

**DIOXIN/FURANS
DATA VALIDATION REPORT**

**Lower Passaic River Study Area
Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)**

Vista Analytical

(1, FSI001, FSI002, FSI003, FSI004, FSI005 and FSI006)

Prepared by

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SERVICES, LTD.

For

TIERRA SOLUTIONS, INC.

May 18, 2012

Data Assessment Narratives

DATA VALIDATION REPORT FOR DIOXIN AND FURANS

SITE: Lower Passaic River Area, Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)

LABORATORY: Vista Analytical

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: 1

This sample delivery group consists of the following sample:

| |
|--------------------------|
| Split Rinse Blanks 11312 |
|--------------------------|

The sample described above was analyzed via USEPA method 1613B to determine the concentrations of 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDD/PCDFs) in water. This analytical procedure (indexed as standard operating procedure L-2) is located in Appendix B of the Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, Lower Passaic River Study Area (FSIWP-QAPP).

Data validation SOP V-2 of the FSIWP-QAPP titled; USEPA Region II Data Validation SOP for EPA Method 1613, Revision B Tetra through Octa-chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope Dilution (HRGC/HRMS), SOP HW-25, Rev. 3, September, 2006 was used to perform the dioxin and furan data validation.

All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets. Laboratory and data validation qualifiers and their related meanings are provided in the table located at the end of this report.

Major Data Quality Issues

None.

Minor Data Quality Issues

None.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant QC problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort.

Lastly, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.



Diane Waldschmidt
Environmental Scientist/Director

Date: 5/18/12

HOLDING TIME/SAMPLE HANDLING

The sample in this delivery group was prepared and analyzed within the holding time specified in the validation guideline.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESOLUTION

A performance check solution (Window Defining Mixture/Isomer Specificity Test Standard) was analyzed at the beginning of every 12-hour analysis period (DB-5 column only). Upon evaluation of the performance check solution data, all QC criteria were met. Therefore, the data associated with these check standards were not qualified.

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Data was submitted representing six concentration level initial calibrations. All initial calibrations used during the analysis of samples in this delivery group were contained in the data package.

The validator has evaluated the initial calibrations examining ion ratios, signal to noise ratios, retention time and percent relative standard deviation criteria for all PCDD/PCDF target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the initial calibration data all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

ROUTINE CALIBRATION

A routine calibration standard was evaluated at the beginning of each 12-hour analysis period.

The validator has evaluated the routine calibration standard data submitted examining ion ratios, retention time, signal to noise ratios, and concentration reported for all PCDD/PCDF target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the routine calibration data submitted, all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

SAMPLE DATA

Qualitative Requirements

The one positive reported result met method and validation qualitative acceptance criteria.

Quantitative Requirements

Sample and internal standard concentration calculations were verified and no errors were detected.

Interferences

Positive PCDF values reported for the sample in this delivery group were evaluated to determine the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise. Upon evaluation, the chromatogram was found to be free of the presence of any diphenyl ether (at greater than 2.5 times background) within the retention time range of the target analyte polychlorinated dibenzofurans. Therefore no qualification is necessary on this basis.

BLANKS

Rinsate Blanks

The one sample contained in this sample delivery group (SDG) was a split rinsate blank. Upon evaluation of the result reported for the split rinse blank, all target PCDD/PCDFs were found to be not detected with the following exception:

| Analyte | Units | Split Rinse Blank 11312 |
|---------|-------|-------------------------|
| OCDF | pg/l | 8.59 |

pg/l = picograms per liter

Method Blanks

A method blank was prepared and analyzed in association with this sample delivery group at the specified frequency. Upon examination of method blank data no analyte was positively identified at a concentration above or below the method defined minimum levels in any associated method blank.

FIELD DUPLICATE

The sample delivery group contains only a split rinsate blank. Therefore a field duplicate is not required.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

The matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) are generated to determine the precision and accuracy of the analytical procedure in a given matrix.

This sample delivery group contains only a split rinsate blank. Therefore a matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate are not required.

INTERNAL STANDARD

Internal standards ($^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDF and $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF) are added to each sample and method blank extract prior to injection. The area counts for each of the recovery standards in each sample must fall within the range (+100% to -50%) percent of that observed during the analysis of the mid-level daily calibration check standard.

Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

LABELED ANALOG STANDARDS

Calculated percent recoveries of labeled analog standards were within method and validation acceptance limits without exception.

OPR

Ongoing Precision and Recovery (OPR) standards were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. All observed percent recoveries were within method 1613B allowable limits during the OPR determination.

IPR

Initial Precision and Recovery (IPR) standards were prepared and analyzed successfully by the laboratory. All observed average concentration and standard deviation values passed method defined acceptance criteria.

CLEAN-UP RECOVERY STANDARD

The clean-up recovery standard (³⁷Cl 2,3,7,8-TCDD) was successfully recovered from the sample in the delivery group.

SECOND COLUMN CONFIRMATION

No positive sample results (above the method defined minimum level) were identified for the following analytes in the delivery group.

2,3,7,8-TCDF
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF

Therefore confirmation via SP-2331 was not performed.

OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION

None.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall the laboratory data generated met the project goals and quality control criteria.

Data Validation Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| J | Estimated value (bias undetermined) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| JH | Estimated value (potential high bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential high bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| JL | Estimated value (potential low bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential low bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| U | Non-detected value - The result initially reported as positive by the laboratory was qualified as not detected per USEPA Region II validation guidance, due to an associated quality control failure. |
| UJ | Estimated non-detect - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| UJL | Estimated non-detect (potential low bias) – The analyte was not detected and the report sample quantitation limit is biased low. |
| UJH | Estimated non-detect (potential high bias) – The analyte was not detected and the reported sample quantitation limit is biased high. |
| NJ | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| NJH | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration with a potential high bias, of the analyte concentration. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| R | The sample results are rejected. Due to a significant QA/QC problem, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. |

Laboratory Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| B | Organics – The associated analyte was also detected in the method blank. |
| D | The organic analyte was quantitated from a diluted analysis. |
| E | Organics – The associated compound concentration exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| G | Organic data indicated the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria; the result is below the PQL but above the MDL or estimated detection limit (EDL), where appropriate. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| I | The laboratory indicated the presence of an interference during the sample analysis. |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

DATA VALIDATION REPORT FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

SITE: Lower Passaic River Area, Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)

LABORATORY: Vista Analytical

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: FSI001

This sample delivery group consists of the following samples:

| | | |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| RB-20120108 | RB-20120109-CL | RB-20120109 |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|

The samples described above were analyzed via USEPA method 1613B to determine the concentrations of 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDD/PCDFs) in water. This analytical procedure (indexed as standard operating procedure L-2) is located in Appendix B of the Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, Lower Passaic River Study Area (FSIWP-QAPP).

Data validation SOP V-2 of the FSIWP-QAPP titled; USEPA Region II Data Validation SOP For EPA Method 1613, Revision B Tetra through Octa-chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope Dilution (HRGC/HRMS), SOP HW-25, Rev. 3, September, 2006 was used to perform the dioxin and furan data validation.

All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets. Laboratory and data validation qualifiers and their related meanings are provided in the table located at the end of this report.

Major Data Quality Issues

None.

Minor Data Quality Issues

Sample Preservation and Handling – All samples in this delivery group were received by the laboratory with internal cooler temperatures in excess of 10 degrees Celsius. Therefore all positive sample results are flagged “J” estimated and all non-detects have been qualified “UJ”, estimated non-detect.

Interferences – Several polychlorinated dibenzo furan results in the delivery group have been qualified as an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC), due to the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise.

Analyte Identification Criteria – Two samples exhibited responses for 2,3,7,8-TCDD that did not meet all specified identification criteria though they have been reported as positive by the laboratory. Affected results have been qualified as “J”, estimated.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant QC problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort.

Lastly, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.



Diane Waldschmidt
Environmental Scientist/Director

Date: 5/18/12

HOLDING TIME/SAMPLE HANDLING

All samples in this delivery group were received at 19.4 degrees Celsius. Per validation guidance all positive sample results are flagged "J" estimated and all non-detects have been qualified "UJ", estimated non-detect.

All samples in this delivery group were prepared and analyzed within the holding time specified in the validation guideline.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESOLUTION

A performance check solution (Window Defining Mixture/Isomer Specificity Test Standard) was analyzed at the beginning of every 12-hour analysis period (DB-5 column only). Upon evaluation of the performance check solution data, all QC criteria were met. Therefore, the data associated with these check standards were not qualified.

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Data was submitted representing six concentration level initial calibrations. All initial calibrations used during the analysis of samples in this delivery group were contained in the data package.

The validator has evaluated the initial calibrations examining ion ratios, signal to noise ratios, relative retention time and percent relative standard deviation criteria for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the initial calibration data all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

ROUTINE CALIBRATION

A routine calibration standard was evaluated at the beginning of each 12-hour analysis period.

The validator has evaluated the routine calibration standard data submitted examining ion ratios, relative retention time, signal to noise ratios, and concentration reported for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the routine calibration data submitted, all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

SAMPLE DATA

Qualitative Requirements

All positively reported results met method and validation qualitative acceptance criteria with the following exceptions. Samples RB-20120108 and RB-20120109 exhibited responses for native 2,3,7,8-TCDD and the labeled analog (¹³C-2,3,7,8-TCDD) that do not meet the established method and validation acceptance criteria of having peaks maximizing within +/- 2 seconds of one another. 2,3,7,8-TCDD results reported for the affected samples have been qualified "J", estimated.

Quantitative Requirements

Sample and internal standard concentration calculations were verified and no errors were detected.

Interferences

Positive PCDF values reported for the samples in this delivery group were evaluated to determine the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise. Upon evaluation, the chromatograms for the following samples exhibited the presence of diphenyl ether (at greater than 2.5 times background) within the retention time range of the polychlorinated dibenzofurans listed below. Per validation guidance, the positive results reported for the analyte in the samples listed have been qualified as EMPC.

1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF

RB20120109

2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF

RB20120108

RB20120109

1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF

RB20120109

1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF

RB20120108

RB20120109

BLANKS

Rinsate Blanks

The three samples contained in this sample delivery group (SDG) were rinsate blanks. Upon evaluation of the results reported for the rinse blank, all target PCDD/PCDFs were found to be not detected with the following exceptions:

| Analyte | Units | RB-20120108 | RB-20120109-CL | RB-20120109 |
|---------------------|-------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/l | 1100 | 47.9 G | 984 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/l | 92.0 G | -- | -- |
| OCDD | pg/l | 1100 | 164 G | 24100 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 24.3 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 78.3 G |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | 92.6 G | -- | 166 G |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 945 | 32.7 G | 1610 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 535 | 23.8 G | 204 G |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 65.2 G | -- | 100 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 46.7 G |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/l | 5330 | 175 G | 8990 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 370 |
| OCDF | pg/l | 8380 | 363 G | 74000 |

pg/l = picograms per liter

Method Blanks

Method blanks were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group at the specified frequency. Upon examination of method blank data no analyte was positively identified at a concentration above or below the method defined minimum levels in any associated method blank.

FIELD DUPLICATE

This sample delivery group contains only rinsate blanks. Therefore a field duplicate is not required.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

The matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate are generated to determine the precision and accuracy of the analytical procedure in a given matrix.

This sample delivery group contains only rinsate blanks. Therefore a matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate are not required.

INTERNAL STANDARD

Internal standards ($^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDF and $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF) are added to each sample and method blank extract prior to injection. The area counts for each of the recovery standards in each sample must fall within the range (+100% to -50%) percent of that observed during the analysis of the mid-level daily calibration check standard. Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

LABELED ANALOG STANDARDS

Calculated percent recoveries of labeled analog standards were within method and validation acceptance limits without exception.

OPR

Ongoing Precision and Recovery (OPR) standards were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. All observed percent recoveries were within method 1613B allowable limits during the OPR determination.

IPR

Initial Precision and Recovery (IPR) standards were prepared and analyzed successfully by the laboratory. All observed average concentration and standard deviation values passed method defined acceptance criteria.

CLEAN-UP RECOVERY STANDARD

The clean-up recovery standard (^{37}Cl -2,3,7,8-TCDD) was successfully recovered from the samples in the delivery group.

SECOND COLUMN CONFIRMATION

Positive sample results (above the method defined minimum level) for the following analytes in the delivery group were confirmed via Sp-2331 column analyses:

2,3,7,8-TCDF
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF

The validator has reported positive results for the compounds listed above from data obtained on the Sp-2331 analytical column in most cases. However, confirmation of positive results identified for these congeners during the primary analysis (DB-5) serves to further isolate the target analyte from co-eluting species and thereby limits the potential for high bias in reporting. Therefore, each of the two positive results identified (DB-5 and Sp-2331) have been compared and the lower value is reported. Also, each set of quality control (QC) has been evaluated independently. When a QC failure is identified on a particular column, sample results are reported from the column which is fully compliant regardless of the relative concentration values obtained.

OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION

None.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall the laboratory data generated met the project goals and quality control criteria.

Data Validation Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| J | Estimated value (bias undetermined) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| JH | Estimated value (potential high bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential high bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| JL | Estimated value (potential low bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential low bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| U | Non-detected value - The result initially reported as positive by the laboratory was qualified as not detected per USEPA Region II validation guidance, due to an associated quality control failure. |
| UJ | Estimated non-detect - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| UJL | Estimated non-detect (potential low bias) – The analyte was not detected and the report sample quantitation limit is biased low. |
| UJH | Estimated non-detect (potential high bias) – The analyte was not detected and the reported sample quantitation limit is biased high. |
| NJ | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| NJH | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration with a potential high bias, of the analyte concentration. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| R | The sample results are rejected. Due to a significant QA/QC problem, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. |

Laboratory Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| B | Organics – The associated analyte was also detected in the method blank. |
| D | The organic analyte was quantitated from a diluted analysis. |
| E | Organics – The associated compound concentration exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| G | Organic data indicated the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria; the result is below the PQL but above the MDL or estimated detection limit (EDL), where appropriate. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| I | The laboratory indicated the presence of an interference during the sample analysis. |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

DATA VALIDATION REPORT FOR DIOXIN AND FURANS

SITE: Lower Passaic River Area, Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)

LABORATORY: Vista Analytical

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: FSI002

This sample delivery group consists of the following samples:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| B01-SD1-000-006 | B02-SD1-000-006 | B02-SD1-048-054 | A01-SD1-012-018 | SD-00-A01-030 |
| B01-SD1-012-018 | B02-SD1-012-018 | B02-SD1-060-066 | A01-SD1-018-024 | A01-SD1-036-042 |
| B01-SD1-024-030 | B02-SD1-024-030 | A01-SD1-000-006 | A01-SD1-024-030 | A01-SD1-042-048 |
| B01-SD1-036-042 | B02-SD1-036-042 | A01-SD1-006-012 | A01-SD1-030-036 | |

The samples described above were analyzed via USEPA method 1613B to determine the concentrations of 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDD/PCDFs) in sediment. This analytical procedure (indexed as standard operating procedure L-2) is located in Appendix B of the Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, Lower Passaic River Study Area (FSIWP-QAPP).

Data validation SOP V-2 of the FSIWP-QAPP titled; USEPA Region II Data Validation SOP For EPA Method 1613, Revision B Tetra through Octa-chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope Dilution (HRGC/HRMS), SOP HW-25, Rev. 3, September, 2006 was used to perform the dioxin and furan data validation.

All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets. Laboratory and data validation qualifiers and their related meanings are provided in the table located at the end of this report.

Major Data Quality Issues

None.

Minor Data Quality Issues

Interferences – Several polychlorinated dibenzo furan results in the delivery group have been qualified as an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC), due to the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise.

Moisture Content – Eight sediment samples in this delivery group were found to contain greater than fifty but less than ninety percent moisture. All affected samples have PCDD/PCDF results qualified as “M” due to excessive moisture, when no other data qualifier was applied.

Rinse Blank Contamination – Several sample results required qualification as EMPC, estimated maximum possible concentration due to associated rinse blank contamination.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant QC problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort.

Lastly, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.



Diane Waldschmidt
Environmental Scientist/Director

Date: 5/18/12

HOLDING TIME/SAMPLE HANDLING

All samples in this delivery group were prepared and analyzed within the holding time specified in the validation guideline.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESOLUTION

A performance check solution (Window Defining Mixture/Isomer Specificity Test Standard) was analyzed at the beginning of every 12-hour analysis period (DB-5 column only). Upon evaluation of the performance check solution data, all QC criteria were met. Therefore, the data associated with these check standards were not qualified.

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Data was submitted representing six concentration level initial calibrations. All initial calibrations used during the analysis of samples in this delivery group were contained in the data package.

The validator has evaluated the initial calibrations examining ion ratios, signal to noise ratios, retention time and percent relative standard deviation criteria for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the initial calibration data all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

ROUTINE CALIBRATION

A routine calibration standard was evaluated at the beginning of each 12-hour analysis period.

The validator has evaluated the routine calibration standard data submitted examining ion ratios, retention time, signal to noise ratios, and concentration reported for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the routine calibration data submitted, all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

SAMPLE DATA

Qualitative Requirements

All positive results reported, met method and validation qualitative acceptance criteria.

Quantitative Requirements

Sample and internal standard concentration calculations were verified and no errors were detected.

Interferences

Positive PCDF values reported for the samples in this delivery group were evaluated to determine the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise. Upon evaluation, the chromatograms for the following samples exhibited the presence of a diphenyl ether (at greater than 2.5 times background) within the retention time range of the polychlorinated dibenzo furans listed below. Per validation guidance, the positive results reported for the analyte in the samples listed have been qualified as EMPC.

1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF

B01-SD1-036-042
A01-SD1-000-006

1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF

B01-SD1-000-006
A01-SD1-036-042

MOISTURE CONTENT

Nine samples in this delivery group had observed percent moisture values that were between 50 and 90 percent and are listed below. The affected samples with PCDD/PCDF results not previously qualified due to failed QC criteria have results flagged "M" for excessive moisture.

