NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION; THE COMMISSIONER OF THE NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION; and THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE NEW JERSEY SPILL COMPENSATION FUND,

Plaintiffs,

V.

ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO., et al.,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RE MTBE LITIGATION MASTER FILE No. 1:00-1898 MDL No. 1358 (VSB)

Civil Action No. 08 Civ. 00312

JUDICIAL CONSENT ORDER AS TO GETTY PETROLEUM MARKETING, INC.; LUKOIL AMERICAS CORPORATION individually and as f/k/a, d/b/a and/or successor in liability to Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc., Lukoil North America LLC and/or Lukoil Oil Company; LUKOIL NORTH AMERICA LLC, individually and as f/k/a, d/b/a and/or successor in liability to Getty Petroleum Marketing, Inc., Lukoil Americas Corporation and/or OAO Lukoil; LUKOIL OIL COMPANY, a/k/a OAO Lukoil a/k/a Public Joint Stock Company Oil Company LUKOIL a/k/a/PJSC Oil Company Lukoil, individually and as f/k/a, d/b/a and/or successor in liability to Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc., Lukoil Americas Corporation and/or Lukoil North America LLC; LUKOIL PAN AMERICAS, LLC ONLY

This matter was opened to the Court by Gurbir S. Grewal,
Attorney General of New Jersey, Deputy Attorney General Gwen Farley

:

appearing, and Leonard Z. Kaufmann, Esq. of Cohn Lifland Pearlman Herrmann & Knopf LLP, and Scott E. Kauff, Esq. of the Law Offices of John K. Dema, P.C., and Michael Axline, Esq. of Miller Axline P.C., and Tyler Wren, Esq. of Berger & Montague P.C., Special Counsel to the Attorney General, appearing, as attorneys for plaintiffs New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP" or "Department") and the Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("Commissioner"), in their named capacity, as parens patriae, and as trustee of the natural resources of New Jersey, and the Administrator of the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund ("Administrator"), and Matthew G. Parisi, Esq. of Bleakley Platt & Schmidt, LLP representing defendant GETTY PETROLEUM MARKETING, INC. ("GPMI"); and Joseph L. Sorkin, Esq. of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP representing defendants, as identified in the Fifth Amended Complaint, 1 LUKOIL AMERICAS CORPORATION individually and as f/k/a, d/b/a and/or successor in liability to Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc., Lukoil North America LLC and/or Lukoil Oil Company; LUKOIL NORTH AMERICA LLC, individually and as f/k/a, d/b/a and/or successor in liability to

¹The Parties agree that the entities represented by Akin Gump are correctly identified as and this Judicial Consent Order is intended to include LUKOIL AMERICAS CORPORATION ("LAC"); LUKOIL NORTH AMERICA LLC ("LNA"); OAO LUKOIL ("OAO LUKOIL") n/k/a PUBLIC JOINT STOCK COMPANY OIL COMPANY LUKOIL ("PJSC LUKOIL"); and LUKOIL PAN AMERICAS, LLC ("LPA") (each of LAC, LNA, PJSC LUKOIL and LPA referred to hereinafter collectively as "the Lukoil Defendants").

Getty Petroleum Marketing, Inc., Lukoil Americas Corporation and/or OAO Lukoil; LUKOIL OIL COMPANY, a/k/a OAO Lukoil a/k/a Public Joint Stock Company Oil Company LUKOIL a/k/a/PJSC Oil Company Lukoil, individually and as f/k/a, d/b/a and/or successor in liability to Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc., Lukoil Americas Corporation and/or Lukoil North America LLC; and LUKOIL PAN AMERICAS, LLC, and these Parties having amicably resolved their dispute before trial:

I. BACKGROUND

A. The Plaintiffs initiated this action on or around June 28, 2007 by filing a complaint against GPMI and LAC and other defendants in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, Mercer County, Docket MER-L-1622-07, pursuant to the Spill Compensation and Control Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11 to -23.24 ("the Spill Act"), the Water Pollution Control Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10A-1 to -20, and the common law. The matter was removed to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, and later assigned to the multi-district litigation in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, MDL No. 1358 (VSB) ("Multi-District Litigation"). There was a remand of nineteen trial sites to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, Civil Action No.: 15-6468 (FLW) (LHG); the remainder of the case continues in the Southern District of New York.

