

September 7, 2006

**To:** All Interested Bidders

**Re: RFP #: 07-X-38832**  
**System Implementation:**  
**International Registration Plan (IRP)**

**Bid Due Date:** October 3, 2006 (2:00 PM Eastern Time)

**ADDENDUM # 1**

The following constitutes Addendum # 1 to the above-referenced solicitation. This addendum is divided into the following parts:

Part 1: Answers to questions.

Part 2: Additions, deletions, clarifications and modifications to the RFP.

Please be advised that the bid opening date has been changed from September 15, 2006 to October 3, 2006 due to the large number of questions submitted.

It is the bidder's responsibility to ensure that all changes are incorporated into the original RFP.

All other instructions, terms and conditions of the RFP shall remain the same.

**PART 1**  
**System Implementation:**  
**International Registration Plan (IRP)**  
**RFP Number 07-X-38832**

**Answers to Questions**

Note: Some of the questions have been paraphrased in the interest of readability and clarity. Each question is referenced by the appropriate RFP page number(s) and section where applicable.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
1.	Pg's. 23 & 24	Technical Requirements (#55&56)	<b>Is the State looking to purchase/implement a CVIEW system in conjunction with this bid or simply develop hooks from the IRP and OS/OW systems to a CVIEW that will be</b>	New Jersey is seeking a fully functional and operational CVIEW system as part of this RFP.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
			<b>implemented at some future point in time?</b>	
2.		General Question	<b>Did the original IRP bid 04-X-36248 that was awarded in 2004 get cancelled and is this RFP replacing it as well as including the development of a web-based OD OW permitting system?</b>	Yes, the original was cancelled and has been replaced with add-ons.
3.	Pg. 20  Pg. 30	Section 3.2  Section 3.10.10	<b>Requirement # 55 in Section 3.2, &amp; Section 3.10.10 of the RFP address the CVIEW/CVISN components of the project. Please confirm whether the following interfaces between CVIEW and various state-owned or third-party systems are mandatory or optional: IRP, Overweight/over-dimensional, IFTA, Heavy duty diesel system, CDLIS, Comp system/future Matrx, IDMS, SAFER, and Carrier Enrollment Center.</b>	All listed interfaces are mandatory.
4.	Pg. 8	Section 1.3.3	<b>With respect to the HDD, CDLIS, Comp system/futureMatrx, IDMS and Carrier Enrollment Center interfaces to CVIEW, please clarify what information you would like to see exchanged between CVIEW and these systems and how you envision these systems being integrated with CVIEW (e.g., web services, XML files, exchange, etc.).</b>	CVISN documents are available in the Document Review Room. Registration status, carrier safety, driver status vehicle size, and vehicle weight are the major categories to be interfaced by CVIEW.
5.	Pg. 7	Section 1.2.5	<b>Do the HDD, Comp system/future Matrx, IDMS and Carrier Enrollment Center systems exist today? If so, please describe the platform that these systems operate on.</b>	Heavy duty diesel, comp system and IDMS systems exist today. The MATRX system will be developed in the future as well as the Carrier Enrollment Center. As noted in Section 1.2.5 "the vendor system must provide an exchange of information between CVIEW and legacy systems that support IRP, overweight/ over dimensional permits, International Fuel TAX Agreement (IFTA) and other programs."
6.		Sections	<b>Are vendors expected to provide</b>	The quote requested for CVIEW

