

## **STATE OF NEW JERSEY**

### FIFTY FOURTH

### **REPORT OF**

# THE STATE FARMLAND EVALUATION

# COMMITTEE

**PRODUCTIVITY VALUES** 

### FOR

2019 TAX YEAR

## **FARMLAND ASSESSMENT ACT OF 1964**

CHAPTER 48, LAWS OF 1964

TRENTON, NEW JERSEY

OCTOBER, 2018

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The State Farmland Evaluation Committee gratefully acknowledges the assistance provided by members of the staff of the School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers – The State University. Particular commendation is extended to Dr. A. Robert Koch, Professor Emeritus, Department of Agricultural Economics and Marketing; Dr. George W. Luke, Late Professor, Emeritus; Dr. Donn A. Derr, Professor Emeritus, Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics; and Dr. John C. F. Tedrow, late Professor of Soils and Crops.

Also acknowledged with the thanks of the Committee are the services rendered by Richard Belcher, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, New Jersey Department of Agriculture; Patricia Wright, Deputy Director; and Marilyn Gaines, Administrative Assistant 2, from the Division of Taxation.

Address inquiries to:

Division of Taxation Post Office Box 240 Trenton, New Jersey 08695-0240

#### REPORT OF THE STATE FARMLAND EVALUATION COMMITTEE

The Farmland Assessment Act of 1964 (Chapter 48, Laws of 1964) created a State Farmland Evaluation Advisory Committee. Under the Act, the Director of the Division of Taxation, the Dean of School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, and the Secretary of Agriculture, are designated as members. The Laws of 2013 Chapter 43 changed the name of the Committee to the State Farmland Evaluation Committee and expanded membership to include a municipal tax assessor, county assessor or county tax administrator, and a farmer who is a current or former member of the State Board of Agriculture. The Act prescribed the functions and responsibilities of the Committee as follows:

"... The committee shall meet from time to time on the call of the Secretary of Agriculture or the Director of the Division of Taxation and annually determine and publish a range of values for each of the several classifications of land in agricultural and horticultural use in the various areas of the State. The committee shall determine the ranges in fair value of such land based upon its productive capabilities when devoted to agricultural or horticultural uses. In making these annual determinations of value, the committee shall consider available evidence of agricultural or horticultural capability derived from the soil survey at Rutgers. The State University; the National Co-operative Soil Survey; and such other evidence of value of land devoted exclusively to agricultural or horticultural uses as it may in its judgment deem pertinent. On or before October 1 of each year, the committee shall make these ranges of fair value available to the assessing authority in each of the taxing districts in which land in agricultural and horticultural use is located."

The original methodology of capitalizing net farm income per acre in determining the ranges in fair value of the several classifications of qualified land has been continued in this report.

Sources of primary data used in determining fair values are the U.S. Census of Agriculture (1964 through 2012), annual publications of the Economic Research Service and the National Agricultural Statistics Service of the United States Department of Agriculture, the New Jersey Department of Agriculture, the Annual FA-I Data Report, and research publications developed at Rutgers – The State University.

The Committee submits this 2018 report for use in Tax Year 2019.

Douglas H. Fisher, Secretary of Agriculture Department of Agriculture

Schillip

Brian Shilling, Senior Associate Director of NJAES Director of Rutgers Cooperative Extension

atucia: U

Patricia Wright, Deputy Director Division of Taxation

ackeen thee

Kathleen Hill, Sr. Assistant Assessor Gloucester County

Donald

Ann Dorsett, Former Member Past State Board of Agriculture Member

#### LAND USE AND PRODUCTIVITY VALUE

The Farmland Assessment Act emphasizes the importance of land use and productivity as primary measures of value when land is devoted to agricultural production and authorizes the Committee to determine a range of fair values for the several classifications of land qualified by assessors.

Historically, farm operators have used their land in the following ways:

- 1. To produce crops and animal products for sale or feed for animals on the farm;
- 2. To remain fallow or in cover crops as part of a planned rotational program;
- 3. To remain unplowed for grazing or conservation purposes;
- 4. To remain in woods, streams, and meadows, which enhances the productivity of all the land cultivated.

