

Collaborative Teams Toolkit Self-Assessment

1. FOUNDATIONAL LEVEL: ESTABLISHING AND SUPPORTING COLLABORATIVE TEAMS					
	1.	1 Time for Collaboration			
4	3	2	1	RATING	
Staff have regular collaborative planning time daily or weekly, built in as part of the school schedule.	Staff have collaborative planning time occasionally (monthly or less) over the course of the school year.	Staff have collaborative planning time infrequently (PD days) or outside of the school day.	Staff do not have collaborative planning time.		

Resources

Scheduling Collaborative Team Time at Your School (p. 10-11 of Collaborative Teams Toolkit)

Next Steps

1.2 Adequate Materials and Resources (content standards, curriculum resources, assessment materials, meeting space, etc.).					
4	3	2	1	RATING	
Collaborative teams have access to all necessary materials and resources.	Collaborative teams have access to some materials and/or resources and know how to request additional from the school.	Collaborative teams have access to some materials and/or resources but do not have a way to request additional from the school.	Collaborative teams do not have access to any necessary materials or resources.		

Resources

Common Core State Standards

NJ Core Curriculum Content Standards

Partnership for the Assessment of Readiness for College and Career (PARCC)

AchieveNJ

NJ Model Curriculum

Your school's curriculum and curricular resources: Access to all of your district's available resources is critical to this work.



1.3 Clearly Articulated Roles and Responsibilities (team leader, facilitator, note-taker) Within Teams				
4	3	2	1	RATING
Collaborative teams have a	Collaborative teams have a	Collaborative team members	Collaborative team	
clearly established	clearly established structure	have informal roles and	members do not have	
structure with defined	with defined functions, but	expectations are unclear for	clearly defined roles.	
functions, and staff play	staff does not participate	how staff should participate or		
these roles effectively.	and/or fulfill these roles	fulfill these roles.		
	consistently.			

Resources

Importance of Roles (p. 12 of Collaborative Teams Toolkit)

Next Steps

1.4 Building Consensus Around Vision and Goals					
4	3	2	1	RATING	
All participating staff have	Most participating staff have	Less than half of participating	There is little to no		
a shared vision for how	a shared vision for how	staff have a shared vision of	shared vision of how		
collaboration supports	collaboration supports	how collaboration supports	collaboration supports		
improved instructional	improved instructional	improved instructional practice,	improved instructional		
practice and can articulate	practice but less can	and there is little consensus	practice.		
a set of clear learning goals	articulate a set of clear	around how this vision			
that will help them achieve	learning goals that will help	translates into learning goals.			
this vision.	them achieve this vision.				

Resources

Foundational Protocols and Norms for Collaborative Teams (p. 12-14 of <u>Collaborative Teams Toolkit</u>) Foundational Meeting Facilitation (p. 15-18 of <u>Collaborative Teams Toolkit</u>)

2. ADVANCED LEVEL: ALIGNMENT, INTEGRATION AND RELEVANCE 2.1 Mechanisms in Place for Making Effective Data-driven Decisions					
4	3	2	1	RATING	
Teams have necessary competencies and tools for leading productive meetings and facilitating effective data-driven discussions that result in clear actions for improvement.	Teams have some competencies and tools for leading productive meetings and facilitating effective data-driven discussions that result in clear actions for improvement, but require additional training.	Teams have received guidance for facilitating effective data-driven discussions but require additional guidance/tools in implementation.	Teams are not knowledgeable or prepared for facilitating effective data-driven discussions.		

Resources

Advanced Protocols for Collaborative Teams (p. 19-21 of <u>Collaborative Teams Toolkit</u>) Advanced Meeting Facilitation (p. 22 of <u>Collaborative Teams Toolkit</u>)

Next Steps

2.2 Instructional Expertise to Guide Improvement (i.e. experts within the school community who can help unpack the standards; subject area specialists to deepen content knowledge; and assessment specialists to support reliability and validity)

area openiarioto	area specialists to desper content knowledge, and assessment specialists to support reliability and validity				
4	3	2	1	RATING	
School has dedicated	School has some	School has limited	School has no dedicated		
instructional expertise to	instructional expertise on	instructional expertise to	instructional expertise to		
guide teams in developing	staff to guide teams in	guide teams in developing	guide teams in		
improvement strategies for	developing improvement	improvement strategies for	developing improvement		
students, including	strategies for students and	students, with limited	strategies for students		
assessment specialists who	who can provide some	resources for providing	or to help teachers		
can help teachers create	assistance to teachers in	assistance to teachers in	design high-quality SGO		
high-quality SGO	creating high-quality SGO	developing high-quality SGO	assessments.		
assessments.	assessments.	assessments.			

Resources

Assessment Design Module
Assessment Design Toolkit
SGO 2.1 Training and Guidebook

2.3 Engaging in Practices for Continuous Improvement (gathering evidence of current levels of student learning; developing strategies and ideas to build on strengths and address weaknesses in that learning; implementing those strategies and ideas; analyzing the impact of the changes to discover what was effective; applying new knowledge in the next cycle of continuous improvement)

4	3	2	1	RATING
Teams regularly review and	Teams infrequently review	Teams rarely or	Teams do not review	
analyze student data from	and analyze student data	inconsistently review or	or analyze student	
multiple sources (daily, weekly,	from multiple sources (less	analyze student data,	data at all and are	
monthly) to drive targeted	than monthly) but still attempt	employing it on a summative	rarely engaged in a	
improvements in instructional	to use what they have to drive	basis (looking backwards)	process of	
practice on an individual basis	improvements in practice and	rather than formative, and	continuous	
and school-wide and are	are sometimes engaged in the	are working towards a	improvement.	
always engaged in the process	process of continuous	process for continuous		
of continuous improvement.	improvement.	improvement.		

Resources

Data Audit (p. 23-25 of <u>Collaborative Teams Toolkit</u>) SGO 2.1 Training and Guidebook

Next Steps

2.4 Alignment with Key Instructional Deadlines and Activities					
4	3	2	1	RATING	
Content, data analysis, and	Content, data analysis, and	Content, data analysis, and	Content, data analysis,		
improvement discussions in	improvement discussions	improvement discussions in	and improvement		
team meetings are <i>highly</i>	in team meetings are highly	team meetings are relevant	discussions in team		
relevant to the instructional	relevant to the instructional	to the instructional	meetings are not relevant		
planning and improvement	improvement cycle for	improvement cycle for a	to the instructional		
cycle for all educators.	some educators (i.e. core	small group of educators in	improvement cycle for		
	content areas).	the school (i.e. teachers of	any educators.		
		tested grades/subjects).			

Resources

SGO Integration Tool (p. 26-28 of Collaborative Teams Toolkit)

Big Picture and Calendar Integration Activities

NJDOE School and Professional Development Planning Requirements and Templates