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A01-SD1-000-006 | A01-SD1-018-024 | A01-SD1-036-042 |
| A01-SD1-006-012 | A01-SD1-024-030 | A01-SD1-042-048 |
| A01-SD1-012-018 | A01-SD1-030-036 | SD-00-A01-030 |

BLANKS

Rinsate Blanks

Three rinsate blanks (RB-20120108, RB-20120109-CL and RB-20120109) were collected and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. Results reported for each rinse blank are provided below. Note, data validation qualification of sample results are based on the maximum contaminant concentration detected in all associated blanks.

| Analyte | Units | RB-20120108 | RB-20120109-CL | RB-20120109 |
|---------------------|-------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/l | 1100 | 47.9 G | 984 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/l | 92.0 G | -- | -- |
| OCDD | pg/l | 1100 | 164 G | 24100 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 24.3 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 78.3 G |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | 92.6 G | -- | 166 G |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 945 | 32.7 G | 1610 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 535 | 23.8 G | 204 G |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 65.2 G | -- | 100 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 46.7 G |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/l | 5330 | 175 G | 8990 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/l | -- | -- | 370 |
| OCDF | pg/l | 8380 | 363 G | 74000 |

pg/l = picograms per liter

The following samples are associated with rinse blanks RB-20120109-CL and RB-20120108:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| B01-SD1-000-006 | B01-SD1-024-030 | B02-SD1-000-006 | B02-SD1-024-030 | B02-SD1-048-054 |
| B01-SD1-012-018 | B01-SD1-036-042 | B02-SD1-012-018 | B02-SD1-036-042 | B02-SD1-060-066 |

Upon evaluation of positive results reported for the samples listed above, the following samples exhibited result concentrations less than five times that of the associated rinse blanks for the analytes indicated. Therefore based upon professional judgement, each of the affected sample results has been qualified EMPC at the reported concentration.

| Analyte | B01-SD1-000-006 | B01-SD1-012-018 | B02-SD1-000-006 | B02-SD1-012-018 | B02-SD1-024-030 | B02-SD1-036-042 | B02-SD1-048-054 | B02-SD1-060-066 |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | x | -- | x | x | -- | -- | x | -- |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | x | -- | x | x | -- | -- | -- | x |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | x | -- | x | x | x | x | -- | x |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | -- | x |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | x | -- | x | x | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | x | -- | x | x | x | x | -- | x |
| OCDF | x | -- | x | x | -- | x | -- | x |

The following samples are associated with rinse blanks RB-20120109-CL and RB-20120109:

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A01-SD1-000-006 | A01-SD1-018-024 | SD-00-A01-030 |
| A01-SD1-006-012 | A01-SD1-024-030 | A01-SD1-036-042 |
| A01-SD1-012-018 | A01-SD1-030-036 | A01-SD1-042-048 |

Upon evaluation of positive results reported for the samples listed above, in no case were sample result concentrations less than five times that of the contaminants identified in the associated rinse blanks. Therefore, no qualification of sediment sample results is necessary.

Method Blanks

Method blanks were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group at the specified frequency. Upon examination of method blank data no analyte was positively identified at a concentration above or below the method defined minimum levels in any associated method blank.

FIELD DUPLICATE

Samples A01-SD1-030-036 and SD-00-A01-030 comprise the field duplicate pair. Field precision was adequately demonstrated since the relative percent difference between positive results obtained for the pair was less than 50% when results are greater than five times the project quantitation limit (PQL) and results reported for the pair differ by less than two times the PQL when results are less than five times the PQL.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Sample B01-SD1-036-042 was analyzed as a matrix spike/matrix spike (MS/MSD) duplicate pair. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were favorable.

INTERNAL STANDARD

Internal standards ($^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDF and $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4,6,9-HxCDF) are added to each sample and method blank extract prior to injection. The area counts for each of the recovery standards in each sample must fall within the range (+100% to -50%) percent of that observed during the analysis of the mid-level daily calibration check standard.

Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

LABELED ANALOG STANDARDS

Calculated percent recoveries of labeled analog standards were within method and validation acceptance limits without exception.

OPR

Ongoing Precision and Recovery (OPR) standards were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. All observed percent recoveries were within method 1613B allowable limits during the OPR determination.

IPR

Initial Precision and Recovery (IPR) standards were prepared and analyzed successfully by the laboratory. All observed average concentration and standard deviation values passed method defined acceptance criteria.

CLEAN-UP RECOVERY STANDARD

The clean-up recovery standard (^{37}Cl 2,3,7,8-TCDD) was successfully recovered from the sediment samples in the delivery group.

SECOND COLUMN CONFIRMATION

Positive sample results (above the method defined minimum level) for the following analytes in the delivery group were confirmed via Sp-2331 column analyses:

2,3,7,8-TCDF
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF

The validator has reported positive results for the compounds listed above from data obtained on the Sp-2331 analytical column in most cases. However, confirmation of positive results identified for these congeners during the primary analysis (DB-5) serves to further isolate the target analyte from co-eluting species and thereby limits the potential for high bias in reporting. Therefore, each of the two positive results identified (DB-5 and Sp-2331) have been compared and the lower value is reported. Also, each set of quality control (QC) has been evaluated independently. When a QC failure is identified on a particular column, sample results are reported from the column which is fully compliant regardless of the relative concentration values obtained.

OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION

None.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall the laboratory data generated met the project goals and quality control criteria.

Data Validation Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| J | Estimated value (bias undetermined) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| JH | Estimated value (potential high bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential high bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| JL | Estimated value (potential low bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential low bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| U | Non-detected value - The result initially reported as positive by the laboratory was qualified as not detected per USEPA Region II validation guidance, due to an associated quality control failure. |
| UJ | Estimated non-detect - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| UJL | Estimated non-detect (potential low bias) – The analyte was not detected and the report sample quantitation limit is biased low. |
| UJH | Estimated non-detect (potential high bias) – The analyte was not detected and the reported sample quantitation limit is biased high. |
| NJ | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| NJH | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration with a potential high bias, of the analyte concentration. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| R | The sample results are rejected. Due to a significant QA/QC problem, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. |

Laboratory Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| B | Organics – The associated analyte was also detected in the method blank. |
| D | The organic analyte was quantitated from a diluted analysis. |
| E | Organics – The associated compound concentration exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| G | Organic data indicated the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria; the result is below the PQL but above the MDL or estimated detection limit (EDL), where appropriate. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| I | The laboratory indicated the presence of an interference during the sample analysis. |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

DATA VALIDATION REPORT FOR DIOXIN AND FURANS

SITE: Lower Passaic River Area, Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)

LABORATORY: Vista Analytical

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: FSI003

This sample delivery group consists of the following samples:

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| B01-SD1-006-012 | B01-SD1-042-048 | B02-SD1-030-036 | B02-SD1-054-060 |
| B01-SD1-018-024 | B02-SD1-006-012 | SD-00-B02-030 | B02-SD1-066-072 |
| B01-SD1-030-036 | B02-SD1-018-024 | B02-SD1-042-048 | |

The samples described above were analyzed via USEPA method 1613B to determine the concentrations of 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDD/PCDFs) in sediment. This analytical procedure (indexed as standard operating procedure L-2) is located in Appendix B of the Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, Lower Passaic River Study Area (FSIWP-QAPP).

Data validation SOP V-2 of the FSIWP-QAPP titled; USEPA Region II Data Validation SOP For EPA Method 1613, Revision B Tetra through Octa-chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope Dilution (HRGC/HRMS), SOP HW-25, Rev. 3, September, 2006 was used to perform the dioxin and furan data validation.

All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets. Laboratory and data validation qualifiers and their related meanings are provided in the table located at the end of this report.

Major Data Quality Issues

None.

Minor Data Quality Issues

Interferences – Several polychlorinated dibenzo furan results in the delivery group have been qualified as an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC), due to the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise.

Moisture Content – One sediment sample in this delivery group was found to contain greater than fifty but less than ninety percent moisture. The affected sample has PCDD/PCDF results qualified as “M” due to excessive moisture, when no other data qualifier was applied.

Rinse Blank Contamination – Several sample results required qualification as EMPC, estimated maximum possible concentration due to associated rinse blank contamination.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) – Unacceptable recovery for 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD was exhibited during the MS/MSD evaluations. The 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD recovery was below the lowest acceptance limit in both the matrix spike and the matrix spike duplicate. The affected analyte result in the unspiked sample has been qualified as “JL” estimated potential low bias.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant QC problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort.

Lastly, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.



Diane Waldschmidt
Environmental Scientist/Director

Date: 5/18/12

HOLDING TIME/SAMPLE HANDLING

All samples in this delivery group were prepared and analyzed within the holding time specified in the validation guideline.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESOLUTION

A performance check solution (Window Defining Mixture/Isomer Specificity Test Standard) was analyzed at the beginning of every 12-hour analysis period (DB-5 column only). Upon evaluation of the performance check solution data, all QC criteria were met. Therefore, the data associated with these check standards were not qualified.

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Data was submitted representing six concentration level initial calibrations. All initial calibrations used during the analysis of samples in this delivery group were contained in the data package.

The validator has evaluated the initial calibrations examining ion ratios, signal to noise ratios, retention time and percent relative standard deviation criteria for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the initial calibration data all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

ROUTINE CALIBRATION

A routine calibration standard was evaluated at the beginning of each 12-hour analysis period.

The validator has evaluated the routine calibration standard data submitted examining ion ratios, retention time, signal to noise ratios, and concentration reported for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the routine calibration data submitted, all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

SAMPLE DATA

Qualitative Requirements

All positively reported results met method and validation qualitative acceptance criteria.

Quantitative Requirements

Sample and internal standard concentration calculations were verified and no errors were detected.

Interferences

Positive PCDF values reported for the samples in this delivery group were evaluated to determine the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise. Upon evaluation, the chromatograms for the following samples exhibited the presence of a diphenyl ether (at greater than 2.5 times background) within the retention time range of the polychlorinated dibenzo furans listed below. Per validation guidance, the positive results reported for the analyte in the samples listed have been qualified as EMPC.

1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF

B01-SD1-030-036
B01-SD1-042-048
B02-SD1-030-036
SD-00-B02-030

1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF

B01-SD1-030-036
A02-SD1-054-060

MOISTURE CONTENT

Sample B01-SD1-B01-042 had an observed percent moisture value that was between 50 and 90 percent. The affected sample PCDD/PCDF results not previously qualified due to failed QC criteria have been flagged "M" for excessive moisture.

BLANKS

Rinsate Blanks

Two rinsate blanks (RB-20120109-CL and RB-20120108) were collected and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group.

| Analyte | Units | RB-20120109-CL | RB-20120108 |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/l | 47.9 G | 1100 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/l | -- | 92.0 G |
| OCDD | pg/l | 164 G | 1100 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | 92.6 G |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 32.7 G | 945 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 23.8 G | 535 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | 65.2 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/l | 175 G | 5330 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/l | -- | -- |
| OCDF | pg/l | 363 G | 8380 |

pg/l = picograms per liter

Upon evaluation of positive results reported for the sediment samples in this delivery group the following samples exhibited result concentrations less than five times that of the associated rinse blanks for the analytes indicated. Therefore based upon professional judgement, each of the affected sample results has been qualified EMPC at the reported concentration.

| Analyte | B01-SD1-006-012 | B01-SD1-018-024 | B02-SD1-006-012 | B02-SD1-018-024 | B02-SD1-042-048 | B02-SD1-054-060 | B02-SD1-066-072 |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | x | x | x | | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | | | | | | | x |
| OCDD | | | | | | | x |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | x | | x | x | | | x |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | x | x | x | x | | x | x |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | x | | x | x | | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | x | x | x | x | | x | x |
| OCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |

Method Blanks

Method blanks were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group at the specified frequency. Upon examination of method blank data no analyte was positively identified at a concentration above or below the method defined minimum levels in any associated method blank.

FIELD DUPLICATE

Samples B02-SD1-030-036 and SD-00-B02-030 comprise the field duplicate pair. Field precision was adequately demonstrated since the relative percent difference between positive results obtained for the pair was less than 50% when results are greater than five times the project quantitation limit (PQL) and results reported for the pair differ by less than two times the PQL when results are less than five times the PQL.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Sample B01-SD1-018-024 was analyzed as a matrix spike/matrix spike (MS/MSD) duplicate pair. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were favorable with the following exceptions.

Both the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recovery for 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD (0%, 0%) fell below the lowest acceptance limit (60-140%). Per validation guidance, the 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD result in the un-spiked sample (B01-SD1-018-024) has been qualified "JL" estimated potential low bias.

INTERNAL STANDARD

Internal standards ($^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDF and $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4,6,9-HxCDF) are added to each sample and method blank extract prior to injection. The area counts for each of the recovery standards in each sample must fall within the range (+100% to -50%) percent of that observed during the analysis of the mid-level daily calibration check standard.

Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

LABELED ANALOG STANDARDS

Calculated percent recoveries of labeled analog standards were within method and validation acceptance limits without exception.

OPR

Ongoing Precision and Recovery (OPR) standards were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. All observed percent recoveries were within method 1613B allowable limits during the OPR determination.

IPR

Initial Precision and Recovery (IPR) standards were prepared and analyzed successfully by the laboratory. All observed average concentration and standard deviation values passed method defined acceptance criteria.

CLEAN-UP RECOVERY STANDARD

The clean-up recovery standard (³⁷Cl 2,3,7,8-TCDD) was successfully recovered from the sediment samples in the delivery group.

SECOND COLUMN CONFIRMATION

Positive sample results (above the method defined minimum level) for the following analytes in the delivery group were confirmed via Sp-2331 column analyses:

2,3,7,8-TCDF
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF

The validator has reported positive results for the compounds listed above from data obtained on the Sp-2331 analytical column in most cases. However, confirmation of positive results identified for these congeners during the primary analysis (DB-5) serves to further isolate the target analyte from co-eluting species and thereby limits the potential for high bias in reporting. Therefore, each of the two positive results identified (DB-5 and Sp-2331) have been compared and the lower value is reported. Also, each set of quality control (QC) has been evaluated independently. When a QC failure is identified on a particular column, sample results are reported from the column which is fully compliant regardless of the relative concentration values obtained.

OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION

None.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall the laboratory data generated met the project goals and quality control criteria.

Data Validation Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| J | Estimated value (bias undetermined) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| JH | Estimated value (potential high bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential high bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| JL | Estimated value (potential low bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential low bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| U | Non-detected value - The result initially reported as positive by the laboratory was qualified as not detected per USEPA Region II validation guidance, due to an associated quality control failure. |
| UJ | Estimated non-detect - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| UJL | Estimated non-detect (potential low bias) – The analyte was not detected and the report sample quantitation limit is biased low. |
| UJH | Estimated non-detect (potential high bias) – The analyte was not detected and the reported sample quantitation limit is biased high. |
| NJ | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| NJH | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration with a potential high bias, of the analyte concentration. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| R | The sample results are rejected. Due to a significant QA/QC problem, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. |

Laboratory Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| B | Organics – The associated analyte was also detected in the method blank. |
| D | The organic analyte was quantitated from a diluted analysis. |
| E | Organics – The associated compound concentration exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| G | Organic data indicated the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria; the result is below the PQL but above the MDL or estimated detection limit (EDL), where appropriate. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| I | The laboratory indicated the presence of an interference during the sample analysis. |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

DATA VALIDATION REPORT FOR DIOXIN AND FURANS

SITE: Lower Passaic River Area, Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)

LABORATORY: Vista Analytical

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: FSI004

This sample delivery group consists of the following samples:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A01-SD1-048-054 | A01-SD1-072-078 | A02-SD1-012-018 | A02-SD1-036-042 | SD-00-A02-054 |
| A01-SD1-054-060 | A01-SD1-078-084 | A02-SD1-018-024 | A02-SD1-042-048 | A02-SD1-060-066 |
| A01-SD1-060-066 | A02-SD1-000-006 | A02-SD1-024-030 | A02-SD1-048-054 | A02-SD1-066-072 |
| A01-SD1-066-072 | A02-SD1-006-012 | A02-SD1-030-036 | A02-SD1-054-060 | |

The samples described above were analyzed via USEPA method 1613B to determine the concentrations of 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDD/PCDFs) in sediment. This analytical procedure (indexed as standard operating procedure L-2) is located in Appendix B of the Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, Lower Passaic River Study Area (FSIWP-QAPP).

Data validation SOP V-2 of the FSIWP-QAPP titled; USEPA Region II Data Validation SOP For EPA Method 1613, Revision B Tetra through Octa-chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope Dilution (HRGC/HRMS), SOP HW-25, Rev. 3, September, 2006 was used to perform the dioxin and furan data validation.

All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets. Laboratory and data validation qualifiers and their related meanings are provided in the table located at the end of this report.

Major Data Quality Issues

None.

Minor Data Quality Issues

Interferences – Several polychlorinated dibenzo furan results in the delivery group have been qualified as an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC), due to the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise.

Moisture Content – Three sediment samples in this delivery group were found to contain greater than fifty but less than ninety percent moisture. All affected samples have PCDD/PCDF results qualified as “M” due to excessive moisture, when no other data qualifier has been applied.

Rinse Blank Contamination – Several sample results required qualification as EMPC, estimated maximum possible concentration due to associated rinse blank contamination.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) – Precision observed for 2,3,7,8-TCDD during the MS/MSD evaluation did not meet established acceptance criteria. The 2,3,7,8-TCDD result reported for the un-spiked sample has been qualified "J", estimated on this basis.

Linear Range Exceedance – One 2,3,7,8-TCDD result was reported based upon an instrument signal that fell above the instruments established linear range. The affected result has been qualified "J", estimated.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant QC problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort.

Lastly, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.



Diane Waldschmidt
Environmental Scientist/Director

Date: 5/18/12

HOLDING TIME/SAMPLE HANDLING

All samples in this delivery group were prepared and analyzed within the holding time specified in the validation guideline.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESOLUTION

A performance check solution (Window Defining Mixture/Isomer Specificity Test Standard) was analyzed at the beginning of every 12-hour analysis period (DB-5 column only). Upon evaluation of the performance check solution data, all QC criteria were met. Therefore, the data associated with these check standards were not qualified.

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Data was submitted representing six concentration level initial calibrations. All initial calibrations used during the analysis of samples in this delivery group were contained in the data package.

The validator has evaluated the initial calibrations examining ion ratios, signal to noise ratios, retention time and percent relative standard deviation criteria for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the initial calibration data all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

ROUTINE CALIBRATION

A routine calibration standard was evaluated at the beginning of each 12-hour analysis period.

The validator has evaluated the routine calibration standard data submitted examining ion ratios, retention time, signal to noise ratios, and concentration reported for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the routine calibration data submitted, all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

SAMPLE DATA

Qualitative Requirements

All positive results reported, met method and validation qualitative acceptance criteria.

Quantitative Requirements

Sample and internal standard concentration calculations were verified and no errors were detected.

Interferences

Positive PCDF values reported for the samples in this delivery group were evaluated to determine the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise. Upon evaluation, the chromatograms for the following samples exhibited the presence of a diphenyl ether (at greater than 2.5 times background) within the retention time range of the polychlorinated dibenzo furans listed below. Per validation guidance, the positive results reported for the analyte in the samples listed have been qualified as EMPC.