#### LAND USE CLASSES

The historical uses of farmland described above are the basis for the land use classes listed and defined below:

- 1. <u>Cropland Harvested</u> This land is the heart of a farming enterprise and represents the highest use of land in agriculture. All land from which a crop was harvested in the current year falls into this category.
- <u>Cropland Pastured</u> This land can be and often is used to produce crops, but its maximum income may not be realized in a particular year. Land that is fallow or in cover crops as part of a rotational program falls into this classification.
- 3. <u>Permanent Pasture</u> This land is not cultivated because its maximum economic potential is realized from grazing or as part of erosion control programs. Animals may or may not be part of the farm operation for land to be qualified in this category.
- 4. <u>Non-Appurtenant Woodland</u> Woodland which can only qualify for farmland assessment on the basis of being in compliance with a woodland management plan filed with the Department of Environmental Protection. It is actively devoted to the production for sale of tree and forest products.
- 5. <u>Appurtenant Woodland</u> Woodland that is part of a qualified farm. Usually this land is restricted to woodlots because of slope, drainage capability, soil type, or topography. Such land has limited productive use but it provides a windbreak or watershed, or buffers or controls soil erosion.

#### SOIL GROUPS

Assuming average weather and management, the long-run productive capability of farmland in any of the land-use classes described previously is related primarily to the innate productivity of the soils found in those land-use classes.

To keep the valuation process within reasonable limits, the 215 soil types found in New Jersey were rated and categorized into five clearly defined soil groups by the Soils Department at Rutgers.<sup>1</sup>

Those soil groups are described below:

- Group A <u>Very productive farmland</u> The most desirable soil in the area because of high yields and ease of cultivation;
- Group B <u>Good farmland</u> Desirable soil because yields are generally high and the land can be cultivated on a permanent basis;
- Group C <u>Fair farmland</u> Yields are lower than those in soil Group B because of shallowness, propensity for drought, or excessive moisture. This land can be cultivated on a permanent basis;
- Group D <u>Poor farmland</u> This soil is usually too wet, stony, prone to drought, or otherwise unsuitable for permanent cultivation. Yields are low when cultivated;
- Group E <u>Very poor farmland</u> This land is often found in pasture or woodlands. Yields are very low because of excessive water, shallowness, stoniness, or frequent drought.

The boarding, rehabilitating or training of livestock is a qualified agricultural land use and deemed to be actively devoted to agriculture when that area is contiguous to land which otherwise qualifies for farmland assessment. One of the means to qualify a boarding, rehabilitating, or training facility is to use income imputed to land for grazing. This report includes imputed grazing values by soil group and county and may be found in column 6 of <u>Tables 1</u> and <u>2</u>.

#### **RANGES IN FAIR VALUES OF FARMLAND**

When land use and estimate of soil productivity are combined, a range in fair value of farmland can be determined. These ranges in value are shown in <u>Tables 1</u> and <u>2</u> for each county in New Jersey. The values shown in <u>Table 1</u> are the ranges in good value between the land use classes. The values in <u>Table 1</u> are then modified by the soil ratings shown in <u>Table 2</u>. The values in <u>Table 2</u> are the Committee's estimates of the value of farmland based upon its productive capabilities when devoted to agricultural or horticultural use. These are the ranges in value which the Committee is making available to the assessing authority in each of the taxing districts in accordance with the provisions of Section 20 of the Farmland Assessment Act of 1964.

The general method of calculation of farmland values for the 2019 tax year is shown in the Appendix.

#### APPENDIX

(a) The U.S. Department of Agriculture publishes annual estimates of state farm income and expenses. The U.S. Census estimates state and county farm income every five years. These estimates as well as current data available in the Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics, School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, were used in determining net farm income for New Jersey agriculture for 2018.

#### Estimated New Jersey Net Farm Income - 2018

	Million Dollars
Cash Receipts	\$800.3 <sup>2</sup>
Government Payments	6.7
Value of Home Consumption	5.4
Change in Inventory	+3.0
Farm Income	\$815.4 <sup>3</sup>
Farm Expenses	<u>-779.9</u> <sup>4</sup>
NET FARM INCOME TO LAND	\$35.5 5

(b) In order to allocate State net farm income to each county, an estimate of farm income was determined for each county from data in the Census of Agriculture 1964-2012.