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
	Pg. 30	3.10.7 and 3.10.10	<b>quotes to develop a conceptual design, detailed design and provide staff training for the CVIEW component of this bid?</b>	development is outlined in Section 3.10.10. Staff training requirement is referenced in Section 3.10.7.
7.	Pg. 8	Section 1.3.3	<b>Please clarify whether the requirements in sections 3.8 and 3.9 apply to the CVIEW component of this bid.</b>	No. CVIEW will have its own acceptance criteria found in the CVISN document found in the Document Review Room. This document was developed and approved by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration.
8.	Pg. 8	Section 1.3.3	<b>Our CVIEW supports many of the PRISM processes as currently implemented in a number of states (e.g., uploading and downloading PRISM target files)&gt; Please clarify how you envision the CVIEW system supporting the PRISM requirements identified in Section 1.2.4 of the State's RFP as well as in Section 3.2 (requirement # 57).</b>	New Jersey's PRISM implementation plan is available in the Document Review Room. This outlines criteria specific to New Jersey. PRISM information is to feed CVIEW.
9.	Pg. 26	Section 3.3	<b>Please confirm whether the State will be responsible for purchasing and/or providing the hardware and operating software for the testing and production environments.</b>	Yes. New Jersey will provide both operating hardware and operating software recommended by the vendor based on the configuration of the server.
10.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10	<b>What is the State's preferred schedule for implementing the CVIEW component of this project?</b>	The preferred schedule would follow the IRP implementation schedule as closely as possible without causing any undue delay with IRP.
11.	Pg. 8	Section 1.3.3	<b>What are the performance criteria for CVIEW?</b>	Acceptance standards and performance criteria for CVIEW must be agreed upon and developed by the State and vendor and approved by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. The CVISN program agreement between New Jersey and FMCSA is available in the Document Review Room.
12.	Pg. 8	Section 1.3.3	<b>What are the acceptance standards for CVIEW?</b>	Same answer as question 11.
13.	Pg. 37	Section 4.4.5.8	<b>Which certifications are subcontractor's required to submit (e.g., Business Registration, MacBride, Affirmative Action)?</b>	Refer to paragraphs A-F, subcontractors are NOT required to submit any forms. The contractor must submit all forms for all subcontractors.
14.	Pg. 35	Section 4.4.5.2	<b>Do subcontractor's need to submit an organization chart?</b>	No, the contractor should include a contract organization chart, with names showing management, supervisory and other key personnel (including subcontractor's management, supervisory or other key personnel).
15.	Pg. 1	Cover Page	<b>The cover page of the Request for Proposal references performance security of 0 to 15% as applicable. What will the State consider in</b>	It has been determined that a 15% Performance Bond is required for this RFP.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
			order to make the determination as to whether to require a performance bond?	
16.	Pg. 39	Section 5.8	<b>We recognize that pre-existing intellectual property must be identified in the proposal. However, as written, the RFP requires the contractor to grant a license to such property upon contract award rather than upon payment for the license for such property. Will the State consider modifying this language such that the license will be granted upon payment for the license rather than upon contract award?</b>	No. The cost of the license(s) should be factored into the vendor's overall cost proposal. If the cost of the license(s) is/are incorporated into a price line, the vendor should separately identify the cost of the license(s) in a footnote to the price line.
17.	Pg. 39	Section 5.8	<b>In the event that the contractor has pre-existing intellectual property that will be modified for the State, will the State consider granting a license to the contractor to use the modifications?</b>	Yes. The State will consider granting a license to the contractor for modifications. The State will negotiate with the contract vendor the license arrangement, the attendant fees/commissions, and any other issue concerning the license at the time the vendor informs the State that it wants to arrange a license agreement with the State to use the modifications.
18.	Pg. 42	Section 5.20	<b>The definitions include "all inclusive hourly rate". Does the State want contractors to submit category rates for individuals that may perform services?</b>	Yes. Refer to Section 5.20 on pg. 42 of the RFP.
19.	Attachment # 1 – Price Sheet	Line 13	<b>Since the cost proposal form contemplates fixed prices per task, is there a particular format for the submittal of applicable hourly rates?</b>	Bidder must attach a separate sheet identifying skill categories with all-inclusive, hourly rates for additional work/special projects, per RFP Section 5.20.
20.	Pg. 20	Section 3.0	<b>Please clarify if the vendor will be responsible for creating a CVIEW system or just integrate with one. Section 3.0 states that the RFP is for an IRP and Overweight/Over-dimensional Permitting systems, but Section 3.8 item 55 on pg.23 indicates the creation of a CVIEW system.</b>	The bidder must create a CVIEW system. It must integrate with other government systems. Documents are available in the Document Review Room.
21.	Pg. 36	Section 4.4.5.5	<b>A full organization chart of the provider company could include 2000 names and positions. Is this the intent?</b>	No. The intent is to get <u>all</u> names of key employees responsible for the implementation as indicated in Section 4.4.5.5E.
22.	Pg. 20	Section 3.0	<b>Is there any elasticity on the July 1, 2007 target date for productive use? This is an aggressive date. To insure a quality conversion, with</b>	Agreed. Production target date will be one year from award of contract.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
			regression testing and data cleansing, additional time may be needed.	
23.	Pg. 20	Section 3.2 #8	<b>States that the solution is “maintained by OIT” This is not an option for a COTS licensed software system. Can you provide clarity on the intent of this requirement?</b>	Referenced to in the Document Review Room of the “State of New Jersey shared IT Architecture”, this is not a licensed software system.
24.	Pg. 21	Section 3.2 # 19	<b>Please clarify the requirement for the contractor to make any necessary corrections to the data. We will need the New Jersey IRP unit to provide data cleansing rules, and in some cases, to fix the data in the existing system before production conversion. We don’t think the vendor should or will have access to that system/data.</b>	New Jersey IRP will provide data cleansing rules. The vendor will have access to the IRP/comprehensive system.
25.	Pg. 22	Section 3.2 # 36	<b>Does New Jersey have an ad-hoc reporting tool that you are well versed in using, so that the vendor solution can utilize that tool and expertise?</b>	Yes. New Jersey has Crystal reports/business objects for ad-hoc reporting.
26.	Pg. 28	Section 3.9 bullet # 5	<b>Vendor agrees that all upgrades to the licensed software solution will be covered under the license and maintenance agreement. If there are enhancements that are required specific to New Jersey, they will be built under an addendum agreement at a cost to be mutually agreed. Is this consistent with your expectations?</b>	No, New Jersey State required enhancements. IRP, Inc., and federal program initiatives will be part of the ongoing maintenance agreement.
27.	Pg. 39	Section 5.8	<b>In respect of this language, the vendor will identify the “Background IP” for COTS licensed software. There will not be any source code deployment in New Jersey. Thus, will there be any requirement for source code escrow?</b>	Yes. We would want it escrowed since the source code remains with the vendor.
28.	Pg. 28	Section 3.9	<b>This section addresses an “option to purchase 3 additional years of software maintenance and support”. If this option is not taken, does the State anticipate taking the support in-house or does the State anticipate support being provided on a time and materials basis during that 3 year timeframe? This seems in conflict with Section 1.1, which calls for the responder to</b>	The three additional years would be the vendor’s responsibility. The State does not intend to take support in-house.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
			maintain the application for the duration of the contract.	
29.		General Question	The RFP addresses "Book Permits". How does the State track that these permits have been used and are no longer valid/available for use?	We currently verify usage based upon mail receipt of carbonized copy.
30.	Pg. 25	Section 3.2 # 67	This item calls for accepting payment via credit cards, EFT, etc. Is there an existing portal/service available through the State for processing these payments or does the responder need to plan on implementing this type of processing with a third-party service provider?	The vendor will be required to develop the necessary interfaces to third parties selected by the State. There are currently 3 or 4 credit card payment vendors contracted by the State.
31.	Pg. 27	Section 3.5	Will the State provide the appropriate facilities for training or will the responder have to make arrangements to do this training at a different location?	Training will be held on-site at the Trenton Office Complex located at 225 E. State St. Trenton NJ, on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> floor.
32.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.4	Does task 4 data conversion include data conversion of OW/OD permit data?	The insurance database would be converted. The rest of the OW/OD system would be developed.
33.	Pg. 20	Section 3.0	Are the IRP and OW/OD interfaces to be integrated or two separate interfaces within the same overall system?	They should be two separate interfaces within the same overall system. Both must interface with CVIEW.
34.	Pg.20-26	Section 3.2	It appears that items 1-63 apply to IRP and items 64-73 apply to OW/OD. Is this correct? Do any of the items 1-63 also apply to the OW/OD, such as item 18 regarding Canadian currency exchange rates?	Although items 64-73 in Section 3.2 are the primary requirements for the OW/OD program, item numbers 2,7,10,20,23,25,27,35,36,38,39,40,41,43,53,54,55,56,58,and 61 contain elements critical to its implementation.
35.		General Question	OW/OD system: How do you plan to accept OW/OD applications – fax, phone, walk-in, internet, other. How do you plan to transmit OW/OD permits to the applicants – fax, email, mail, in-person, other. How do on-line wire services currently interface with the OW/OD system? How are they expected to interface with the new system?	Accepts fax through wire services, walk-in, Internet. Accepts fax through wire services, e-mail, mail, in-person. Currently present completed permits for approval and will continue to be able to do so.
36.	Pg. 6	Section 1.2.3	What is the current process to evaluate whether a particular load can travel a particular path?	NJAC 13:18.1 and N.J.S.A. 39:3-84 have established OW/OD parameters for permitting routes are restricted by signage generated by DOT engineers.
37.	Pg. 6	Section 1.2.3	Is the OW/OD system expected to include a new OW/OD routing process? If, not, would you entertain a routing solution as part	No. The system is not expected to include a routing process since New Jersey does not currently route vehicles.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
			<b>of this RFP?</b>	
38.	Pg. 6	Section 1.2.3	<b>Besides servers and printers, with what other systems and peripherals is the OW/OD system expected to interface? Fax servers? Email servers?</b>	System will need e-mail capabilities and comprehensive insurance file conversion.
39.	Pg. 6	Section 1.2.3	<b>Is there a web location where we can get a description of all the permit types that the OW/OD system is expected to issue? If not, can you provide us with a list; fee schedule and short description of each permit type?</b>	Standard OW/OD permits for vehicles 8'6" to 18' in width, 13'6" to 16' in height, and to 120' in length will be eligible for e-mail permit issuance. Permits will also be issued via e-mail for vehicles between 80,000 and 150,000 pounds. Annual Ocean borne Permits, Book Permits and Super load Permits will not be issued on line but shall be included in financial and audit reports. Fee schedules are located in the Document Review Room.
40.		General Question	<b>Are there requirements to issue after-hours permits? Emergency permits? Are there requirements to issue multi-state permits?</b>	Permits may be issued after hours for use during specified times only. Emergency permits may be issued only on an as needed basis. Multi-state permits are not part of the package.
41.		General Question	<b>How are book permits used?</b>	Book permits are issued through this office to the carrier to be used for limited overdimensional travel during specified time periods. Application for the book permits may be done on line.
42.	Pg. 6	Section 1.2.3	<b>Do you expect the OW/OD system to be able to issue routine permits without state employee review?</b>	No. Employees must review and establish insurance files that must be accessed programmatically prior to issuance.
43.		General Question	<b>Do you expect the permit documents to include techniques to prevent fraud, such as bar-coding and data hashing?</b>	Maintaining the integrity of the document would be advantageous and insure compliance with CVIEW requirements.
44.	Pg. 28	Section 3.7	<b>Formal system acceptance, can we assume that hardware and maintenance issues not related to the delivered products will not be part of this formula?</b>	Issues effecting production outside of the vendor's control will not impact 99.5% required availability time as listed in Section 3.7.
45.	Pg. 20	Section 3.2 # 6	<b>#6 requires an interface with the current MVC system via DEX. Is the vendor proposal required to provide for the necessary MQ series licenses to enable their application to use DEX?</b>	The State has the MQ series licenses.
46.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10	<b>Can we assume that any of the 10 tasks can be divided into sensible sub-tasks for purposes of meaningful deliveries and invoicing?</b>	Subtasks have not been and are not going to be requested for Section 3.10.
47.	Pg. 20	Section 3.2 #	<b>A "full load" is referenced. How</b>	The unit configuration is currently 25

