



Meeting Summary

124 GAITHER DRIVE
SUITE 150
MT. LAUREL, NJ 08054
TEL - 856.235.7200
FAX - 856.722.9250

JOB No.: 03346.7000.04.00

PROJECT: Route 295, Contract No. 045083240

DATE: July 24, 2009

TO: File; NJDOT (Steve Manera; Mahesh Patel; Rick Jaffe; Bill Gaus; Dave Bizuga; Tony Marsella)

FROM: Tom Costello

SUBJECT: Summary of 7/22/09 Community Outreach Meeting with Springfield Township
(NOTE: Prepared for file, not issued as official meeting minutes)

At 1:00 PM Mahesh Patel & I met with Springfield Township Administrator J. Paul Keller [phone 609.732.2464 (Dept 22), mgr@springfieldtownship.org] and Patrolman Brian Lure (phone: 609.723.5100) at the Township's Municipal Complex. The following summarizes our discussions:

1. Mahesh provided a brief introduction to the project, explaining the limits [from just north of Int. 45 (Willingboro) to just south of Int. 57 (Bordentown/Route 130)]; type of construction (rubblization with 8" overlay; full depth reconstruction in vicinity of bridges), staging sequence [preliminary work to reconstruct SB shoulders, two main stages with traffic diverted to one side for 60± days (2-lanes in each directed separated by a barrier); crossovers provided across the median for access to/from interchange ramps when feasible; emergency pull-offs every ±half mile], and schedule (preliminary work starting as early as late fall 2009; reconstruct NB side beginning mid-June 2010; reconstruct SB side beginning mid-June 2011). Reconstruction at Interchanges will be sub-staged as follows to ensure that only one interchange is close at a time: Stages 2A/4A=Int. 52 (Florence/Columbus); Stages 2B/4B=Int. 56 (Rising Sun Rd) Stages 2C/4C=Int. 47 (CR 541).
2. We further explained that the meeting was part of the DOT's initial community outreach program, which has us meeting with Burlington County and all the municipalities that lie within the project footprint. Springfield being one of least impacted. It was noted that DOT is proposing to maintain a project website during construction, which will include information on the detours.
3. No specific detour routes were discussed, as none run through Springfield. It was noted that Springfield may see an increase in traffic on some roads during construction, as motorists seek out alternate routes to avoid congestion.
4. Springfield did not raise any issues and were appreciative that we took the time to meet with them and introduce them to the project.