

Management Report for April, May & June 2016



Updates are in italics

For more information:
Pinelands Commission
P.O. Box 359
New Lisbon, NJ 08064
<http://www.nj.gov/pinelands>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Executive (pages 3-9)**
 - A. Plan Review
 - B. Litigation
 - C. Legislation
 - D. Office of Administrative Law
 - E. Memorandum of Agreements (MOA) Under Review
 - F. Pinelands Municipal Council
 - G. Open Public Records Act

- II. Business Services (page 10)**
 - A. Facilities
 - B. Financial Management
 - C. Human Resources

- III. Land Use and Technology (pages 11-22)**
 - A. CMP Amendments
 - B. Conformance
 - C. Special Planning Projects
 - D. Economic Monitoring
 - E. Permanent Land Protection
 - F. Other Planning Items
 - G. Geographic Information System
 - H. Management Information System

- IV. Public Programs (page 22)**
 - A. Communication
 - B. Publications
 - C. Events and Outreach
 - D. Interpretive Program

- V. Regulatory Programs (pages 23-32)**
 - A. Application Activity
 - B. Notable Development Applications
 - C. Office of Administrative Law Matters
 - D. Violations

- VI. Science (pages 33-35)**
 - A. Environmental Monitoring
 - B. Wetland-buffer Project
 - C. Forest Characterization Project
 - D. Right-of-way Vegetation Monitoring
 - E. Pond-vulnerability Study
 - F. Pinelands Research Series
 - G. Other Science Items

I. Executive

A. Plan Review

- **Black Run Watershed:** Staff delivered presentations on potential rule changes related to the Black Run watershed at the P&I Committee’s March 27, 2015 and April 24, 2015 meetings. Among the options presented that would offer greater protection to the watershed were a management area change from Rural Development Area to Forest Area and an off-site clustering pilot program. The Committee voted to advance a management area change coupled with an off-site clustering pilot program. *After several meetings with Evesham Township and representatives of the major landowner in the area, staff drafted CMP amendments and reviewed them with the P&I Committee at its June 24, 2016 meeting. A full rule proposal is being drafted for the Committee’s review in July 2016.*
- **PDC Enhancements:** After a series of outreach meetings in 2014, Commission staff drafted a comprehensive list of issues, suggestions and alternatives to the 2009 rule proposal. This list was discussed with stakeholders including builders, farmers, land conservation groups and municipalities at meetings held during 2015 and 2016. Staff briefed the P&I Committee on February 26, 2016. A meeting to discuss the proposal with the Governor’s office was held on April 5, 2016. *Staff is drafting a revised set of CMP amendments to present to the P&I Committee.*
- **Signs:** Staff made a presentation at the P&I Committee’s March 24, 2016 meeting, outlining current CMP signs standards, a recent Supreme Court decision and recommendations related to on-site signs, off-site commercial advertising signs and digital/LED signs. *Draft regulations were prepared and discussed with the Committee on June 24, 2016. The proposal includes substantial changes to regulation of on-site signs with minor changes to clarify regulation of off-site signs. A full rule proposal is being drafted for the Committee’s review in July 2016.*

B. Litigation

- **STATE COURT CASES**

Law Division

Strong v. New Jersey Pinelands Commission, et al., Docket No. BUR-L-0002100-15 - This matter involves a proceeding to challenge the Commission’s Records Custodian’s response to a Commission employee’s Open Public Records Act (OPRA) request. Through this request, the employee sought copies of certain Commission employees’ text messages and e-mails from January 1, 2011 to April 1, 2015. These OPRA requests were further clarified to include “all documents, written notes, and any other electronically– or digitally- recorded records kept by the Commission

generated, sent, copied, blind-copied, or forwarded by the Commission. The Commission's records custodian provided copies of responsive documents on July 21, 2015. However, certain documents were redacted or withheld because such documents either did not constitute government records under OPRA or were expressly exempted from disclosure by such Act. On August 5, 2015, the employee submitted a subsequent OPRA request seeking "every government record submitted to the Pinelands Commission's records custodian between the dates of April 16, 2015 and July 21, 2015 that was withheld in response to prior OPRA requests. This OPRA request also asked for unredacted copies of documents and a privilege log of redacted documents provided in response to the prior OPRA request. The records custodian provided a copy of the requested privilege log on August 13, 2015 and denied the remainder of the request. Attorney for Plaintiff initiated the within action on September 4, 2015. *This matter has been fully briefed by the parties and the Court is in the process of reviewing the documents.*

Brooks v. Pinelands Commission, et al., Docket No. BUR-L-2479-15 – This matter involves a challenge to the Commission's Records Custodian's response to an Open Public Records (OPRA) request. The request sought closed session meeting minutes as well as emails and correspondence pertaining to the Tuckahoe Turf Farm matter. Although the request was overly broad and, therefore, invalid under OPRA, the Record's Custodian provided documents responsive to the request. With regard to the closed session minutes, the Record's Custodian denied this portion of the request. The requester challenged the response to the OPRA request in Superior Court on or about October 27, 2015. On January 28, 2016, a brief in opposition to the plaintiff's Order to Show Cause and Verified Complaint was filed on behalf of the Commission. At the end of February, counsel for the plaintiff contacted the Deputy Attorney General representing the Commission in this matter and indicated his willingness to dismiss this matter with prejudice and without legal costs and fees. *This matter was dismissed with prejudice by the Court on May 13, 2016 pursuant to a Consent Order executed by the parties.*

Appellate Division

I/M/O The Resolution Authorizing the Executive Director to Enter Into a Memorandum of Agreement – Docket No. A-3035-13T1 – South Jersey Gas filed an appeal of the Commission's failure to execute the proposed Memorandum of Agreement with the Board of Public Utilities that would have authorized the construction of a 24-inch natural gas transmission line within a Forest Area. A Motion to Dismiss the Appeal was filed on behalf of the Commission and South Jersey Gas subsequently filed a brief in opposition to this motion. The Court denied the Commission's motion without prejudice by Order dated July 2, 2014. On August 6, 2014, the Pinelands Preservation Alliance filed a motion to intervene in this matter as a matter of right or in the alternative for permissive intervention. PPA's motion to dismiss was dismissed on both counts on September 4, 2014. We are still awaiting a schedule from the Court for submission dates for merits briefs. *This matter was dismissed on*

May 6, 2016 by the Appellate Division with prejudice on motion of the appellant.

I/M/O the Petition of South Jersey Gas Company for a Determination Pursuant to the Provisions of N.J.S.A. 40:55D-19

– Docket No. A-001685-15 – New Jersey Appleseed, PILC, filed this appeal on behalf of the Pinelands Preservation Alliance. This appeal challenges the Board of Public Utilities’ (BPU’s) granting of the South Jersey Gas Company’s petition pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-19 for a proposed natural gas transmission line to repower the BL England power plant. PPA is challenging BPU’s approval on the grounds that it is arbitrary and capricious because: 1) the proposed pipeline project violates the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan; and 2) the record does not support that the project was “reasonably necessary for the service, convenience or welfare of the public” pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-19. The Pinelands Commission is not a party to this appeal. Two pre-argument conferences were held in this matter on February 3rd and March 3rd as part of the Appellate Division’s Civil Appeals Settlement Program. Following these conferences, when the parties were unable to settle the matter, Judge Paulette Sapp-Peterson issued an Order Accelerating Appeal. This Order established the following briefing schedule: 1) BPU shall serve and file the Statement of Items Comprising the Record (SICR) no later than March 18, 2016; 2) Appellant shall serve and file a brief and appendix no later than May 2, 2016; 3) Respondents shall serve and file answering briefs and appendices no later than June 2, 2016; 4) Appellant may serve and file a reply brief no later than June 13, 2016. The Order further provided that the Clerk shall place the appeal on the first calendar sitting for September. BPU filed the SICR in the case. *Briefing in this matter has concluded. We are waiting for oral argument to be scheduled.*

I/M/O the Petition of the South Jersey Gas Company for a Consistency Determination for a Proposed Natural Gas Pipeline

– Docket No. A-2706-15 – New Jersey Appleseed, PILC, filed an appeal on behalf of the Sierra Club and Environment New Jersey challenging the consistency determination within the Certificate of Filing issued for the proposed pipeline project as ultra vires and in violation of the Pinelands Protection Act. Additionally, this appeal raises the issue of whether a formal review of the project was required by the CMP. The Notice of Appeal and Civil Case Information Statement for this matter was originally filed on January 27, 2016 and also included an appeal of the Board of Public Utilities’ (BPU’s) decision concerning preemption of municipal review pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-19. On February 3, 2016, NJ Appleseed submitted an Amended Notice of Appeal and Amended Civil Case Information Statement bifurcating the appeal of the Commission’s Certificate of Filing and the appeal of the BPU’s N.J.S.A. 40:55D-19 decision into two separate appeals. These appeals were subsequently assigned Docket Nos. A-2706-15 and A-2705-1, respectively. *NJ Appleseed subsequently filed a motion to consolidate these two matters with the appeal filed by the Pinelands Preservation Alliance referenced above. That motion was subsequently granted and the appellants were advised by the Court that they must adhere to the accelerated briefing schedule already ordered in the*

Pinelands Preservation Alliance appeal. All future updates of these consolidated matters will be discussed under the Pinelands Preservation Alliance appeal.

In re The Pinelands Commission's Consistency Determination Approving Tuckahoe Turf Farm Inc.'s Application No. 1984-0389.009

- Docket No. A-005025-14- This is an appeal of the Commission's issuance of a letter of no further review which permits the amended site plan approval issued by the Town of Hammonton Planning Board to take effect. This amended approval was consistent with the terms of a settlement agreement entered into between Tuckahoe Turf Farm, Inc. MSSL and the Pinelands Commission concerning soccer activities occurring at the farm. PPA and NJCF allege that the Commission's action violates the Pinelands Protection Act and CMP regulations applicable to commercial uses in an Agricultural Production Area, because the use in question is not among the uses set forth in the CMP; that such action violates the terms of the deed restriction recorded for Tuckahoe Turf Farm's Hammonton properties and that the action was arbitrary and capricious, because it was taken without a hearing and findings of fact and law on the public record. A briefing schedule has not been established for this matter. The Statement of Items Comprising the Record (SICR) in this matter has been filed. An amended SICR was filed in this matter on March 28, 2016. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

In re The Pinelands Commission's Consistency Determination Approving Tuckahoe Turf Farm Inc.'s Application No. 1984-00389.009 - Docket

No A-003417- *This is an appeal of the Commission's issuance of a letter of no further review (no call up letter) that permits the amended site plan approval issued by the Waterford Township Planning Board to take effect and permits soccer activities to be conducted on the portions of Tuckahoe Turf Farm located in Waterford Township. This no call up letter was issued following the enactment of P.L.2015, c.285, which provided that certain field sports conducted or occurring in Agricultural Production Areas in the Pinelands Area constitute low intensity recreational uses under the Comprehensive Management Plan. PPA and NJCF allege that the Commission's action violates the Pinelands Protection Act, as supplemented by P.L.2015, c.285, the CMP regulations applicable to commercial uses in an Agricultural Production Areas and Sec. 502 of the National Parks and Recreation Act of 1978. Additionally, PPA and NJCF allege that the Commission's action violate the terms of the conservation deed restriction recorded on Tuckahoe Turf Farms' Waterford Properties and that the Commission acted arbitrarily and capriciously in taking its action without holding a hearing and/or making findings of fact justifying the action and by invalidly delegating to its Executive Director the decision as to whether the proposed development conformed with the minimum standards of the CMP without review by the Commission. Because this appeal and the Hammonton appeal involve the same parties and similar issues, PPA and NJCF moved to consolidate this matter with the Hammonton appeal (A-005025-14). The consolidation motion was granted and all further updates will appear under the Hammonton Appeal. An amended SICRA will be filed for the consolidated matters.*

Peg Leg Webb, LLC. V. New Jersey Pinelands Commission, Docket No. A-4016-14T4 - This matter involves a preliminary major site plan approval granted by the Jackson Township Planning Board for the establishment of a new resource extraction (mining) operation and the construction of a 1,008 square foot building, containing an office and scale house, on the above-referenced 109.8 acre parcel and a mining permit. The Commission, at its March 11, 2016 meeting, issued its Final Decision in the administrative hearing conducted by the Office of Administrative Law, in which it adopted the Administrative Law Judge's Initial Decision finding that Jackson Township's preliminary approval of Peg Leg Webb's proposed resource extraction operation did not conform to the minimum standards of the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan. On appeal, the applicant is arguing that the Commission's Final Decision was arbitrary, capricious and unreasonable and that the Superior Court's invalidation of a Jackson Township's ordinance, in a matter in which the Commission was not a party, restored the status quo ante and governs the Commission's actions.

- **FEDERAL COURT**

No new action as of June 30, 2016.

- **OTHER LITIGATION MATTERS OF INTEREST**

No new action as of June 30, 2016.