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
		4	many workstations, microcomputers and printers constitute a full load?	workstations. With PRISM implementation there may be up to a 5 workstation expansion. Computers and printers are to be at each work location.
48.	Pg. 20	Section 3.2 # 8	<b>Item # 8 states that the application is deployed in the State of New Jersey Shared IT Architecture, and maintained by OIT. Is OIT responsible for purchasing the hardware and system software required to run the application?</b>	The State will purchase the hardware and system software.
49.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.4	<b>It is our understanding that the screens, data layouts, and reports of the current IRP system are proprietary to the incumbent. Is the State permitted to provide file or database structure layouts and other proprietary information from within its current IRP application to successful bidders that are not the incumbent?</b>	File dumps, screens and reports are available for review. File or database structure layouts are proprietary to the current vendor.
50.	Pg. 5	Section 1.1	<b>May we assume that the State will provide system software, also, such as the database management system?</b>	No. The database structure for IRP is expected to be housed on our new servers.
51.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.4	<b>We believe that New Jersey stated at a prior IRP bidder's conference that the screens, data layouts, and reports of the current system are proprietary to CACI. Is the State permitted to provide file or database structure layouts of its current IRP application?</b>	No. The State is not permitted to provide file or structure layout.
52.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.5	<b>May one assume that the State will cooperate and participate in the definition, development and/or integration (and testing!) of interfaces? This is necessary, since the other systems are not within the scope or control of the IRP vendor.</b>	Yes. The State will assist.
53.	Pg. 21	Section 3.2 # 18	<b>What functionality is being referred to in the phrase "full accounting system" that is in addition to calculating credits, refunds and fees?</b>	Must be comprehensive of all Motor Vehicle Commission fee structures with interface through DEX to the comprehensive fee schedule.
54.	Pg. 21	Section 3.2 # 21	<b>Is it a requirement of New Jersey to register intrastate vehicles?</b>	IRP is strictly interstate registration. New Jersey does register intrastate vehicles.
55.	Pg. 22	Section 3.2 # 37	<b>Please define the types of override transactions that need to be tracked and reportable through the system.</b>	At this time, override transactions will include cab cards, plate stickers, replacement plate and tow truck sticker fees.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
56.	Pg. 6	Section 1.2.3	<b>Can you please clarify the precise requirements for OSOW electronic permitting? We anticipate this to be accepting payment via the Internet from an authenticated user who will, once the payment has been authorized, receive back a .PDF of a permit. The logistics around how this will front-end your existing over-the-counter OSOW system is what we need to provide the systems integration. Any more information on this item would be valued.</b>	Approvals are issued manually. Vendor responsibilities are to include an insurance file conversion, web screens, accounting functions, etc., as listed in Section 3.2.
57.	Pg. 51	Section 7.4	<b>The RFP requires a Performance Bond be in place for 15% of the remaining balance of the contract. Section 7.4 addresses this requirement. Our bonding agent informs us that multi-year bonds are no longer being written. Would an initial bond for the conversion and installation phase of the project, followed by a bond renewed annually for the out-years of the contract meet the State's requirements?</b>	Yes, however, the RFP requires a Performance Bond be in place for 15% of the entire amount of the contract. It is the contractor's responsibility to submit a Performance Bond each year for the applicable amount of the contract.
58.	Pg. 45	Section 5.22.3	<b>We self insure on Professional Liability. Is this satisfactory?</b>	No.
59.	Pg. 44	Section 5.22.2	<b>Indemnification. 500% of the value of the contract is significantly higher than is the norm. Is the any openness to negotiate this term upon contract award?</b>	No. Liability limits can not be lowered do to the revenue stream of IRP.
60.	Pg. 32	Section 4.4.2.1	<b>Are subcontractors required to meet the same business registration requirements as the primary contractor?</b>	Yes.
61.	Pg. 33	Section 4.4.2.2	<b>Related to subcontractor set-aside – are all respondents expected to meet the Small Business participation goals or only those respondents that intend to employ subcontractors?</b>	It is suggested that you use small business set-aside; however, only bidders that use small business are expected to meet the small business participation goals.
62.	Pg. 40	Section 5.8	<b>With respect to Section 5.8, in a COTS environment, there is a significant investment in the core software package that will be used in the State. This core package will have additional modifications as specified in the RFP. Can the State formally acknowledge that the core system remains the IP and</b>	Section 5.8 paragraph 2 states that if the bidder identifies such intellectual property ("Background IP") in its bid proposal, then the background IP owned by the bidder on the date of the contract, as well as any modifications or adaptations thereto, remain the property of the bidder.

