

# Bureau of Materials Materials Approval Procedures

## MAP Number: **116-15**

Effective Date: <u>April 1, 2015</u>

Approved By: <u>Eileen Sheehy</u>

## PROCEDURE FOR APPROVAL OF MECHANICALLY STABILIZED EARTH (MSE) RETAINING WALL SYSTEMS

## **PURPOSE:**

To establish a procedure to approve MSE Retaining Wall Systems for addition to the NJDOT Bureau of Material's Qualified Products List (QPL).

#### **REFERENCES:**

New Jersey Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction Section 513 – Retaining Walls

Section 904.02.02 – Precast Concrete Retaining Walls

AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications

NJDOT Bridges and Structures Design Manual.

#### **PROCEDURE:**

#### A. Manufacturer's Request for Approval.

The manufacturer shall request in writing the approval of the MSE Retaining Wall System. To be qualified as a MSE Retaining Wall System, the wall system shall meet the definition that is specified in Subsection 11.10.1 of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. The following information shall be included in the request for approval:

- 1. The name, address and contact information for the manufacturer.
- 2. The name or designation of the MSE Retaining Wall System that is to be evaluated.
- 3. Information as required in the attached checklist.

Mail the request for approval to the following:

#### Mailing Address (USPS):

Manager, Bureau of Materials (Thiokol Bldg. 4) New Jersey Department of Transportation P.O. Box 600 Trenton, NJ 08625-0600

## B. Bureau of Structural Engineering Review.

### Street Address (UPS, Fed Ex, etc.):

Manager, Bureau of Materials (Thiokol Bldg. 4) New Jersey Department of Transportation 930 Lower Ferry Road West Trenton, NJ 08628

The Bureau of Structural Engineering will review the manufacturer's submittal for completeness according to the checklist. If the submittal is incomplete, it will be rejected. The Bureau of Structural Engineering will review the design criteria to verify that it meets AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications and NJDOT design parameters. The Bureau of Structural Engineering will make the final determination on the approval of the wall system for addition to the QPL.

#### **PROJECT ACCEPTANCE REQUIREMENTS:**

Qualification of a MSE Retaining Wall System and its addition to the QPL does not constitute a blanket approval of the wall system. On a project to project basis, the final design of the wall system shall be submitted for approval according to the Working Drawing procedures of the *NJDOT Standard Specifications*.

#### **DISQUALIFICATION:**

The ME may remove a wall system from the QPL for non-conformance with design and construction specification requirements or for a documented history of poor field performance. The manufacturer shall notify the ME, in writing, of any change in product formulation. Failure to notify the ME of changes in product formulation will result in disqualification.

## **REQUALIFICATION:**

The ME will reevaluate a product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL only after submission of a formal request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been resolved.

The ME may require the manufacturer to requalify the product for any of the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that obsolete wall systems are not kept on the list, the ME may request written confirmation from the manufacturer that the wall system is still available and has not changed formulation. Failure to respond to the Bureau's written request will result in the product being removed from the list.
- 2. If the formulation of the wall system has changed, the ME may require that the new formulation be requalified.
- 3. If the NJDOT Standard Specifications, AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, or NJDOT Bridges and Structures Design Manual change, or if any referenced ASTM or AASHTO specifications change, the ME may require requalification to ensure that the product meets new criteria.

## Submittal Check List

## MECHANICALLY STABILIZED EARTH (MSE) RETAINING WALL SYSTEM

## INSTRUCTIONS

To expedite the evaluation of the MSE Retaining Wall system, applicants must furnish information as indicated in the Checklist. The Checklist items should be referenced to assure that the submittal package includes all of the listed information. The submittal package should be organized according to the numbered items in the Checklist. The completed Checklist should be included with the submitted package.

## **Part One:**

Identify material specification designations that govern the materials that are used in furnishing the wall system components. Provide product literature that describes the wall system, its components and adequately addresses the checklist items. Identify precast concrete facilities that have experience with fabricating the concrete components of the wall system.