C. Legislation

- The new Legislative session (217th) commenced on January 7, 2016. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

D. Office of Administrative Law

- **Peg Leg Webb LLC v. New Jersey Pinelands Commission (App No. 1984-0454.003/ OAL Docket No.: EPC 15772-2013 S)**. This matter involves a preliminary major site plan approval granted by the Jackson Township Planning Board for the establishment of a new resource extraction (mining) operation and the construction of a 1,008 square foot building, containing an office and scale house, on the above-referenced 109.8 acre parcel and a mining permit. The parcel is located within a Forest Area. In accordance with the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan at N.J.A.C. 7:50-5.23, new resource extraction operations are not permitted in the Forest Area. This matter is currently pending in the Office of Administrative Law for an adjudicatory hearing. Discovery is complete in this matter. The Petitioner filed a motion for Summary Decision on June 22, 2015. A Cross-Motion for Summary Decision was filed on behalf of the Pinelands Commission on July 24, 2015. The petitioner then filed its reply/opposition brief on August 5, 2015 and a reply/support brief was

subsequently filed for the Commission on August 14, 2015. Oral argument on these motions was conducted on October 28, 2015. Administrative Law Judge Scarola issued her initial decision on November 20, 2015 granting the Commission's Motion for Summary Decision and finding that Jackson Township's preliminary approval of Peg Leg Webb's proposed resource extraction operation did not conform to the minimum standards of the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan. A 45-day extension of the Commission's deadline to issue its Final Decision was granted on January 4, 2016. Because of a mailing error and technical issue, the petitioner did not receive ALJ Scarola's Initial Decision until January 4, 2016. The petitioner's time to file exceptions to the Initial Decision was subsequently extended January 19, 2016. The petitioner filed its exceptions on January 12, 2016. Responses to the petitioner's exceptions were filed on behalf of the Commission on January 28, 2016. A second 45-day extension of the time for the Commission to issue its Final Decision was granted, with consent of the petitioner's counsel, and the deadline extended to March 19, 2016. The Commission considered the administrative hearing record, ALJ Scarola's Initial Decision, the Petitioner's exceptions and the Commission's response to the exceptions and issued its Final Decision adopting the ALJ's Initial Decision in this matter at its March 11, 2016 meeting. *On May 25, 2016, the applicant filed an appeal of the Commission's Final Decision in the Appellate Division.*

E. Memorandum of Agreements (MOA) Under Review

- **County/Municipal Permit MOA:** At its July 9, 2010 meeting, the Commission approved a proposed MOA with the seven Pinelands Area counties. By letter dated August 5, 2010, the Commission staff sent the MOA to each of the seven Pinelands Area counties and requested that the counties sign and return the MOA to the Commission. The staff is currently evaluating the most effective means to both implement the MOA with Pinelands municipalities and integrate the roadside management practices included in the seven county MOAs into the municipal MOAs. To date the Commission has received signed MOAs from Atlantic, Camden, Burlington, Gloucester and Ocean counties. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*
- **NJDOT:** The Commission has been working with NJDOT on a permit streamlining MOA since November 2008. Several meetings have been held. NJDOT was encouraged to prepare a draft MOA patterned after the existing County permitting MOA that the Commission approved. The most recent draft MOA was submitted on December 12, 2012. The Commission staff has completed its review of the submitted draft MOA and will be meeting with NJDOT representatives to discuss necessary revisions. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*
- **NJDEP, Forestry:** The NJDEP and the Commission have been working to identify a means to clarify and streamline review of forestry activities conducted by NJDEP and provide expanded opportunities for Commission input on planned forestry activities. The agencies have agreed to a new

process which will address NJDEPs concerns with the Commission review times and the Commission's need to be provided earlier opportunities to comment. A management level meeting was held on August 20, 2014 and the two agencies continued to work on clarifying the processes to be implemented going forward. This will include the use of checklists and Pinelands Commission staff participating in NJDEPs internal stakeholder review. The Commission and NJDEP continue to work cooperatively on the review of NJDEP forestry applications. *A formal agreement on the review process continues to be developed.*

F. Pinelands Municipal Council

- *The Council met on May 24, 2016 in Weymouth Township. The next meeting is scheduled for July 28, 2016 at the Commission headquarters and will be held in conjunction with the Pinelands Orientation for Newly Elected Officials.*

G. Open Public Records Act

- *Open Public Records Act this quarter: A total of 11 requests for government records were received and processed under the Open Public Records Act this quarter.*

II. Business Services

A. Facilities

- *Encumbrance of a replacement vehicle that will be delivered in September 2016.*
- *Extensive vehicle repairs to the Ford Ranger, Dodge Dakota and Jeep Wrangler.*
- *On June 8, 2016 a storm producing 70 mph winds caused a tree to fall on the property. A tree cutting service was called to haul away tree limbs and branches.*
- *Repairs to Fenwick & RJS HVAC Units.*

B. Financial Management

- *FY 2017 Budget Preparation has begun.*
- *Application Fees for FY 2016 were met and exceeded the anticipated amount.*

C. Human Resources

- *Annual performance evaluations have begun.*
- *Recruitment is underway for the Research Scientist, Office Assistant, and Planner-Cultural Resources positions.*
- *Supervisor training was held by Employee Advisory Services.*

III. Land Use and Technology

A. CMP Amendments

- CMP amendments are being drafted to address multiple Plan Review matters and will be presented to the P&I Committee for discussion at upcoming meetings throughout 2015 and 2016.
- Commission staff has worked to develop a new pilot program to address special events and activities on agricultural lands. Nine meetings were held with interested parties (state agencies, non-profit conservation organizations, county farmland preservation staff, etc.) during April-June 2015. A comprehensive list of issues and suggestions was prepared and shared with the Commission's newly formed Agriculture Committee on June 16, 2015. The Committee met on July 31, 2015. Susan Payne, Executive Director of the State Agriculture Development Committee (SADC), made a presentation on SADC's on-farm direct marketing regulations. *The Committee met on April 22, 2016 to discuss the proposed PDC enhancements and recent legislation on rural microenterprises. The Committee asked staff to discontinue work on a pilot program and instead focus its efforts on specific CMP amendments to address exemptions and other agriculturally-related issues. The next Committee meeting will be scheduled for August 2016.*

B. Conformance

- See Attachment #1 for summary of ongoing conformance items.
- 17 new ordinances and/or master plan amendments were received this quarter. (86 this fiscal year).
- 32 interpretations and municipal requests for technical assistance were completed this quarter (145 this fiscal year).

C. Special Planning Projects

- **Pine Barrens Byway:** The Department of Transportation (DOT) has received signage agreements and endorsements from all entities having oversight of the various segments of the Byway. The Byway signs have been fabricated and will now be installed by DOT. The State Byway Coordinator made a presentation before the Pinelands Municipal Council at its March 8, 2016 meeting and generated some interest from the participating municipalities in resuming involvement to promote the Byway. *No new action as of June 30, 2016*
- **Hammonton Wastewater Recharge Project:** Commission staff has been working with Hammonton to eliminate wastewater discharge to

Hammonton Creek since 1994. The construction of the Boyer Avenue Land Application Facility completed in 2001, failed to eliminate the discharge to Hammonton Creek. A Commission approved water allocation increase in 2011 required that Hammonton prepare and obtain a long-term comprehensive wastewater plan by December 10, 2013. NJDEP issued a Draft Discharge to Ground Water (DGW) Draft Permit on June 24, 2013. The draft permit includes provisions for a wastewater drip irrigation system to maximize land application of wastewater and ultimately eliminate routine wastewater discharges to Hammonton Creek. The implementation of wastewater drip irrigation at the Boyer Avenue facilities wooded areas and athletic fields is a critical component of Hammonton's long-term wastewater management strategy to cease routine stream discharges. The Commission adopted Resolution No. PC4-14-10 at its March 14, 2014 meeting to approve, with conditions, Hammonton's Long Term Comprehensive Wastewater Plan to eliminate the discharge of treated wastewater to Hammonton Creek. The plan relies upon the construction of overland/ surface and underground/subsurface wastewater drip irrigation systems at the Boyer Ave. wastewater dispersal facility, rehabilitation of sections of the Town's wastewater conveyance system that currently allows inflow and infiltration of stormwater into the sanitary sewers, and ongoing maintenance of the rapid infiltration trenches. Work is continuing on Hammonton's wastewater drip irrigation system. The entire 26.47 acres of overland drip irrigation tubing is now installed and operational. The Phase I, 7.47 acre multi-depth subsurface drip irrigation system installed beneath four Town recreational soccer fields is now complete and operational and progress continues to be made on the Town's I&I Study. The Commission received Hammonton's Annual Summary Report on wastewater disposal activities on February 19, 2016, ten months past the May 1, 2015 due date established in the Commission's Resolution No. PC4-14-10. The Annual Report covers the period of May 1, 2014 through April 30, 2015. Highlights of the report include:

- The Town's wastewater drip irrigation system came online in December 2014. It was taken off line in January, February and part of March 2015 for winterization.
- Over an eight-day period in March 2015, Hammonton discharged slightly more than 8 million gallons of wastewater to Hammonton Creek, an "emergency" situation addressed in the LTCWMP.
- 10,000 LF (of a total 98,000 LF) of sanitary sewer line (subject to inflow and infiltration) has been or will be replaced through the end of May 2016. The investigation into the rest has been deferred as the recharge appears to be working.
- The Town of Hammonton has filed an appeal of the 1.6 million gallons per day (MGD) flow limit in its existing New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System-Discharge to Surface Water (NJPDES-DSW) Permit. NJDEP denied the appeal and has ruled that the permit flow limit shall remain unchanged unless and until the Pinelands Commission agrees to the requested permit modification. Hammonton advocated that the permit's 1.6 MGD "flow limit", which is enforceable by NJDEP be changed to a "flow condition", which is not enforceable. Hammonton further requested that the 1.6 MGD flow limit be applied only to discharges to Hammonton Creek,

thus allowing discharges to the Creek provided they don't exceed 1.6 MGD. After review, Commission staff advised the NJDEP and its DAG that the Commission cannot support lifting the existing 1.6 MGD flow limit to the Hammonton treatment plant and that all discharges of wastewater to Hammonton Creek are prohibited by the Pinelands CMP.

- *Hammonton remains behind in providing the Commission with a report summarizing the results of a two year sewerage system inspection program, intended to identify and eliminate inflow and infiltration (unauthorized connections and leakage of stormwater and groundwater) into the Town's sewage conveyance system. The report was due on March 14, 2016. The Commission's March 14, 2014 conditional approval of Hammonton's Long Term Comprehensive Wastewater Plan (Resolution No. PC4-14-10) is predicated on a number of conditions including the completion of the inspection program and submission of the summary report. At the Town's request, Commission staff met with Elected and appointed town officials in May 2016, at which time the officials expressed a desire to appear before the Commission to seek modifications/flexibility to the conditional approval. Staff requested and awaits a documents prepared by the Town in which desired changes to the conditional approval are identified.*
- *No wastewater has been discharged to Hammonton Creek since March 2015. As of June 1, 2016 the Town's infiltration/percolation lagoons and overland drip irrigation system have been successful in recharging the Town's treated wastewater. The subsurface drip irrigation system was still shut down as of June 1, 2016 but was reportedly going to be restarted "when weather permits".*
- **Roadside Maintenance:** County efforts to implement the Best Management Practices (BMPs) are at varying stages and ongoing. To address questions and comments from county road crews and the public, Commission staff prepared a guidance document to assist road crews implementing the BMPs along roadsides. *The documents have been printed and laminated. Staff continues to field verify the rare species locations that were submitted anecdotally by area botanists in the preparation of the BMPs. Staff is also developing a webpage for the Commission website regarding the roadside maintenance BMPs.*
- **Landfill Closure:** With the approval of solar placement on the Stafford landfill, approximately \$170,000 was made available for a Pinelands-wide comprehensive landfill study. The Commission engaged USGS to perform a Rapid Assessment of Pinelands Area Landfills. USGS reviewed NJDEP data and conducted supplemental landfill monitoring-well sampling at the Berkeley Township, Woodbine, and Weymouth landfills in December 2012. The USGS presented the Pinelands Area Screening Level Assessment tool at a meeting with NJDEP and later at the February 28, 2014 Pinelands Policy and Implementation Committee meeting. Using existing monitoring well data and GIS analysis, the screening level assessment tool estimates the level of concern for leachate to impact human and ecological receptors. USGS has delivered the Screening Level Assessment of Pinelands Area Landfills and staff is using the assessment tool to evaluate and categorize existing landfills. At the request of individual municipalities, staff has met with municipal officials to give an

overview of the QDM landfill assessment tool and discuss the results of the analysis. To date, staff has met with representatives from Dennis Township, Upper Township, and Tabernacle Township. Planning staff has provided Tabernacle Township with the results of the USGS landfill assessment and have offered to assist the Township in the environmental closure of the landfill. In conjunction with a possible redevelopment plan, staff met with South Toms River municipal officials to begin the process of evaluating the Borough's closed and uncapped sanitary landfill. Staff is conducting a technical review of the South Toms River Landfill and is awaiting submission of a groundwater flow direction and potential leachate receptor's map from the Borough Engineer. *Staff is reviewing information submitted by the Borough Engineer and has requested information related to NJDEP's site specific NJPDES landfill monitoring requirements. Staff anticipates meeting with the Borough Officials and NJDEP in late July to identify supplemental ground and surface water monitoring locations.*