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
			property of the responder/contractor while only the modifications specifically developed for the State are all that would be applicable to this requirement?	
63.	Pg. 20	Section 3.1	The RFP defines “minimum threshold requirements”. If an offer does not meet all of these requirements, then will the State reject the entire offer without further consideration, as the section states?	Yes, “the contractor’s bid proposal” <b>must</b> meet and document all of the threshold requirements in order to be considered by the State.
64.	Pg. 33	Section 4.4.2.2	The RFP states that this is a contract with set-aside subcontracting goals for small business. Please clarify if this means there is a requirement to use subcontractor’s or can we perform all the work in-house.. ?	It is suggested that you use small business set-aside; however, it is not a requirement.
65.		General Question	The RFP includes a 15% of contract value performance bond requirement and also a 10% project retainage. Would the State consider waiving the performance bond requirement recognizing that they also require a 10% project retainage?	No.
66.	Pg. 20	Section 3.1	The term off-the-shelf is not defined. Normally this means that the product exists, has been proven for production, is available for delivery, and is not a developmental product. It is common, therefore, to set or assume a threshold of experience that is more precise, such as proof of implementation/operation in more than one similar instance. Further, a higher risk is commonly associated with a lesser, unproven product. Since the application being purchased is for the IRP, then the only acceptable-risk “off-the-shell” items would seem to be products that have been implemented and are operational in multiple participating States or Provinces. Before we all invest to respond to this RFP, could you please clarify the minimum threshold criteria?	Off-the-shelf does mean that the product (program system application) exists and has been proven in production environments in other states.
67.	Pg. 20	Section 3.1	The RFP indicates that only an off-	No. Section 3.1 of the RFP does not