1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF

A01-SD1-006-012

A01-SD1-054-060

A02-SD1-000-006

MOISTURE CONTENT

Three samples in this delivery group had observed percent moisture values that were between 50 and 90 percent and are listed below. The affected samples with PCDD/PCDF results not previously qualified due to failed QC criteria have results flagged "M" for excessive moisture.

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A01-SD1-048-054 | A01-SD1-054-060 | A02-SD1-000-006 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|

BLANKS

Rinsate Blanks

Two rinsate blanks (RB-20120109-CL and RB-20120109) were collected and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. Results reported for each rinse blank are provided below. Note, data validation qualification of sample results are based on the maximum contaminant concentration detected in all associated blanks.

| Analyte | Units | RB-20120109-CL | RB-20120109 |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/l | 47.9 G | 984 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| OCDD | pg/l | 164 G | 24100 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/l | -- | 24.3 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | 78.3 G |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | 166 G |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 32.7 G | 1610 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 23.8 G | 204 G |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | 100 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | 46.7 G |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/l | 175 G | 8990 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/l | -- | 370 |
| OCDF | pg/l | 363 G | 74000 |

pg/l = picograms per liter

Upon evaluation of positive results reported for the sediment samples in this delivery group the following samples exhibited result concentrations less than five times that of the associated rinse blanks for the analytes indicated. Therefore based upon professional judgment, each of the affected sample results has been qualified EMPC at the reported concentration.

| Analyte | A01-SD1-066-072 | A01-SD1-072-078 | A01-SD1-078-084 | A02-SD1-012-018 | A02-SD1-018-024 | A01-SD1-024-030 | A02-SD1-030-036 | A02-SD1-036-042 | A02-SD1-042-048 | A02-SD1-048-054 | A02-SD1-054-060 | SD-00-A02-054 | A02-SD1-060-066 | A02-SD1-066-072 |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | | x | x | | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | |
| OCDD | | | x | | x | | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 2,378-TCDF | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | | | x | | x | x | x | | | | | | x | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | | | x | x | x | x | | x | x | x | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | | | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | | | x | x | x | x | x | x | | x | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | | | x | | x | x | | x | | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HpCDF | | | x | x | x | x | | | | | | | | |
| OCDF | x | X | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |

Method Blanks

Method blanks were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group at the specified frequency. Upon examination of method blank data no analyte was positively identified at a concentration above or below the method defined minimum levels in any associated method blank.

FIELD DUPLICATE

Samples A02-SD1-054-060 and SD-00-A02-054 comprise the field duplicate pair. Field precision was adequately demonstrated since the relative percent difference between positive results obtained for the pair was less than 50% when results are greater than five times the project quantitation limit (PQL) and results reported for the pair differ by less than two times the PQL when results are less than five times the PQL.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Sample A01-SD1-066-072 was analyzed as a matrix spike and matrix spike (MS/MSD) duplicate pair. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were favorable with the following exceptions. The observed matrix spike recovery for 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF (142%) was higher than the highest acceptance limit (60-140%), while the matrix spike duplicate recovery fell within the acceptance window. Reproducibility for the pair (relative percent difference 12.7%) was acceptable. Therefore qualification of the 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF sample result is not necessary. However, the observed relative percent difference between 2,3,7,8-TCDD results reported for the pair (53.4%) does not meet established acceptance criteria (+/- 50%) for precision. Therefore, per validation guidance, the 2,3,7,8-TCDD result in the un-spiked sample (A01-SD1-066-072) has been qualified "J" estimated.

INTERNAL STANDARD

Internal standards ($^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDF and $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4,6,9-HxCDF) are added to each sample and method blank extract prior to injection. The area counts for each of the recovery standards in each sample must fall within the range (+100% to -50%) percent of that observed during the analysis of the mid-level daily calibration check standard. Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

LABELED ANALOG STANDARDS

Calculated percent recoveries of labeled analog standards were within method and validation acceptance limits without exception.

OPR

Ongoing Precision and Recovery (OPR) standards were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. All observed percent recoveries were within method 1613B allowable limits during the OPR determination.

IPR

Initial Precision and Recovery (IPR) standards were prepared and analyzed successfully by the laboratory. All observed average concentration and standard deviation values passed method defined acceptance criteria.

CLEAN-UP RECOVERY STANDARD

The clean-up recovery standard (^{37}Cl 2,3,7,8-TCDD) was successfully recovered from the sediment samples in the delivery group.

SECOND COLUMN CONFIRMATION

Positive sample results (above the method defined minimum level) for the following analytes in the delivery group were confirmed via Sp-2331 column analyses:

2,3,7,8-TCDF
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF

The validator has reported positive results for the compounds listed above from data obtained on the Sp-2331 analytical column in most cases. However, confirmation of positive results identified for these congeners during the primary analysis (DB-5) serves to further isolate the target analyte from co-eluting species and thereby limits the potential for high bias in reporting. Therefore, each of the two positive results identified (DB-5 and Sp-2331) have been compared and the lower value is reported. Also, each set of quality control (QC) has been evaluated independently. When a QC failure is identified on a particular column, sample results are reported from the column which is fully compliant regardless of the relative concentration values obtained.

OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION

Linear Range Exceedance

The 2,3,7,8-TCDD result reported for sample A01-SD1-048-054 was reported based upon an instrument signal that was greater than the instruments demonstrated linear range. The 2,3,7,8-TCDD result for the affected sample has been qualified "J" estimated on this basis.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall the laboratory data generated met the project goals and quality control criteria.

Data Validation Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| J | Estimated value (bias undetermined) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| JH | Estimated value (potential high bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential high bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| JL | Estimated value (potential low bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential low bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| U | Non-detected value - The result initially reported as positive by the laboratory was qualified as not detected per USEPA Region II validation guidance, due to an associated quality control failure. |
| UJ | Estimated non-detect - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| UJL | Estimated non-detect (potential low bias) – The analyte was not detected and the report sample quantitation limit is biased low. |
| UJH | Estimated non-detect (potential high bias) – The analyte was not detected and the reported sample quantitation limit is biased high. |
| NJ | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| NJH | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration with a potential high bias, of the analyte concentration. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| R | The sample results are rejected. Due to a significant QA/QC problem, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. |

Laboratory Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| B | Organics – The associated analyte was also detected in the method blank. |
| D | The organic analyte was quantitated from a diluted analysis. |
| E | Organics – The associated compound concentration exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| G | Organic data indicated the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria; the result is below the PQL but above the MDL or estimated detection limit (EDL), where appropriate. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| I | The laboratory indicated the presence of an interference during the sample analysis. |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

DATA VALIDATION REPORT FOR DIOXIN AND FURANS

SITE: Lower Passaic River Area, Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)

LABORATORY: Vista Analytical

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: FSI005

This sample delivery group consists of the following samples:

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A03-SD1-000-006 | A03-SD1-018-024 | A03-SD1-030-036 | A03-SD1-048-054 | A03-SD1-066-072 |
| A03-SD1-006-012 | A03-SD1-024-030 | A03-SD1-036-042 | A03-SD1-054-060 | A03-SD1-072-078 |
| A03-SD1-012-018 | SD-00-A03-024 | A03-SD1-042-048 | A03-SD1-060-066 | A03-SD1-078-084 |

The samples described above were analyzed via USEPA method 1613B to determine the concentrations of 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDD/PCDFs) in sediment. This analytical procedure (indexed as standard operating procedure L-2) is located in Appendix B of the Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, Lower Passaic River Study Area (FSIWP-QAPP).

Data validation SOP V-2 of the FSIWP-QAPP titled; USEPA Region II Data Validation SOP For EPA Method 1613, Revision B Tetra through Octa-chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope Dilution (HRGC/HRMS), SOP HW-25, Rev. 3, September, 2006 was used to perform the dioxin and furan data validation.

All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets. Laboratory and data validation qualifiers and their related meanings are provided in the table located at the end of this report.

Major Data Quality Issues

None.

Minor Data Quality Issues

Interferences – One polychlorinated dibenzo furan result in the delivery group has been qualified as an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC), due to the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise.

Moisture Content – Ten sediment samples in this delivery group were found to contain greater than fifty but less than ninety percent moisture. All affected samples have PCDD/PCDF results qualified as “M” due to excessive moisture, when no other data qualifier has been applied.

Rinse Blank Contamination – Several sample results required qualification as EMPC, estimated maximum possible concentration due to associated rinse blank contamination.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) – Unacceptable recovery for three analytes was exhibited during the MS/MSD evaluations. The affected analyte results in the unspiked sample have been qualified as as “JH” estimated potential high bias, or “JL” estimated potential low bias as appropriate.

Linear Range Exceedance – One 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF result was reported based upon an instrument signal that fell above the instruments established linear range. The affected result has been qualified "J", estimated.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant QC problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort.

Lastly, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.



Diane Waldschmidt
Environmental Scientist/Director

Date: 5/18/12

HOLDING TIME/SAMPLE HANDLING

All samples in this delivery group were prepared and analyzed within the holding time specified in the validation guideline.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESOLUTION

A performance check solution (Window Defining Mixture/Isomer Specificity Test Standard) was analyzed at the beginning of every 12-hour analysis period (DB-5 column only). Upon evaluation of the performance check solution data, all QC criteria were met. Therefore, the data associated with these check standards were not qualified.

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Data was submitted representing six concentration level initial calibrations. All initial calibrations used during the analysis of samples in this delivery group were contained in the data package.

The validator has evaluated the initial calibrations examining ion ratios, signal to noise ratios, retention time and percent relative standard deviation criteria for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the initial calibration data all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

ROUTINE CALIBRATION

A routine calibration standard was evaluated at the beginning of each 12-hour analysis period.

The validator has evaluated the routine calibration standard data submitted examining ion ratios, retention time, signal to noise ratios, and concentration reported for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the routine calibration data submitted, all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

SAMPLE DATA

Qualitative Requirements

All positive results reported, met method and validation qualitative acceptance criteria.

Quantitative Requirements

Sample and internal standard concentration calculations were verified and no errors were detected.

Interferences

Positive PCDF values reported for the samples in this delivery group were evaluated to determine the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise. Upon evaluation, the chromatograms for the following samples exhibited the presence of a diphenyl ether (at greater than 2.5 times background) within the retention time range of the polychlorinated dibenzo furans listed below. Per validation guidance, the positive result reported for the analyte in the sample listed has been qualified as EMPC.

1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF

A03-SD1-030-036

MOISTURE CONTENT

Ten samples in this delivery group had observed percent moisture values that were between 50 and 90 percent and are listed below. The affected samples with PCDD/PCDF results not previously qualified due to failed QC criteria have results flagged "M" for excessive moisture.

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A03-SD1-000-006 | A03-SD1-024-030 | A03-SD1-036-042 | A03-SD1-060-066 |
| A03-SD1-012-018 | A03-SD1-030-036 | A03-SD1-042-048 | |
| A03-SD1-018-024 | SD-00-A03-024 | A03-SD1-048-054 | |

BLANKS

Rinsate Blanks

Two rinsate blanks (RB-20120109-CL and RB-20120109) were collected and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. Results reported for each rinse blank are provided below. Note, data validation qualification of sample results are based on the maximum contaminant concentration detected in all associated blanks.

| Analyte | Units | RB-20120109-CL | RB-20120109 |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/l | 47.9 G | 984 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/l | -- | -- |
| OCDD | pg/l | 164 G | 24100 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/l | -- | 24.3 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | 78.3 G |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | 166 G |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 32.7 G | 1610 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 23.8 G | 204 G |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | 100 G |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | 46.7 G |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/l | 175 G | 8990 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/l | -- | 370 |
| OCDF | pg/l | 363 G | 74000 |

pg/l = picograms per liter

Upon evaluation of positive results reported for the sediment samples in this delivery group the following samples exhibited result concentrations less than five times that of the associated rinse blanks for the analytes indicated. Therefore based upon professional judgement, each of the affected sample results has been qualified EMPC at the reported concentration.

| Analyte | A03-SD1-006-012 | A03-SD1-054-060 | A03-SD1-072-078 | A03-SD1-078-084 |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | | | | x |
| OCDD | | | | x |
| 1,2,3,7,7-PeCDF | | | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | | | | x |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | | | | x |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | | | | x |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | | | | |
| OCDF | x | x | x | x |

Method Blanks

Method blanks were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group at the specified frequency. Upon examination of method blank data no analyte was positively identified at a concentration above or below the method defined minimum levels in any associated method blank.

FIELD DUPLICATE

Samples A03-SD1-024-030 and SD-00-A03-024 comprise the field duplicate pair. Field precision was adequately demonstrated since the relative percent difference between positive results obtained for the pair was less than 50% when results are greater than five times the project quantitation limit (PQL) and results reported for the pair differ by less than two times the PQL when results are less than five times the PQL.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Sample A03-SD1-060-066 was analyzed as a matrix spike/matrix spike (MS/MSD) duplicate pair. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were favorable with the following exceptions. The observed matrix spike duplicate recoveries for 1,2,3,7,8- PeCDD (143%) and 2,3,7,8,-TCDF (178%) were higher than the highest acceptance limit (60-140%), while the matrix spike recoveries for these same analytes fell within the acceptance window. Reproducibility for each of the pairs was acceptable. The observed spike recoveries are inconclusive for the affected analytes therefore qualification of the associated sample results is not necessary.

Both MS and MSD evaluations for 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF (172%/187%) and 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF (142%/145%) were higher than the highest acceptance limit (60-140%). High bias is indicated therefore the 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF and 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF results for sample A03-SD1-060-066 have been qualified "JH", estimated potential high bias.

Both MS and MSD evaluations for 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF (13%/17%) were lower than the lowest acceptance limit (60-140%). Low bias is indicated therefore the 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF result for sample A03-SD1-060-066 has been qualified "JL", estimated potential low bias.

INTERNAL STANDARD

Internal standards ($^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDF and $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4,6,9-HxCDF) are added to each sample and method blank extract prior to injection. The area counts for each of the recovery standards in each sample must fall within the range (+100% to -50%) percent of that observed during the analysis of the mid-level daily calibration check standard.

Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

LABELED ANALOG STANDARDS

Calculated percent recoveries of labeled analog standards were within method and validation acceptance limits without exception.

OPR

Ongoing Precision and Recovery (OPR) standards were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. All observed percent recoveries were within method 1613B allowable limits during the OPR determination.

IPR

Initial Precision and Recovery (IPR) standards were prepared and analyzed successfully by the laboratory. All observed average concentration and standard deviation values passed method defined acceptance criteria.

CLEAN-UP RECOVERY STANDARD

The clean-up recovery standard (³⁷Cl 2,3,7,8-TCDD) was successfully recovered from the sediment samples in the delivery group.

SECOND COLUMN CONFIRMATION

Positive sample results (above the method defined minimum level) for the following analytes in the delivery group were confirmed via Sp-2331 column analyses:

2,3,7,8-TCDF
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF

The validator has reported positive results for the compounds listed above from data obtained on the Sp-2331 analytical column in most cases. However, confirmation of positive results identified for these congeners during the primary analysis (DB-5) serves to further isolate the target analyte from co-eluting species and thereby limits the potential for high bias in reporting. Therefore, each of the two positive results identified (DB-5 and Sp-2331) have been compared and the lower value is reported. Also, each set of quality control (QC) has been evaluated independently. When a QC failure is identified on a particular column, sample results are reported from the column which is fully compliant regardless of the relative concentration values obtained.

OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION

Linear Range Exceedance

The 2,3,7,8-TCDD result reported for sample A03-SD1-036-042 and the 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF result reported for sample A03-SD1-060-066 were reported based upon an instrument signal that was greater than the instrument demonstrated linear range. The affected results have been qualified "J" estimated on this basis.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall the laboratory data generated met the project goals and quality control criteria.

Data Validation Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| J | Estimated value (bias undetermined) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| JH | Estimated value (potential high bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential high bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| JL | Estimated value (potential low bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential low bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| U | Non-detected value - The result initially reported as positive by the laboratory was qualified as not detected per USEPA Region II validation guidance, due to an associated quality control failure. |
| UJ | Estimated non-detect - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| UJL | Estimated non-detect (potential low bias) – The analyte was not detected and the report sample quantitation limit is biased low. |
| UJH | Estimated non-detect (potential high bias) – The analyte was not detected and the reported sample quantitation limit is biased high. |
| NJ | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| NJH | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration with a potential high bias, of the analyte concentration. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| R | The sample results are rejected. Due to a significant QA/QC problem, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. |

Laboratory Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| B | Organics – The associated analyte was also detected in the method blank. |
| D | The organic analyte was quantitated from a diluted analysis. |
| E | Organics – The associated compound concentration exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| G | Organic data indicated the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria; the result is below the PQL but above the MDL or estimated detection limit (EDL), where appropriate. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| I | The laboratory indicated the presence of an interference during the sample analysis. |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

DATA VALIDATION REPORT FOR DIOXIN AND FURANS

SITE: Lower Passaic River Area, Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan (FSIWP)

LABORATORY: Vista Analytical

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: FSI006

This sample delivery group consists of the following samples:

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| C01-SD1-000-006 | C01-SD1-012-018 | C01-SD1-024-030 | C01-SD1-030-036 |
| C01-SD1-006-012 | C01-SD1-018-024 | SD1-00-C01-024 | RB-20120111 |

The samples described above were analyzed via USEPA method 1613B to determine the concentrations of 2,3,7,8-substituted polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo furans (PCDD/PCDFs) in sediment. This analytical procedure (indexed as standard operating procedure L-2) is located in Appendix B of the Focused Sediment Investigation Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, Lower Passaic River Study Area (FSIWP-QAPP).

Data validation SOP V-2 of the FSIWP-QAPP titled; USEPA Region II Data Validation SOP For EPA Method 1613, Revision B Tetra through Octa-chlorinated Dioxins and Furans by Isotope Dilution (HRGC/HRMS), SOP HW-25, Rev. 3, September, 2006 was used to perform the dioxin and furan data validation.

All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets. Laboratory and data validation qualifiers and their related meanings are provided in the table located at the end of this report.

Major Data Quality Issues

None.

Minor Data Quality Issues

Sample Preservation and Handling – One sample in this delivery group was received by the laboratory with an internal cooler temperature in excess of 10 degrees Celsius. Therefore the affected sample has positive sample results flagged “J” estimated.

Interferences – Several polychlorinated dibenzo furan results in the delivery group have been qualified as an estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC), due to the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise.

Moisture Content – Seven sediment samples in this delivery group were found to contain greater than fifty but less than ninety percent moisture. All affected samples have PCDD/PCDF results qualified as “M” due to excessive moisture, when no other data qualifier has been applied.