- B. The Plaintiffs filed amended complaints; the latest was the Fifth Amended Complaint, which included *inter alia* GPMI and each of the Lukoil Defendants and was filed September 28, 2018 (the "Complaint").
- C. Plaintiffs, in their Complaint, seek past and future damages they have incurred and will incur as a result of alleged widespread contamination of the waters of New Jersey by MTBE.
- D. Plaintiffs, in their Complaint, seek past and future costs they have incurred and will incur as a result of alleged widespread contamination of the waters of New Jersey by MTBE.
- E. Plaintiffs, in their Complaint, seek injunctive relief concerning the remediation of MTBE discharges.
- F. Defendant GPMI was a Maryland corporation with its last principal place of business at 1500 Hempstead Turnpike, East Meadow, New York. GPMI was incorporated in 1996 and began operations in March, 1997. During the relevant time period, from 1997 until 2000, GPMI was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Getty Petroleum Corporation, also known as Getty Realty Corporation, and from 2001 until 2011, GPMI was a subsidiary of LAC. GPMI filed for bankruptcy in December, 2011 and has been fully liquidated. At all times relevant herein, policies of insurance naming and insuring GPMI were purchased, the appropriate premiums were paid and GPMI was entitled to a defense and indemnity, if any, pursuant to the terms, conditions and limitations of certain of these insurance

policies for the claims brought in this action. Plaintiffs' claim against GPMI was already released during the GPMI bankruptcy proceedings. Plaintiffs' claim against GPMI is limited to recoverable insurance proceeds.

- G. Defendant LAC is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 505 Fifth Avenue, 9th Floor, New York, New York 11554. LAC is an indirect subsidiary of OAO LUKOIL. The Complaint alleges that LAC was formerly known as, did business as, and/or is the successor in liability to Defendants GPMI, LNA and Lukoil Oil Company.
- H. Defendant LNA is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 505 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 11554. LNA is a wholly-owned subsidiary of defendant LAC. The Complaint alleges that LNA was formerly known as, did business as and/or is the successor in liability to GPMI, LAC and/or OAO Lukoil.
- I. Defendant OAO LUKOIL is a Public Joint Stock Company domiciled in Russia. OAO LUKOIL is now known as PJSC LUKOIL. The Complaint refers to OAO LUKOIL as Lukoil Oil Company.
- J. Defendant LPA is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 1095 Avenue of the Americas, 3rd Floor, New York, New York 10036.
- K. GPMI and LAC filed responsive pleadings in which each denied liability and asserted various defenses to the allegations

contained in the Complaint. The other Lukoil Defendants would have filed responsive pleadings in which each would have denied liability and asserted various defenses to the allegations contained in the Complaint. GPMI and the Lukoil Defendants represent that they do not, as of the effective date of this JCO, utilize or knowingly distribute MTBE as an additive to gasoline in New Jersey.

L. The Parties to this Judicial Consent Order ("JCO") recognize, and this Court by entering this JCO finds, that the Parties to this JCO have negotiated this JCO in good faith; that the implementation of this JCO will allow the Parties to this JCO to avoid continued, prolonged, and complicated litigation; and that this JCO is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

THEREFORE, with the consent of the Parties to this JCO, it is hereby ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This case was removed to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d) and the Energy Policy Act of 2005, 42 U.S.C. § 7545, et seq., which expressly authorized the removal of legal actions related to allegations involving MTBE contamination, and then assigned to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York as part of the Multi-District Litigation. Part of the litigation

was remanded to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

2. For purposes of approving and implementing this JCO, the Parties to this JCO waive all objections and defenses they may have to the jurisdiction of this Court and the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey over the Parties and this JCO. The Parties shall not challenge the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey to enforce this JCO against the parties to this JCO.

III. PARTIES BOUND

3. This JCO applies to, and is binding upon, the Plaintiffs and the named Settling Defendants, as defined below (each, a "Party" and collectively, the "Parties").