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
			<p>the-shelf product is allowed and that bidding entities must have 5 years of experience (or at least include some people that have personal experience). One might assume that this experience must be with the software product that is being bid and that the experience must be the result of a successful implementation at one or more States and/or Provinces. Please clarify the requirement, or desirability, that the bidder's experience is with the specific software being bid and that the experience was implementing and maintaining that software at a State or Province, under contract.</p>	<p>specify that the required experience is confined to the vendor's specific software. The minimum level of experience must be related to developing and implementing IRP software applications.</p>
68.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.5	<p>May one assume that the State will cooperate and participate in the definition, development and/or integration (and testing) of interfaces? This is necessary, since the other systems are not within the scope or control of the IRP vendor.</p>	<p>Yes, the State will cooperate and participate in the definition, development and/or integration (and testing) of these interfaces.</p>
69.	Pg. 11	Section 2.1	<p>The RFP defines "all inclusive hourly rate" and its calculation in Section 2.1. While this rate could be applicable for comparison of the responses the State will receive on this RFP, it would not be indicative of the actual labor cost of the project. Does the State acknowledge that this "all inclusive hourly rate" would not be applicable to additional tasks or future projects between the responder and the State, such as specified in Section 5.20 – Additional work and/or special projects?</p>	<p>The hourly rates provided by the bidder in it's list of skill categories provided in response to line 13 of the Price Sheet would be used to complete work under Section 5.20 "Additional Work and/or Special Projects" of the RFP.</p>
70.	Pg. 44	Section 5.22.2	<p>Direct damages are limited to "500% of the value of the contract" excepting any claims for personal injury/property damage, copyright infringement and confidentiality breach. Would the State be willing to reduce the liability amount to a figure more in keeping with industry standards, specifically 100% of the value of the contract?</p>	<p>No. Liability limits can not be lowered do to the revenue stream of IRP.</p>
71.	Pg. 29	Section	<p>It is our understanding that the</p>	<p>No. The State is not permitted to</p>