- **Borough of Woodbine Wastewater Planning:** Commission staff has coordinated with representatives of the (Cape May County Municipal Utilities Authority) CMCMUA and Woodbine Borough to review objectives and discuss regulatory issues associated with the possible construction of a sanitary sewer line connecting the Cape May County Landfill, portions of Woodbine Borough, and possibly the NJ Dept. of Human Services Woodbine Developmental Center to the Cape May County regional sewage treatment plant. The Commission is providing financial assistance to Woodbine Borough to aid in the Borough's feasibility study of providing centralized sewerage service to portions of Woodbine. Staff met with the project cooperators and provided the cooperators with comments on the 50% complete sewer line feasibility study. Staff has also been instrumental in coordinating a meeting with the NJDEP CAFRA program to address potential issues related to the proposed construction of the landfill leachate / public sanitary sewer line in the Pinelands National Reserve Forest Area. Staff facilitated and attended a July 28, 2014 meeting between NJDEP, CMCMUA and Woodbine Borough to ensure inter-agency coordination on the proposed wastewater conveyance line. Staff also provided CMCMUA and Woodbine Borough with review comments on the CMCMUA's 90% complete draft feasibility report and attended a meeting on September 8, 2014 to review all outstanding issues. CMCMUA's consultants have completed the sewer feasibility study. Commission staff has authorized the payment of \$20,000 to the CMCMUA. This payment constitutes the Commission's financial contribution to the study. CMCMUA has met with Woodbine to determine the next steps. They are awaiting a decision from both Woodbine and DCA by the end of the calendar year. Dennis Township has expressed concerns with the project and CMCMUA will be meeting with them. *No new action as of June 30, 2015.*
- **Alternate Design Wastewater Treatment Systems Pilot Program:** Staff completed the 2015 Pilot Program Annual Report, distributed copies of the report to Commission members in August 2015 and posted the report on the Commission's web site. Staff is developing a database to assist Pinelands Area health departments ensure that advanced wastewater

treatment systems authorized for use through the Pinelands Alternate Design Pilot Program are covered under operation and maintenance contracts as required by NJDEP's regulations at N.J.A.C 7:9A- 12.3. Staff is drafting CMP amendments to "graduate" the FAST technology from the pilot program and authorize it for residential use in the Pinelands Area on 1.5 acre lots. Staff is populating the pilot program wastewater system operation and maintenance contract database. Upon completion, we will disseminate contract status reports to NJDEP and the county health departments to ensure maintenance contracts are renewed as required by NJDEP regulations. Many are expiring and require attention. *Staff has met with Atlantic, Burlington, Cape May, Ocean and Gloucester Health Department personnel to review NJDEP's requirements related to the operation and maintenance (O&M) of alternate design wastewater treatment systems. Many of the counties have noted that they are processing a number of applications for advanced wastewater treatment systems in areas outside of the Pinelands Area (as these systems are now authorized for use by NJDEP as a means to reduce system size and height requirements) and that these non-Pinelands Area systems are also subject to long term O&M contract requirements. The majority of the health departments report that they are gearing up to address these contract obligations.*

D. Economic Monitoring

- *An informational brochure on the Long-term Environmental and Economic Monitoring Program was completed in spring 2016 and distributed at the June 2016 Commission meeting.*
- *In a continuing effort to increase the usefulness of the economic data in the Annual Report, staff experimented with a new method for obtaining data on the average tax bills in the Pinelands. The previous method was only able to determine average tax bills for each municipality and then average those to determine the Pinelands average. By employing a new method we can calculate the actual average tax bill by determining if a property is in the Pinelands Area and then estimating the property's tax bill. Individual tax bills inside the Pinelands Area are then averaged. This method of estimation proved to be successful and was ultimately included in the 2015 Annual Report.*
- *The 2015 Annual Report was completed on-time and was presented to the full Commission at the June 2016 Commission meeting.*
- *An expert review of the Long-Term Economic Monitoring Program is set to begin in late summer and fall 2016.*
- *Data collection for the 2016 Annual Report is underway and due to be completed by mid-2017.*

E. Permanent Land Protection

- **Pinelands Development Credit (PDC) Program:** *Ten Letters of Interpretation (LOIs) were issued, allocating 11.50 PDCs (46 rights) with the potential to preserve 448 acres. Four severances were processed and when filed deeds are returned from Burlington County clerk, certificates will be issued in the amount of 6.75 PDCs (27 rights), protecting 337 acres. No PDCs were sold; one lost certificate was replaced and one right was redeemed with the Pinelands Commission.*
- **Farmland Preservation Program:** *The State Agriculture Development Committee (SADC) is assisting Cape May County with the purchase of a development easement on a 14 acre farm in Woodbine Borough's Pinelands Town Area.*
- **Pinelands Conservation Fund (PCF):** *As of June 30, 2016, the Commission has approved the allocation of \$10.44 million to 38 land preservation projects in the Pinelands Area. Of these 38 projects, 36 have proceeded to closing, resulting in the permanent protection of 8,187 acres. The allocations for two projects (totaling \$506,500) expired on June 30, 2016 and are now available for a future land acquisition grant funding round.*
- **Limited Practical Use (LPU) Program:** *Green Acres has shut down its Quick Action program, although it has invited any potential sellers to apply directly to Green Acres to sell their properties before/instead of applying to the Commission for a Waiver of Strict Compliance and completing the Commission's program. At its March 26, 2016 meeting, the P&I Committee recommended the Commission deem one 6-acre parcel in Hamilton Township's Forest Area eligible for acquisition by NJDEP under the LPU program. Green Acres has closed on one property pursuant to its now discontinued Quick Action program (0.26 acres in Estell Manor's Forest Area).*

F. Other Planning Items

- **Water Supply:**
Use of the K/C Study - CMP Implementation: *After presenting the concepts of a possible CMP rule for water allocations to the New Jersey Builders Association (NJBA), NJDEP, and the State Water Supply Advisory Board, in September 2013 Commission staff met with USGS to further provide details on how such a rule on regional and local impacts due to well pumping could be practically implemented. USGS submitted a scope of work and a cost estimate to prepare additional necessary tools for implementation of the study's results. Discussions have been held with NJDEP but progress is slow. A discussion on technical issues was held with experts from NJDEP, USGS, PPA, NJBA, and local water purveyors on April 13, 2016. Staff is processing the information gleaned from the*

discussions to inform what would be included in a Pinelands water supply rule.

Buildout & water use estimates for WQMP and purveyors planning: Staff has completed scenarios one and two of the of the build-out estimates (high and medium). The drafts of the medium scenario have been shared with counties and municipalities working on WQMPs and with COAH staff. Next, staff will work with purveyors/municipalities on conceptual water supply plans to address buildout in terms of current watershed stress and the availability of the surface K/C aquifer for future needs. A presentation on methods and uses of the analysis is currently being planned for interested county officials. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

Data collection: The yearly Mullica Basin flows report by USGS to assess impact on stream flow from withdrawals for development looked at collected data to determine overall trends in streamflow. The four study sites in Camden County are Hays Mill Creek near Chesilhurst (station number: 0140940200), Clark Branch at railroad bridge near Atsion (0140940480), Pump Branch near Waterford Works (01409408), and Blue Anchor Branch at Elm (0140940950). USGS reports that the “correlations between the [four] study sites and [six] index sites were fair to good”. A Mann-Kendall test on regression residuals show a statistically decreasing streamflow trends for Hays Mill Creek near Chesilhurst and Clark Branch at railroad bridge near Atsion. Tests on Pump Branch near Waterford Works and Blue Anchor Branch at Elm show increases in streamflow trends – possibly in response to decreased water withdrawals. USGS recommends further monitoring and that a more comprehensive analysis is needed to validate the results of their testing. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

State Water Supply Advisory Committee:

- Presentations of environmental findings and the potential application of the K/C study results in water supply policy were given to the Committee on September 20, 2015.
- The NJDEP’s new statewide Water Supply Plan remains on hold. However, data from the draft plan, absent policy analysis, is being released in the form of technical reports.
- Commission staff was invited in December to provide input into a Rutgers University research project to estimate water demand by land use and to project state-wide water demand to the year 2040. *The 2040 analysis is moving ahead with an estimated completion in fall 2016.*

Winslow Water Purchase Agreement (non K/C water for all new development)

Winslow has not begun implementing the agreement and is seeking to renegotiate the terms.

Barnegat Bay Partnership:

Planning staff continues to participate in the Barnegat Bay Partnership’s Advisory Committee.

- **Cultural resources:** 32 (73 this fiscal year) cultural resource activities undertaken:

21 (45) applications reviewed
 0 (0) forestry inquiries
 2 (8) surveys reviewed
 0 (0) CAFRA reviews
 0 (0) Preliminary Investigation
 0 (0) site coordination with other agencies/meetings & phone conferences
 4 (9) coordinated reviews w/ NJDEP/SHPO
 0 (0) Municipal/consultant inquiries
 5 (10) on-site consultant research facilitation
 1 (2) formal meeting with consultants/project stakeholders on behalf of Pinelands Commission

Mapping – All designated resources and the guidance maps for surveys within Villages and Towns have been digitized and will be made available for use by staff in the near future.

- **NJDEP Wastewater Planning Rules/County Implementation:** Since mid-2012, staff has worked with staff from NJDEP and each of the seven Pinelands counties to coordinate the sewer service area (SSA)/future wastewater service area (FWSA) mapping for the Pinelands Area. The goal of the coordinated effort is to ensure that the counties’ SSA/FWSA mapping is fully consistent with the CMP and the April 2012 MOU between the Commission and NJDEP. All Pinelands counties adopted SSA maps and presented them to NJDEP for approval. Staff continues to work with NJDEP to correct mapping errors that occurred during the adoption process. The staff provided a revised document to NJDEP on December 21, 2015. The NJDEP adopted the revised SSA boundaries on January 15, 2016.

NJDEP proposed amendments to its Water Quality Management Planning rules on October 19, 2015. The staff submitted formal comments on the proposed amendments by letter dated December 24, 2015. In late March 2016, Commission staff assisted the Department in its review of the other Pinelands-related public comments submitted on the proposed amendments. *Staff attended a meeting held by NJDEP in April 2016 with counties and regional planning agencies to discuss the public comments and next steps in the rulemaking process.*

- **Affordable housing:** The Council on Affordable Housing (COAH) published two rule proposals on June 2, 2014, setting forth procedural and substantive third round rules. Staff provided data on vacant land and buildout projections for use in COAH’s determinations of “buildable limit capacity” by municipality. Staff submitted written comments on the proposed rules on July 30, 2014. On March 10, 2015, the New Jersey Supreme Court issued its decision in In re Adoption of N.J.A.C. 5:96 and 5:97 by N.J. Council on Affordable Housing, 221 N.J. 1 (2015). This case removed the adjudication of a municipality’s compliance with its

constitutional obligation to create a realistic opportunity for producing a fair share of affordable housing from the Council on Affordable Housing (“COAH”) and returned it to the judiciary. This decision shifted the landscape regarding municipal compliance with its affordable housing obligations in two ways: First it created a new process by which municipalities would seek a declaration of compliance with affordable housing obligations. Second, the ruling now permits low and moderate income citizens and those acting on their behalf, as well as developers the right to challenge, under certain circumstances, any municipality that fails to develop an adequate housing plan to bring the municipality into compliance with its fair share of the regional and prospective affordable housing needs. The rights afforded by the decision depend upon the class of the municipality involved.

The decision establishes three classes of municipalities depending on the current status of such municipality vis-a-vis the former COAH third round rules. “First Class” municipalities, of which there are approximately 60 towns, have been granted “substantive certification” by COAH under the third round rules. “Second Class” Towns, estimated at more than 300 towns, have submitted a resolution of participation with COAH sufficient to be recognized as “participating” municipalities and “Third Class” municipalities, approximately 200 towns, are those municipalities that appear to have done nothing to subject them to COAH’s jurisdiction.

First Class Towns had 120 days – 90 days from the date of the Court’s Order plus an additional 30 days thereafter (i.e. July 8, 2015), to file a declaratory judgment action with the Superior Court seeking a judicial declaration that their housing plans and implementing ordinances are constitutionally compliant. After the additional 30-day period expired, any interested party may file a lawsuit seeking to challenge a municipality’s compliance. The towns, although not entitled to the statutory presumption of validity provided by the FHA, will have the benefit of the Supreme Court’s direction to judges to be “generously inclined” to grant applications of immunity from exclusionary zoning actions during the review process.

With regard to Second Class Towns, the Supreme Court established a different procedure and treatment. These towns, if they affirmatively seek to obtain a court declaration that their affordable housing plans are presumptively valid, have 5 months to submit their supplemental housing element and affordable housing plan. After that 5 month period, they provide initial immunity insulating these towns from exclusionary zoning actions (i.e. builder remedy suits). As was the case with First Class Towns, Second Class Towns have 120 days to proceed with a declaratory judgment action. These towns, however, are not automatically granted immunity from exclusionary zoning actions; rather the court will assess on a case by case basis the extent to which a grant of immunity is appropriate. The Commission has received a copy of declaratory judgment papers from many Pinelands municipalities and revised housing plans from several. Buildout data is being supplied upon request.

In early March, staff requested a meeting with representatives from both the Fair Share Housing Center (FSHC) and the New Jersey State League of Municipalities (NJLM). Both organizations have party status in all of the pending declaratory judgment lawsuits involving municipalities seeking a judicial declaration that their housing plans and implementing ordinances are constitutionally compliant with their affordable housing obligation.