IV. DEFINITIONS

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided, terms used in this JCO that are defined in the Spill Act or in the regulations promulgated under the Spill Act, shall have their statutory or regulatory meaning. Whenever the terms listed below are used in this JCO, the following definitions shall apply:

"Damages" shall mean all damages caused by discharges of MTBE prior to the effective date of this JCO, whether or not known or suspected to exist by Plaintiffs, that at any time threaten or

affect waters of New Jersey, including but not limited to natural resource damages, sought in the Complaint. "Damages" do not include Settling Defendants' obligation to perform Remediation except for those matters expressly released or for which a covenant not to sue is granted in Paragraph 6(b) below. Damages also do not include Past Cleanup and Removal Costs or Future Cleanup and Removal Costs, except for those matters expressly released in Paragraph 6(b) below or for which a covenant not to sue is granted in Paragraph 6(b) below.

"Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a Working Day. "Working Day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or State holiday. In computing time under this JCO, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or State holiday, time shall run until the close of business of the next Working Day.

"Defendant's Site" means any site in New Jersey for which a Settling Defendant is in any way responsible for MTBE discharged at that site unless such responsibility is based solely upon a Settling Defendant's Upstream Activities.

"Future Cleanup and Removal Costs" shall mean all direct and indirect costs of any kind for any purpose the Plaintiffs incur on or after the effective date of this JCO, including oversight costs, with respect to MTBE that threatens or affects the waters of New Jersey for which GPMI or any of the Lukoil Defendants are

responsible under any applicable federal or state statute, regulation or order.

"MTBE" shall mean methyl tertiary butyl ether, neat or as a part of gasoline or as a contaminant of other fuel, and the degradation byproducts of commercial grade MTBE, including tertiary butyl alcohol ("TBA"). In addition, MTBE shall include TBA when TBA is present in MTBE gasoline.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this JCO identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Past Cleanup and Removal Costs" shall mean all direct and indirect costs of any kind for any purpose the Plaintiffs incurred before the effective date of this JCO, including oversight costs, with respect to MTBE that threatens or affects the waters of New Jersey for which GPMI or any of the Lukoil Defendants are responsible under any applicable federal or state statute, regulation or order.

"Plaintiffs" shall mean plaintiffs DEP, the Commissioner, and the Administrator, including in their capacities as described in paragraphs 14 to 18 of the Complaint, and any successor department, agency or official. Plaintiffs hereby represent and warrant that they have the power and authority to enter into this Agreement.

"Remediation" shall mean compliance with the Administrative Requirements for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites, N.J.A.C. 7:26C, or any successor regulation, and all of the then-applicable

remediation standards pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-12 and N.J.A.C. 7:26D, or any successor regulation. Remediation does not include restoration to pre-discharge conditions (primary restoration) beyond what is necessary to comply with the applicable remediation standards pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-12 and N.J.A.C. 7:26D. Remediation also does not include any liability to comply with the Administrative Requirements for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites, N.J.A.C. 7:26C, or any successor regulation, and all of the then-applicable remediation standards pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-12 and N.J.A.C. 7:26D, or any successor regulation based solely upon Upstream Activities, and nothing herein shall be deemed an admission by Settling Defendants that such liability can be based on Upstream Activities.

"Section" shall mean a portion of this JCO identified by a roman numeral.

"Settling Defendants" means GPMI (including Plaintiffs' claims made against insurance policies under which GPMI was insured), LAC, LNA, PJSC LUKOIL, and LPA, and each of GPMI's and the Lukoil Defendants' related entities, as well as GPMI's and the Lukoil Defendants' parent companies, officers, directors, employees, predecessors, predecessors in interest, parents, successors, successors in interest and subsidiaries. No other party named as a defendant in the Fifth Amended Complaint shall be considered a related entity of GPMI or any of the Lukoil

Defendants. Getty Properties Corp. and its related entities are specifically excluded from the definition of Settling Defendants in this JCO to the extent that Getty Properties Corp. would be included in such definition.