#### **Example of Projected County Income as a Percent of State Income**

	2015	2016	2017	2018
	Mil. \$ %	<u>Mil. \$%</u>	<u>Mil. \$%</u>	<u>Mil. \$ %</u>
County	.623 1.8	.589 1.8	.661 1.8	.639 1.8
State	34.6 100	32.7 100	36.7 100	35.5 100

(c) Ratios as determined in (b) above were used to allocate State net farm income to each county.

#### **Example of Determination of County Net Farm Income**

	Net Farm	
	Income	Percent
	(Mil. \$)	
County	. <u>639</u>	1.8
State	35.5	100.0

(d) Net income for each county was then capitalized according to a return of 10% to estimate the total value of farmland in that county.<sup>6</sup>

Example of Determination of Total Va	lue of Land in Farms	for a County
	Net	Capitalized
	Income	Value
	(Mil. \$)	(Mil. \$)
County	.639	6.39

(e) When the total capitalized value of farmland in the county is determined, a value per acre can be estimated for each land use classification by multiplying acreages in the class (cropland harvested, cropland pastured, etc.) by a weighted estimate of income potential when farmland is devoted to that land use. The number of acres used in the formula for each land use class was determined by the amount of land qualified by assessors as shown in the annual FA-1 report. Further, it is necessary to consider any anticipated changes in each of the land use classifications for the projected tax year (see e.1 below). The potential income weights were determined by agricultural economists at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey (see e.2 below). Examples of these procedures are portrayed in e.1, e.2, f.1, and f.2.

(e.1)	Example of Projected	Acreage for a	County's Lar	nd Use Class	ses for 2018
		2015	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	2018
	Cropland Harvested	7,349	6,715	6,215	6,715
	Cropland Pastured	173	150	150	160
	Permanent Pasture	833	770	670	670
	Non-Appurtenant				
	Woodland	1,564	1,500	1,400	1,500
	Appurtenant				
	Woodland	<u>1,054</u>		745	700
	Total Qualified	10,973	9,835	9,180	9,745

### (e.2) Income Weights Used in the Formula to Determine Value of Land Use Classes<sup>7</sup>

Land Use Class	Income Weights
Cropland Harvested	20
Cropland Pastured	10
Permanent Pasture	4
Non-Appurtenant Woodland	3.5
Appurtenant Woodland	1

(f) When acreage in land use classes is combined with income weights for that class, a weighted estimate of acreage based upon income potential is determined for each land use class in the county (see f.1 below).

### (f.1) Example of Computing Value for Land Use Classes for a County for 2018

Land Use Class	Acres	x	Income Weights	_	Weighted <u>Acreage</u>
Cropland Harvested	6,715	л	<u>20</u>	—	<u>134,300</u>
L L	,				,
Cropland Pastured	160		10		1,600
Permanent Pasture	670		4		2,680
Non-Appurtenant Woodland	1,500		3.5		5,250
Appurtenant Woodland	700		1		700
Total Weighted Acreage					144,530

(f.2) Dividing total county capitalized value by total weighted acreage calculated in (f.1) determines the value of "X" shown below:

 $X = \frac{\text{Total County Capitalized Value}}{\text{Weighted Acreage}} = \frac{\$6.39 \text{ Million}}{144,530} = \$44 \text{ per acre}$ 

The "X" value is the value of woodland in the county for 2018.

(f.3) Values of all land classes are calculated below:

Appurtenant Woodland

	Average Lanu Use	v alue of	Classes	vv ner e	$\Lambda - 44$	
Cropland Harvest	ed	20	Х	44	=	880
Cropland Pastured	ŀ	10	Х	44	=	440
Permanent Pastur	e	4	Х	44	=	176
Non-Appurtenant	Woodland	3.5	Х	44	=	154

#### Average Land Use Value of Classes Where X = 44

(g) The values calculated in (f.3) above are the ranges in value of the several classifications of land specified in the first paragraph of Section 20 of the Farmland Assessment Act which the Committee has determined for land devoted to agricultural use. These values are shown in Table  $\underline{1}$ .