The meetings had two goals. One goal was for staff to learn more about how these pending court cases are being adjudicated statewide and, in particular, for those municipalities in the Pinelands Area that have filed declaratory judgment actions. The other goal was to provide a forum to discuss both the impacts of the CMP on housing development potential in the Pinelands Area and how that might potentially constrain Pinelands Area municipalities in meeting their fair share obligations.

Staff met with Kevin Walsh, Associate Director and Josh Bauers from (FSHC) on March 18, 2016.

Staff met with Michael Cerra, Assistant Executive Director and Edward Purcell, Associate Counsel/Staff Attorney for the (NJLM) on March 28, 2016. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

G. Geographic Information System

- Zoning & PMA Updates: *None*
- Data Layer Maintenance: *Compiled data on Pinelands designated historic resources and locally designated historic districts. Digitized historically sensitive areas from Pinelands 1988 report titled “Pinelands Villages and Towns: Historic Area Delineations.”*
- Threatened and Endangered Species Layer: *Sent the annual update, including PDF files of data sheets and supporting documentation, to NJDEP per our data sharing agreement. Eight data sheets were received and entered into the system.*
- LOIs for PDCs: *Seven applications involving 14 lots were analyzed, all of which required photo interpretation and one application required custom editing and analysis.*
- Analysis/Map Products/Programming: *OIT continued work on the public version of the Pinelands Site Evaluator, but progress was temporarily delayed as the OIT project programmer needed to respond to a special request from the Governor’s office. A beta version of the public version is expected to be delivered by the end of July.*

Continued setting up the new GIS server including: Loaded the most current data from NJDEP, NJDOT, and NJOSG into the new system. Continue copying and repointing layer files. Develop documentation in an Excel spreadsheet

regarding the data migration (includes fields for previous_name, new_name, source, and description).

Management Information System

PCIS:

- *Made 16 programming modifications and additions to improve efficiency in all aspects of project review.*

Permanent Land Protection:

- *Continued to enter deed restrictions as they are received and continued QA/QC (quality assurance / quality control).*
- *Completed reconciliation and quality assurance checks of the approximately 600 deed restriction records that failed to join to the current parcels.*
- *Conducted a project team meeting and began work on the annual update to the PLP map and supporting information for the presentation to the Commission.*

Network Infrastructure:

- *Installed 5 new network switches to complete the upgrade of our network from 100MB/s to 1000MB/s. The new switches also provide for POE (Power Over Ethernet) in order to provide a backbone for future uses such as video conferencing and VOIP (Voice Over IP) telephone service.*
- *Installed a new web services server (the middle tier of the PCIS three tier infrastructure).*

Other Programming and Systems:

- **Interpretations Database:** *Completed the programming to store an LOI number and provide the ability to attach documents to an interpretation. Made seven additional enhancements that were requested by the users.*
- *Converted the PCIS, Zoning, and PDC Bank web service program code from Visual Studio 2000 to Visual Studio 2013 and installed onto the new web services server. Also converted the Public and Waiver reports for the Commission web page from Visual Studio 2000 to Visual Studio 2013.*

IV. Public Programs

A. Communication

- *There were 33,474 views of the Commission's web site during the last quarter.*
- *A total of 31 media inquiries and 189 general inquiries were handled this quarter. Of the general inquiries, 120 inquiries came via e-mail, 62 came via telephone and seven came by mail. Most of the inquiries pertained to general Pinelands information, the Pinelands National Reserve brochure and passport stamp, the Pinelands Short Course and other education programs.*

B. Publications

- *The 2015 Annual Report is being written and designed.*

C. Events and Outreach

- *The 28th annual Pinelands Short Course has been scheduled for March 11, 2017 at Stockton University.*
- *Joel Mott participated in seven Pinelands education programs during the quarter.*

D. Interpretive Program

- *Staff continued to track the distribution of the Pinelands National Reserve (PNR) brochure.*

During the quarter, Commission staff sent a draft contract to Drill Construction of West Orange, NJ, for the fabrication and installation of exhibits at the Richard J. Sullivan Center. After the contract is signed by all parties, the project will commence with a kick-off meeting. Meanwhile, the Commission received numerous Pinelands artifacts from Rowan College at Burlington County, which donated the items as part of its Pinelands Collection. The artifacts will be displayed in the new Visitor Center.

V. Regulatory Programs

A. Application Activity

		1 st Quarter 2016	2 nd Quarter 2016
New applications received for the last two quarters with a comparison to last year:	This year	121	96
	Last year	114	117
Total applications active for the last two quarters with a comparison to last year:	This year	697	742
	Last year	615	682
“No Call-ups” issued for the last two quarters:	By mail	40	56
	By fax	65	52
Certificates of Filing issued for the last two quarters:		40	50
“Call-ups” issued for the last two quarters:		25	27
Streamlined permitting actions taken during the last two quarters:	LRO	7	10
	MOA	4	14

B. Notable Development Applications

- Stafford Township, Ocean Acres/Rt. 72 Stormwater Basin (App. No. 1993-0732.012):** On October 3, 2012, the staff met with the Mayor and the Township Administrator to discuss the construction of a seven acre stormwater basin on the south side of Rt. 72. The purpose of the proposed basin was to address certain flooding issues associated with the Ocean Acres residential development on the north side of Rt. 72. The proposed stormwater basin on the south side of Rt.72 would be located in a Pinelands Forest Area and would not be a permitted land use. On April 1, 2013, an application for the proposed stormwater basin was submitted to the Commission. On August 1, 2013, the Commission staff met with the Township and its consultant to discuss the application and potential need for the Commission to hire an independent Professional Engineer (P.E.) to review the proposed stormwater design. On November 13, 2013, the Commission contracted with an engineering firm to review feasible alternatives to the proposed stormwater basin and the proposed stormwater basin design. On April 4, 2014, the Commission staff briefed the Commission’s Policy and Implementation Committee regarding alternatives approaches (e.g. waiver of strict compliance, rezoning) available to the applicant and the Commission staff to address the permitted land use issue raised by the proposed stormwater basin development. On May 20, 2014, the Commission staff asked the engineering firm retained by the Commission to address several questions raised by its draft report. On July 9, 2014, the engineering firm retained by the Commission submitted a

final draft of the report. On October 2, 2014, the Commission staff sent a letter to the Township advising of the information necessary to complete the Waiver of Strict Compliance based upon a compelling public need application and the corresponding public development application. On December 15, 2014, the Township submitted information responding to the Commission staff's letter. On February 19, 2015, the Commission sent a letter to the Township outlining the information necessary to complete the concerned application. On March 16, 2015, the Township provided some of the information requested in the staff's letter. The staff is scheduling a meeting with Township officials to discuss the submitted information. On June 2, 2015, the staff met with representatives of the Township to further discuss the application. The Township submitted additional information regarding the application on September 4, 2015. That information is under review. By letter dated October 13, 2015, the Commission staff responded to the Township's submission requesting the remaining information to complete the application and providing a tentative schedule for Commission consideration of the application for a Waiver of Strict Compliance based upon a compelling public need. Subsequently, the applicant completed the public notice requirements for the Waiver and Public Development application. By letter dated December 10, 2015, the staff advised that the application was complete. The Commission staff's letter also addressed the need for the Township to schedule a Public Hearing on the Waiver application. On December 30, 2015, the Township submitted the required public notice information to the Commission regarding scheduling of a proposed January 6, 2016 Public Hearing. Upon Commission staff review, it was determined that the Township has not met all the public notice requirements necessitating the rescheduling of the Public Hearing. The Public Hearing was rescheduled and conducted on January 20, 2016. On March 21, 2016, the Commission staff issued a Report recommending approval of the Waiver of Strict of Strict Compliance application and a companion Report recommending approval of the actual Development application. On April 8, 2016, the Commission approved both the Waiver application and the Development application. *On April 8, 2016, the Commission approved both the Waiver application and the Development application.*

Tuckahoe Turf (App. No. 1984-0389.009) The Commission staff received information in late 2013 regarding the use of an existing sod farm located partly in Waterford, Winslow and Hammonton Townships as a recreational facility (soccer fields). The Commission staff met with the applicant/owner and officials of Waterford Township to discuss the matter on December 5, 2013. Information was received on February 14, 2014 from the concerned soccer clubs regarding the proposed use of the sod farm in 2014. By letter dated April 21, 2014, the Commission staff advised the property owner that the proposed use of the parcel for recreational facilities (soccer fields) was not a permitted land use in the concerned agricultural municipal zoning districts and that such use was also inconsistent with the Pinelands Development Credit (PDC) deed restriction that had been imposed on the parcel by the property owner(s). Commission staff met with the property owners, their counsel and representatives of the soccer groups and their counsel on May 19, 2014 to discuss the issue. The Commission's Executive

Director agreed to permit soccer events to continue at the site pending receipt of information establishing a pre-existing legal obligation regarding these events. On September 2, 2014, an application was submitted to the Commission proposing to establish a recreational use (soccer fields) on certain lands located in Hammonton's Agricultural Production zoning district. On December 17, 2014, the Commission issued an Inconsistent Certificate of Filing for the establishment of a private commercial use with no site improvements on a 204 acre parcel located exclusively in Hammonton. On March 27, 2015, the staff issued a letter indicating that the concerned Hammonton approval raised a substantial issue with the permitted use and other standards of the Commission certified municipal land use ordinance and the CMP and scheduled a public hearing on the application for April 30, 2015. On May 26, 2015, the Commission staff issued a letter allowing the Hammonton approval to take effect based upon conditions imposed by Hammonton, including limiting the number of recreational events that could occur in a given year. The applicant is also required to submit a yearly schedule of events to the municipal Zoning Officer for calendar years 2015, 2016 and 2017. If the schedule of events is consistent with the number of recreational events that could occur in a given year, the Zoning Officer will issue a zoning permit to the applicant on a yearly basis. Prior to issuance of that zoning permit to the applicant, the zoning permit will be submitted to the Commission staff for review. The Commission staff can either issue a letter allowing the zoning permit to take effect or issue a letter scheduling a Commission staff public hearing to review any substantial issue raised by the zoning permit. On September 4, 2015, we received notification from the Hammonton Zoning Officer that a schedule of events for calendar year 2015 was submitted to Hammonton and the Zoning Officer found that schedule consistent with the conditions of Hammonton's prior approval. On October 1, 2015, the Commission staff received a municipal zoning permit approving the calendar year 2015 events. By letter dated October 13, 2015, the Commission staff advised that the Hammonton zoning permit raised a substantial issue with the permitted land use standards of the Hammonton land use ordinance and the CMP and scheduled a Commission staff Public Hearing to review that issue. On October 22, 2015, the Commission staff received notice that the Waterford Township Planning Board had granted preliminary and final site plan approval. On November 6, 2015, the Commission staff sent a letter indicating that the Hammonton Zoning permit and the Waterford Township Planning Board's Preliminary and Final approval raised a substantial issue with the permitted land use standards of the respective municipal land use ordinances and the CMP. On December 4, 2015, Hammonton revoked its calendar year 2015 Zoning Permit. By letter dated January 7, 2016, the Commission staff advised the applicant that a public hearing remained necessary to review the Waterford Township Planning Board's Preliminary and Final approval and scheduling that hearing for February 18, 2016. On January 19, 2016, State legislation took effect that defines field sports, including but not limited to soccer and soccer tournaments that meet certain conditions and are conducted in a Pinelands Agricultural Production Area, as a low intensity recreational use. This means that soccer activities meeting the conditions specified in the legislation are now a permitted land use in a Pinelands Agricultural Production Area. The parcel subject of this

application in Hammonton and Waterford Township, upon which the soccer events and other soccer activities are proposed, is located in a Pinelands Agricultural Production Area. On February 8, 2016, the Commission staff received an Amended Waterford Township Planning Board Preliminary and Final site plan approval. On March 10, 2016, the Commission staff issued a letter allowing the Amended Waterford Township Planning Board Preliminary and Final site plan approval to take effect. *On May 31, 2016, the Commission staff issued a letter indicating that the Hammonton Zoning Permit for the calendar year 2016 soccer schedule could take effect.*

- **Ocean County, Cedar Bridge Tavern, Barnegat Township (App. No. 2012-0129.001):** The Commission staff has conducted several site meetings and pre-application conferences regarding the County's proposal to establish an interpretive center in this historic tavern. The concerned building was last utilized as a dwelling. The 5 acre lot is located in a Pinelands Forest Area. On June 25, 2014, the staff met with representatives of the County and the State Historic Preservation Office. The primary issues associated with the proposal are the siting of a new septic system and a new parking lot considering wetland/wetland buffer constraints, permitted land use of the interpretive center based upon the zoning of the parcel and the lot area required for a proposed dwelling unit (full time caretaker's residence). The Commission staff discussed with appropriate NJDEP staff the County's proposed development of a joint management agreement between the County and NJDEP. Such an agreement would facilitate the proposed development being a permitted land use in a Pinelands Forest Area. Alternatively, NJDEP suggested that a "special use" permit may address the County's permitted land use issue. The Commission staff advised the County of NJDEP's guidance and suggested the County discuss the matter with NJDEP. On December 10, 2014, the Commission received the application fee information necessary to review the County's application. On February 4, 2015 and February 11, 2015, the applicant submitted additional information to the Commission staff. By letter dated April 8, 2015, the Commission staff advised the applicant of the information required to complete a Commission application. The applicant submitted additional information to the Commission staff throughout late June, July and August 2015. In response to that information, on September 24, 2015, the staff sent a letter to the applicant indicating the information necessary to complete the application. Additional information was submitted by the applicant in September 2015. On October 13, 2015, the Commission staff sent a letter requesting certain information necessary to complete the application. On November 24, 2015, the applicant submitted additional information. Throughout the month of December 2015, the applicant submitted cultural resource survey information regarding the application. The Commission staff responded by letter dated December 29, 2015 indicating that except for certain cultural resource survey information, the application was complete. On December 29, 2015, the applicant submitted information regarding a proposed deed restriction to enable a proposed dwelling (care takers residence) at the interpretive center to meet the Township's zoning requirement. On March 2, 2016, the applicant submitted additional cultural resource survey information. On March 22,