"Upstream Activities" means the manufacture, refining, blending, sale, supply, distribution, exchange, transfer, purchase, trading, marketing, and/or branding of MTBE or gasoline with MTBE. Upstream Activities do not include a discharge of MTBE or gasoline with MTBE at or from a facility, as defined by N.J.A.C. 7:1E-1.6, in New Jersey that occurs at a time that the facility is owned, operated, or controlled by a Settling Defendant while the Settling Defendant is engaged in the manufacture, refining, blending, sale, supply, distribution, exchange, transfer, purchase, trading, marketing, and/or branding of MTBE or gasoline with MTBE.

V. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' COMMITMENTS

5. (a) Within fourteen (14) Days after the effective date of this JCO, GPMI and/or the Lukoil Defendants shall pay, or cause to be paid on their behalf, the Plaintiffs Twenty Two Million Dollars (\$22,000,000.00) in full and complete satisfaction of Plaintiffs' claims that are released or for which a covenant not to sue is provided in Section VI of the JCO. Any amount not paid by the fourteenth day after the Effective Date will be subject to an

interest rate based on the six month U.S. Dollar Libor Rate plus one percent (1%) as established on the Effective Date. Any balance plus interest must be paid no later than January 2, 2020. If not fully paid by January 2, 2020, the Settling Defendants shall be in default and this Order shall be considered breached.

(b) The amount specified in Paragraph 5(a) above shall be paid by wire transfer pursuant to instructions provided by Plaintiffs. Notice of payment shall be emailed to: John Sacco, Director/State Forester, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection at John.Sacco@dep.nj.gov and to Gary Wolf, Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Division of Law, Department of Law and Public Safety at Gary.Wolf@law.njoag.gov or such other persons as Plaintiffs may designate.

VI. PLAINTIFFS' COVENANTS AND RELEASES

6. (a) In consideration of, and upon receipt of, the payment required in Section V above, and except as otherwise provided in Section VII below, the Plaintiffs fully and forever release, covenant not to sue, and agree not to otherwise take judicial, administrative, or other action against the Settling Defendants pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the Spill Act, the Water Pollution Control Act, or any other statute or regulation for recovery of Damages, Past Cleanup and Removal Costs, injunctive relief sought

in the Complaint, or attorneys' fees, consultants' and experts' fees, and other litigation costs sought in the Complaint for the sites identified by the Plaintiffs in NJMTBE-000-030608, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A." The liability of any non-Settling Defendant unaffected by this release and covenant not to sue except as set forth in the agreed stipulation, attached hereto as Exhibit "C." The foregoing release and covenant not to sue does not preclude Plaintiffs from seeking: (i) equitable, including injunctive, relief sought in the Complaint related to Remediation, (ii) attorneys' fees, consultants' and experts' fees, and other litigation costs sought in the Complaint related to a particular Remediation and not generated in connection with the preparation of the Complaint or any of the proceedings in the Multi-District Litigation, or (iii) Remediation of any discharge at or from any Defendant's Site, provided the Settling Defendant's Remediation liability at such Defendant's Site is not based solely on its Upstream Activities.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this JCO, in consideration of, and upon receipt of, the payment(s) required in Section V above, the Plaintiffs fully and forever release, covenant not to sue, and agree not to otherwise take judicial, administrative, or other action against the Settling Defendants for Plaintiffs' causes of action based upon Settling Defendants'

alleged liability (i) under the common law with respect to MTBE discharges that threaten or affect the waters of New Jersey; (ii) in equity (except as reserved in Paragraphs 6(a), 10, 11, 21, 23, and 24) with respect to MTBE discharges that threaten or affect the waters of New Jersey; (iii) under theories of products liability with respect to MTBE discharges that threaten or affect the waters of New Jersey; or (iv) under any applicable federal or state statute, regulation or order where such liability is premised upon Settling Defendants' Upstream Activities prior to the effective date of the JCO.

- 7. The covenants and releases contained in this Section VI shall take effect upon the Plaintiffs receiving the payment that GPMI and the Lukoil Defendants are required to make pursuant to Section V above, in full, and in compliance with the terms of this JCO.
- 8. The covenants and releases contained in this Section VI extend only to Settling Defendants and not to any other defendant, party, person, or entity.
- 9. The covenants and releases contained in this Section VI do not pertain to any matters other than those expressly stated.