## 1.1 Concrete Facing Unit

Yes	No	N/A	
			standard dimensions and tolerances
			joint sizes
			concrete strength (f''c = 5000 psi minimum)
			wet cast concrete % air (range)
			moisture absorption (percent by weight)
			scaling resistance
			freeze thaw durability
			facing unit to facing unit shear resistance
			bearing pads (joints)
			spacers (pins, etc.)
			joint filter requirements: geotextile or graded granular
			aesthetic choices (texture, relief, color, graffiti treatment)
			other facing materials

1.2	Earth reinforcement					
1.2.1	Inexte	ensible (M	Metallic)			
Yes	No	N/A				
			type identified (welded wire, steel bars, etc.)			
			ultimate and yield strength of steel			
			minimum galvanization thickness			
			corrosion resistance test data			
1.2.2	Exten	tensible (Geosynthetics)				
Yes	No	N/a				
			polymer type and grade			
			HDPE: resin type, class, grade & category			
			minimum intrinsic viscosity correlated to number of average molecular weight and maximum carboxyl end groups			
			weight per unit area			
			minimum average roll value for ultimate strength			
			creep reduction factor for 75 and 100 year design life, including effect of temperatures			
			durability reduction factor (chemical, hydrolysis, oxidation)			
`			additional durability reduction factor for high biologically active environments			
			installation damage reduction factor for range of backfill (select backfill, course aggregate)			
			UV resistance			
1.3	Facing	g Connect	ion(s)			
Yes	No	N/A				
			mode (structural, frictional or combined)			
			connection strength as a % of reinforcement strength at various confining pressures for each reinforcement product and connection type submitted			
			composition of devices, dimensions, tolerances			
			full scale connection test method/results			

1.4	Range	Range of Backfill			
Yes	No	N/A			
			soil classification, graduation, unit weight, friction angle for reinforcement method		
			soil classification, graduation, unit weight, friction angle for facing type		
1.5	Levelii	ng Pad			
Yes	No	N/A			
			cast-in-place		
			precast		
			granular		
1.6	Drainage Elements				
Yes	No	N/A			
			weep holes		
			base		
			backfill		
			surface		
1.7	Coping	g			
Yes	No	N/A			
			precast		
			precast attachment method/details		
			cast-in-place		
1.8	Traffic Barrier				
Yes	No	N/A			
			precast		
			cast-in-place		

## **1.9** Connections to Appurtenances

Yes No N/A \_\_\_\_ precast

## Part Two: Design

Clearly identify that the design conforms to the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. Identify design assumptions and procedures with specific references (e.g., design code sections) for each of the listed items. (Note: When designing the moment (anchor) slab for a concrete barrier installation, the design of the barrier section may be based on a 10 kip transverse force that is distributed over a 5 feet section of barrier. For stability analysis, a 20 feet length of moment slab to counteract sliding and overturning shall be used.)

#### 2.1 AASHTO LRFD Provisions

Yes	No	N/A	
			sliding
			overturning (including traffic impact)
			bearing resistance
			overall stability
			seismic
			movement at service limit state
			passive resistance and sliding
			safety against structural failure
			drainage
2.2	Perfor	mance C	riteria
Yes	No	N/A	
			erection tolerances
			horizontal/vertical deflection limits

### 2.4 Drawings

Provide representative drawings (may be on 8  $\frac{1}{2}$  x 11 paper size) showing all standard details along with any alternate details, including the following:

Yes No N/A \_\_\_\_\_ details for wall elements connection details

			appurtenance connection details	
			obstruction detail (utilities, parapet/sidewalk connection, light standard and box)	
			corrosion/durability protection details	
			construction details	
2.5	Specifi			
Provide sample specifications for:				
Yes	No	N/A		
			wall system component materials	

## 2.6 Example Calculations

Provide sample calculations for the design items listed in Part 2.1 above.

Yes No N/A

## 2.7 Computer Support

If a computer program is used for design or distributed to customers, provide representative computer printouts of design calculations for the above typical applications demonstrating the reasonableness of computer results.

Yes No N/A

## **Part Three: Construction**

Provide the following information related to the construction of the system:

3.1	Fabrication of Facing Units			
Yes	No	N/A		
			curing methods	
			concrete surface finish requirements	

## 3.2 Field Construction Manual

Provide a documented field construction manual describing in detail and with illustrations as necessary the step-bystep construction sequence, including requirements for:

Yes	No	N/A	
			foundation preparation
			special tools required
			leveling pad
			facing erection
			facing batter for alignment
			steps to maintain horizontal and vertical alignment
			retained and backfill placement/compaction
			erosion mitigation
			all equipment requirements

## 3.3 Contractor or Subcontractor Prequalification Requirements

List any contractor or subcontractor pre-qualifications.

Yes No N/A

\_\_\_\_

## **Part Four: Performance**

Provide the following information related to the performance of the system:

### 4.1 **Project Performance History**

Provide a well-documented history of performance (with photos, where available), including:

Yes	No	N/A	
			oldest
			highest
			projects experiencing maximum measured settlement (total and differential) measurements of lateral movement/tilt
			demonstrated aesthetics
			maintenance history