2016, the Commission staff determine that the submitted cultural resource survey information completed the application and the application was scheduled for final public comment at the April 8, 2016 Commission meeting. *On April 22, 2016, the Commission staff issued a Report on an Application for Public Development recommending approval of the proposed development. At its May 12, 2016 meeting, the Commission voted to approve the application.*

- **Campground, Borough of Woodbine (App. No. 1981-0210.004 & App. No. 1981-0210.007)** On July 6, 7, and 31, 2015 the Commission staff received information regarding a proposal to develop 72 campsites on a parcel and to connect those campsites to an onsite wastewater treatment plant located on an adjacent parcel. There is an existing campground served by onsite septic systems on the parcel. The issue raised by the proposed development is whether the existing and proposed campsites meet the definition of a campground. In addition, an issue is raised by the proposal to develop additional campsites served by a wastewater treatment system located on another parcel when the existing campsites, served by onsite septic systems, already exceed the groundwater quality (septic dilution) standard. On October 27, 2015, the Commission staff issued a letter identifying the issues raised by the proposed development. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*
- **Redevelopment Project/Borough of South Toms River (App. No. 2005-0232.005)** An application was initiated for the development of 314 dwelling units on an approximately 42 acre parcel owned by the Borough of South Toms River. Certain municipal recreational and public works facilities are located on the parcel. In addition, the Borough's closed, but uncapped, former landfill is also located on the parcel. The applicant is currently surveying for certain threatened and endangered animal and plant species on the parcel. *On April 8, 2016, the applicant initiated an application and submitted threatened and endangered species protocols for Commission staff review. On May 3, 2016, the Commission staff provided written comments to the applicant regarding the submitted threatened and endangered species protocols. The applicant is currently surveying for certain threatened and endangered animal and plant species on the parcel.*
- **Ocean County Garden State Parkway Park and Ride/ Little Egg Harbor Township (App. No. 1997-0257.012)** On March 23, 2016, Ocean County requested a pre-application meeting with Commission staff to discuss an approximately 50 stall parking area. That pre-application meeting has been scheduled for April 19, 2016. *The pre-application meeting was held on April 19, 2016. At that meeting the staff advised of the probable need to complete a threatened and endangered species survey. Although an application has not been filed with the Commission, it is the Commission staff's understanding that a threatened and endangered animal species survey is currently underway on the parcel.*
- **Atlantic City Electric Company/Reconstruction of 9.4 miles (in Pinelands) of Existing Electric Transmission Line, Atlantic County (App. No. 1981-0479.011)** This application was initiated on February 18, 2016.

The application proposes to remove and replace 105 existing towers. The applicant has completed wetlands mapping and is conducting threatened and endangered species surveys for the proposed development. The Commission staff is currently reviewing that information. *Upon completion of its review, the staff issued a letter to the applicant on May 4, 2016 commenting on both the wetlands delineation and the ongoing threatened and endangered species surveys.*

D. Violation

- **Barnegat Township, Commercial Use (App. No. 1988-1177.002):** By letter dated February 1, 2012, we advised a property owner of an approximately 5 acre wetlands buffer clearing violation. Materials were being stockpiled in the wetlands buffer. By letter dated April 26, 2012, we summarized the agreements reached and asked the property owner to contact our office when wetlands were delineated. By letter dated June 15, 2012, we confirmed the wetlands mapping and suggested a course of action to resolve the violation. On January 22, 2013, the Commission staff received information from the property owner's consultant indicating that one material stockpile and a portion of another had been removed from the site and that a revised restoration plan would be submitted shortly. During the period from March through February 2014 restoration plan revisions were submitted. On February 6, 2014, the Commission staff received information from the property owner indicating that two of the four stockpiles have been removed and the concerned areas have been restored. By letter dated September 4, 2014, the applicant was advised by NJDEP that the development that occurred without application to the Township and the Commission also raised issues with NJDEP's stormwater and water quality regulations. The Commission staff continues to monitor the pace of the restoration. By letter dated June 15, 2015, the staff indicated agreement with the proposed restoration plan and requesting that a filed copy of the deed for the parcel, noting the restoration requirements, be submitted to the Commission. By letter dated August 6, 2015, the staff reminded the applicant of the requirement to submit the requested information. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*
- **Various Development Berkeley Township (App. No. 1999-0481.001):** On August 14, 2012 we received notice from NJDEP about a possible wetlands violation on a 28 acre parcel. Commission staff, along with NJDEP and Berkeley Township officials conducted a site inspection in November of 2012. Violations include constructing a single family dwelling without application, establishing a commercial tree processing business without application and development in wetlands and the required buffer to wetlands. A Commission violation letter was sent December 17, 2012 requesting a response by January 17, 2013. A follow-up site inspection on May 15, 2013 revealed some removal of mulch had occurred. On February 18, 2015, the Commission staff met with representatives of the Township to discuss an approach to resolving the violations. The Commission staff will send a letter to the municipality regarding the agreed upon approach. By letter dated May 12, 2015, the Commission staff asked

for the Township Zoning Officer's assistance in issuing any appropriate violations notices. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

- **Commercial Use Manchester Township (App. No. 1981-2039.002):** On February 8, 2013, we received notice from Ocean County Health Department about the establishment of a commercial use on a 19-acre lot. A joint site inspection was conducted on February 15, 2013 with Ocean County representatives, a NJDEP representative and Commission staff. Violations observed included clearing of about three acres of upland and/or wetland buffer, establishment of a commercial landscaping yard onsite, storage of mulch and other vegetative waste in wetlands buffers and wetlands and placing fill in wetlands. On March 28, 2012, we sent a letter to the property owner advising of the need to address/resolve the concerned violation. On June 27, 2013, Manchester Township issued a Notice of Violation for non-permitted use, clearing and placement of fill. By letter dated November 30, 2015, the Commission staff acknowledged that the commercial use had been removed from the lot but that the wetland and wetland buffer clearing violations remained to be addressed. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*
- **Barnegat Township (App. 2000-2700.002):** This violation pertains to the clearing and grading of vegetation on approximately 8 acres of wetlands/wetlands buffers on a 9.49 acre lot located in Pinelands Preservation Area District. The concerned clearing occurred primarily in September 2009. The property owner indicated an intention of establishing a permitted agricultural use (blueberries) on the lot. Since September 2009, the Commission staff has written to the applicant multiple times attempting to obtain a time schedule for the establishment of the proposed blueberry agricultural use. On April 25, 2013, the Township issued a Municipal Court summons to the property owner regarding this matter. The Commission staff attended a municipal court hearing on June 25, 2013. The matter was continued because the property owner raised an issue regarding the "Right to Farm." Multiple municipal court hearings were held in 2013. At the December 17, 2013 municipal court hearing, the court was advised that the Ocean County Agricultural Development Board had rendered its determination that the concerned activities were not protected by "Right to Farm," however, a resolution from the Ocean County Agricultural Development Board memorializing that determination had not yet been issued. The municipal court hearing was continued to January 8, 2014. At the January 8, 2014 municipal court hearing, the property owner pleaded guilty to two of the municipal court summonses. The remaining municipal summonses were continued to February 12, 2014. The property owner agreed to submit a farm plan to the Commission by January 17, 2014 and to meet with the Commission staff on January 23, 2014 to discuss the farm plan. On January 13, 2014, the Commission staff received the farm plan. On January 30, 2014, the Commission staff met with the property owner and his attorney. During the meeting, the property owner agreed to submit a copy of the revised farm plan to the Commission. On January 31, 2014, the Commission staff received the revised farm plan. On February 11, 2014, the Commission staff issued a letter to the property owner's attorney indicating that some of the agricultural activities proposed on the revised

farm plan were not consistent with the standards of the Township land use ordinance and the CMP. On February 12, 2014, the Commission staff attended the municipal court hearing. The property owner pled guilty to one of the remaining municipal summons and was fined. The remaining summonses were dismissed. However, the property owner was given six months to institute permitted agricultural uses on the parcel or face additional municipal summonses. Based upon reports to our office and a Commission staff site inspection, a retail commercial farm market use may have been established on the parcel without application to the Township or the Commission. On November 6, 2014, the Commission staff sent a letter to the property owner inquiring as to whether a commercial farm market had been established on the lot. The property owner's attorney responded by letter dated December 5, 2014 indicating that the involved structure would be removed from the lot by December 31, 2014. By letter dated January 29, 2015, the Commission staff advised the applicant that a site inspection confirmed the commercial farm market had been removed. By letter dated June 25, 2015, the Commission staff issued a letter to the property owner regarding re-establishment of a commercial farm market on the parcel without application to the Commission. On August 6, 2015, the staff issued a letter reminding the property owner of the need to respond to the staff's June 25, 2015 letter. The applicant did not respond to the staff's letter. On September 17, 2015, the Commission staff issued a letter to the municipal Zoning Officer asking assistance in issuing any appropriate violation notices and municipal court summons. The Commission staff received notice of an application to the Township for certain approvals and permits to construct two agricultural buildings on the concerned lot. By letter dated February 3, 2016, the Commission staff indicated that the concerned permits should not be issued based upon the ongoing land use violations on the parcel. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

- **Galloway Township (App. No. 1990-1168.004):** This violation concerns the construction of an approximately 6,000 square foot building and the establishment of a commercial trucking business on a lot in the Preservation Area District. The lot was previously deed restricted due to the severance of PDCs. Commercial businesses are not permitted in the Preservation Area District or by the PDC deed restriction. After multiple letters to the property owner over five years, by letter dated June 20, 2013, we asked that the applicant either address the matter within 30 days or the Township should issue all appropriate municipal court summonses. On August 22, 2013, the Commission staff met with representatives of the New Jersey Attorney General's Office to discuss the matter. Commission staff inspected the site with the Galloway Township Construction Official on December 5, 2013. The site inspection found that the commercial trucking business had been discontinued. However, the approximately 6,000 square foot building was built in the required buffer to wetlands. Commission staff sent a violation letter to the property owner on January 6, 2014 requesting the property owner resolves the remaining issue of the 6,000 square foot building by contacting the Township for guidance on several issues. On March 30, 2015, the Commission staff sent a letter to follow up on a March 25, 2015 site inspection with the Township

construction code official and the property owner. Based upon that site inspection, it is the staff's understanding that the owner proposes to remove the concerned 6,000 square foot building to resolve the violation. By letter dated December 23, 2015, the Commission staff sent a letter to the property owner regarding the status of resolving the violation. *No new action as of June 30, 2016.*

- **Waterford Township (Application No. 2013-0031.001):** This violation concerns the expansion of an auto salvage business on a parcel in the Preservation Area District on Chew Road. The auto salvage business existed in 1981. The area occupied by the business has significantly expanded over time and now occupies approximately 26 acres, part of which is in wetlands and the required buffer to wetlands. The staff issued its initial letter on March 14, 2013 and received a written response from the property owner on April 3, 2013. Additional information was received on July 9, 2013 indicating that the property owner was coordinating with the Waterford Township zoning officer to bring the matter into compliance. Commission staff consulted with the Waterford Township zoning officer, who confirmed that some progress has been made. The Commission staff met with the property owner on October 28, 2013. The Commission staff issued a letter dated November 14, 2013, that identified a "staged" approach for restoration of the parcel and requested a restoration/revegetation plan which identifies each "stage" of the restoration and provides a time frame for removal be submitted to the Commission. On September 4, 2014, the staff discussed the matter with the municipal Zoning Officer. The Zoning Officer indicated that the required restoration of the parcel is ongoing. The Commission staff will continue to monitor the progress of the restoration. On November 10, 2014, the Commission staff held a site meeting with the property owner to review the progress of restoration. Approximately 6 acres of the auto salvage business has been removed. By letter dated December 4, 2014, the Commission staff requested an updated restoration proposal including timeframes. The owner has not responded to the Commission's letter. The Commission staff has scheduled a site meeting with the Township Zoning Officer and a representative of NJDEP's Wetlands Program to discuss the next steps to resolve the violation. On November 20, 2015, the Commission staff held a site meeting with the property owner, the Township Zoning Officer and representatives on NJDEP. At that meeting it was agreed the property owner would apply to the Commission by February 1, 2016 and then proceed to the Township by April 1, 2016 for a municipal determination regarding the pre-1981 limits of the salvage yard. *On May 15, 2016, the Commission received an application for the expansion of the salvage yard. As of June 30, 2016, that application remained under review.*
- **Winslow Township (App. No.1984-0660.003):** The Commission staff has been attempting to resolve violations regarding the establishment of a shooting range in a Pinelands Agricultural Production Area for an extended period. On July 17, 2014, the Commission staff conducted a site inspection of the 217 acre parcel with the Township Zoning Officer. That site inspection confirmed that an approximately 3,000 linear foot road to access

the shooting range had been developed on the parcel. The access road included the clearing and filling of approximately 1.2 acres of wetlands. On August 5, 2014, the Commission staff sent a letter to the owner regarding the long standing violations and the access road violation on the parcel. On November 14, 2014, the staff attended a site meeting attended by Township officials, NJDEP staff and the owner. A meeting is being scheduled at the Commission office with the owner and their representatives to address the violations. On January 6, 2015, the staff sent a letter outlining the extent of violations on the parcel and a suggested approach to resolving the overall violation. On May 11, 2015, the Commission staff met with representatives of the property owner to discuss a possible resolution of the long-standing matter. In July 2015, the property owner submitted information to the Commission staff. That information addressed alternative accesses to the parcel that may be available to avoid wetlands. The applicant is representing that there is no other feasible access to the parcel other than the access already developed in wetlands. The staff is arranging another meeting with the applicant to further discuss the access road issue. *No new action as of June 30, 2016*

