDES: 1 | 15340 |
| Pendimethalin | 152646 | | |
| Picloram | 4 | | |
| Prometon | 746 | | |
| Sethoxydim | 2 | | |
| Siduron | 2299 | | |
| Simazine | 647 | | |
| Sodium arsenate | 23 | | |

FUNGICIDES:

| Anilazine | 1302 |
|--------------------|-------|
| Benomyl | 1919 |
| Chloroneb | 20 |
| Chlorothalonil | 5986 |
| Clopyralid | 173 |
| Fenarimol | 52 |
| Fosetyl-al | 79 |
| Iprodione | 6301 |
| Mancozeb/Maneb | 2625 |
| Metalaxyl | 294 |
| PMA | 2 |
| Propamocarb HCL | 477 |
| Propiconazole | 116 |
| Quintozene | 275 |
| Thiophanate-methyl | 500 |
| Thiram | 244 |
| Triadimefon | 1379 |
| Vinclozolin | 74 |
| TOTAL FUNGICIDES: | 21818 |
| | |

GROWTH HORMONES:

| Amidochlor | 1 |
|------------------|-----|
| Flurprimidol | 65 |
| Maleic Hydrazide | <1 |
| Mefluidide | 174 |
| TOTAL HORMONES: | 240 |
| | |

TOTAL PESTICIDE USE: 905541

Herbicides: 85% Insecticides: 13% Fungicides: 2% Growth Hormones: <1%

Table 2. Highest use compounds from the main pesticide categories. Shown are compounds $\geq 5\%$ of category.

| Compound | Lbs active ingredient | % of category | % of total use |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| HERBICIDES: | | | |
| 2,4-D | 321990 | 42% | 35.6% |
| Pendimethalin | 152646 | 20% | 16.9% |
| Mecoprop | 78618 | 10% | 8.7% |
| Triclopyr | 46816 | 6% | 5.2% |
| Dicamba | 34796 | 5% | 3.8% |
| INSECTICIDES: | | | |
| Trichlorfon | 34794 | 30% | 3.8% |
| Carbaryl | 22984 | 20% | 2.5% |
| Chlorpyrifos | 22111 | 19% | 2.4% |
| Isofenphos | 15276 | 13% | 1.7% |
| Diazinon | 11547 | 10% | 1.3% |
| FUNGICIDES: | | | |
| Iprodione | 6301 | 29% | 0.7% |
| Chlorothalonil | 5986 | 27% | 0.7% |
| Mancozeb/Maneb | 2625 | 12% | 0.3% |
| Benomyl | 1919 | 9% | 0.2% |
| Triadimefon | 1379 | 6% | 0.2% |
| Anilazine | 1302 | 6% | 0.1% |