Rinse Blank Contamination – Several sample results required qualification as EMPC, estimated maximum possible concentration due to associated rinse blank contamination.

Field Duplicate Precision – Observed field duplicate precision exhibited for 2,3,7,8-TCDD during the field duplicate evaluation associated with this sample delivery group did not meet established acceptance criteria. Both samples comprising the field duplicate pair have 2,3,7,8-TCDD results qualified as estimated, "J" on this basis.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant QC problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort.

Lastly, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.



Diane Waldschmidt
Environmental Scientist/Director

Date: 5/18/12

HOLDING TIME/SAMPLE HANDLING

Sample RB-20120111 was received by the laboratory at 19.4 degrees Celsius. Per validation guidance positive results reported for the affected sample are flagged "J" estimated.

All samples in this delivery group were prepared and analyzed within the holding time specified in the validation guideline.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESOLUTION

A performance check solution (Window Defining Mixture/Isomer Specificity Test Standard) was analyzed at the beginning of every 12-hour analysis period (DB-5 column only). Upon evaluation of the performance check solution data, all QC criteria were met. Therefore, the data associated with these check standards were not qualified.

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Data was submitted representing six concentration level initial calibrations. All initial calibrations used during the analysis of samples in this delivery group were contained in the data package.

The validator has evaluated the initial calibrations examining ion ratios, signal to noise ratios, retention time and percent relative standard deviation criteria for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the initial calibration data all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

ROUTINE CALIBRATION

A routine calibration standard was evaluated at the beginning of each 12-hour analysis period.

The validator has evaluated the routine calibration standard data submitted examining ion ratios, retention time, signal to noise ratios, and concentration reported for all PCDD/PCDFs target analytes and their labeled analogs.

Upon examination of the routine calibration data submitted, all of the criteria listed in method 1613B were met.

SAMPLE DATA

Qualitative Requirements

All positive results reported, met method and validation qualitative acceptance criteria.

Quantitative Requirements

Sample and internal standard concentration calculations were verified and no errors were detected.

Interferences

Positive PCDF values reported for the samples in this delivery group were evaluated to determine the presence of the corresponding diphenyl ether at a peak signal greater than 2.5 times that of the background noise. Upon evaluation, the chromatograms for the following samples exhibited the presence of a diphenyl ether (at greater than 2.5 times background) within the retention time range of the polychlorinated dibenzo furans listed below. Per validation guidance, the positive results reported for the analyte in the samples listed have been qualified as EMPC.

1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF

C01-SD1-006-012
RB-20120111

MOISTURE CONTENT

All seven samples in this delivery group had observed percent moisture values that were between 50 and 90 percent and are listed below. The affected samples with PCDD/PCDF results not previously qualified due to failed QC criteria have results flagged "M" for excessive moisture.

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| C01-SD1-000-006 | C01-SD1-012-018 | C01-SD1-024-030 | C01-SD1-030-036 |
| C01-SD1-006-012 | C01-SD1-018-024 | SD1-00-C01-024 | |

BLANKS

Rinsate Blanks

Two rinsate blanks (RB-20120109-CL and RB-20120111) were collected and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. Results reported for each rinse blank are provided below. Note, data validation qualification of sample results are based on the maximum contaminant concentration detected in all associated blanks.

| Analyte | Units | RB-20120109-CL | RB-20120111 |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/l | 47.9 G | 305000 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/l | -- | 1810 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | 928 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | 1920 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/l | -- | 685 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/l | -- | 5430 |
| OCDD | pg/l | 164 G | 62900 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/l | -- | 1560 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | 5350 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/l | -- | 299300 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 32.7 G | 280000 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | 23.8 G | 37200 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | 14400 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/l | -- | 21200 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/l | 175 G | 570000 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/l | -- | 24800 |
| OCDF | pg/l | 363 G | 990000 |

pg/l = picograms per liter

Upon evaluation of positive results reported for the sediment samples in this delivery group the following samples exhibited result concentrations less than five times that of the associated rinse blanks for the analytes indicated. Therefore based upon professional judgement, each of the affected sample results has been qualified EMPC at the reported concentration.

| Analyte | C01-SD1-000-006 | C01-SD1-006-012 | SD-00-C01-006 | C01-SD1-012-018 | C01-SD1-018-024 | C01-SD1-024-030 | C01-SD1-030-036 |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | | x | | | | x | x |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | | x | | | | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | | x | | | | | |
| OCDD | | x | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | | x | | | | x | x |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| OCDF | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |

Method Blanks

Method blanks were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group at the specified frequency. Upon examination of method blank data no analyte was positively identified at a concentration above or below the method defined minimum levels in any associated method blank.

FIELD DUPLICATE

Samples C01-SD1-006-012 and SD-00-C01-006 comprise the field duplicate pair. Field precision was adequately demonstrated since the relative percent difference between positive results obtained for the pair was less than 50% when results are greater than five times the project quantitation limit (PQL) and results reported for the pair differ by less than two times the PQL when results are less than five times the PQL with following exception noted.

Field precision was not adequately demonstrated in the case of 2,3,7,8-TCDD. The observed relative percent difference (52.9%) for positive 2,3,7,8-TCDD results reported for the pair does not meet the acceptance criteria described above. For this reason, the 2,3,7,8-TCDD results were qualified as estimated, "J" in each of the samples comprising the field duplicate pair.

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Sample C01-SD1-018-024 was analyzed as a matrix spike/matrix spike (MS/MSD) duplicate pair. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were favorable.

INTERNAL STANDARD

Internal standards ($^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDF and $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -1,2,3,4,6,9-HxCDF) are added to each sample and method blank extract prior to injection. The area counts for each of the recovery standards in each sample must fall within the range (+100% to -50%) percent of that observed during the analysis of the mid-level daily calibration check standard.

Upon evaluation all internal standard responses were within acceptable limits.

LABELED ANALOG STANDARDS

Calculated percent recoveries of labeled analog standards were within method and validation acceptance limits without exception.

OPR

Ongoing Precision and Recovery (OPR) standards were prepared and analyzed in association with the samples in this delivery group. All observed percent recoveries were within method 1613B allowable limits during the OPR determination.

IPR

Initial Precision and Recovery (IPR) standards were prepared and analyzed successfully by the laboratory. All observed average concentration and standard deviation values passed method defined acceptance criteria.

CLEAN-UP RECOVERY STANDARD

The clean-up recovery standard (^{37}Cl 2,3,7,8-TCDD) was successfully recovered from the sediment samples in the delivery group.

SECOND COLUMN CONFIRMATION

Positive sample results (above the method defined minimum level) for the following analytes in the delivery group were confirmed via Sp-2331 column analyses:

2,3,7,8-TCDF
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF

The validator has reported positive results for the compounds listed above from data obtained on the Sp-2331 analytical column in most cases. However, confirmation of positive results identified for these congeners during the primary analysis (DB-5) serves to further isolate the target analyte from co-eluting species and thereby limits the potential for high bias in reporting. Therefore, each of the two positive results identified (DB-5 and Sp-2331) have been compared and the lower value is reported. Also, each set of quality control (QC) has been evaluated independently. When a QC failure is identified on a particular column, sample results are reported from the column which is fully compliant regardless of the relative concentration values obtained.

OTHER QC DATA OUT OF SPECIFICATION

None.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall the laboratory data generated met the project goals and quality control criteria.

Data Validation Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| J | Estimated value (bias undetermined) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| JH | Estimated value (potential high bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential high bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| JL | Estimated value (potential low bias) – The analyte was positively identified; but the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration, with a potential low bias, of the analyte in the sample. |
| U | Non-detected value - The result initially reported as positive by the laboratory was qualified as not detected per USEPA Region II validation guidance, due to an associated quality control failure. |
| UJ | Estimated non-detect - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| UJL | Estimated non-detect (potential low bias) – The analyte was not detected and the report sample quantitation limit is biased low. |
| UJH | Estimated non-detect (potential high bias) – The analyte was not detected and the reported sample quantitation limit is biased high. |
| NJ | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| NJH | The organic analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration with a potential high bias, of the analyte concentration. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| R | The sample results are rejected. Due to a significant QA/QC problem, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. |

Laboratory Qualifiers

| Qualifier | Description |
|-----------|--|
| B | Organics – The associated analyte was also detected in the method blank. |
| D | The organic analyte was quantitated from a diluted analysis. |
| E | Organics – The associated compound concentration exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. |
| EMPC | Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC). |
| G | Organic data indicated the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria; the result is below the PQL but above the MDL or estimated detection limit (EDL), where appropriate. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| I | The laboratory indicated the presence of an interference during the sample analysis. |