VI. Science

A. Environmental Monitoring

- *Forest-Plot and Intermittent-Pond Monitoring: Staff completed the April, May, and June rounds of forest-plot and pond water-level measurements.*
- *Long-term anuran surveys: Staff completed nighttime frog and toad vocalization surveys in April, May, and June.*
- *Pinelands-wide water-quality monitoring: Staff completed the April and June rounds of water-quality sampling at 47 stream sites. Staff maintained our State Certified Environmental Laboratory status by successfully measuring pH and specific conductance proficiency test samples.*
- *Watershed-assessment Report: No new action as of June 30, 2016.*
- *Science, Communication and Planning staff published a Long-term Environmental and Economic Monitoring Program brochure that highlights various aspects of the two monitoring programs.*

B. Right-of-way Vegetation Monitoring

- *Staff began the 2016 vegetation surveys for the 48 right-of-way monitoring plots.*

C. Pond-vulnerability Study

- *Staff continued monthly water-level rounds and completed the April and May water-quality sampling at all 99 natural ponds. Staff also completed nighttime frog and toad vocalization surveys and began tadpole and vegetation surveys at a subset of natural ponds scheduled to be surveyed in 2016. Staff continued to identify plant vouchers collected from the previous field seasons. A no-cost project extension request was submitted to the EPA.*

D. Created-wetland Study

- *Staff continued monthly water-level rounds and completed the April and May water-quality sampling at the 98 created wetlands. Staff also completed nighttime frog and toad vocalization surveys and began tadpole and vegetation surveys at a subset of wetlands scheduled to be surveyed in 2016. Staff continued to identify plant vouchers collected from the previous field seasons. A no-cost project extension request was submitted to the EPA.*

E. Pinelands Research Series

- *Three presentations were given as part of the Pinelands Research Series. “Writing the Field Guide Page for the Newly Described Atlantic Coast Leopard Frog” was presented by Matthew Schlesinger, Chief Zoologist for the New York Natural Heritage Program; “The Coyote in New Jersey” was presented by Andrew Burnett, a biologist with the NJDEP Bureau of Wildlife; and “Evidence for estrogenic endocrine disruption in bass throughout the northeastern USA” was presented by Vick Blazer, a research fisheries biologist with the USGS Leetown Science Center in WV.*

F. Other Science Items

- *Science staff briefed the Commission at their April 8 meeting on a letter of intent submitted for a Delaware River Watershed Fund award for proposed research with the USGS on point and non-point sources of endocrine disrupting compounds and the potential effects on fish and frogs in the Pinelands.*
- *Science staff assisted Executive staff by reviewing the draft forestry MOA and working on a GIS database of areas displaying ORV damage in Wharton State Forest.*
- *Science staff assisted Planning staff by participating in the April meeting to discuss potential changes to Kirkwood-Cohansey Aquifer water policy and in ongoing related staff meetings.*
- *Science staff assisted NJDEP and USFWS with water-quality, water-level, and associated plant species data for the recently discovered Hirst’s panic grass site and coordinated a visit with them to the site.*
- *Science staff assisted NJDEP by providing a letter of support for their research proposal “Climate change and atmospheric pollution impacts on Delaware River watershed clusters from New Jersey based on multi-proxy analyses of sediment cores: implications for ecosystem monitoring and management strategies.”*
- *Science staff assisted Warren Grove Gunnery Range and Drexel University in the review of the WGR Integrated Natural Resource Management Plan.*
- *Science staff assisted the New Jersey Conservation Foundation by confirming the identification of several fish species found in isolated pools in some abandoned cranberry bogs on the Franklin Parker Preserve.*
- *Science staff assisted Walt Bien of Drexel University by giving a presentation on Pinelands fish and leading a fish sampling trip for his Pinelands ecology class, assisted Richard Lathrop of Rutgers University by reviewing a USDA Hatch proposal to investigate land use changes in NJ, and assisted Rutgers University PhD student Gordon Osterman with study*

site selection for his research on using new methods to map subsurface geophysical characteristics.

- *Science staff interviewed six candidates for the Research Scientist position.*