VII. PLAINTIFFS' RESERVATIONS

10. Except as set forth in Section VI, nothing in this JCO precludes Plaintiffs from taking judicial, administrative, or other action against any Settling Defendant to require that

Settling Defendant to perform Remediation of any discharge at or from that Settling Defendant's Site.

- 11. The Plaintiffs reserve, and this JCO is without prejudice to, all rights against the Settling Defendants except those expressly released or for which there is a covenant not to sue in Section VI. This reservation of rights includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. claims based on a Settling Defendant's failure to satisfy any term or provision of this JCO;
 - b. liability arising from any Settling Defendant being in any way responsible for any hazardous substance other than MTBE that is discharged into or threatens the waters and/or soils of New Jersey. To the extent MTBE is also present along with another hazardous substance(s) in the same location (e.g., the same water and/or soil) and during the same time period, Settling Defendants shall receive the releases and covenants not to sue set forth in Section VI above for the other hazardous substance(s) coextensive in place and time with the MTBE, subject to the obligations and reservations in this Section VII and Section XI below, and subject to the obligation (if any) to perform restoration substance(s) other than MTBE to pre-discharge conditions (primary restoration) but only if the restoration of the

groundwater containing the MTBE would not have also restored the groundwater by removing such substance(s) hazardous to pre-discharge concentration(s). Settling Defendants shall not be entitled to any release, covenant not to sue, or offset or reduction in liability or damages for any hazardous substance other than MTBE pursuant to this JCO where any hazardous substance(s) other than MTBE are not in the same location (e.g., the same water and/or soil) during the same time period as the MTBE, except as provided by 6(b), unless the restoration Paragraph the groundwater containing the MTBE also restores groundwater by removing such other hazardous substance(s) to pre-discharge concentration(s);

- c. liability for Future Cleanup and Removal Costs, except as released in Paragraph 6(b);
- d. liability, except as released by Paragraph 6(b), for all claims paid in the three (3) years prior to the effective date of this JCO or in the future by the Spill Fund resulting from a discharge of MTBE at a site owned, operated, or controlled by a Settling Defendant at the time of the discharge that threatens or affects the waters of New Jersey, and for which a Settling Defendant is responsible under the statutes of New Jersey.

- e. criminal liability; and
- f. liability for any violation by a Settling Defendant of federal or state law that occurs after the effective date of this JCO.

VIII. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' COVENANT

- 12. The Settling Defendants covenant not to sue or assert any claim or cause of action against the Department, Administrator, or Commissioner, concerning the matters addressed in the Complaint and this JCO, with the exception of the enforcement of the terms of this JCO, unless a Settling Defendant is the subject of third party claims or causes of action for which the Department, Administrator, or Commissioner may be liable.
- 13. The Settling Defendants' covenant in Paragraph 12 above does not apply where the Plaintiffs sue or take judicial, administrative, criminal or other action against the Settling Defendants pursuant to Section VII above.

IX. NO FINDINGS OR ADMISSIONS OF LIABILITY

14. Nothing contained in this JCO shall be considered an admission by the Settling Defendants, or a finding by the Plaintiffs or this Court, of any wrongdoing or liability on the Settling Defendants' part.

X. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT AND CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 15. Nothing in this JCO shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this JCO. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this JCO may have under applicable law.
- 16. Settling Defendants expressly reserve all rights, including, but not limited to, any right to indemnification and contribution, defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action that the Settling Defendants may have concerning any matter, transaction, or occurrence, whether or not arising out of the subject matter of the Complaint, against any person not a Party to this JCO.
- When entered, this JCO shall constitute a judicially approved settlement within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11f.a.(2)(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2) and will resolve the liability of the Settling Defendants to the Plaintiffs for the purpose of providing contribution protection to the Settling Defendants contribution actions under CERCLA, the Spill Act, the Joint Tortfeasors Contribution Law, N.J.S.A. 2A:53A-1 et seq., the Comparative Negligence Act, N.J.S.A. 2A:15-5.1 to -5.8 or any other statute, regulation or common law principle related to the causes of action pleaded in the Complaint or matters addressed in this JCO. The Parties agree, and by entering this JCO this Court finds, the Settling Defendants are entitled, upon fully satisfying their payment obligation under this JCO, to protection from contribution

actions pursuant to Sections 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(f)(2), the Spill Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11f.a.(2)(b), and any other statute, regulation, or common law principle that provides contribution rights against the Settling Defendants with regard to the subject matter of the Complaint or matters addressed in this JCO.

