

**FINAL**

**NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
I-295/I-76/Rte 42 Interchange Reconstruction  
Eighth Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Meeting  
June 8, 2006 6pm - 9pm  
Bellmawr Senior Citizens' Center**

**MEETING MINUTES SUMMARY**

**CAC Meeting Attendees**

Diane Garcia (Mt. Ephraim resident)  
Charles Dougherty (DVRPC)  
Richard Middleton (Bellmawr Baseball, Inc.)  
Robert Stokes (Mt. Ephraim Resident)  
Robert Guerrieri (Diocese of Camden – Department of Real Estate)  
Kenneth McIlvaine (Diocese of Camden)  
Hayley Knopple (Korman Commercial Properties)  
Jerry Segal (Korman Commercial Properties)  
Frank Meloni (Bellmawr Senior Citizen Association)  
Jeffrey Brickner (Republican Club of Bellmawr)  
Bruce Huntsinger (Gloucester resident)  
Harry Moore (Bellmawr Park resident)  
Patricia Levins (Bellmawr Park resident)  
Lenny Bandoch (Annunciation Church)  
Jody Mangus (Bellmawr Board of Education)  
Ron Henry (Bellmawr Board of Education)  
Dale Keith (Senior Citizens United Community Services of Camden County)

**Project Team Attendees**

Jody Barankin (NJDOT)  
Bruce Riegel (NJDOT)  
Nick Caiazza, (NJDOT)  
Patricia Feliciano (NJDOT)  
Craig Johnson (Dewberry)  
Ileana Ivanciu (Dewberry)  
Patricia Saulino (Dewberry)

**SUMMARY**

Jody Barankin opened the meeting with all attendees introducing themselves and stating their respective affiliations. Jody went on to give a brief overview of the status of the Direct Connection Project and explained that as there are funding prioritizations throughout the state, the pace for Direct Connection has been moved back somewhat. However, the Technical Environmental Studies (TES) process continues to move along with the selection of a Preferred Alternative expected by fall of 2006.

Jody then turned the meeting over to Craig who reviewed the following presentation information to bring the group up to date on the progress of the alternatives for Direct Connection Project:

- New 2030 traffic numbers based on an updated DVRPC model and assumes Missing Moves is built.
- The five alternatives' alignments reflect changes in Ramp D due to a reduction in volumes
- The Technical Environmental Studies (TES) have been completed and submitted to NJDOT for review. Five of the studies were reviewed and approved and forwarded to Federal Highway (FHWA).
- A staging sequence was developed for all five alternatives and we now have construction costs and detailed construction schedules for all the alternatives.
- The New St. Mary's Cemetery property at the rear of the cemetery is an essential acquisition for all five alternatives and the appraisal process has started.
- The process of selecting a Preferred Alternative including the standards/metrics to be used in the measurement of the criteria disciplines and the development of the Alternatives Comparison Matrix is underway. The proposed date for selection of the Preferred Alternative is fall of 2006.

Nick explained the alternative analysis process leading to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) preparation and reiterated the review process.

Nick went on to explain the TES table and the Alternative Comparison Matrix and that our purpose tonight was to get consensus on the topics/headings of the matrix so that each alternative can be judged on its own using the same methodology.

At this point, Craig and Ileana did a complete review of the Alternative Comparison Matrix and ran through each of the criterion and the metrics used for comparisons.

At this point, the group's questions were discussed.

- ❖ Diane Garcia suggested that the Project Team look into taking "credit" for positive impact on the community regarding air which heretofore they had not done. Chick Dougherty pointed out that the Project Team should verbalize that all the alternatives provide a positive effect while the "no build" alternative produces a negative effect.
- ❖ Harry Moore asked the status of the Missing Moves project and Bruce said it is currently on hold and they are working with Bellmawr and the developer to see how they can coordinate the Missing Moves project with the development of the landfill area.

- ❖ Ken McIlvaine questioned if splitting the contract into segments for bidding could extend the time of the contract. Craig responded that as it may extend the time, it also may provide opportunities for starting construction sooner.
- ❖ Pat Levins remarked that her situation would improve greatly from a noise standpoint since after construction, noise walls will be in place greatly aiding in lowering noise levels. It was then discussed if receptors receiving a benefit (i.e. reduced noise levels) should be identified in the matrix.
- ❖ Bob Guerrieri asked how noise is measured when the road has not yet been constructed and Ileana explained they use noise models. A noise model was developed by FHWA and is a proven and accurate asset.
- ❖ Diane Garcia questioned if there would be a visual benefit for noise wall removal in the Al-Jo's curve area.
- ❖ Bob Stokes asked about the red-headed woodpecker that he photographed last year and was told by Ileana that Dewberry's investigation determined that it was not a red-headed woodpecker. Bob said he had checked with the Audubon Society and they had ascertained that it was a red-headed woodpecker, a T & E species. Bob added that he also has a baby woodpecker on his property. He will take a photograph and send it to the Project Team for further investigation.

Jody reminded the group to call Patricia Saulino at 856 802 0843 X 128 if they had any questions or needed to get in touch with anyone on the Project Team. Stamped postal cards are also available for members to write to the Project Team.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 9pm.

We believe the foregoing record to be an accurate summary of the meeting and related decisions. We would appreciate notification of exceptions or corrections to these Minutes within five (5) working days of receipt. Without notification, we will consider these Minutes to be a record of fact.

Respectfully Submitted,

Patricia Saulino  
Dewberry- Goodkind, Inc.