ATTACHMENT #1

ONGOING CONFORMANCE ACTIVITY

April-June 2016

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
Barnegat	<p>1. Shoreline Redevelopment Area: The Township provided a draft ordinance designed to create a redevelopment area within the RGA for approximately 140 acres. Staff met with Township representatives and the property owner/redeveloper on 3/12/14 to discuss the ordinance, proposed redevelopment and relevant CMP standards (PDCs, threatened and endangered species). Staff drafted suggested ordinance revisions and provided them to the Township on 4/9/14. Staff met with representatives of the Township and the redeveloper on 12/16/14 to discuss the redevelopment plan and PDC obligation. Staff responded to the Township's additional questions about PDC requirements in March 2016.</p> <p>2. Mixed use development in C-N Zone: The Township adopted Ordinance 2016-02, increasing the number of permitted stories for mixed use development from three to four. The Commission received a copy of Ordinance 2016-02 on 3/8/16. Staff sent the Township a no substantial issue letter on 3/16/16, allowing Ordinance 2016-02 to take effect.</p>
Berlin Borough	<p>1. Redevelopment designation: The Borough contacted staff about an upcoming Area in Need of Redevelopment Study to seek out any initial concerns on 2/2/2016. Staff responded to initial inquiry 2/5/2016. Public notice that the study was proceeding was received 3/21/2016. The investigation will include multiple study sites in the Borough, including an area encompassing a large tract of lots in the Pinelands Regional Growth Area.</p>
Egg Harbor City	<p>1. Request for review of constraints in Easterly portion of Pinelands Town. Letter sent 5/7/07 on likely wetlands buffer requirements; suggested City file applications for development for specific parcels to confirm requirements. Pursuant to City's request, a proposal to establish wetlands buffers by ordinance is under review.</p> <p>2. Development of airfield in Forest Area: request received from City on 2/24/11 to examine feasibility of use of lake area "airfield" by state police, including development of buildings and parking areas. Options discussed by staff. Response provided to the City on 3/8/11 indicating that unless the City is able to document the existence and use of airport facilities on the parcel in question in 1981, the use is not permitted in a Pinelands Forest Area. Request for management area change (40 acres from Forest to Pinelands Town) received on 4/21/11. Response provided 6/14/11, indicating that the proposed management area change is inappropriate. Meeting held with City representatives on 11/2/11 to discuss several potential sites for a new or expanded airfield. Staff is awaiting more detailed information from the City, including the required acreage for the facility.</p> <p>3. Recreation complex: request for change in management area designations received 4/14/11. City is proposing to redesignate 30 acres from Forest to Pinelands Town along Philadelphia Avenue. Offsetting management area change also proposed. Response provided 6/14/11, indicating that staff would support the proposed redesignation, with exact boundaries of offset area still to be determined.</p> <p>4. "Tower Site" redevelopment area: staff met with City representatives on 1/20/16 to discuss permitted use and other potential issues with a future redevelopment plan for a site within the Pinelands Town. <i>A draft Redevelopment Plan for the area was submitted for Commission comment on 6/28/16. Staff is currently reviewing the draft ordinance.</i></p>
Egg Harbor Township	<p>1. Affordable housing: draft ordinance implementing the 20% set side required in A-500 received 7/16/09. Meeting held with Township representatives on 9/24/09 to discuss implications for PDC program and density. Township will provide a list of affordable housing projects under consideration so that staff can prepare options (densities; required PDC %'s; ordinance amendments) for the Township's review.</p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	<p>This information from Township was received on 10/27/09. Court master requested meeting with staff and the Township be held by 4/1/11; no date scheduled as yet. New draft of affordable housing ordinance received 9/19/11; comments and suggested revisions provided 9/29/11. Copy of Ordinance 37-2011 received on 12/7/11, with notice of public hearing and second reading on 12/20/11. The ordinance requires a 20% affordable housing set aside for all residential development, as well as the use of PDCs for 25% of all market rate units. Email sent to the Township on 12/7 indicating concerns with the lack of revisions to existing density and PDC provisions in the municipal code. Copy of adopted ordinance (37-2011) received on 12/29/11. Finding letter sent 1/20/12. Public hearing held 2/22/12. The Commission conditionally certified the ordinance on 4/13/12. The Township's response to the conditions, in the form of Ordinance 22-2012, was received on 7/9/12. No substantial issue finding letter sent 7/20/12. The Builders League of South Jersey has challenged the Township's adoption of Ordinance 37-2011. The Township subsequently adopted Ordinance 4-2013, repealing Ordinances 37-2011 and 22-2012. A no substantial issue finding letter was sent on 3/11/13. As a result, the Township's previously certified zoning plan has been reinstated. A meeting to discuss affordable housing and PDC issues with the Township, the Builders League of South Jersey and the court appointed master (Phil Caton) was held on 4/16/13. Data on vacant land was subsequently provided to the Township. A second meeting was scheduled for 6/25/13 but was later canceled by the Township. No action since April of 2013.</p>
Estell Manor	<p>1. Clustering: Staff met with the Mayor and several City representatives in early May 2013 to discuss the clustering amendments. The City has drafted a master plan amendment and received a fourth extension through 9/30/13. Maps showing vacant lands in the Forest Area were provided to the City in response to several questions at the May meeting. The Planning Board adopted the master plan amendment in early October 2014. No further progress has been reported.</p>
Evesham	<p>1. Stormwater management: The Township introduced an ordinance in late 2013 to exempt certain municipally-owned properties from all CMP stormwater requirements. Adoption has been delayed while Commission staff works to identify potential mitigation sites and projects. Commission staff met with the Mayor and Community Development Director on 5/21/14.</p>
Franklin	<p>1. Signs: The Township adopted Ordinance O-6-14 on 7/22/14, allowing for digital signs in the Agricultural Production Area and Rural Development Area. The Commission received a copy of Ordinance O-6-14 on 1/2/15, held a public hearing on 2/25/15 and conditionally certified the ordinance on 4/10/15. The conditions for certification require the adoption of amendments to prohibit digital signs in the Pinelands Area portion of the municipality. The Township's response to the conditional certification order is due on 8/8/15. The Township requested and was granted two extensions through 1/4/16. Since that time, the Township has not responded to the staff's requests for information on the status of the required amendments.</p>
Galloway	<p>1. Industrial Zone: A draft ordinance revising the standards for planned residential development in the Industrial Zone was received on 3/28/13. No changes in density or PDC requirements are proposed. A second draft ordinance related to general development plans was also received. Comments were provided to the Township on 4/1/13.</p> <p>2. Affordable housing: Staff met with the Township and a potential developer of affordable housing on 3/4/14. Application procedures, PDC requirements and potential rezonings relative to a small non-residentially zoned parcel in the RGA were discussed. In March 2015, staff began discussions with the Township about two other potential affordable housing sites, one in the Pinelands Town and the other in the Pinelands Village of Pomona. On 5/12/15, the Township adopted a redevelopment plan (Ordinance 1909-2015) for the Pomona Village site. Commission staff sent a substantial issue finding letter on 6/18/15. A public hearing has been scheduled for 7/15/15. A public hearing was held on 7/15/15. The Commission certified Ordinance 1909-2015 on 8/14/15.</p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
Hamilton	<p>1. Mays Landing Redevelopment Plan: The Township adopted Ordinance 1804-2015 on 12/2/2015, establishing a redevelopment plan for the Mays Landing Historic District. The redevelopment area consists of 152 acres with all but two lots located in the Regional Growth Area and the remaining two in the Forest Area. An adopted copy of Ordinance 1804-2015 was submitted to the Commission on 2/5/2016. After reviewing the ordinance and redevelopment plan, staff determined that no substantial issues were raised with respect to CMP standards. A letter to that effect was sent to the Township on 2/9/16.</p> <p>2. Mays Landing Neighborhood Plan: The Planning Board approved the Neighborhood Plan as an amendment to the Township’s Master Plan on 11/5/2015. The Neighborhood Plan incorporates new data, public input, analysis, and provides recommendations that address flood mitigation and resiliency as well as economic development initiatives, zoning changes, building character and land use changes, circulation and streetscape improvements, historic preservation, and the use of green infrastructure in study area. An adopted copy of the Plan was submitted to the Commission on 2/5/2016. Staff deemed the Neighborhood Plan incomplete for purposes of the Commission’s review since there were not yet any implementing ordinances. A letter to that effect was sent to the Township on 2/9/16.</p> <p>3. <i>CMP Amendments: The Township adopted Ordinance 1941-2016 incorporating recent CMP amendments as well as revising provisions for site plan waivers and administrative approval. The Commission received the ordinance on 5/2/16. Upon review, staff determined that no substantial issues were raised with respect to CMP standards. A letter to that effect was sent to the Township on 5/5/16.</i></p>
Hammonton	<p>1. In lieu recreation fees: ordinance adopted in August 2006 but not submitted to Commission until 1/22/07. \$5,000 per lot fee under review; awaiting supporting analysis from Town. Town has since indicated it will be making additional revisions to the ordinance. Provided Town with sample ordinances of other municipalities to use in developing a new ordinance 3/23/07. Multiple extensions requested by the Town and granted, most recently through 10/29/08. Awaiting adoption of revised ordinance.</p> <p>2. Expansions of Pinelands Town/sewer service area: met with Town representatives on 2/26/13 to begin discussions of the possible expansion of the Pinelands Town boundary to include the airport and residentially developed areas currently in the APA.</p> <p>3. Redevelopment Plan: The Town introduced Ordinance 20-2015, approving a redevelopment plan for four lots in the Pinelands Town, on 9/28/15. An adopted copy of Ordinance 20-2015 was submitted to the Commission on 12/31/15. After reviewing the ordinance and redevelopment plan, staff determined that no substantial issues were raised with respect to CMP standards. A letter to that effect was sent to the Town on 1/7/16.</p>
Jackson	<p>1. Master Plan: Planning Board is beginning its reexamining the Township Master Plan. New consultant hired; meeting held with Commission staff on 7/31/08 to discuss project status and possible impacts of Navy Lakehurst on the Township’s Regional Growth Area. As of 10/31/08, staff is still awaiting more detailed information from the Township on approved projects within the Regional Growth Area, as well as rezoning proposals for the RGA, RDA and Pinelands Village of Legler. Draft Land Use Plan maps received 12/30/08. Meeting with Township representatives on master plan and EIA management area changes held on 12/31/08. Airplane noise incompatibilities in RGA noted. Discussion of noise impacts and possible zoning changes to be scheduled upon the Township’s completion of its response to the May 2006 CMP amendments (stormwater). The Township made a presentation to the P&I Committee on 4/24/09. The Committee asked for additional information and consideration of other options which staff will pursue. The Township’s draft master plan was received on May 22, 2009. A revised draft master plan was received on 7/22/09. An adopted master plan was received on 8/31/09. Finding letter sent 9/29/09. A meeting with Township representatives was scheduled for November 4, 2009 but was postponed at the Township’s request. After several attempts to reschedule that meeting failed, staff sent a letter to the Township in April 2010, which comprehensively addressed the Township’s 2009 Master Plan. After learning that the Township’s Planning Board</p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	<p>may be in the process of reviewing ordinances intended to implement the 2009 Master Plan, staff requested the opportunity to review and comment upon the same prior to their adoption. The Township never responded to staff's request to be permitted to comment prior to adoption; instead, the Township adopted a series of implementing ordinances in November. Staff requested a copy of the Township's Zoning Map as revised by the implementing ordinances but never received one. A letter determining that, without a revised Zoning Map, the implementing ordinances were incomplete for purposes of the Commission's review was issued on December 1, 2010. Two meetings with Township representatives were held, the first on December 22, 2010 and the second on February 8, 2011. Subsequently, three Finding Letters were sent to the Township. One of which determined that nine of the Township's implementing ordinances presented no issues with respect to CMP standards; another determined that six of the Township's implementing ordinances presented no substantial issue with respect to CMP standards; and, the third determined that a single Township ordinance, which eliminated all conditional uses, including PDC use and higher density residential development, within the RG-2 and RG-3 Regional Growth Zones, presented a substantial issue with respect to CMP standards. Subsequently, the Township was granted several extensions of the Commission's review period for the final ordinance mentioned. The Township indicated it intended to adopt an ordinance that would designate all, or most of, the RG-2 and RG-3 Regional Growth Zones as commercial zoning districts, which would include a PDC-use obligation. Staff provided the Township with a model ordinance establishing a nonresidential PDC program for the lands formerly within the RG-2 and RG-3 Zones. The Township was granted a final extension through June 29, 2012 in order to allow it to adopt an ordinance substantially similar to the model ordinance provided by staff. A meeting with Township officials took place April 4th to discuss proposed changes the Township wished to make to the model ordinance the Commission sent to it in November 2011. The Township's proposed changes were determined by staff to be inconsistent with the CMP and incompatible with existing and expected conditions at the Joint Base. Another meeting with Township officials took place May 9, 2013. The Township's failure to adopt an ordinance (applicable to the RG-2 and Rg-3 Zones) that is consistent with the CMP standards was discussed at length. The Township submitted an ordinance for Commission review on May 30th. On June 11th, staff provided the Township with suggested changes to the Township's ordinance. A response from the Township was received on 8/1/13 and is under review. The Township adopted Ordinance 13-14, creating a new JB MDL RGC-3 (Joint Base McGuire-Fort Dix-Lakehurst Compatible Commercial Development) Zone on August 12, 2014. A substantial issue finding letter will be sent upon receipt of a second adopted ordinance establishing the boundaries of the new zone. Although scheduled for adoption on March 24, 2015, the rezoning ordinance (06-15) was not adopted due to concerns expressed by property owners with the loss of residential development opportunities. A meeting with Township representatives was held on 4/9/15 to discuss zoning issues, RGA regulations and options. A pre-application meeting with an affected property owner was held on 4/13/15. Commission staff met with the Township's planning consultant on 6/24/15 to review the existing and proposed RGA zoning plans. Commission staff met with Township representatives on 1/5/16 to discuss the RGA zoning issues.</p>
Manchester	<p>1. Township initiated discussion of expanding affordable housing opportunities in Beckerville Village. Met with Township and applicant 12/6/06 to discuss zoning and water quality issues. Draft ordinance received on 11/21/07 which would add age-restricted affordable apartments as a permitted use in Beckerville at a density of 5 units per acre. Response sent to Township 12/6/07 reiterating that water quality/wastewater treatment issues must be addressed first. Issue raised again by Township on 2/15/11; staff reiterated the need for a solution to the wastewater issue before proceeding with any zoning changes for Beckerville. Master plan amendment adopted on 12/5/11 recommends creation of new BVMF (Beckerville Village Multi-Family) Zone. No implementing ordinance has been adopted or provided for review. In response to an inquiry from the Township and the applicant (Homes for All), staff requested that the applicant provide details on any</p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	<p>proposed wastewater solution. Received draft ordinance permitting density of 5 units per acre on Homes for All parcel on 9/28/12. Response to Township provided on 10/12/12, outlining issues with the lack of a wastewater solution and the number of new units that would be permitted in Beckerville. Met with Homes for All and Senator Singer on 11/19/13 to discuss wastewater options. Commission staff discussed the wastewater and zoning issues with the new attorney hired by Homes for All on 6/15/15.</p> <p>2. Revised Zoning Map: On August 26, 2014, the Commission received a draft copy of Ordinance 14-016, which would adopt a number of minor revisions and make corrections to the Township's Pinelands Area zoning map so as to be consistent with the map previously certified by the Commission. The ordinance was adopted on 10/14/14 and submitted to the Commission for formal review. The Commission received a copy of the revised zoning map adopted by Ordinance 14-016 on 12/11/14. A no substantial issue finding letter was issued on 12/24/14. The Township then determined it would need to readopt the ordinance. A new ordinance, 15-009, was adopted on 7/13/15 and submitted to the Commission on 8/5/15. Commission staff have requested and are awaiting receipt of the adopted zoning map accompanying Ordinance 15-009. Commission staff requested and received a copy of the adopted zoning map accompanying Ordinance 15-009 on 12/8/15. The map reflects several small changes in Pinelands management area designations, thereby necessitating the Commission's formal review and approval process. A letter to that effect was sent to the Township on 12/17/15. A public hearing was held on 1/19/16. The Commission certified Manchester Ordinance 15-009 on 3/11/16.</p> <p>3. 2015 Master Plan Amendment: the Planning Board is considering adoption of an amendment to the Master Plan that recommends a change in zoning within the RGA (residential to industrial), as well as the adoption of a redevelopment plan for the Heritage Minerals site in the PNR. A number of hearings have been held on the amendment, with revisions subsequently incorporated to address concerns with other recommended zoning changes outside the Pinelands Area. The staff's attempts to schedule a meeting in December 2015 with Township representatives to discuss RGA zoning issues were unsuccessful, largely due to affordable housing deadlines. The Township did not respond to the staff's request for a meeting in March 2016.</p>
<i>Maurice River</i>	<p><i>1. Buffers for Extraction Industries: On 5/11/16, the Township submitted a draft ordinance for Commission comment that revises provisions for buffering and screening of resource extractive industries. Upon review, staff determined that no substantial issues were raised with respect to CMP standards, and an email was sent to that effect on 5/12/16. The township adopted Ordinance 652, revising provisions for buffering and screening of resource extractive industries, on 6/6/16. Commission staff issued a letter on 6/29/16, indicating that the ordinance raised no substantial issues and could take effect.</i></p>
Medford	<p>1. Revisions to Route 70 Smart Growth Redevelopment Plan/Medford Crossings South: Ordinance 2007-16 received 6/28/07. Township proposed to eliminate PDC obligation at Medford Crossings South redevelopment project by exempting affordable units. Staff advised Township representatives on 7/24/07 that affordable units could be exempted from PDC obligation only if such an exemption were coupled with a mandatory minimum percentage of PDC use for the 292 market rate units in the project. Township requested and was granted several extensions of the Commission's review period (most recently through 11/1/08) to provide an opportunity for further discussion of PDC issues and resolution of various litigation issues. No response to staff's requests for status since that time. On 3/14/11, staff learned that the Township is now in discussions with a new redeveloper for the site and is again proposing the adoption of revisions to the Redevelopment Plan which would significantly reduce or entirely eliminate any obligation for PDC use. The Township was again advised that such an ordinance would be inconsistent with the CMP and could not be recommended for certification. Discussions held with Township Solicitor on 3/21/11 and 3/22/11 and information provided re: PDC sales prices on 3/22/11.</p> <p>2. Master Plan Reexamination Report (Route 70 corridor): On July 23, 2014, the</p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	Township Planning Board adopted a master plan reexamination report recommending changes to three commercial zones along Route 70 (HM, HC-1 and HC-2). On August 25, 2014, the Commission received the Township’s Master Plan Reexamination Report. It is currently under review. On 10/6/14, staff sent a letter to the Township, dated 10/6/14, explaining that review of the master plan report could not be completed until implementing ordinances had been adopted by the Township and submitted to the Commission.
Monroe	<p>1. Rezoning proposal: Township forwarded copies of a proposal it received involving redesignation of lands from APA to RGA on 5/10/12. Comments provided to the Township; unlikely they will pursue the management area changes. A meeting with the Township and a representative of the property owner was held on 2/5/13.</p> <p>2. Redevelopment Plan: In January 2013, the Commission received Township Ordinance O:31-2012, which amends Monroe’s Acme Shopping Center Redevelopment Plan for a third time. Upon review, staff became concerned with the amendment’s negative effects on the expected number of residential dwelling units and PDC use within the Redevelopment Area. In June 2013, staff met with the Township to discuss these concerns. Subsequently, the Township requested, and received, several extensions of the Commission’s review period for Ordinance O:31-2012, most recently through 2/1/15. The Township then adopted another ordinance (O:02-2015) that eliminated the redevelopment plan for a portion of the Acme Redevelopment Area. That ordinance also has the effect of eliminating residential development potential from the Redevelopment Area. Staff then discussed with Township representatives the potential for shifting of residential units to another redevelopment area in the RGA. The Township requested and was granted an extension of the Commission’s review period for Ordinances O:31-2012 and O:02-2015 through 9/30/15 to provide an opportunity for the Township to continue discussions and potential revisions to its redevelopment plans that would maintain residential development potential. Staff met with a Township representative on 10/14/15 to discuss new concept plans for the redevelopment area. <i>An additional extension request was granted through 9/30/16. In March 2016, the Township provided a draft copy of the latest redevelopment plan amendment to the Commission for review. Staff provided comments and suggested revisions to the Township on 4/5/16.</i></p>
Ocean	<p>1. Landfill Redevelopment Plan – adopted ordinance (2011-1) received on 2/28/11. Redevelopment Plan allows for solar energy facilities to be developed at the Southern Ocean Landfill site, within a Pinelands Forest Area. Extension requested by the Township until such time as the Commission adopts the recently proposed solar facility amendments to the CMP. Extension granted on 3/15/11. Requested status update from the Township; municipality indicated it would be requesting an additional extension. Extension of Commission’s review period granted through 4/16/13.</p> <p>2. <i>Ordinances Implementing 2015 Master Plan Reexamination Report and Land Use Element: The Township adopted Ordinance 2016-3 on 4/14/2016. The Commission received the ordinance on 4/25/2016. Upon review, staff determined that provisions within the ordinance were not in conformance with the standards of the CMP. In particular, revisions were made to the definitions of “floodplain” and “clearcutting” that were not in conformance with the CMP. An email to that effect was submitted to the Township Clerk on 5/5/2016. The Township requested an extension of the Commission’s review on 5/25/16 while it actively works towards the adoption of necessary revisions to Ordinance 2016-3. A letter granting the extension was sent on 5/25/2016 with an extension period until 8/30/2016.</i></p>
Pemberton	1. Browns Mills Redevelopment/Revitalization Study: Township has received a grant and hired a consultant. Meeting with consultant held 2/1/08. Maps and other information on parcels, wetlands buffers and projects subsequently provided to consultant. Draft of conceptual site plan for Browns Mills redevelopment received 7/21/09. Draft of Browns Mills Strategic Revitalization and Redevelopment Study received 4/8/10. Draft of Ordinance 5-2010, adopting amendments to the 1995 Browns Mills Town Center Redevelopment Plan, received 4/19/10. Copy of 1995