Validated Data Tables

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG 1 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | Split Rinse Blanks 11312 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/l | 2.32 | EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/l | 1.79 | U |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/l | 1.62 | U |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/l | 1.93 | U |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/l | 1.93 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/l | 3.65 | U |
| OCDD | pg/l | 2.51 | U |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/l | 0.946 | U |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/l | 1.25 | U |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/l | 1.2 | U |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/l | 1.43 | U |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/l | 1.39 | U |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/l | 1.6 | U |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/l | 2.01 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/l | 2.04 | U |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/l | 2.19 | U |
| OCDF | pg/l | 8.59 | G |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI001 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | RB-20120108 | LQ VQ | RB-20120109-CL | LQ VQ | RB-20120109 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-------------|----------|----------------|-------|-------------|------------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/L | 1100 | J | 47.9 | G J | 984 | J |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/L | 13.4 | U UJ | 8.28 | U UJ | 14.0 | U UJ |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/L | 21.2 | U UJ | 7.26 | U UJ | 17.5 | U UJ |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/L | 25.0 | U UJ | 8.76 | U UJ | 21.2 | U UJ |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/L | 23.7 | U UJ | 8.70 | U UJ | 20.2 | U UJ |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/L | 92.0 | G J | 31.6 | U UJ | 111 | EMPC UJ |
| OCDD | pg/L | 1100 | J | 164 | G J | 24100 | J |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/L | 22.9 | U UJ | 13.8 | U UJ | 24.3 | G J |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/L | 35.1 | EMPC UJ | 7.67 | U UJ | 78.3 | G,I EMPC J |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/L | 92.6 | G EMPC J | 7.04 | U UJ | 166 | G EMPC J |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/L | 945 | J | 32.7 | G J | 1610 | J |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/L | 535 | J | 23.8 | G J | 204 | G EMPC J |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/L | 65.2 | G J | 8.58 | U UJ | 100 | G,I J |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/L | 23.6 | U UJ | 11.1 | U UJ | 46.7 | G J |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/L | 5330 | EMPC J | 175 | G J | 8990 | I EMPC J |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/L | 139 | EMPC UJ | 16.8 | U UJ | 370 | J |
| OCDF | pg/L | 8380 | J | 363 | G J | 74000 | J |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI002 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | B01-SD1-000-006 | LQ VQ | B01-SD1-012-018 | LQ VQ | B01-SD1-024-030 | LQ VQ | B01-SD1-036-042 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 8.82 | EMPC | 112 | | 1110 | D | 2550 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 0.940 | G | 3.46 | G | 18.4 | | 17.4 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.981 | G | 4.40 | G | 8.35 | | 13.5 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 3.79 | | 17.2 | | 43.8 | | 66.1 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 2.32 | G | 11.1 | | 22.3 | | 31.8 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 68.2 | | 446 | | 829 | | 1020 | |
| OCDD | pg/g | 789 | | 5990 | | 10900 | D | 12100 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 4.67 | | 9.94 | | 84.0 | | 48.7 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 1.13 | G | 4.13 | G | 9.85 | | 18.2 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 2.67 | G EMPC | 9.53 | | 29.2 | | 72.1 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 3.93 | EMPC | 27.9 | | 104 | | 169 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 2.88 | G EMPC | 12.86 | EMPC | 33.6 | | 66.3 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 1.86 | G EMPC | 7.95 | | 18.5 | | 44.8 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 1.79 | G EMPC | 15.7 | G | 39.9 | | 18.5 | EMPC |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 28.8 | EMPC | 273 | | 731 | | 976 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 2.14 | G | 11.1 | | 29.8 | | 37.4 | |
| OCDF | pg/g | 53.2 | EMPC | 462 | | 1820 | | 2220 | |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI002 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | B02-SD1-000-006 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-012-018 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-024-030 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-036-042 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|--------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 7.57 | EMPC | 13.8 | EMPC | 99.5 | | 94.9 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 0.629 | G | 0.565 | EMPC | 2.98 | G | 2.74 | G |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 1.17 | G | 0.503 | G | 4.53 | | 1.45 | G |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 3.61 | | 2.23 | G | 17.1 | | 8.44 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 2.00 | G | 1.32 | G | 12.3 | | 4.19 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 120 | | 36.8 | | 460 | | 123 | |
| OCDD | pg/g | 1200 | | 429 | | 4530 | | 1460 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 2.75 | | 2.67 | | 7.82 | | 5.55 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 0.555 | EMPC | 0.627 | G | 2.51 | G | 2.53 | G |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 1.59 | G EMPC | 1.57 | G EMPC | 6.53 | | 7.42 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 2.02 | G EMPC | 2.28 | G EMPC | 15.5 | EMPC | 10.0 | EMPC |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 1.43 | G EMPC | 1.56 | G EMPC | 8.25 | EMPC | 8.10 | EMPC |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 1.12 | G EMPC | 2.29 | G EMPC | 5.61 | | 5.30 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.497 | G | 0.838 | G | 8.42 | G | 2.56 | G EMPC |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 15.3 | EMPC | 15.2 | EMPC | 147 | EMPC | 78.0 | EMPC |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 1.12 | G | 1.23 | G | 7.72 | | 4.27 | |
| OCDF | pg/g | 28.5 | EMPC | 27.6 | EMPC | 297 | EMPC | 104 | EMPC |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI002 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | B02-SD1-048-054 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-060-066 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-000-006 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-006-012 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 14.8 | EMPC | 0.659 | EMPC | 791 | M | 750 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 11.2 | | 0.300 | G | 11.7 | M | 12.5 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 7.40 | | 0.454 | U | 10.2 | M | 10.4 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 40.0 | | 1.19 | G | 54.2 | M | 66.7 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 22.9 | | 0.612 | U | 30.2 | M | 37.3 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 839 | | 13.7 | | 1100 | M | 1110 | M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 18100 | D | 248 | | 16500 | D M | 15300 | D M |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 24.2 | | 2.94 | | 38.5 | M | 46.6 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 13.8 | | 2.38 | G | 24.2 | M | 20.6 | M |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 59.3 | | 2.37 | G EMPC | 52.5 | M | 69.1 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 45.2 | | 4.43 | EMPC | 255 | M | 204 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 55.4 | | 5.44 | EMPC | 76.0 | M | 61.9 | M |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 45.6 | | 1.84 | EMPC | 30.5 | M | 27.4 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 35.5 | | 0.58 | EMPC | 12.1 | EMPC M | 52.5 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 683 | | 29.8 | EMPC | 1180 | M | 959 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 25.4 | | 3.62 | | 42.3 | M | 37.5 | M |
| OCDF | pg/g | 710 | | 27.2 | EMPC | 1700 | M | 1550 | M |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI002 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A01-SD1-012-018 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-018-024 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-024-030 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-030-036 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 1910 | D M | 2730 | D M | 9240 | D M | 13100 | D M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 24.4 | M | 18.0 | M | 33.4 | M | 62.5 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 15.9 | M | 12.3 | M | 20.8 | M | 37.6 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 146 | M | 89.4 | M | 142 | M | 231 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 72.2 | M | 33.7 | M | 57.8 | M | 101 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 2340 | M | 1660 | M | 2500 | M | 4210 | M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 32200 | D M | 22700 | D M | 37500 | D M | 70800 | D M |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 86.6 | M | 61.4 | M | 89.2 | M | 132 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 24.2 | M | 24.1 | M | 38.4 | M | 57.0 | M |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 116 | M | 56.2 | M | 90.7 | M | 137 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 200 | M | 208 | M | 369 | M | 680 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 65.0 | M | 68.1 | M | 107 | M | 176 | M |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 57.4 | M | 61.5 | M | 96.7 | M | 154 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 18.1 | M | 23.6 | M | 21.1 | M | 46.0 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 1020 | M | 968 | M | 1700 | M | 2810 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 50.6 | M | 51.3 | M | 82.6 | M | 134 | M |
| OCDF | pg/g | 2170 | M | 2120 | M | 3870 | M | 7150 | M |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI002 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | SD1-00-A01-030 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-036-042 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-042-048 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 16900 | D M | 18900 | D M | 21100 | D,E M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 65.5 | M | 70.5 | M | 63.3 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 36.7 | M | 32.2 | M | 30.1 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 229 | M | 226 | M | 197 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 96.2 | M | 91.7 | M | 80.3 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 3990 | M | 3110 | M | 2510 | M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 66800 | D M | 42800 | D M | 30900 | D M |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 131 | M | 139 | M | 120 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 54.7 | M | 61.3 | M | 52.6 | M |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 132 | M | 150 | M | 129 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 669 | M | 936 | M | 770 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 172 | M | 216 | M | 175 | M |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 151 | M | 162 | M | 135 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 36.6 | EMPC M | 24.6 | M | 90.5 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 2910 | M | 3700 | EMPC M | 3400 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 137 | M | 152 | M | 138 | M |
| OCDF | pg/g | 6840 | M | 7350 | M | 10200 | D M |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI003 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | B01-SD1-006-012 | LQ VQ | B01-SD1-018-024 | LQ VQ | B01-SD1-030-036 | LQ VQ | B01-SD1-042-048 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 11.7 | EMPC | 25.5 | EMPC | 5720 | D | 137 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 0.630 | G | 1.07 | G | 29.6 | | 16.5 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.771 | G | 1.12 | G | 14.1 | | 10.8 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 2.63 | G | 9.05 | | 86.0 | | 59.9 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 1.79 | G | 3.16 | G | 40.0 | | 31.3 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 55.6 | B | 232 | B JL | 1320 | B | 983 | B M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 592 | B | 2670 | B | 15900 | B,D | 11500 | B,D M |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 3.09 | | 4.54 | | 555 | | 38.4 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 0.896 | G | 1.67 | G | 24.3 | | 18.5 | M |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 1.98 | G EMPC | 3.56 | | 66.5 | | 52.4 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 3.69 | EMPC | 6.89 | EMPC | 212 | | 88.2 | M |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 2.43 | G EMPC | 4.87 | EMPC | 67.2 | EMPC | 60.3 | EMPC |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 1.76 | G EMPC | 2.69 | G | 54.0 | | 42.9 | M |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 2.22 | G | 1.70 | G | 32.9 | | 20.6 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 31.4 | EMPC | 55.0 | EMPC | 1270 | EMPC | 864 | M |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 2.28 | G | 3.98 | | 53.4 | | 38.4 | M |
| OCDF | pg/g | 59.9 | EMPC | 134 | EMPC | 2430 | | 919 | M |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI003 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | B02-SD1-006-012 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-018-024 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-030-036 | LQ VQ | SD-00-B02-030 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 4.96 | EMPC | 130 | | 2980 | D | 3190 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 0.328 | G | 0.999 | EMPC | 9.29 | | 10.5 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.436 | G | 0.852 | G | 5.05 | | 5.47 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 1.64 | G | 4.09 | | 31.8 | | 34.3 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 1.02 | G | 2.32 | G | 14.6 | | 14.9 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 28.4 | B | 78.0 | B | 470 | B | 540 | B |
| OCDD | pg/g | 299 | B | 1080 | B | 4880 | B | 5600 | B |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 1.36 | | 6.00 | | 79.2 | | 79.9 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 0.506 | G | 1.42 | G | 11.7 | | 10.4 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 1.06 | G EMPC | 3.24 | EMPC | 32.2 | | 33.1 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 1.80 | G EMPC | 6.11 | EMPC | 111 | | 119 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 1.19 | G EMPC | 3.35 | EMPC | 32.9 | EMPC | 34.4 | EMPC |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.862 | G EMPC | 2.11 | G EMPC | 20.9 | | 19.0 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.365 | G | 2.45 | G | 8.11 | | 25.3 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 12.4 | EMPC | 38.0 | EMPC | 618 | | 639 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 1.04 | G | 2.40 | G | 22.5 | | 23.7 | |
| OCDF | pg/g | 22.1 | EMPC | 85.2 | EMPC | 1450 | | 1210 | |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI003 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | B02-SD1-042-048 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-054-060 | LQ VQ | B02-SD1-066-072 | LQ VQ |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | pg/g | 10.2 | EMPC | 0.585 | G EMPC | 0.263 | G EMPC |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | pg/g | 4.53 | | 0.527 | G | 0.149 | U |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 3.06 | G | 0.262 | G | 0.210 | U |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | pg/g | 16.1 | | 1.95 | G | 0.234 | U |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | pg/g | 8.40 | | 0.878 | G | 0.218 | U |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | pg/g | 239 | B | 21.0 | B | 1.33 | G,B EMPC |
| OCDD | pg/g | 2600 | B | 245 | B | 35.1 | B EMPC |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | pg/g | 12.1 | | 3.14 | | 1.64 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 6.84 | | 1.48 | G | 1.04 | G |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | pg/g | 20.6 | | 5.03 | | 0.720 | G EMPC |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 25.5 | EMPC | 3.31 | EMPC | 1.43 | G EMPC |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 21.7 | | 3.80 | EMPC | 1.36 | G EMPC |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | pg/g | 16.4 | | 4.01 | EMPC | 0.559 | G EMPC |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | pg/g | 16.5 | | 3.92 | G | 0.256 | EMPC |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | pg/g | 264 | | 30.1 | EMPC | 3.91 | EMPC |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | pg/g | 10.7 | | 1.96 | G | 0.630 | G |
| OCDF | pg/g | 242 | EMPC | 23.1 | EMPC | 4.11 | G EMPC |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI004 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A01-SD1-048-054 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-054-060 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-060-066 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-066-072 | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-072-078 |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 27100 | D,E J | 16400 | D M | 8850 | D | 65.1 | J | 6.75 |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 54.8 | M | 49.0 | M | 23.5 | | 3.96 | G | 1.62 |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 25.1 | M | 27.5 | M | 12.3 | | 3.20 | G | 0.787 |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 181 | M | 184 | M | 86.1 | | 19.2 | | 3.32 |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 70.1 | M | 75.9 | M | 38.7 | | 8.96 | | 1.66 |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 2230 | M | 3360 | M | 1370 | | 339 | | 42.5 |
| OCDD | pg/g | 27500 | B,D M | 56900 | B,D M | 14700 | B,D | 5870 | B | 1670 |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 138 | M | 128 | M | 330 | | 22.0 | | 8.55 |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 62.8 | M | 61.1 | M | 29.8 | | 25.6 | | 9.17 |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 289 | M | 139 | M | 77.2 | | 28.1 | | 6.75 |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 907 | M | 729 | M | 215 | | 63.9 | | 18.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 210 | M | 172 | M | 70.4 | | 87.4 | | 23.2 |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 94.7 | M | 96.0 | M | 42.0 | | 37.4 | | 6.15 |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 178 | M | 128 | M | 43.4 | | 24.2 | | 5.72 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 3770 | M | 3170 | M | 1280 | | 467 | | 82.0 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 158 | M | 144 | M | 53.4 | | 105 | | 17.7 |
| OCDF | pg/g | 7060 | M | 6280 | M | 2840 | | 880 | | 107 |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI004 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | LQ VQ | A01-SD1-078-084 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-000-006 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-006-012 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | EMPC | 5.69 | EMPC | 15900 | D M | 9600 | D |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | G | 0.192 | U | 35.5 | M | 80.1 | |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | EMPC | 0.229 | U | 17.9 | M | 14.6 | |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | G | 0.480 | G | 112 | M | 92.7 | |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | G | 0.287 | G | 46.5 | M | 41.4 | |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | | 6.04 | | 1720 | M | 1420 | |
| OCDD | pg/g | B | 216 | B | 27000 | B,D M | 15300 | B,D |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | | 2.38 | | 92.3 | M | 384 | |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | | 1.36 | G | 33.9 | M | 25.1 | |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | | 1.42 | G | 88.5 | M | 77.7 | |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | | 1.99 | G | 477 | M | 244 | |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | | 1.08 | G | 125 | M | 76.6 | |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | | 0.817 | G | 51.9 | M | 41.4 | |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | | 0.866 | U | 28.2 | M | 18.7 | |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | | 5.20 | | 1850 | M | 1330 | |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | | 1.16 | G | 81.6 | M | 60.7 | |
| OCDF | pg/g | | 17.1 | | 3590 | M | 2910 | |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI004 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A02-SD1-012-018 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-018-024 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-024-030 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-030-036 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 248 | | 26.9 | EMPC | 15.2 | EMPC | 8.38 | EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 1.33 | G | 0.549 | G | 0.202 | EMPC | 0.169 | U |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.717 | G | 0.169 | G | 0.207 | U | 0.133 | U |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 4.06 | | 0.456 | EMPC | 0.665 | G | 0.154 | U |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 2.09 | G | 0.331 | G | 0.611 | G | 0.162 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 67.5 | | 6.97 | | 21.8 | | 2.93 | G |
| OCDD | pg/g | 1520 | B | 237 | B | 1130 | B | 66.5 | B |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 27.9 | | 6.05 | | 3.31 | | 0.210 | EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 3.31 | G | 1.77 | G | 0.683 | G | 0.0749 | G |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 4.91 | | 1.47 | G | 0.769 | G | 0.167 | EMPC |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 16.9 | | 2.61 | G | 1.65 | G | 0.445 | G |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 5.50 | | 0.976 | G | 0.652 | G | 0.166 | G |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 2.86 | G | 0.732 | G | 0.566 | G | 0.122 | G |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 4.07 | G | 0.484 | G | 0.278 | G | 0.167 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 57.3 | | 5.03 | | 5.32 | | 2.03 | G |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 5.73 | | 0.782 | G | 0.549 | G | 0.145 | U |
| OCDF | pg/g | 125 | | 20.0 | | 12.5 | | 11.0 | |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI004 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A02-SD1-036-042 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-042-048 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-048-054 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-054-060 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|--------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 9.63 | EMPC | 7.15 | EMPC | 4.13 | EMPC | 0.315 | G EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 0.137 | U | 0.134 | U | 0.0968 | U | 0.0936 | U |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.155 | U | 0.162 | U | 0.149 | U | 0.203 | U |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.153 | G | 0.185 | U | 0.171 | U | 0.225 | U |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.183 | U | 0.202 | U | 0.177 | U | 0.237 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 2.77 | G | 2.19 | G | 1.43 | G | 0.236 | G |
| OCDD | pg/g | 48.5 | B | 45.0 | B | 22.3 | B | 4.95 | G,B |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 0.341 | G | 0.138 | U | 0.131 | U | 0.0732 | U |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 0.112 | U | 0.094 | U | 0.0818 | U | 0.0510 | U |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 0.231 | G | 0.143 | G | 0.115 | G | 0.0513 | U |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.486 | G | 0.288 | G | 0.193 | G | 0.0544 | U |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.145 | G | 0.0806 | G | 0.0863 | G | 0.0579 | U |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.103 | G | 0.110 | U | 0.0708 | G | 0.0630 | U |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.112 | U | 0.144 | U | 0.0947 | U | 0.0865 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 1.99 | G | 1.10 | G | 0.768 | G | 0.101 | EMPC |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 0.117 | U | 0.129 | U | 0.0801 | U | 0.0978 | U |
| OCDF | pg/g | 5.39 | G | 3.83 | G | 2.21 | G | 0.426 | G |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI004 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | SD-000-A02-054 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-060-066 | LQ VQ | A02-SD1-066-072 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 0.409 | G EMPC | 0.363 | G EMPC | 0.231 | EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 0.121 | U | 0.108 | U | 0.116 | U |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.183 | U | 0.157 | U | 0.298 | U |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.203 | U | 0.172 | U | 0.343 | U |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 0.206 | U | 0.177 | U | 0.324 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 0.527 | G | 0.155 | U | 0.259 | U |
| OCDD | pg/g | 7.43 | B | 3.62 | G,B | 2.65 | G,B |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 0.113 | U | 0.0799 | U | 0.0750 | U |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 0.0776 | U | 0.0536 | U | 0.0830 | U |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 0.0774 | U | 0.0520 | U | 0.0891 | U |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.0974 | U | 0.0572 | U | 0.133 | U |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.0975 | U | 0.0609 | U | 0.135 | U |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.116 | U | 0.0720 | U | 0.148 | U |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 0.140 | U | 0.0934 | U | 0.196 | U |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 0.290 | G | 0.189 | G | 0.509 | G |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 0.148 | U | 0.0881 | U | 0.132 | U |
| OCDF | pg/g | 0.949 | G | 0.739 | G | 2.28 | G |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI005- Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A03-SD1-000-006 | LQ | VQ | A03-SD1-006-012 | LQ | VQ | A03-SD1-012-018 | LQ | VQ | A03-SD1-018-024 | LQ | VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|----|----|-----------------|------|----|-----------------|----|----|-----------------|----|----|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 2070 | D | M | 1440 | D | | 5930 | D | M | 9330 | D | M |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 30.8 | | M | 11.6 | | | 34.0 | | M | 38.3 | | M |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 16.0 | | M | 9.01 | | | 24.9 | | M | 26.3 | | M |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 119 | | M | 57.7 | | | 134 | | M | 159 | | M |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 52.8 | | M | 24.2 | | | 61.5 | | M | 69.8 | | M |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 1670 | | M | 1450 | | | 3150 | | M | 3390 | | M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 21200 | D | M | 19700 | D | | 56900 | D | M | 54800 | D | M |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 72.7 | | M | 42.0 | | | 96.8 | | M | 129 | | M |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 25.8 | | M | 14.2 | | | 49.7 | | M | 49.1 | | M |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 50.5 | | M | 32.2 | | | 129 | | M | 118 | | M |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 182 | | M | 141 | | | 715 | | M | 588 | | M |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 64.2 | | M | 45.2 | | | 189 | | M | 139 | | M |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 31.1 | | M | 37.6 | | | 98.6 | | M | 69.1 | | M |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 28.8 | | M | 20.2 | | | 168 | | M | 120 | | M |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 896 | | M | 678 | | | 4080 | | M | 2910 | | M |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 53.8 | | M | 37.0 | | | 190 | | M | 113 | | M |
| OCDF | pg/g | 2480 | | M | 1530 | EMPC | | 7390 | | M | 6650 | | M |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI005- Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A03-SD1-024-030 | LQ VQ | SD-00-A03-024 | LQ VQ | A03-SD1-030-036 | LQ VQ | A03-SD1-036-042 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 10400 | D M | 9350 | D M | 18600 | D M | 19100 | D,E J |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 42.3 | M | 50.4 | M | 69.7 | M | 62.5 | M |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 27.0 | M | 27.8 | M | 32.2 | M | 31.1 | M |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 161 | M | 162 | M | 221 | M | 214 | M |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 74.3 | M | 75.7 | M | 90.8 | M | 96.1 | M |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 3150 | M | 3610 | M | 3840 | M | 3370 | M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 51200 | D M | 63300 | D M | 60900 | D M | 48600 | D M |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 133 | M | 126 | M | 140 | M | 132 | M |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 51.8 | M | 62.7 | M | 70.3 | M | 69.9 | M |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 128 | M | 129 | M | 174 | M | 176 | M |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 522 | M | 614 | M | 881 | M | 917 | M |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 137 | M | 149 | M | 207 | EMPC | 208 | M |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 70.1 | M | 69.4 | M | 159 | M | 157 | M |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 89.8 | M | 78.9 | M | 45.3 | M | 461 | M |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 2300 | M | 2500 | M | 3420 | M | 3910 | M |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 103 | M | 112 | M | 144 | M | 159 | M |
| OCDF | pg/g | 4680 | M | 5080 | M | 7150 | M | 8200 | M |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI005- Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A03-SD1-042-048 | LQ VQ | A03-SD1-048-054 | LQ VQ | A03-SD1-054-060 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 17500 | D M | 13000 | D M | 808 | D |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 61.6 | M | 44.6 | M | 19.5 | |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 30.8 | M | 22.8 | M | 9.18 | |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 207 | M | 150 | M | 54.6 | |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 90.9 | M | 63.4 | M | 22.8 | |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 3190 | M | 2530 | M | 1230 | |
| OCDD | pg/g | 44800 | D M | 36800 | D M | 17600 | D |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 149 | M | 181 | M | 162 | |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 72.9 | M | 46.4 | M | 14.2 | |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 173 | M | 124 | M | 46.8 | |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 985 | M | 661 | M | 156 | |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 222 | M | 150 | M | 45.7 | |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 163 | M | 88.2 | M | 31.0 | |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 41.6 | M | 102 | M | 28.4 | |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 4160 | M | 2710 | M | 628 | |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 167 | M | 116 | M | 38.1 | |
| OCDF | pg/g | 8970 | M | 5600 | M | 1440 | EMPC |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI005- Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | A03-SD1-060-066 | LQ | VQ | A03-SD1-066-072 | LQ | VQ | A03-SD1-072-078 | LQ | VQ | A03-SD1-078-084 | LQ | VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|----|----|-----------------|----|----|-----------------|----|------|-----------------|----|------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 2950 | D | M | 2370 | D | | 1170 | D | | 17.8 | | EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 49.6 | | M | 41.6 | | | 22.6 | | | 0.733 | G | |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 25.2 | | M | 15.5 | | | 8.67 | | | 0.493 | G | |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 142 | | M | 102 | | | 58.1 | | | 2.94 | G | |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 59.7 | | M | 46.3 | | | 25.8 | | | 1.43 | G | |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 2320 | | M | 1790 | | | 1030 | | | 45.2 | | |
| OCDD | pg/g | 31400 | D | M | 21800 | D | | 13000 | D | | 489 | | EMPC |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 424 | | M | 313 | | | 169 | | | 2.75 | | |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 59.3 | | M | 22.6 | | | 12.0 | | | 1.00 | G | |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 216 | | JH | 61.8 | | | 31.6 | | | 2.63 | G | |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 1596 | | M | 215 | | | 99.1 | | | 4.00 | | EMPC |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 296 | | M | 64.4 | | | 37.6 | | | 3.24 | | EMPC |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 112 | | JH | 75.2 | | | 39.4 | | | 4.68 | | |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 236 | | JL | 39.7 | | | 20.7 | | | 1.89 | G | |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 5220 | E | J | 1120 | | | 612 | | | 40.1 | | EMPC |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 264 | | M | 58.4 | | | 31.8 | | | 1.86 | G | |
| OCDF | pg/g | 16600 | D | M | 4720 | | | 1320 | | EMPC | 56.3 | | EMPC |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI006 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | C01-SD1-000-006 | LQ VQ | C01-SD1-006-012 | LQ VQ | SD-00-C01-006 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|----------|---------------|--------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 863 | EMPC | 1190 | D EMPC J | 692 | EMPC J |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 11.2 | EMPC | 13.0 | EMPC | 12.4 | EMPC |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 9.92 | EMPC | 11.7 | EMPC | 10.4 | EMPC |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 51.1 | M | 55.1 | M | 52.2 | M |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 26.8 | M | 30.6 | M | 28.4 | M |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 879 | M | 1030 | M | 941 | M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 10100 | M | 12200 | D M | 11100 | D M |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 42.6 | M | 44.2 | M | 40.4 | M |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 24.9 | EMPC | 29.0 | EMPC | 28.1 | EMPC |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 54.8 | EMPC | 61.7 | EMPC | 58.3 | EMPC |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 310 | EMPC | 388 | EMPC | 370 | EMPC |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 82.7 | EMPC | 105 | EMPC | 97.6 | EMPC |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 35.3 | EMPC | 37.6 | EMPC | 34.1 | EMPC |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 12.2 | EMPC | 14.0 | EMPC | 14.3 | EMPC |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 1250 | EMPC | 1790 | EMPC | 1590 | EMPC |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 45.2 | EMPC | 63.8 | EMPC | 54.1 | EMPC |
| OCDF | pg/g | 1820 | EMPC | 2860 | EMPC | 2370 | EMPC |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI006 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | C01-SD1-012-018 | LQ VQ | C01-SD1-018-024 | LQ VQ | C01-SD1-024-030 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|--------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 755 | EMPC | 839 | EMPC | 292 | EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 12.6 | EMPC | 13.9 | EMPC | 5.07 | G EMPC |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 10.1 | EMPC | 11.0 | EMPC | 4.19 | G EMPC |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 50.9 | M | 54.8 | M | 20.0 | EMPC |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 27.3 | M | 30.4 | M | 10.3 | EMPC |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 879 | M | 978 | M | 399 | M |
| OCDD | pg/g | 10900 | D M | 11600 | D M | 4940 | M |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 44.6 | M | 48.5 | M | 17.8 | EMPC |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 26.8 | EMPC | 29.2 | EMPC | 9.97 | EMPC |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 54.7 | EMPC | 61.8 | EMPC | 21.8 | EMPC |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 298 | EMPC | 356 | EMPC | 113 | EMPC |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 82.7 | EMPC | 95.1 | EMPC | 29.4 | EMPC |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 33.4 | EMPC | 34.7 | EMPC | 12.4 | EMPC |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 12.7 | EMPC | 14.3 | EMPC | 24.3 | EMPC |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 1230 | EMPC | 1510 | EMPC | 443 | EMPC |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 46.2 | EMPC | 53.5 | EMPC | 18.8 | EMPC |
| OCDF | pg/g | 1850 | EMPC | 2200 | EMPC | 793 | EMPC |