- 18. In accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11e2 the Plaintiffs published a copy of the draft JCO on Plaintiffs' website, published notice of this JCO in the New Jersey Register, and arranged for notice, as described in the following paragraph, to other parties in this case and to the other potentially responsible parties. Such notice included the following information:
 - a. the caption of this case;
 - b. the name of the Settling Defendants;
 - c. a summary of the terms of this JCO; and
- d. that a copy of the draft JCO is available on DEP's website.
- 19. In fulfillment of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11e2 the Parties have provided written notice of this JCO to all other parties in the case and to other potentially responsible parties by:
- a. The Settling Defendants sending a letter to liaison defense counsel and serving a copy of such letter on counsel of record in the above captioned litigation via LexisNexis File and Serve; and

- b. The Settling Defendants publishing notice in the following newspapers:
- i. Asbury Park Press;
- ii. Atlantic City Press;
- iii. Bergen Record;
- iv. Burlington County Times;
- v. New Jersey Herald;
- vi. South Jersey Times; and
- vii. Star Ledger; and
- c. The Plaintiffs distributing a copy of the New Jersey Register Notice via the Site Remediation Program's and the Office of Natural Resource Restoration's websites, which the public can access at http://nj.gov/dep/srp/legal/
 and

http://nj.gov/dep/nrr/settlements/index.html, respectively.

This notice is deemed compliant with the notice requirement of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11e2.

- 20. The Plaintiffs will submit this JCO to the Court for entry pursuant to Paragraph 35 below unless, as a result of the notice of this JCO pursuant to Paragraphs 18 and 19 above, the Plaintiffs receive information that discloses facts or considerations that indicate to Plaintiffs, in their sole discretion, that the JCO is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.
- 21. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the Plaintiffs relating to gasoline contamination at

sites covered by this JCO, the Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of the entire controversy doctrine and the argument that such matters should have been included in the above-captioned litigation; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of this JCO.

XI. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 22. Nothing in this JCO shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim against the Spill Fund within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11k. or N.J.A.C. 7:1J.
- 23. To the extent required, all investigation and Remediation of hazardous substances performed by the Settling Defendants (if any) under State oversight (as opposed to federal oversight) will be performed pursuant to the Site Remediation and Reform Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10C-1 et seq., and the accompanying regulations (e.g., using a Licensed Site Remediation Professional) notwithstanding N.J.S.A. 58:10C-27(e).
- 24. The Plaintiffs enter into this JCO pursuant to the police powers of the State of New Jersey for the enforcement of the laws of the State and the protection of the public health and safety and the environment. All obligations imposed upon the Settling Defendants by this JCO are continuing regulatory obligations pursuant to the police powers of the State of New Jersey.

- 25. No payment owed or made pursuant to this JCO is intended to constitute a debt, damage claim, penalty, or other claim that may be limited or discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding.
- 26. This JCO shall be governed and interpreted under the laws of the State of New Jersey.
- 27. If any provision of this JCO or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, to any extent, is held to be invalid or unenforceable, (a) the parties hereto shall negotiate in good faith a valid and enforceable provision as similar in terms to such invalid or unenforceable provisions as may be possible and (b) the remainder of this JCO or the application of such provision to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid or unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby and each provision of this JCO shall be valid and enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law.

XII. EFFECTIVE DATE

28. The effective date of this JCO shall be the date upon which this JCO is entered by the Court.

XIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

29. This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this JCO and the Parties for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this JCO for the purpose of enabling

any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this JCO, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms.