х

44

=

44

1

- (h) When the values in Table 1 are adjusted for the productivity ratings of the soil as required in the second and third sentences of Section 20, a land value based upon land classification and soil productivity is determined.<sup>8</sup> The values that reflect soil productivity are the values recommended by the Committee for assessing purposes for Tax Year 2019. Assessors should note that an A value is provided which is 20% above the 100% value for cropland and 10% above the 100% values for woodland and permanent pasture. This value is calculated for farmland of exceptional quality in the district. It also provides a margin of error for data used in the estimation process in this report.
- (i) Additional information on valuing land as cropland harvested.<sup>9</sup>

## TABLE 12019 COUNTY VALUES PER ACRE BY LAND CLASSES

# (COLUMN 6 SHOWS THE IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES PER N.J.S.A. 54:4-23.5 AND IS USED IN DETERMINING QUALIFYING INCOME, NOT VALUATION) $^{\rm 10}$

COUNTY	CROPLAND	HARVESTED	CROPLAND	PASTURED	URED PERMANENT PASTURE NON-APPURTENANT WOODLAND		APPUF WOO	IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES			
	CO	L. 1	CO	L. 2	CO	L. 3	CO	L. 4	CC	L. 5	COL. 6
	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	VALUE PER ACRE
ATLANTIC	100	940	100	470	100	188	100	165	100	47	\$160
BERGEN	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$159
BURLINGTON	100	760	100	380	100	152	100	133	100	38	\$156
CAMDEN	100	880	100	440	100	176	100	154	100	44	\$158
CAPE MAY	100	800	100	400	100	160	100	140	100	40	\$157
CUMBERLAND	100	820	100	410	100	164	100	144	100	41	\$157
ESSEX	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$159
GLOUCESTER	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$156
HUNTERDON	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$156
MERCER	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$156
MIDDLESEX	100	880	100	440	100	176	100	154	100	44	\$158
MONMOUTH	100	880	100	440	100	176	100	154	100	44	\$158
MORRIS	100	860	100	430	100	172	100	151	100	43	\$158
OCEAN	100	760	100	380	100	152	100	133	100	38	\$156
PASSAIC	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$159
SALEM	100	640	100	320	100	128	100	112	100	32	\$154
SOMERSET	100	800	100	400	100	160	100	140	100	40	\$157
SUSSEX	100	660	100	330	100	132	100	116	100	33	\$154
UNION	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$159
WARREN	100	700	100	350	100	140	100	122	100	35	\$155

#### TABLE 2

#### 2019 COUNTY ESTIMATES OF RANGES IN VALUE OF FARMLAND BASED UPON LAND CLASSIFICATION

#### AND PRODUCTIVE CAPABILITIES WHEN DEVOTED TO AGRICULTURAL OR HORTICULTURAL USE (COLUMN 6 SHOWS THE IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES PER N.J.S.A. 54:4-23.5 AND IS USED IN DETERMINING QUALIFYING INCOME, NOT VALUATION)

COUNTY	SOIL GROUP		PLAND ESTED	CROPLAND	PASTURED	PERMANEN	IT PASTURE		URTENANT DLAND		RTENANT DLAND	IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES
COUNTY	SOIL GROUP	COL. 1		CC	)L. 2	CC	COL. 3		COL. 4		DL. 5	COL. 6
		SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	VALUE PER ACRE								
	A	120	1128	120	564	110	207	110	182	110	52	\$161
	В	100	940	100	470	100	188	100	165	100	47	\$160
ATLANTIC	С	70	658	70	329	80	150	90	149	90	42	\$156
	D	40	376	40	188	70	132	80	132	80	38	\$154
	E	10	94	10	47	60	113	70	116	70	33	\$152
	A	120	1080	120	540	110	198	110	174	110	49	\$161
	B	120	900	120	450	100	198	100	174	100	49	\$159
BERGEN	C	70	630	70	315	80	144	90	158	90	45	\$159
DERGEN	D	40	360	40	180	70	126	80	142	80	36	\$153
	E	10	90	10	45	60	108	70	110	70	32	\$152
	А	120	912	120	456	110	167	110	146	110	42	\$157
BURLINGTON	В	100	760	100	380	100	152	100	133	100	38	\$156
	C	70	532	70	266	80	122	90	120	90	34	\$153
	D	40	304	40	152	70	106	80	106	80	30	\$151
	E	10	76	10	38	60	91	70	93	70	27	\$150
	A	120	1056	120	528	110	194	110	169	110	48	\$160
	В	100	880	100	440	100	176	100	154	100	44	\$158
CAMDEN	С	70	616	70	308	80	141	90	139	90	40	\$155
	D	40	352	40	176	70	123	80	123	80	35	\$153
	E	10	88	10	44	60	106	70	108	70	31	\$151
												<b>.</b>
	A	120	960	120	480	110	176	110	154	110	44	\$158
	В	100	800	100	400	100	160	100	140	100	40	\$157
CAPE MAY	С	70	560	70	280	80	128	90	126	90	36	\$154
	D E	40	320	40	160	70	112	80	112	80	32	\$152
	E	10	80	10	40	60	96	70	98	70	28	\$150
	A	120	984	120	492	110	180	110	158	110	45	\$159
	B	120	820	120	410	100	164	100	144	100	41	\$153
CUMBERLAND	C	70	574	70	287	80	131	90	130	90	37	\$157
	D	40	328	40	164	70	115	80	115	80	33	\$152
	E	10	82	10	41	60	98	70	101	70	29	\$152
	-	10	02	10		00	00	10	101	10	20	ψιστ