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Question	Answer
		3.10.4	<b>screens, data layouts, and reports of the current IRP system are proprietary to the incumbent. Is the State permitted to provide file or database structure layouts and other proprietary information from within its current IRP application to successful bidders that are not the incumbent?</b>	provide screen, data layouts and reports which are proprietary to CACA. However, the raw data contained in our database is the property of the State and can be made available.

## PART 2

**RFP #: 07-X-38832**  
**System Implementation:**  
**International Registration Plan (IRP)**

### Additions, Deletions, Clarifications and Modifications to the RFP

#	Page(s)	RFP Section Reference	Additions, Deletions, Clarifications and Modifications
1.	Pg. 8	Section 1.3.3, 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet	Use the following URL for the IT architecture document: <a href="http://www.nj.gov/it/ps/it_architecture.pdf">http://www.nj.gov/it/ps/it_architecture.pdf</a>
2.	Pg. 21	Section 3.2 Item # 9	Please add the following: " The interface should be a web service developed consistent with a service-oriented architecture approach. For any new interfaces that need to be developed, XML is the required data interchange format."
3.	Pg. 26	Section 3.3, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph, 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence	Remove "MVC's system architecture is maintained by OIT's Data Exchange system as defined in <a href="http://www.state.nj.us/it/psitarchitecture.pdf">www.state.nj.us/it/psitarchitecture.pdf</a> ." Add in its place "The vendor must use the State's DEX, Data Exchange system to interface with the MVC's comprehensive system."
4.	Pg. 26	Section 3.3, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph, 4 <sup>th</sup> sentence	Remove the word "client".
5.	Pg. 27	Section 3.6	Combine "Installation of software data conversion". As a bullet add "Subset of Production Data" – prior to data conversion.
6.	Pg. 28	Section 3.6	Remove "Training methods and materials".
7.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.1, 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence	Should read, "result in a conceptual design of any customized portion of the system", as described in the RFP and the successful bidder's response.
8.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.2, 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence	Should read, "result in a completed detailed design document of any customized portion of the system" and implementation *.

#	Page(s) )	RFP Section Reference	Additions, Deletions, Clarifications and Modifications
9.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.3, 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence	Should read, "result in coding of any customized portion of the system" to perform *.
10.	Pg. 29	Section 3.10.6, 4 <sup>th</sup> sentence	Change the word "languages" to "tools"