Validated Data Table
FSIWP
Vista Analytical
SDG FSI006 - Dioxin

| ANALYTE | UNITS | C01-SD1-030-036 | LQ VQ | RB-20120111 | LQ VQ |
|---------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------------|----------|
| 2378-TCDD | pg/g | 674 | EMPC | 305000 | D J |
| 12378-PeCDD | pg/g | 6.89 | EMPC | 1810 | J |
| 123478-HxCDD | pg/g | 5.57 | EMPC | 928 | J |
| 123678-HxCDD | pg/g | 28.3 | EMPC | 1920 | J |
| 123789-HxCDD | pg/g | 15.4 | EMPC | 685 | J |
| 1234678-HpCDD | pg/g | 473 | M | 5430 | J |
| OCDD | pg/g | 5520 | M | 62900 | J |
| 2378-TCDF | pg/g | 24.4 | EMPC | 1560 | J |
| 12378-PeCDF | pg/g | 11.9 | EMPC | 5350 | J |
| 23478-PeCDF | pg/g | 28.6 | EMPC | 29300 | D J |
| 123478-HxCDF | pg/g | 114 | EMPC | 280000 | D J |
| 123678-HxCDF | pg/g | 34.4 | EMPC | 37200 | D EMPC J |
| 234678-HxCDF | pg/g | 14.6 | EMPC | 14400 | D J |
| 123789-HxCDF | pg/g | 6.63 | EMPC | 21200 | D J |
| 1234678-HpCDF | pg/g | 456 | EMPC | 570000 | D J |
| 1234789-HpCDF | pg/g | 22.5 | EMPC | 24800 | J |
| OCDF | pg/g | 809 | EMPC | 990000 | D J |

Laboratory Data With Qualifiers Added

| Sample ID: Split Rinse Blanks 11312 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Aqueous | Lab Sample: | 33547-001 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 |
| Project: | SDG# 1 | | Sample Size: | 0.819 L | QC Batch No.: | 4243 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 13-Jan-12 | | | | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 4-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 0000 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | | 2.32 | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 86.4 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 1.79 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 79.9 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 1.62 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 80.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 1.93 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 72.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 1.93 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 77.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 3.65 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 69.4 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | ND | 2.51 | | | 13C-OCDD | 61.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.946 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 86.4 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 1.25 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 73.8 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 1.20 | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 76.4 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 1.43 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 80.5 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 1.39 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 75.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 1.60 | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 76.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 2.01 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 77.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | 2.04 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 67.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 2.19 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 69.6 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 8.59 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 59.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 91.9 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 0.00258 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 26-Feb-2012 08:59

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

| Sample ID: RB-20120108 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Solvent | Lab Sample: | 33536-004 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI-001 | | Sample Size: | 0.100 L | QC Batch No.: | 4206 | Date Extracted: | 18-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 8-Jan-12 | | | | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 27-Jan-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1713 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1100 J | | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 108 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 13.4 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 115 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 21.2 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 102 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 25.0 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 93.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 23.7 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 98.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 92.0 J | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 101 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 1100 J | | | | 13C-OCDD | 103 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 22.9 UJ | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 106 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | | 35.1 UJ | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 105 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 128 J | 92.6 EMPC J | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 125 107 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 945 J | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 104 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 535 J | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.0 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 65.2 J | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 101 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 23.6 UJ | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 103 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 5330 EMPC J | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 104 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | | 139 UJ | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 106 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 8380 J | | | | 13C-OCDF | 103 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 113 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 1350 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 02-Mar-2012 10:44

| Sample ID: RB-20120109-CL | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data Name: Tierra Solutions, Inc. <i>5/16/12</i> Project: FSI-001 <i>DW</i> Date Collected: 9-Jan-12 Time Collected: 1538 | | | | | Sample Data Matrix: Solvent Sample Size: 0.100 L | | | |
| | | | | | Laboratory Data Lab Sample: 33536-005 Date Received: 14-Jan-12 QC Batch No.: 4206 Date Extracted: 18-Jan-12 Date Analyzed DB-5: 27-Jan-12 Date Analyzed DB-225: NA | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 47.9 <i>J</i> | | | G | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 8.28 | <i>UJ</i> | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 113 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 7.26 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 101 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 8.76 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 92.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 8.70 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 98.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 31.6 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 99.7 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 164 <i>J</i> | | | G | 13C-OCDD | 102 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 13.8 | <i>UJ</i> | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 102 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 7.67 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 106 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 7.04 | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 109 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 32.7 <i>J</i> | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 104 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 23.8 <i>J</i> | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 95.8 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 8.58 | <i>UJ</i> | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 98.8 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 11.1 | <i>UJ</i> | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 103 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 175 <i>J</i> | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 105 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 16.8 | <i>UJ</i> | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 108 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 363 <i>J</i> | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 102 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 114 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 55.5 a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 02-Mar-2012 10:44

| Sample ID: RB-20120109 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Solvent | Lab Sample: | 33536-006 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI-001 | | Sample Size: | 0.100 L | QC Batch No.: | 4206 | Date Extracted: | 18-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 9-Jan-12 | | | | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 27-Jan-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1610 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 984 J | | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 107 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 14.0 UJ | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 113 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 17.5 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 103 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 21.2 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 96.2 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 20.2 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 102 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | | 111 UJ | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 104 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 24100 J | | | | 13C-OCDD | 108 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 24.3 J | | | G | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 102 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 78.3 EMPC J | | | G,I | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 106 106 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 173 166 EMPC J | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 123 109 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1670 1610 J | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 93.0 106 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 758 204 EMPC J | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.5 97.3 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 100 J | | | G,I | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 103 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 46.7 J | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 108 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 8990 EMPC J | | | I | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 109 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 370 J | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 108 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 74000 J | | | | 13C-OCDF | 109 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 119 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 1420 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 02-Mar-2012 10:44

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-000-006 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-001 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 74.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 5-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0940 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL^a | EMPC^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 8.82 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 96.4 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.940 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 88.8 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.981 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 90.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 3.79 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 84.1 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 2.32 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 85.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 68.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 73.0 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 789 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 51.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 4.67 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 91.5 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.13 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 80.0 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 2.67 | | EMPC | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 81.7 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 3.93 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 94.2 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 2.88 | | EMPC | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 86.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.86 | | EMPC | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.762 1.79 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 85.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 28.8 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 71.9 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 2.14 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 70.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 53.2 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 49.8 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 100 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 14.0 | | | |
| <p>a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.</p> <p>b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.</p> <p>c. Method detection limit.</p> <p>d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.</p> <p>e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO)</p> <p>The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight.</p> | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:54

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-012-018 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-002 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 50.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 5-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1045 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL^a | EMPC^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 112 | | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 85.6 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 3.46 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 84.1 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 4.40 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 83.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 17.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 77.4 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 11.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 79.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 446 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 70.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 5990 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 55.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 9.94 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 82.7 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 4.13 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 75.8 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 9.53 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 76.3 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 28.4 27.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 93.5 | 84.0 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 13.5 12.86 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 95.0 | 76.6 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 7.95 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 79.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 2.98 15.7 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 76.8 | 74.4 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 273 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 70.0 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 11.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 67.0 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 462 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 51.3 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 96.4 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 137 | | | |
| <p>a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.</p> <p>b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.</p> <p>c. Method detection limit.</p> <p>d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.</p> <p>e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO)</p> <p>The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight.</p> | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:54

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-024-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-003 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI002 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 53.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 5-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1123 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1110 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.5 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 18.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 90.9 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 8.35 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 90.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 43.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 82.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 22.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 86.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 829 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 79.2 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 10900 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 66.1 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 84.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 91.6 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 9.85 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 82.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 29.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 95.6 82.7 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 104 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 92.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 33.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 81.6 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 18.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 89.2 85.2 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 39.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 84.2 79.9 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 731 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 76.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 29.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 72.2 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1820 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 58.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 1190 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors (WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-036-042 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-004 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI002 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 54.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 5-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1211 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 2550 | | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.8 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 17.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 96.0 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 13.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 66.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 83.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 31.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 86.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1020 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 83.0 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 12100 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 72.0 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 48.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 93.0 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 18.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 72.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 98.5 | 88.1 - 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 169 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 92.8 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 66.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 44.8 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 112 | 86.6 - 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 18.7 | 18.5 EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 85.0 | 83.0 - 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 976 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 81.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 37.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 76.8 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2220 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 63.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 111 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 2660 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-000-006 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-005 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 78.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 5-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0631 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 7.57 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 51.2 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.629 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 53.2 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 1.17 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 58.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 3.61 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 52.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 2.00 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 55.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 120 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 51.3 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 1200 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 38.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 2.75 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 52.9 | 48.7 | 24 - 169 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | | 0.555 | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 48.1 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 1.59 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 57.3 | 49.9 | 21 - 178 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 2.02 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 58.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.62 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 61.7 | 52.5 | 26 - 123 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 2.15 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 62.3 | 56.1 | 28 - 136 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.497 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50.7 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 15.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50.3 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 1.12 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 46.6 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 28.5 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 33.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 96.6 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 12.0 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-012-018 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-006 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI002 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 80.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0655 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 13.8 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 93.5 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | | 0.565 | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 91.2 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.503 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 93.4 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 2.23 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 91.2 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 1.32 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 36.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 77.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 429 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 56.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 2.67 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 92.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 0.627 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 83.7 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 1.57 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 95.6 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 2.52 2.28 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 108 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.63 1.56 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 120 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 2.29 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 89.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.619 0.838 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 86.8 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 15.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 77.2 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 1.23 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 80.2 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 27.6 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 56.3 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 16.3 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-024-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-008 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 55.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 5-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0705 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 99.5 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 95.2 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 2.98 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 89.7 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 4.53 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 89.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 17.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 80.5 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 12.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 82.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 460 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 75.2 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 4530 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 59.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 7.82 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 90.6 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 2.51 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 82.0 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 6.53 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 98.0 | 81.9 | 21 - 178 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 15.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 90.6 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 8.31 8.25 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 94.5 | 84.2 | 26 - 123 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 5.61 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.7 | 85.7 | 28 - 136 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 1.97 8.42 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 82.8 | 81.9 | 29 - 147 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 147 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 74.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 7.72 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 72.2 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 297 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 56.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 103 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 119 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-036-042 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-009 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 77.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 5-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0722 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.9 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 86.0 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 2.74 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 82.0 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 1.45 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 86.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 8.44 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 77.8 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 4.19 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 80.3 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 123 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 74.0 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 1460 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 54.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 5.55 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 82.7 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 2.53 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 74.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 7.42 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 86.7 | 75.7 | 21 - 178 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 10.0 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 85.8 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 8.10 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 78.8 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 5.30 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.0 | 79.1 | 28 - 136 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 2.60 2.56 | EMPC | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 92.7 | 76.0 | 29 - 147 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 78.0 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 73.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 4.27 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 69.5 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 104 | EMPC | | | 13C-OCDF | 52.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 100 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 107 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-048-054 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-010 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 56.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0900 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 14.8 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 76.2 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 11.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 73.8 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 7.40 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 80.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 40.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 80.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 22.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 79.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 839 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 75.8 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 18100 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 61.6 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 24.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 74.5 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 13.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 74.0 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 59.3 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 76.9 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 45.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 81.4 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 57.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 108 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 45.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 106 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 35.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 69.0 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 683 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 72.7 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 25.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 73.0 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 710 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 59.1 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 93.5 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 93.1 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-060-066 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-011 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI002 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 77.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0915 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | | 0.659 | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 95.6 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.300 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 90.9 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.454 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 87.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 1.19 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 81.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.612 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 83.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 13.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 74.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 248 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 63.1 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 2.94 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 95.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 2.38 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 2.37 | EMPC | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 86.0 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 4.43 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 93.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 5.44 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.5 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | | 1.84 | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 88.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | | 1.20 0.575 | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 76.6 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 29.8 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 76.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 3.62 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 82.1 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 27.2 | EMPC | | | 13C-OCDF | 69.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 100 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 3.04 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-000-006 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-012 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | | | | |
| Project: | FSI002 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | | | | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 37.8 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | | | | |
| Time Collected: | 1030 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 791 | M ↓ 12.1 EMPC M ↓ | D | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 85.8 | 25 - 164 | D | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 11.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 91.1 | 25 - 181 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 10.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 82.0 | 32 - 141 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 54.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 75.5 | 28 - 130 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 30.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 78.7 | 32 - 141 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1100 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 78.1 | 23 - 140 | | | | | |
| OCDD | 16500 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 64.9 | 17 - 157 | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 38.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 86.3 | 24 - 169 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 24.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 82.2 | 24 - 185 | | | | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 52.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 87.8 86.9 | 21 - 178 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 255 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 85.4 | 26 - 152 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 76.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 78.0 | 26 - 123 | | | | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 30.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 110 80.3 | 28 - 136 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 60.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 80.3 | 29 - 147 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1180 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 76.9 | 28 - 143 | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 42.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 82.3 | 26 - 138 | | | | | |
| OCDF | 1700 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 82.6 | 17 - 157 | | | | | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 107 | 35 - 197 | | | | | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 903 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-006-012 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-013 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 40.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1040 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL^a | EMPC^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 750 | M ↓ A | D | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 83.5 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 12.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 90.3 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 10.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 80.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 66.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 74.2 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 37.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 77.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1110 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 76.6 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 15300 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 68.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 46.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 82.9 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 20.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 83.2 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 69.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 85.6 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 204 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 84.4 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 61.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 75.8 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 27.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 106 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 52.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 72.6 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 959 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 75.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 37.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 81.8 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1550 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 78.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 861 | | | |
| <p>a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.</p> <p>b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.</p> <p>c. Method detection limit.</p> <p>d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.</p> <p>e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO)</p> <p>The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight.</p> | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 11:55

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-012-018 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-014 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 42.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1048 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1910 | M. | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 92.7 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 24.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 98.6 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 15.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 87.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 146 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 79.4 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 72.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 81.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2340 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 84.5 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 32200 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 67.2 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 86.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 91.9 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 24.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 91.8 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 116 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 92.7 | 94.0 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 200 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 86.8 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 65.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 79.0 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 32.0 57.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 82.5 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 18.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 80.3 | 82.4 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1020 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 78.9 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 86.3 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2170 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 84.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 98.3 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 2080 a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-018-024 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-015 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 47.0 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1057 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 2730 | M ↓ | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 79.1 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 18.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 93.9 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 12.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 81.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 89.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 72.4 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 33.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 78.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1660 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 79.6 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 22700 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 61.6 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 61.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 86.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 24.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.1 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 56.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 83.8 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 208 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 82.8 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 68.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 75.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 31.7 61.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 78.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 23.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 78.3 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 968 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 75.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 51.3 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 82.2 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 2120 | | | 13C-OCDF | 78.2 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 2850 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-024-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-016 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 44.9 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1107 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 9240 | M ↓ | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 85.7 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 33.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 101 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 20.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 88.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 142 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 76.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 57.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 83.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2500 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 87.3 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 37500 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 69.2 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 89.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 89.7 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 38.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 92.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 90.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 101 88.4 92.9 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 369 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 90.5 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 107 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 80.0 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 49.1 96.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.1 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 21.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 77.8 84.7 84.7 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1700 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 84.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 82.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 87.7 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 3870 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 86.8 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 141 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 9440 a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-030-036 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-017 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.2 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 38.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1120 | | | | | | | |

| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 13100 | M ↓ 4 | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 83.5 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 62.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 103 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 37.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 83.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 231 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 77.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 101 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 81.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 4210 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 86.8 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 70800 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 73.6 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 132 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 90.7 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57.0 54.7 57.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.9 93.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 137 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.4 95.5 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 680 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 87.9 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 176 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 77.9 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.4 154 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 46.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 102 85.8 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2810 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 83.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 134 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 88.7 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 7150 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 85.1 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 132 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 13400 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: SD-00-A01-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-018 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 38.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0000 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 16900 | M ↓ | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.2 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 65.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 109 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 36.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 95.4 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 229 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 83.2 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 96.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 91.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3990 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 96.0 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 66800 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 70.4 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 131 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 99.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 54.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 101 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 132 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.4 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 669 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 96.4 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 172 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 78.2 151 | | | 36.6 | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.6 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 95.6 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2910 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 90.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 137 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 97.2 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 6840 | | | 13C-OCDF | 94.4 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 152 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 17200 | | | |
| <p>a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.</p> <p>b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.</p> <p>c. Method detection limit.</p> <p>d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.</p> <p>e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO)</p> <p>The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight.</p> | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-036-042 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-019 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 40.9 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1135 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 18900 | M ↓ X | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.0 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 70.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 107 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 32.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 93.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 226 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 76.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 91.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 86.4 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3110 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 89.8 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 42800 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 74.7 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 139 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 95.2 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 61.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 97.1 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 150 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 91.5 97.5 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 936 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 93.1 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 216 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 82.8 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.0 162 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 88.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 24.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 102 88.7 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 3700 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 87.3 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 152 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 91.0 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 7350 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 86.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 177 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 19300 | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-042-048 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33541-020 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI002 | Sample Size: | 10.2 g | QC Batch No.: | 4236 | Date Extracted: | 29-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 46.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 8-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1148 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 21100 | M ↓ | | D,E | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.8 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 63.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 110 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 30.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 95.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 197 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 79.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 80.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 89.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2510 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 94.2 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 30900 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 78.8 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 120 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 96.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 52.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 99.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 129 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 97.3-98.8 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 770 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 94.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 175 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.0 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 78.1-135 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 90.6 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 90.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 86.3-92.2 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 3400 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 89.3 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 138 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 92.3 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 10200 | | D | 13C-OCDF | 79.2 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 213 | 35 - 197 | | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 21400 | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 05-Mar-2012 12:30