COUNTY	SOIL GROUP		PLAND ESTED	CROPLAND PASTURED		PERMANENT PASTURE		NON-APPURTENANT WOODLAND		APPURTENANT WOODLAND		IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES
COUNT	SOIL GROUP	CO	DL. 1	CC	)L. 2	CC	L. 3	CC	L. 4	CO	)L. 5	COL. 6
		SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	VALUE PER ACRE
	A	120	1080	120	540	110	198	110	174	110	50	\$161
	В	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$159
ESSEX	C	70	630	70	315	80	144	90	142	90	41	\$155
	D	40	360	40	180	70	126	80	126	80	36	\$153
	E	10	90	10	45	60	108	70	111	70	32	\$152
	A	120	936	120	468	110	172	110	151	110	43	\$158
	В	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$156
GLOUCESTER	С	70	546	70	273	80	125	90	123	90	35	\$153
	D	40	312	40	156	70	109	80	110	80	31	\$152
	E	10	78	10	39	60	94	70	96	70	27	\$150
	A	120	936	120	468	110	172	110	151	110	43	\$158
	В	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$156
HUNTERDON	C	70	546	70	273	80	125	90	123	90	35	\$153
	D	40	312	40	156	70	109	80	110	80	31	\$152
	E	10	78	10	39	60	94	70	96	70	27	\$150
	A	120	936	120	468	110	172	110	151	110	43	\$157
	В	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$156
MERCER	С	70	546	70	273	80	125	90	123	90	35	\$153
	D E	40 10	312 78	40 10	156 39	70 60	109 94	80 70	110 96	80 70	31 27	\$151 \$150
	A	120	1056	120	528	110	194	110	169	110	48	\$160
	В	100	880	100	440	100	176	100	154	100	44	\$158
MIDDLESEX	C	70	616	70	308	80	141	90	139	90	40	\$155
	D	40	362	40	178	70	123	80	123	80	35	\$153
	E	10	88	10	44	60	105	70	108	70	31	\$151
	A	120	1056	120	528	110	194	110	169	110	48	\$160
MONIMOUTU	В	100	880	100	440	100	176	100	154	100	44	\$158
MONMOUTH	С	70	616	70	308	80	141	90	139	90	40	\$155
	D E	40 10	352 88	40 10	176 44	70 60	123 106	80 70	123 108	80 70	35 31	\$153 \$151
	A	120	1032	120	516	110	189	110	166	110	47	\$160
-	В	100	860	100	430	100	172	100	151	100	43	\$158
	0	70	602	70	301	80	138	90	136	90	39	\$155
MORRIS	С	10										
MORRIS	D E	40	344	40	172	70	120	80	121	80	34	\$153