XIV. COOPERATION AND DOCUMENT RETENTION

30. The Settling Defendants agree to make current employees available to testify at or prior to trial for this case only without the need to serve subpoenas so long as such employees are only asked to appear where they would otherwise be subject to a proper subpoena and Plaintiffs pay the costs of such employees' travel for the purpose of providing testimony. With respect to former employees, Settling Defendants agree to use reasonable efforts to facilitate the appearance of such witnesses to testify at or prior to trial, so long as such former employees are only asked to appear where they would otherwise be subject to a proper subpoena, Plaintiffs pay the costs of such former employees' travel for the purpose of providing testimony, and the former employee consents to appear. The Settling Defendants will provide to Plaintiffs last known addresses of former employees that are not willing to voluntarily testify unless prohibited by law or contract from doing so.

31. The Settling Defendants shall comply with the provisions of the March 15, 2005 Order for Preservation of Documents entered in this matter for so long as required under the terms of that Order.

XV. MODIFICATION

- 32. This JCO may only be modified by written agreement between the Parties with approval by the Court and represents the entire integrated agreement between the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants, and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations or agreements, either written or oral.
- 33. Nothing in this JCO shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise, or approve modifications to this JCO.

XVI. ENTRY OF THIS JCO

- 34. The Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this JCO without further notice after the comment period specified in Paragraphs 18 and 19 above.
- 35. Upon conclusion of the Plaintiffs' review of any public comment(s) received as a result of the notice described in Paragraphs 18 and 19 above, the Plaintiffs shall promptly submit this JCO to the Court for entry.
- 36. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this JCO in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be

used as evidence in any litigation among the Parties or third parties.

37. Within thirty days of the Plaintiffs' receipt of payment as set forth in Section V above, Plaintiffs shall request that the Court dismiss this Complaint as to GPMI and the Lukoil Defendants with prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) (2).

XVII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

- 38. Each undersigned representative of Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants to this JCO certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this JCO, and to execute and legally bind such Party to this JCO.
- 39. This JCO may be signed and dated in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be an original, and such counterparts shall together be one and the same JCO.
- 40. Settling Defendants identify in this paragraph an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on their behalf with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this JCO. Settling Defendants agree to accept service in this manner, and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in the New Jersey Rules of Court or Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including service of a summons.

For GPMT:

Matthew G. Parisi
Bleakley Platt & Schmidt, LLP

One North Lexington Ave. White Plains, NY 10601

For all of the Lukoil Defendants:

Abid Qureshi Joseph L. Sorkin Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP One Bryant Park New York, NY 10036

For LAC and LNA:

Robert Ferluga Lukoil Americas Corporation 505 Fifth Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 11554

For LPA:

Managing Director Lukoil Pan Americas, LLC 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

41. The Parties to this JCO agree that it was negotiated fairly between them at arms' length and that the final terms of this JCO shall be deemed to have been jointly and equally drafted by them, and that the provisions of this JCO therefore should not be construed against a Party to it on the grounds that the Party drafted or was more responsible for drafting the provision(s).

SO ORDERED this day of , . .

U.S.D.J.

Attorney General of New Jersey Attorney for Plaintiffs	
By: Gwen Farley	
Deputy Attorney General	
Dated:	
NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SPILL COMPENSATION FUND CONSENT TO THE ORDER	
By:Catherine R. McCabe, Commissioner	
New Jersey Department of Environmental	
Protection, and Administrator New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund	
Dated:	

Gurbir S. Grewal

D	
By: Robert : CEO	Ferluga
Dated:	
LUKOIL 1 ORDER	NORTH AMERICA, LLC CONSENTS TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS
Ву:	Ferluga
Robert :	Ferluga
Dated:	
	OIL N/K/A PUBLIC JOINT STOCK COMPANY OIL COMPANY LUKOIL S TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER
By:	
Anatoly	Martynov
Dated:	
LUKOIL :	PAN AMERICAS, LLC CONSENTS TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS
By:	
Simon F	enner
Managin	g Director
Dated:	

LUKOIL AMERICAS CORPORATION CONSENTS TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF

THIS ORDER