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-006-012 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|--|-----------|--------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-001 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 80.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1030 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 11.7 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.7 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.630 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 88.7 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.771 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 2.63 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 90.4 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 1.79 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 94.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 55.6 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 76.4 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 592 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 57.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 3.09 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 87.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 0.896 | | EMPC DLW | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 86.2 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 1.98 | | EMPC | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 83.3 | 84.7 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 3.69 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 91.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 2.46 2.43 | | EMPC | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 99.0 | 86.6 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.76 | | EMPC | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 98.1 | 85.9 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.640 2.22 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 66.3 | 85.5 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 31.4 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 78.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 2.28 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 74.5 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 59.9 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 53.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 15.7 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-018-024 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-002 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 75.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1059 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 25.5 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 88.4 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 1.07 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 91.2 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 1.12 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 85.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 9.05 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 88.1 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 3.16 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 232 | JL | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 83.4 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 2670 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 80.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 4.54 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 87.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.67 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.1 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 3.56 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 79.7 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 6.89 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 88.9 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 5.04 | | 4.87 EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 99.6 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 2.69 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 1.70 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 86.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 55.0 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 84.9 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 3.98 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 86.7 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 134 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 78.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 99.7 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 34.9 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-030-036 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-003 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 53.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1136 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 5720 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.0 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 29.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 93.1 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 14.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 86.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 90.2 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 40.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 92.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1320 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 86.5 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 15900 | | | B,D | 13C-OCDD | 77.4 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 555 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 93.9 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 24.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 66.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 109 86.7 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 216 212 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 116 93.4 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 67.2 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 89.4 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 54.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 139 87.9 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 32.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 73.7 90.7 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1270 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 83.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 53.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 81.6 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2430 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 60.3 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 145 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 5910 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B01-SD1-042-048 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-004 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FS1003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 10-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 49.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1227 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 137 | M | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 90.8 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 16.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 89.5 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 10.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 89.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 59.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 86.1 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 31.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 94.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 983 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 80.2 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 11500 | | | B,D | 13C-OCDD | 76.8 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 38.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 90.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 18.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.4 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 52.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 87.2 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 88.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 91.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 60.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 84.6 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 42.9 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.9 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 30.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 82.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 864 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 79.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 38.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 75.0 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 919 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 54.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 229 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-006-012 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-006 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 80.0 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0647 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 4.96 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 99.7 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.328 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 97.7 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.436 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 89.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 1.64 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 84.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 1.02 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 91.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 28.4 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 84.3 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 299 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 76.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 1.36 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 93.7 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 0.506 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 2.46 | 1.06 | EMPC | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.1 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1.80 | | EMPC | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 96.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.19 | | EMPC | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.73 | 0.862 | EMPC | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 103 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.365 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 92.7 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 12.4 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 86.2 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 1.04 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 89.9 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 22.1 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 77.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 105 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 7.51 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-018-024 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-007 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 79.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0658 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 130 | | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 96.7 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | | 0.999 | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 99.6 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.852 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 4.09 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 84.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 2.32 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 78.0 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 87.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 1080 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 79.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 6.00 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 93.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.42 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 95.1 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 3.24 | EMPC | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 84.4 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 6.11 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 99.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 3.35 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.9 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 2.11 | EMPC | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 107 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 2.45 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 69 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 38.0 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 88.9 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 2.40 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 96.6 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 85.2 | EMPC | | | 13C-OCDF | 79.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 109 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 135 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-030-036 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-008 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 67.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0716 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 2980 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 90.5 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 9.29 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 99.8 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 5.05 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 31.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 82.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 14.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 89.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 470 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 90.1 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 4880 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 67.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 79.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 92.5 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 11.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.4 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 32.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 79.9 95.3 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 111 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 97.4 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 32.9 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.4 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 20.9 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 95.2 86.9 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 26.1 8.11 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 92.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 618 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 90.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 22.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 97.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1450 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 83.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 116 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 3040 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: SD-00-B02-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-009 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 64.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0000 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 3190 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 92.1 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 10.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 102 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 5.47 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 91.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 34.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 86.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 14.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 99.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 540 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 92.4 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 5600 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 87.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 79.9 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 93.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 10.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 94.7 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 33.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 84.5 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 119 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 93.5 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 34.4 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 81.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 19.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 95.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 25.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 76.9 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 639 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 91.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 23.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 97.2 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1210 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 83.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 119 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 3260 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-042-048 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|--------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-010 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 69.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0754 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10.2 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.8 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 4.53 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 101 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 3.06 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 16.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 84.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 8.40 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 103 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 239 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 91.5 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 2600 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 85.8 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 12.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 92.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 6.84 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 95.2 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 20.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 79.9 | 95.6 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 25.5 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 97.6 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 21.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 16.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.9 | 87.6 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 16.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 67.7 | 94.7 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 264 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 91.7 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 10.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 98.1 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 242 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 84.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 39.1 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-054-060 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-011 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 78.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0907 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 0.585 | | EMPC | G | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 85.5 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.527 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 89.5 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.262 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 83.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 1.95 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 75.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 0.878 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 80.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 21.0 | | | B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 77.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 245 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 66.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 3.14 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 82.5 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.48 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 83.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 5.03 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 78.3 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 3.31 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 87.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 3.80 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 77.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 4.01 | | EMPC | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 88.3 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 1.23 | 3.92 | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 57.8 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 30.1 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 80.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 1.96 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 85.5 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 23.1 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 70.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 99.0 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 5.13 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

| Sample ID: B02-SD1-066-072 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33542-012 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI003 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4247 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 80.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0921 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 0.263 | | | G | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 95.0 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.149 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 102 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.210 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 87.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.234 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 82.1 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.218 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1.33 | | | G,B | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 92.1 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 35.1 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 84.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 1.80 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 98.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.04 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 97.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 0.763 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 96.3 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1.43 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 99.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.36 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.8 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.559 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 86.5 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | | 0.256 | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 67.5 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 3.91 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 89.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 0.630 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 97.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 4.11 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 84.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 1.11 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 10:40

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-048-054 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-001 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI004 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 44.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1435 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL^a | EMPC^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 27100 | | | D,E | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 107 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 54.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 109 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 25.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 94.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 181 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 86.1 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 70.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 93.3 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2230 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 100 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 27500 | | | B,D | 13C-OCDD | 88.6 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 138 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 101 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 62.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 103 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 162 289 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 103 103 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 907 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 104 104 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 210 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 90.9 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 94.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.2 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 41.7 178 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 97.9 97.9 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 3770 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 101 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 158 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 107 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 7060 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 98.3 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 240 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 27400 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 08-Mar-2012 09:07

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-054-060 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-002 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 43.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 13-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1445 | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 16400 | <div>M</div> | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 25 - 164 | D | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 49.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 111 | 25 - 181 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 27.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 97.2 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 184 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 85.9 | 28 - 130 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 75.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 94.0 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3360 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 102 | 23 - 140 | | |
| OCDD | 56900 | | | B,D | 13C-OCDD | 73.4 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 128 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 101 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 61.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 102 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 139 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 99.9 | 21 - 178 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 729 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 106 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 172 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 94.9 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 96.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 95.9 | 28 - 136 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 128 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 93.9 | 29 - 147 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 3170 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 103 | 28 - 143 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 144 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 107 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 6280 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 101 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 175 | 35 - 197 | D | | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 16700 | | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-060-066 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-003 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI004 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 53.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 13-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1444 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 8850 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 109 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 23.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 107 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 12.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 97.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 86.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 85.5 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 38.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 96.3 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1370 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 97.0 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 14700 | | | B,D | 13C-OCDD | 79.9 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 330 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 103 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 29.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 105 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 77.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 96.5 104 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 215 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 105 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 70.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.3 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 42.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.3 97.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 43.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 110 105 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1280 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 101 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 53.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 107 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2840 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 94.1 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 138 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 9010 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-066-072 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-004 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 55.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 13-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1508 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 65.1 | ✓ | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 118 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 3.96 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 120 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 3.20 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 106 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 19.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 94.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 8.96 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 113 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 339 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 104 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 5870 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 99.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 22.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 113 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 25.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 114 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 28.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 84.8 | 115 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 63.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 116 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 104 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 37.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 92.2 | 105 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 36.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 77.4 | 116 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 467 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 108 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 105 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 115 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 880 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 100 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 117 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-072-078 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| <u>Client Data</u> | | | <u>Sample Data</u> | | <u>Laboratory Data</u> | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-005 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 64.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1522 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 6.75 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 105 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 1.62 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 111 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | | 0.787 | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 103 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 3.32 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 94.8 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 1.66 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 103 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 42.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 101 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 1670 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 96.3 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 8.55 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 103 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 9.17 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 108 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 6.75 4.3 6.75 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 103 107 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 18.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 111 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 23.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.0 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 6.15 4.6 6.15 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 121 98.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 6.04 5.72 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 102 102 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 82.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 100 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 17.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 110 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 107 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 97.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 99.0 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | <u>Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data</u> ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 19.3 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A01-SD1-078-084 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-006 | Date Received: | 12-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 75.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1533 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 5.69 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 99.6 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.192 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 106 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.229 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 100 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 0.480 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 91.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 0.287 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 94.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 6.04 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 93.2 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 216 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 80.8 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 2.38 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 98.0 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.36 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 101 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 1.42 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 102 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1.99 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 103 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 1.08 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.817 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 95.8 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.498 ND | 0.866 | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 66.7 97.3 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 5.20 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 94.7 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 1.16 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 101 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 17.1 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 83.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 97.1 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 7.10 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-000-006 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|--|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-008 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | | |
| Project: | FSI004 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 | | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 37.9 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 16-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 | | |
| Time Collected: | 0705 | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 15900 | <div>5/18/12</div> <div>M</div> <div>↓</div> <div>125</div> <div>↓</div> <div>28.2</div> <div>M</div> <div>↓</div> | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 108 | 25 - 164 | D | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 35.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 106 | 25 - 181 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 17.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 102 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 112 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 99.9 | 28 - 130 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 46.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 101 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1720 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 107 | 23 - 140 | | |
| OCDD | 27000 | | | B,D | 13C-OCDD | 77.7 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 92.3 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 102 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 33.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 103 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 96.6 | 21 - 178 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 477 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 107 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 130 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 102 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 51.9 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.6 | 28 - 136 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 86.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 102 | 29 - 147 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1850 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 103 | 28 - 143 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 81.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 107 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 3590 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 101 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 168 | 35 - 197 | D | | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 16100 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-006-012 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data Name: Tierra Solutions, Inc. 5/18/12 Project: FSI004 Date Collected: 12-Jan-12 Time Collected: 0720 | | | | | Sample Data Matrix: Sediment Sample Size: 10.1 g %Solids: 52.1 | | | |
| | | | | | Laboratory Data Lab Sample: 33543-009 Date Received: 13-Jan-12 QC Batch No.: 4244 Date Extracted: 30-Jan-12 Date Analyzed DB-5: 17-Feb-12 Dates Analyzed SP-2331: 28-Feb-12 | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 9600 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 97.3 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 80.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 98.7 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 14.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 94.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 92.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 89.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 41.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 93.4 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1420 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 96.1 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 15300 | | | B,D | 13C-OCDD | 76.2 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 384 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 94.0 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 25.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.5 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 77.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 78.9 93.7 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 244 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 96.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 76.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.2 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 41.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.5 89.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 57.8 18.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 94.6 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1330 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 95.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 60.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 96.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2910 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 90.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 139 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 9830 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-012-018 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-010 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI004 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 71.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0734 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 248 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 83.7 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 1.33 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 85.4 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.717 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 84.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 4.06 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 83.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 2.09 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 91.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 67.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 81.2 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 1520 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 74.1 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 30.2 27.9 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 91.0 83.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 3.31 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 83.2 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 4.91 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 92.5 84.0 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 16.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 88.5 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 5.50 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 81.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 2.86 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 82.1 82.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 2.09 4.07 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 69.8 86.2 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 57.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 81.2 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 5.73 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 86.6 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 125 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 74.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 88.7 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 259 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-018-024 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-011 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI004 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 75.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0744 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 26.9 | EMPC | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 103 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.549 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 109 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.169 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 106 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | | 0.456 | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 101 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 0.331 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 106 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 6.97 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 102 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 237 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 86.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 6.08 | 6.05 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 105 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.77 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 103 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 2.12 | 1.47 | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 103 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 2.61 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 106 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.976 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.9 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.810 | 0.732 | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 101 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.579 | 0.484 | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 85.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 5.03 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 98.5 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 0.782 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 105 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 20.0 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 88.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 29.5 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-024-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-012 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 66.9 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 0757 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 15.2 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 92.3 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | | 0.202 | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 94.3 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.207 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 93.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 0.665 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 94.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 0.611 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 93.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 21.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 88.6 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 1130 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 79.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 3.31 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 91.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 0.683 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 92.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 1.02 | 0.769 | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.2 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1.65 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 98.2 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.705 | 0.652 | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.3 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.566 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 92.5 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.278 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 94.7 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 5.32 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 90.7 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 0.549 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 93.3 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 12.5 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 81.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.2 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 16.9 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-030-036 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| <u>Client Data</u> | | | <u>Sample Data</u> | | <u>Laboratory Data</u> | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-013 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 83.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 0838 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 8.38 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 106 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.169 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 108 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.133 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 103 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.154 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 104 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.162 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 105 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2.93 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 98.5 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 66.5 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 82.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | | 0.210 | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 102 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 0.0749 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 104 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | | 0.167 | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 106 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 0.445 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 108 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.166 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 103 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.122 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 103 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.167 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 104 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2.03 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 98.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.145 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 102 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 11.0 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 88.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 88.9 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 8.53 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-036-042 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-014 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 85.0 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 0904 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 9.63 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 90.1 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.137 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 90.2 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.155 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 0.153 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 86.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.183 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 87.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2.77 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 83.4 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 48.5 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 70.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 0.341 | | | G | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 88.9 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.112 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 88.7 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 0.231 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.7 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 0.486 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 94.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.145 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 86.3 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.103 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.9 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.112 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 88.6 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1.99 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 82.9 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.117 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 85.0 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 5.39 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 71.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 93.3 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 9.89 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-042-048 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| <u>Client Data</u> | | | <u>Sample Data</u> | | <u>Laboratory Data</u> | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-015 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 68.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 0920 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 7.15 <i>EMPC</i> | | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 96.0 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.134 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 97.1 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.162 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 96.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.185 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 93.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.202 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 94.4 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2.19 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 87.4 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 45.0 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 73.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.138 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 94.3 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0940 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 94.2 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 0.143 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 93.2 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 0.288 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 96.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.0806 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.2 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.110 | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.144 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 92.3 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1.10 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 87.7 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.129 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 90.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 3.83 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 76.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 93.9 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 7.28 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-048-054 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-016 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 81.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 0930 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 4.13 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.0968 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 104 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.149 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 103 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.171 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 102 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.177 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 101 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1.43 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 95.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 22.3 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 77.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.131 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 99.0 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0818 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 101 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 0.115 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 101 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 0.193 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 107 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.0863 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 99.6 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 0.0708 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 100 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.0947 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 102 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 0.768 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 94.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.0801 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 99.9 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2.21 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 82.8 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 97.9 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 4.23 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-054-060 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-017 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 86.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 0950 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 0.315 | | | G | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.0936 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 101 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.203 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 101 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.225 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 97.4 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.237 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 97.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 0.236 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 91.6 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 4.95 | | | G,B | 13C-OCDD | 75.3 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.0732 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 101 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0510 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 97.2 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0513 | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 97.3 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0544 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 104 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0579 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.3 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0630 | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 96.5 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.0865 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 97.8 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | | 0.101 | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 92.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.0978 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 93.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 0.426 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 79.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 98.6 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 0.319 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: SD-00-A02-054 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-018 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 81.0 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 0000 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 0.409 | | | G | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 91.8 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.121 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 97.6 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.183 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 95.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.203 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 87.7 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.206 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 93.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 0.527 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 90.1 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 7.43 | | | B | 13C-OCDD | 80.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.113 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 92.0 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0776 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.3 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0774 | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 94.2 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0974 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 96.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0975 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 86.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.116 | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.1 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.140 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 93.9 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 0.290 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 88.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.148 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 97.5 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 0.949 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 82.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 100 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 0.420 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-060-066 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-019 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 88.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 17-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 1000 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 0.363 | | | G | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 87.6 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.108 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 89.8 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.157 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 85.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.172 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 80.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.177 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 82.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 0.155 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 78.1 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 3.62 | | | G,B | 13C-OCDD | 67.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.0799 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 85.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0536 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.0 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0520 | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.4 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0572 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 93.9 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0609 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 80.9 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.0720 | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.0934 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 83.9 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 0.189 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 80.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.0881 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 83.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 0.739 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 69.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 84.5 | 35 - 197 | |
| Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 0.366 | | | |
| a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | | | | |
| c. Method detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | | | | |
| e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | | | | |
| The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

| Sample ID: A02-SD1-066-072 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33543-020 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI004 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4244 | Date Extracted: | 30-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 90.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 19-Feb-12 | Date Analyzed DB-225: | NA |
| Time Collected: | 1010 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | | 0.231 | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 102 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.116 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 102 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.298 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 107 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.343 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 94.2 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.324 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 101 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 0.259 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 92.7 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 2.65 | | | G,B | 13C-OCDD | 82.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.0750 | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 101 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0830 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 99.9 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.0891 | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 101 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.133 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 104 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.135 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 94.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.148 | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 98.1 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.196 | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 99.5 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 0.509 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 93.0 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.132 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 103 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2.28 | | | G | 13C-OCDF | 84.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 0.00657 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 07-Mar-2012 13:25