#### TABLE 2 - CONTINUED

COUNTY	SOIL GROUP		PLAND ESTED	CROPLAND PASTURED		PERMANENT PASTURE		NON-APPURTENANT WOODLAND		APPURTENANT WOODLAND		IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES
COONT	SOIL GROUP	CO	L. 1	CO	L. 2	CC	L. 3	CO	L. 4	CO	L. 5	COL. 6
		SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	VALUE PER ACRE
	A	120	912	120	456	110	167	110	146	110	42	\$157
	B	120	760	120	380	100	152	100	133	100	38	\$156
OCEAN	C	70	532	70	266	80	122	90	120	90	34	\$153
OOLAN	D	40	304	40	152	70	106	80	120	80	30	\$155
	E	10	76	10	38	60	91	70	93	70	26	\$150
	A	120	1080	120	540	110	198	110	174	110	50	\$161
	B	120	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$159
PASSAIC	C	70	630	70	315	80	144	90	142	90	41	\$155
TAGGAIO	D	40	360	40	180	70	126	80	142	80	36	\$153
	E	10	90	10	45	60	108	70	111	70	32	\$152
	<b></b>	10	90	10	40	00	106	70		70	32	\$152
	A	120	768	120	384	110	141	110	123	110	35	\$155
- · · - · ·	В	100	640	100	320	100	128	100	112	100	32	\$154
SALEM	С	70	448	70	224	80	102	90	101	90	29	\$151
	D	40	256	40	128	70	90	80	90	80	26	\$150
	E	10	64	10	32	60	77	70	78	70	22	\$148
	A	120	960	120	480	110	176	110	154	110	44	\$158
	В	100	800	100	400	100	160	100	140	100	40	\$157
SOMERSET	С	70	560	70	280	80	128	90	126	90	36	\$154
	D	40	320	40	160	70	112	80	112	80	32	\$152
	E	10	80	10	40	60	96	70	98	70	28	\$150
	A	120	792	120	396	110	145	110	128	110	36	\$155
	В	100	660	100	330	100	132	100	116	100	33	\$154
SUSSEX	С	70	462	70	231	80	106	90	104	90	30	\$151
	D	40	264	40	132	70	92	80	93	80	26	\$150
	E	10	66	10	33	60	79	70	81	70	23	\$149
	A	120	1080	120	540	110	198	110	174	110	50	\$161
	В	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$159
UNION	С	70	630	70	315	80	144	90	142	90	41	\$155
	D	40	360	40	180	70	126	80	126	80	36	\$153
	E	10	90	10	45	60	108	70	111	70	32	\$152
	A	120	840	120	420	110	154	110	135	110	39	\$156
	B	100	700	100	350	100	140	100	123	100	35	\$155
WARREN	C	70	490	70	245	80	112	90	111	90	33	\$152
	D	40	280	40	140	70	98	80	98	80	29	\$151
	E	10	70	10	35	60	84	70	86	70	25	\$149
	_						<u>.</u>					<b>.</b>

### **FOOTNOTES**

- 1. Soil types were rated and categorized by Dr. John Tedrow, Late Professor of Soils at Cook College, Rutgers. A description of New Jersey soil ratings is contained in "Productive Capability of New Jersey Soils and Crops," Rutgers The State University. A soils guide for use in connection with the valuation assessment, and taxation of land under the Farmland Assessment Act of 1964, Chapter 48, Laws of 1964 (N.J.S.A. 54:4-23.1 et seq.).
- 2. Cash receipts are adjusted for income from floricultural crops grown under glass and poultry income, which doesn't result from cultivation of the land.
- 3. Non-money income, which is an imputed value for the rental value of the farm dwelling, is excluded from farm income because the farm dwelling is excluded from assessment under the Farmland Assessment Act. Other income not earned from farming also is excluded.
- 4. Expenses for the farm dwelling, floricultural crops grown under glass, and poultry are excluded from farm expenses.
- 5. Net farm income does not include wages of management or a payment for family labor.
- 6. The capitalization rate of 10% considers a 7 1/2% rate of return equaling a farm mortgage rate of interest of 7 1/2% and 2 1/2% return for wages of management and unpaid family labor.
- 7. The weighting system allocates 79% of net farm income to cropland harvested and cropland pastured based upon estimates of the Soils and Crops Department and the Department of Agricultural Economics and Marketing, School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers The State University.
- 8. See Subchapter 14, State Farmland Evaluation Committee, <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 18:15-14.1.
- 9. Land under farm buildings, including boarding, training and rehabilitating facilities that are being used for farm activity is valued as cropland harvested.
- 10. Imputed grazing values These values include the maintenance cost for permanent pasture (mowing/clipping, lime, fertilizer, over seeding and herbicide application). A land cost for permanent pasture also is included. These costs are updated periodically based on changes in labor, equipment and materials. Permanent pasture by definition is a marginal land use (low productivity and low income), which limits the return on labor and material inputs.
  - 11