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	<p>Browns Mills Redevelopment Plan and adopting ordinance (8-1995) requested 4/19/10 and received 4/22/10. All documents under review. Staff met with the Township's planners on 5/19/10 to discuss the 1995 Redevelopment Plan, recent amendments and additional plans for the revitalization of Browns Mills. Meeting held with the Township, at Mayor's request, on 4/14/11. Received notice that Browns Mills Revitalization Plan was adopted by the Township earlier this year; awaiting receipt of adopted ordinance. Adopted ordinance (12-2011) received on 12/19/11 and under review. A meeting with Township representatives was held on 5/9/13 to discuss the analysis of residential development potential that would need to be completed. That analysis was completed by the Township and provided to the Commission in late 2013. A meeting was held with Township representatives on 2/24/14 to discuss zoning, PDC requirements and wetlands buffer issues relative to the redevelopment area. On 10/16/14, the Township forwarded a series of maps to illustrate how the PDC requirements applicable to the Browns Mills Redevelopment Area might be shifted to another portion of the municipality's RGA. A meeting with Township representatives to discuss the issue was held on 1/20/15. The Township requested in late February that staff draft revisions to the Redevelopment Plan that would accommodate PDC use. These draft amendments were provided to the Township on 3/11/15. The Township then hired a new attorney to work on the redevelopment issues and identified a second potential redevelopment area within the RGA. At the Township's request, Commission staff calculated wetlands and vacant acres within the two redevelopment areas and provided this information, along with detailed maps, on 5/26/15. Staff met with Township representatives on the two redevelopment areas and potential ways to address PDC requirements on 7/31/15. At the Township's request, staff also assisted with the preparation of Pemberton's application to ULI (Urban Land Institute) Philadelphia for technical assistance on the redevelopment plans. Comments and suggested attachments were provided on 8/27/15. Staff attended a meeting on 1/25/16 with the Township and representatives from DCA, Local Planning Services, to discuss possible assistance in planning for the Browns Mills and Range Road redevelopment areas.</p> <p>2. 2009 Master Plan: draft of new master plan received 6/9/09. Meeting held with planning consultants on 6/18/09 to review proposed zoning and management area changes and affordable housing issues. Drafts of implementing ordinances received, including rezonings and management area changes within the Pinelands area, on 11/10/09. Draft of amended zoning map received 12/9/09 and under review. Adopted ordinances received 1/15/10. Adopted Master Plan received 2/25/10. More detail on rezoning boundaries requested and received 3/22/10. List of issues identified for the Township and under discussion. Met with Township Planner on 3/8/12 to discuss clustering amendments as well as zoning changes and master plan. Received response to Master Plan/zoning map issues on 6/13/12. Township also indicated an interest in an additional FA-APA zoning change. Advised municipality that progress would need to be made on clustering ordinance before review of other zoning changes could commence. The Township then proceeded with adoption of its response to the CMP clustering and forestry amendments. A meeting with Township representatives was held on 5/9/13 to discuss resolution of various issues related to the prior zoning map and master plan. An additional meeting was held on 2/24/14, at which time all remaining issues were resolved, including a proposal to rezone lands from the Forest Area to the Agricultural Production Area to enable their participation in the Farmland Preservation Program. On July 25, 2014, the Commission received a copy of a draft master plan reexamination report from the Township, discussing the various zoning and management area changes. Commission staff provided comments and suggestions on July 28, 2014. A revised version of the master plan report was received on August 13, 2014, with a hearing before the Planning Board scheduled for September 8, 2014. Staff provided comments and suggestions on July 28, 2014. A revised version of the master plan report was received on August 13, 2014, with a hearing before the Planning Board scheduled for September 8, 2014. A revised version of the master plan report was adopted by the Planning Board on September 8, 2014. A draft revised zoning map was provided to the Commission for review</p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	<p>in late January 2015. Commission staff identified a number of discrepancies between the draft map and the certified zoning. A detailed description of these discrepancies was provided to the Township on 2/23/15. A meeting was held with the Township to review the issues on 2/27/15. Staff provided the Township with a shapefile of Pemberton’s certified zoning on 3/3/15. Multiple iterations of the revised zoning map were received and reviewed between March-September 2015. Staff provided the Township with shapefiles and written descriptions of all identified discrepancies and met with Township representatives to resolve them in September and October 2015. An ordinance to adopt the revised zoning map was introduced on 12/16/15 and adopted in March 2016. An adopted copy of the ordinance, along with the revised zoning map, was provided to the Commission on 3/22/16. Staff notified the Township via letter dated 3/31/16 that Ordinance 20-2015, the 2009 Master Plan, 2014 Reexamination Report and a number of other previously submitted ordinances would require formal review and approval by the Commission. <i>A public hearing was held on 4/27/16. The P&I Committee reviewed the master plan and ordinance amendments on 6/24/16 and agreed with the staff’s recommendation for certification. The matter is on the Commission’s agenda for action on 7/8/16</i></p> <p>3. Affordable housing: The Pemberton Planning Board adopted a new Housing Element and Fair Share Plan in November 2015. The Commission received an adopted copy on 12/11/15. <i>Commission staff sent a letter to the Township on 1/5/16, indicating that the Housing Element and Fair Share Plan raised no substantial issues and could take effect.</i></p>
Port Republic	<p><i>1. Master Plan Reexamination Report: the Township Planning Board adopted the 2016 Master Plan Reexamination Report on 4/11/16, which included a recommendation to permit home occupations. The Commission received the adopted report on 5/12/16. Upon review, staff determined that a review could not be completed until implementing ordinances have been adopted by the City as well as ordinances implementing recent CMP amendments. A letter to that effect was sent to the City on 6/22/16. A model ordinance implementing the necessary CMP amendments was attached to the letter.</i></p>
South Toms River	<p>1. Landfill redevelopment plan: Staff met with numerous Borough representatives on 11/16/15 to discuss a plan for redevelopment of municipally-owned properties in the RGA. The plan would include high-density residential development as well as closure of the Borough’s old landfill. Further discussions with Borough representatives occurred in December.</p> <p>2. Municipal Complex redevelopment plans: The Borough adopted a Redevelopment Plan for one property in the RGA (Block 19, Lot 1 -Double Trouble Road) on 11/23/15. The Redevelopment Plan envisions that this property will be the site of the Borough’s new municipal complex. The Commission received an adopted copy of Ordinance 12-15 on 12/31/15. Staff sent the Borough a no substantial issue finding letter on 3/23/16, allowing Ordinance 12-15 to take effect. A draft of a second redevelopment plan for a property outside the Pinelands Area, where the existing municipal complex is located, was received on 1/5/16.</p> <p><i>3. Municipal Public Access Plan: The Township submitted a draft Municipal Public Access Plan on 6/23/16 for Commission comment prior to its adoption into the Master Plan by the Township Planning Board. Staff is currently reviewing the draft plan.</i></p>
Upper Township	<p>1. Density transfer program: The Township adopted Ordinance 002-2013, revising the provisions of the Forest Area density transfer program to allow for residential development on an existing undersized lot of at least one acre. The Commission received a copy of Ordinance 002-2013 on 12/22/15. Staff sent the Township a no substantial issue finding letter on 1/21/16, allowing Ordinance 002-2013 to take effect.</p>
Waterford	<p>1. Haines Mills Blvd. Redevelopment Area: Commission staff met with Township representatives on 11/17/14 to discuss the potential addition of residential development and/or mixed use development in the redevelopment area. Potential issues with density, PDCs and water use were reviewed. An additional discussion</p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	<p>with Township representatives occurred on 5/18/15, at which time Commission staff suggested the preparation of a more detailed proposal. <i>A new redevelopment plan, permitting a mixture of residential and commercial uses, was provided to the Commission for review on 5/5/16. Staff met with Township representatives on 6/7/16 to discuss issues related to density, affordable housing and water/sewer capacity.</i></p> <p>2. Affordable housing: The Township contacted the staff in October 2015 to discuss a proposed zoning change (commercial to residential) in the RGA to accommodate affordable housing. Staff met with Township representatives on 12/2/15 to discuss the zoning change, PDC requirements, residential densities and revisions to the Haines Mills Redevelopment Plan to accommodate residential development. Adopted copies of the Township's 2015 Housing Plan and rezoning ordinance (Ordinance 2015-17) were received on 12/21/15 and are under review. <i>The Township subsequently requested and was granted extensions of the Commission's review period, most recently through 5/6/16. A revised draft ordinance was provided to the Commission on 3/23/16. Staff drafted suggested revisions and provided them to the Township on 4/7/16. The Township then shifted its focus to the provision of affordable housing in the Haines Mills Blvd Redevelopment Area (see above).</i></p> <p>3. <i>Street Vacation: The Township adopted Ordinances 2016-4 and 2016-6 vacating portions of Fourth Street and Maple Street, respectively. The Commission received the ordinances on 3/17/16 and 3/28/16, respectively. Upon review, staff determined that no substantial issues were raised with respect to CMP standards. A letter to that effect was sent to the Township on 5/5/16.</i></p>
Weymouth	<p>1. Draft ordinance (472-2008) requiring use of contiguous commonly owned lands prior to use of noncontiguous lands under the Forest Area density transfer program received 3/24/08. Comments provided to Township solicitor on 4/30. Discussed in detail with Planning Board Engineer on 5/8. Adopted ordinance received 6/12. Finding letter issued on 7/7/08 indicating ordinance raises a substantial issue requiring Commission's formal review and approval. Meeting with Township representatives to discuss purpose of ordinance and its implications to be scheduled. Township has since repealed the ordinance and will reconsider the issue as part of an upcoming master plan review.</p> <p>2. Ordinance 468-2008 establishing a contribution in-lieu of providing recreational facilities for certain residential developments and providing regulations for recreational facilities received and reviewed. Finding Letter issued determining that Ordinance 468-2008 presented a substantial issue and requesting supporting analysis for the fee in question. Extension of Commission's review period requested and granted through 7/11/08. Awaiting adoption and submission of revised ordinance.</p>
Winslow	<p>1. RGA zoning changes: met with Township representatives on 8/13/12 to discuss possible revisions to zoning plan in the RGA along Route 73. Received map identifying areas under consideration 8/17/12. Under review to determine impacts on residential density and PDCs. Commission staff provided several alternatives for the Township's consideration in December 2012. A meeting was held with the Township on 1/23/13. In August 2014, Township representatives initiated a discussion of more comprehensive revisions to the municipality's RGA zoning plan. A meeting was held on August 26, 2014 to discuss proposed densities, zoning boundaries and PDC use. Commission staff subsequently provided the Township with data on vacant land in the RGA. On September 23, 2014, the Township forwarded its proposed zoning plan to the Commission. Comments and questions were provided to the Township on September 23 and 26, 2014. Another meeting with the Township took place on November 6, 2014. During preparations for the Township's presentation at the 1/30/15 P&I meeting, issues with sewer and water supply and the Commission's MOU with Winslow and CCMUA were identified. The presentation on Winslow's RGA rezoning proposal has been deferred until these issues are fully resolved.</p> <p>2. <i>Housing Element and Fair Share Plan: The Commission received on 6/10/16 a draft Housing Element and Fair Share Plan seeking Commission comment prior to the Township Planning Board's consideration at their 6/21/15 meeting. These</i></p>

MUNICIPALITY	TOPIC
	<p><i>documents seek to implement the Township's affordable housing obligation. Upon review, staff determined that a provision related to PDC obligations for affordable housing would not be in conformance with the CMP. An email to that effect was sent to their Planner on 6/16/16. In an email received on 6/16/16, the Township indicated that they would remove said provision from the draft documents prior to Planning Board adoption, and requested further discussion with Commission staff to determine a solution. Staff is in the process of setting up a meeting.</i></p>
Woodland	<p>1. Expansion of Duke's Bridge infill area: rezoning proposal received 4/8/08. Meeting with Township held 5/7/08.</p> <p>2. Expansion of Rt. 72 infill area to incorporate municipally owned lands: rezoning proposal received 3/31/08. Meeting with Township held 5/7/08. Waiting for tax map and ownership information from municipality before proceeding to develop rezoning options. Tax map/ownership information received 10/23/08. Meeting held with Township representatives on 2/15/11 to discuss plans for Master Plan Reexamination. Parcel data and other information subsequently provided to the Township. On December 14th, staff met with the Township's professionals to discuss its proposed 2011 Master Plan Reexamination Report and its 2011 Master Plan. Staff explained its concerns with the proposed zoning changes and is working with the Township's professionals on revisions. At the Mayor's request, an additional meeting was held in January 2012 for purposes of discussing commercial development opportunities on municipal property partially located in the HB (Infill) Zone.</p>