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-000-006 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-001 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 44.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1211 | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 2070 | <div>M</div> | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 83.7 | 25 - 164 | D | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 30.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 82.2 | 25 - 181 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 16.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 83.1 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 119 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 81.7 | 28 - 130 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 52.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 80.2 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1670 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 78.9 | 23 - 140 | | |
| OCDD | 21200 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 69.4 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 72.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 82.1 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 25.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 76.3 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 78.3 | 75.5 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 182 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 81.6 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 64.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 76.8 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 31.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 148 | 77.5 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 28.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 62.2 | 78.2 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 896 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 74.6 | 28 - 143 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 53.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 72.9 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 2480 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 59.6 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 2210 | | | |
| a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | | | | | |
| b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | | | | | |
| c. Method detection limit. | | | | | | | | | |
| d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | | | | | |
| e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | | | | | |
| The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-006-012 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-002 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 59.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1222 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1440 | | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 81.4 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 11.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 80.0 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 9.01 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 82.5 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 57.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 81.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 24.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 82.4 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1450 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 74.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 19700 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 73.6 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 42.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 78.6 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 14.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 77.3 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 32.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 77.7 | 76.7 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 141 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 81.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 45.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 75.2 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 20.7 37.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 74.8 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 20.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 65.8 | 76.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 678 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 72.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 37.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 70.6 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1530 EMPC | | | | 13C-OCDF | 57.1 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 103 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 1530 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-012-018 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-003 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | | |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 44.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | | |
| Time Collected: | 1236 | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 5930 | M. ↓ 189 ↓ | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 91.8 | 25 - 164 | D | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 34.0 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 87.8 | 25 - 181 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 24.9 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 91.9 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 134 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 90.1 | 28 - 130 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 61.5 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.0 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3150 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 86.6 | 23 - 140 | | |
| OCDD | 56900 | | | D | | 13C-OCDD | 72.4 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 96.8 | | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 86.8 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 49.7 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 81.2 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 129 | | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 86.3 | 21 - 178 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 715 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 91.3 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 199 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.4 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 98.6 | | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 78.6 | 28 - 136 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 163 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 84.3 | 29 - 147 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 4080 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 83.7 | 28 - 143 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 190 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 80.0 | 26 - 138 | | | |
| OCDF | 7390 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 68.1 | 17 - 157 | | | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 117 | 35 - 197 | D | | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 6250 | | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-018-024 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-004 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 38.0 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1240 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 9330 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.5 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 38.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 89.8 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 26.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 87.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 159 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 85.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 69.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 88.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3390 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 81.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 54800 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 74.1 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 132 129 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF 90.7 | 87.5 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 49.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 84.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 118 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 92.6 | 83.4 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 604 588 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 87.8 | 86.5 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 154 139 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 85.6 | 81.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 69.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 80.8 | 81.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 120 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 82.2 | 83.5 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2910 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 77.0 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 113 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 76.3 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 6650 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 61.1 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 127 | 35 - 197 | D |
| Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 9620 | | |
| a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | | | | |
| c. Method detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | | | | |
| e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | | | | |
| The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-024-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-005 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 41.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1252 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10400 | M ↓ | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 90.5 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 42.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 86.0 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 27.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 89.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 161 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 85.9 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 74.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 89.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3150 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 84.0 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 51200 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 76.8 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 133 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 85.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 51.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 82.1 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 128 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 86.5 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 529 | | 522 | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 88.6 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 148 | | 137 | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 70.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 79.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 89.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 84.9 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2300 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 78.0 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 103 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 76.1 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 4680 | | | 13C-OCDF | 60.7 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 134 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 10700 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: SD-00-A03-024 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-006 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | | |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 41.7 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 14-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | | |
| Time Collected: | 0000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 9350 | M ↓ | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.0 | 25 - 164 | D | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 90.1 | 25 - 181 | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 27.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.8 | 32 - 141 | | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 162 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 89.0 | 28 - 130 | | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 75.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 89.9 | 32 - 141 | | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3610 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 87.7 | 23 - 140 | | | |
| OCDD | 63300 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 76.5 | 17 - 157 | D | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 126 | | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 88.6 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 62.7 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 85.6 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 129 | | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 88.5 | 21 - 178 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 629 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 109 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 166 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 112 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 69.4 | | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 112 | 28 - 136 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 78.9 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 92.5 | 29 - 147 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2500 | | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 83.0 | 28 - 143 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 112 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 79.8 | 26 - 138 | | | |
| OCDF | 5080 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 65.6 | 17 - 157 | | | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 136 | 35 - 197 | D | | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 9660 | | | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | |

Analyst: DMS

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-030-036 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-007 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 41.6 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1305 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 18600 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.7 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 69.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 89.7 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 32.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 84.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 221 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 73.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 81.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3840 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 83.8 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 60900 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 78.7 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 140 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 87.3 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 70.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 85.8 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 174 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 84.1 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 881 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 84.9 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 207 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 77.9 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 81.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 61.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 84.8 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 3420 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 83.3 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 144 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 88.8 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 7150 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 81.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 155 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 19000 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-036-042 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-009 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 42.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1320 | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 19100 | JM 5/18/12 ↓ | | D,E | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 86.5 | 25 - 164 | D | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 62.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 94.4 | 25 - 181 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 31.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 84.4 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 214 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 77.2 | 28 - 130 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 96.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 85.6 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3370 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 85.2 | 23 - 140 | | |
| OCDD | 48600 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 79.6 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 132 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 87.1 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 69.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 87.3 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 176 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 85.8 | 21 - 178 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 917 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 88.5 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 208 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 79.6 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 98.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 84.2 | 28 - 136 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 46.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 89.0 | 29 - 147 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 3910 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 85.1 | 28 - 143 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 159 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 89.1 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 8200 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 80.8 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 173 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 19500 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-042-048 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|------------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-010 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 42.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1339 | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 17500 | M ↓ | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 85.9 | 25 - 164 | D | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 61.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 97.6 | 25 - 181 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 30.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 87.9 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 207 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 77.0 | 28 - 130 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 85.7 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 3190 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 88.0 | 23 - 140 | | |
| OCDD | 44800 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 84.1 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 149 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 91.0 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 72.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.3 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 173 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 86 - 90.7 | 21 - 178 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 986 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 89.2 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 222 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 77.7 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 95.3 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.2 | 28 - 136 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 41.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 113 - 90.9 | 29 - 147 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 4160 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 87.5 | 28 - 143 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 167 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 91.1 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 8970 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 85.3 | 17 - 157 | | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 166 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 17900 | | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-048-054 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-011 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 48.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1439 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL^a | EMPC^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 13000 | | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 92.2 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 44.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 99.8 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 22.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 88.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 150 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 78.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 63.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 88.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2530 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 91.3 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 36800 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 79.3 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 181 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 89.6 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 46.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.0 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 124 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 85.6 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 663 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 95.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 153 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.9 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 88.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 102 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 83.5 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2710 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 89.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 116 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 96.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 5600 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 87.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 161 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 13300 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:16

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-054-060 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-012 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 61.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 27-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1457 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 808 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 90.0 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 19.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 98.3 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 9.18 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 88.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 54.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 77.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 22.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 90.2 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1230 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 89.8 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 17600 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 74.7 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 162 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 88.0 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 14.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 46.8 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 81.5 93.9 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 156 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 90.1 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 45.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 82.7 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 31.0 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 76.8 85.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 28.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 80.6 91.2 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 628 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 90.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 38.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 96.3 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1440 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 86.2 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 918 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: ANP

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-060-066 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-013 | Date Received: | 13-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 49.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 28-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1510 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 2950 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.9 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 49.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 103 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 25.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 88.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 142 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 78.0 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 59.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 87.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2320 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 93.5 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 31400 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 65.7 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 445 424 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 82.9 91.4 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 59.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 93.3 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 216 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 70.6 93.4 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 1630 1596 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 91.3 92.8 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 304 296 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 89.3 83.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 112 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 79.8 87.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 236 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 71.0 93.5 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 5220 | | | E | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 93.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 264 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 98.3 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 16600 | | | D | 13C-OCDF | 71.4 | 17 - 157 | D |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 111 | 35 - 197 | D |
| Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): | 3450 | | |
| a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | | | | |
| c. Method detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | | | | |
| e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | | | | |
| The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-066-072 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-014 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 54.3 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1520 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 2370 | | | D | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 94.6 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 41.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 105 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 15.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 93.4 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 102 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 83.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 46.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 93.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1790 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 96.6 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 21800 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 74.3 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 313 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 94.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 22.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 99.2 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 61.8 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 104 | 97.9 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 217 215 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 109 | 96.3 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 64.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.3 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 77.9 75.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 39.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 71.8 | 95.8 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1120 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 96.5 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 58.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 102 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 4720 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 92.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 116 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 2560 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-072-078 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-015 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI005 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 62.4 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1531 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1170 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 91.4 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 22.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 102 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 8.67 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 91.1 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 58.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 80.1 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 25.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 89.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1030 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 92.6 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 13000 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 69.1 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 171 169 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 96.5 92.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 12.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 95.3 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 31.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 94.0 95.6 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 99.9 99.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 110.0 94.8 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 37.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.2 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 39.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 113 89.0 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 20.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 66.8 94.4 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 612 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 91.6 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 31.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 100 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1320 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 86.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 103 | 35 - 197 | D |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 1270 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

| Sample ID: A03-SD1-078-084 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33545-016 | Date Received: | 16-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI005 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4246 | Date Extracted: | 1-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 12-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 84.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 12-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 29-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1543 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 17.8 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 99.8 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 0.733 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 107 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 0.493 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 2.94 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 81.1 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 1.43 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 95.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 45.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 94.9 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 489 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDD | 83.8 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 2.75 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 93.4 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 1.00 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 100 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 2.63 | | | G | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 97.5 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 4.00 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 99.3 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 3.24 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 88.8 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 9.65 | | 4.68 | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 91.7 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 0.951 | | 1.89 | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 68.8 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 40.1 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 92.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 1.86 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 103 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 56.3 | | EMPC | | 13C-OCDF | 88.3 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 108 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 22.9 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Calvin Tanaka 12-Mar-2012 11:18

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

| Sample ID: C01-SD1-000-006 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33546-001 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI006 | | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4226 | Date Extracted: | 25-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 13-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 35.0 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 28-Jan-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1314 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 863 | | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 97.7 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 11.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 109 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 9.92 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 96.3 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 51.1 | | M | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 88.4 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 26.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 93.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 879 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 100 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 10100 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 88.6 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 42.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 99.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 24.9 | | EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 99.7 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 54.8 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 102 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 310 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 95.6 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 86.1 | | 82.7 EMPC | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.1 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 35.3 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.1 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 53.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 91.2 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1250 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 98.2 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 45.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 102 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1820 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 89.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 110 | 35 - 197 | |
| Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | | |
| TEQ (Min): | | | | | 978 | | | |
| a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | | | | |
| c. Method detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | | | | |
| e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | | | | |
| The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Rose Harrelson 08-Mar-2012 14:23

Sample ID: C01-SD1-006-012

EPA Method 1613

Client Data

Name: Tierra Solutions, Inc.
 Project: FSI006
 Date Collected: 13-Jan-12
 Time Collected: 1502

5/18/12
JW

Sample Data

Matrix: Sediment
 Sample Size: 10.1 g
 %Solids: 38.0

Laboratory Data

Lab Sample: 33546-002 Date Received: 14-Jan-12
 QC Batch No.: 4226 Date Extracted: 25-Jan-12
 Date Analyzed DB-5: 28-Jan-12 Dates Analyzed SP-2331: 25-Feb-12

| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|------|----------------------|------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1190 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 98.7 | 25 - 164 | D |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 13.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 107 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 11.7 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 95.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 55.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 90.7 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 30.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 94.0 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 1030 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 102 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 12200 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 79.4 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 44.2 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 99.3 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 29.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 102 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 61.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 103 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 398 388 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 86.2 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 105 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 88.5 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 37.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.6 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 82.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 87.1 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1790 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 98.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 63.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 106 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2860 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 92.0 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 119 | 35 - 197 | D |
| Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | | |
| TEQ (Min): 1330 | | | | | | | | |
| a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. c. Method detection limit. d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Rose Harrelson 08-Mar-2012 14:24

Sample ID: SD-00-C01-006

EPA Method 1613

Client Data

Name: Tierra Solutions, Inc.
 Project: FSI006
 Date Collected: 13-Jan-12
 Time Collected: 1522

5/18/12
FM

Sample Data

Matrix: Sediment
 Sample Size: 10.0 g
 %Solids: 39.4

Laboratory Data

Lab Sample: 33546-003 Date Received: 14-Jan-12
 QC Batch No.: 4226 Date Extracted: 25-Jan-12
 Date Analyzed DB-5: 28-Jan-12 Dates Analyzed SP-2331: 25-Feb-12

| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|------|----------------------|------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 692 | J | EMPC | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 12.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 108 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 10.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 97.3 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 52.2 | M | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 86.7 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 28.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 93.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 941 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 101 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 11100 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 78.4 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 40.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 100 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 28.1 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 102 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 58.3 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 109 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 372 | 370 | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 102 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 99.4 | 97.6 | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 105 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 34.1 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 110 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 63.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 93.9 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1590 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 98.4 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 54.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 107 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2370 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 95.5 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 112 | 35 - 197 | |

Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data^e

TEQ (Min): 823

a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.

b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.

c. Method detection limit.

d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.

e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors (WHO)

The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight.

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Rose Harrelson 08-Mar-2012 14:24

| Sample ID: C01-SD1-012-018 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33546-004 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI006 | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4226 | Date Extracted: | 25-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 13-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 41.1 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 28-Jan-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 0000 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 755 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 98.7 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 12.6 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 105 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 10.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 91.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 83.4 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 27.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 87.3 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 879 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 95.3 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 10900 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 71.5 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 44.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 94.0 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 26.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 94.4 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 54.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 95.8 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 298 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 92.3 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 84 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 33.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 82 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 66.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 86 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1230 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 93.5 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 46.2 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 97.4 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 1850 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 90.4 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 106 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 872 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Rose Harrelson 08-Mar-2012 14:24

Sample ID: C01-SD1-018-024

EPA Method 1613

Client Data

Name: Tierra Solutions, Inc.
 Project: FSI006
 Date Collected: 13-Jan-12
 Time Collected: 1535

5/18/12
Q3

Sample Data

Matrix: Sediment
 Sample Size: 10.1 g
 %Solids: 39.3

Laboratory Data

Lab Sample: 33546-007 Date Received: 14-Jan-12
 QC Batch No.: 4226 Date Extracted: 25-Jan-12
 Date Analyzed DB-5: 28-Jan-12 Dates Analyzed SP-2331: 25-Feb-12

| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------|
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 839 | EMPC | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 97.1 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 13.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 88.4 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 11.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 94.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 54.8 | m | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 85.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 30.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 89.9 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 978 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 94.5 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 11600 | | | D | 13C-OCDD | 76.0 | 17 - 157 | D |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 48.5 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 99.1 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 29.2 | EMPC | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 96.7 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 61.8 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 101 89.3 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 356 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 95.2 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 98.4 95.1 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 85.3 86.6 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 34.7 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 82.2 91.4 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 74.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 86.3 94.4 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 1510 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 93.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 53.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 99.8 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 2200 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 88.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 35 - 197 | |
| Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | | | | | |
| TEQ (Min): 973 | | | | | | | | |
| a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | | | | | |
| c. Method detection limit. | | | | | | | | |
| d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | | | | | |
| e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | | | | | |
| The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | | | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Rose Harrelson 08-Mar-2012 14:24

| Sample ID: C01-SD1-024-030 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33546-008 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI006 | Sample Size: | 10.0 g | QC Batch No.: | 4226 | Date Extracted: | 25-Jan-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 13-Jan-12 | %Solids: | 44.2 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 28-Jan-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1604 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 292 | | | | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 103 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 5.07 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 110 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 4.19 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 97.7 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 20.0 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 88.3 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 10.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 94.8 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 399 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 100 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 4940 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 89.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 17.8 | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 101 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 9.97 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 102 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 21.8 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 106 | 21 - 178 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 113 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 99.0 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 31.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.8 | 26 - 123 | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 12.4 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.9 | 28 - 136 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 5.39 | | | G | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 88.4 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 443 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 98.1 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 18.8 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 105 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 793 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 91.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 111 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 336 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Rose Harrelson 08-Mar-2012 14:24

| Sample ID: C01-SD1-030-036 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Sediment | Lab Sample: | 33546-010 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 |
| Project: | FSI006 | | Sample Size: | 10.1 g | QC Batch No.: | 4226 | Date Extracted: | 25-Jan-12 |
| Date Collected: | 13-Jan-12 | | %Solids: | 45.5 | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 28-Jan-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 25-Feb-12 |
| Time Collected: | 1640 | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/g) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 674 | EMPC | | | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 95.3 | 25 - 164 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 6.89 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 103 | 25 - 181 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 5.57 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 92.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 28.3 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 85.6 | 28 - 130 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 15.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 88.6 | 32 - 141 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 473 | m | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 96.8 | 23 - 140 | |
| OCDD | 5520 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 85.9 | 17 - 157 | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 24.4 | EMPC | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 96.8 | 24 - 169 | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 11.9 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 98.6 | 24 - 185 | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 28.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 93.6 | 99.5 - 21 | 178 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 116 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 93.7 | 26 - 152 | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 35.2 34.4 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.7 | 85.9 - 26 | 123 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 14.6 | | | | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.2 | 90.2 - 28 | 136 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 27.3 6.63 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 91.8 | 29 - 147 | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 456 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 93.8 | 28 - 143 | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 22.5 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 99.2 | 26 - 138 | |
| OCDF | 809 | | | | 13C-OCDF | 89.7 | 17 - 157 | |
| | | | | | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 104 | 35 - 197 | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 728 | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | |
| | | | | | The results are reported in dry weight. The sample size is reported in wet weight. | | | |

Analyst: MAS

Approved By: Rose Harrelson 08-Mar-2012 14:25

| Sample ID: RB-20120111 | | | | | EPA Method 1613 | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| Client Data | | | Sample Data | | Laboratory Data | | | | |
| Name: | Tierra Solutions, Inc. | | Matrix: | Solvent | Lab Sample: | 33546-006 | Date Received: | 14-Jan-12 | |
| Project: | FSI006 | | Sample Size: | 0.100 L | QC Batch No.: | 4262 | Date Extracted: | 5-Feb-12 | |
| Date Collected: | 11-Jan-12 | | | | Date Analyzed DB-5: | 7-Feb-12 | Dates Analyzed SP-2331: | 26-Feb-12 | |
| Time Collected: | 1715 | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ^a | EMPC ^b | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | %R | LCL-UCL ^d | Qualifiers | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 305000 | | | D | <u>IS</u> 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 101 | 25 - 164 | D | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 1810 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 115 | 25 - 181 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 928 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 96.6 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 1920 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 82.2 | 28 - 130 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 685 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 92.1 | 32 - 141 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 5430 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 94.2 | 23 - 140 | | |
| OCDD | 62900 | | | | 13C-OCDD | 87.2 | 17 - 157 | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 1820 1540 J | | | | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 96.9 | 24 - 169 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 5350 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 101 | 24 - 185 | | |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 29300 | | | D | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 85.3 99.2 | 21 - 178 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 280000 | | | D | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 107 | 26 - 152 | | |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 37200 | | | D | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.8 | 26 - 123 | | |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 9530 14400 J | | | D | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93.7 | 28 - 136 | | |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 21200 | | | D | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 90.2 98.4 | 29 - 147 | | |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 570000 | | | D | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 104 | 28 - 143 | D | |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 24800 | | | | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 104 | 26 - 138 | | |
| OCDF | 990000 | | | D | 13C-OCDF | 85.2 | 17 - 157 | D | |
| | | | | | <u>CRS</u> 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 154 | 35 - 197 | D | |
| | | | | | Toxic Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) Data ^e | | | | |
| | | | | | TEQ (Min): 357000 | | | | |
| | | | | | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. | | | | |
| | | | | | c. Method detection limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. | | | | |
| | | | | | e. TEQ based on (2005) World Health Organization Toxic Equivalent Factors.(WHO) | | | | |

Analyst: FEB

Approved By: Martha M. Maier 08-Mar-2012 14:29