# ABSTRACT OF RATABLES UNION COUNTY 2021



# UNION COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION

### **COMMISSIONERS**

ELIZABETH A. URQUHART - President PETER B. LIJOI, Esq, Vice President

JOHN DEL SORDI, JR.
MAUREEN MCLEER-MORIN, Esq.
KEVIN O'BRIEN, P.P.
GEORGE T. WAGENHOFFER

Christopher R. Duryee, C.T.A., M.P.A.
Tax Administrator

# 2021 UNION COUNTY TAX ASSESSORS

DISTRICT	ASSESSORS - DEPUTY ASSESSORS*	PHONE NUMBER
BERKELEY HEIGHTS, Twp.	Howard Van Salisbury	908-464-2700
CLARK, Twp.	Michael Ross	732-388-3600
CRANFORD, Twp.	Bryan Flynn	908-709-7211
ELIZABETH, City	Enrico C. Emma - Joseph G. Colacitti*	908-820-4136
FANWOOD, Borough	Michael Ross	908-322-8236
GARWOOD, Borough	Jennifer Sherman	908-789-0710
HILLSIDE, Twp.	Michael Ross	973-926-2222
KENILWORTH, Borough	Kevin Palumbo	908-276-6190
LINDEN, City	Michael Frangella	908-474-8439
MOUNTAINSIDE, Borough	Michael Frangella	908-232-2409
NEW PROVIDENCE, Borough	Bryan Flynn	908-665-0457
PLAINFIELD, City	Tracy Bennett	908-753-3203
RAHWAY, City	Thomas Mancuso	732-827-2030
ROSELLE, Borough	Pamela Steele	908-241-4000
ROSELLE PARK, Borough	Gail Scaglione	908-245-2540
SCOTCH PLAINS, Twp.	Michael Ross	908-322-6700
SPRINGFIELD, Twp.	Edward Galante	973-912-2207
SUMMIT, City	Timothy J. O'Connor	908-273-6405
UNION, Twp.	Kevin Palumbo	908-851-8505
WESTFIELD, Town	Neil Rubenstein	908-789-4055
WINFIELD, Twp.	Joseph G. Colacitti	908-925-3850

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	1 TAXABLE	VALUE							
TAXING DISTRICTS	(A) Land	(D)	Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col.1(A)+1(B))	Total Taxable Value of Partial Exemptions and Abatements (Assessed Value)	Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Coi. 2 - Col. 3)	Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements, and Equipment of Telephone Telegraph Messenger Systems Companies (C. 138, L. 1966)	Net Valuation Taxable (Cols. 4 + 5)	General Tax Rate to Apply Per \$100 Valuation	County Equalization Table Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property (R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19)
TAID TAID	\$822,748,620	\$1,018,462,750	\$1,841,211,370		\$1,841,211,370	\$1,003,954	1,842,215,324	4.176	The second secon
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	267,827,700	499,208,000	767,035,700		767,035,700	315,924	767,351,624	8.890	The state of the s
2. CLARK, TWP.	730,840,900	936,438,000	1,667,278,900		1,667,278,900	2,183,718	1,669,462,618	6.583	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
3. CRANFORD, TWP.		622,798,020	939,697,500	11,539,900	928,157,600	1,676,535	929,834,135	29.948	
4. ELIZABETH, CITY	316,899,480	591,532,100	1,258,499,500	560,000	1,257,939,500	475,236	1,258,414,736	2.779	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
5. FANWOOD, BORO	666,967,400	384,994,200	739,120,500	000,000	739,120,500	472,933	739,593,433	2.558	
6. GARWOOD, BORO	354,126,300		900,562,350		900,562,350	696,055	901,258,405	7.887	
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.	390,748,550	509,813,800	826,460,500		826,460,500	584,606	827,045,106	5.211	
8. KENILWORTH, BORO	306,344,200	520,116,300	2,740,597,200	8,876,000	2,731,721,200	2,800,202	2,734,521,402	6.949	
9. LINDEN, CITY	1,092,572,300	1,648,024,900	1,890,947,300	and the same of th	1,890,947,300	1,573,487	1,892,520,787	1.964	
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	826,580,100	1,064,367,200	1,449,839,192	115,000	1,449,724,192	2,421,025	1,452,145,217	4.902	
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	568,489,500	881,349,692	1,193,328,656	- Land Street St	1,193,328,656	4,933,429	1,198,262,085	8.38	
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	493,146,100	700,182,556			1,441,271,100	51	1,441,271,151	6.95	
13. RAHWAY, CITY	522,114,850	919,156,250	1,441,271,100 791,991,065		789,763,655		792,338,846	8.48	46.54%
14. ROSELLE, BORO	371,825,200	420,165,865	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T	THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH	1,058,584,900	605,607	1,059,190,507	4.114	83.86%
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	460,069,200	598,908,600	1,058,977,800	and the second s	1,005,300,100		A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	11.26	22.60%
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	315,335,000	689,965,100	1,005,300,100		1,121,573,400	OF THE STATE OF TH	TO STANDARD	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	SQC SIGNATURE AND SECURITION OF SECURITION O
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	424,598,400	696,975,000	1,121,573,400		3,197,983,600	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T			7 42.42%
18. SUMMIT, CITY	1,384,837,700	1,813,145,900			1,040,541,900	STATISTICS AND DESCRIPTION OF STREET	Other Control of Contr		9 14.22%
19. UNION, TWP.	426,103,000	614,677,500	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF		8,322,714,200			2.16	3 101.76%
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN	4,854,569,100	3,468,145,100		New Control of the Co	16,566,700	SH SENSON CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR		21.40	3 100.10%
21. WINFIELD, TWP.	2,634,000	13,932,700		_	\$34,187,787,323				
	\$15,599,377,600	\$18,612,359,533	\$34,211,737,133	\$23,343,010	Ψυτ, 101,101,020	400,000,000			

	9	1		10	11			ADDODTI	12-A ONMENT OF	TAVEQ		
			EQUAI	LIZATION		P. Committee of the com		APPORTI	Section A	TANES		
	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)					County Taxes			
	( , ,	(-)	''	` '		I =			tl		III	IV
									RESULTING FROM			
		_					(	(A)	(B)			Municipal
TAXING DISTRICTS*	True Value of expired UEZ Abatements	True value Class II Railroad Property	Amount Deducted Under R.S. 54:3-17 to 19	Amounts Added Under R.S. 54:3-17 to 19 and R.S. 54:11D-7	Net Valuation on Which County Taxes are Apportioned (Cols. 6+9-10a+10b)	Total County Taxes Apportioned (Including Total Net Adjustments)		alization Table .S. 54:51A-4)	Appeals and Co (R.S. 54:4-49; F		Net County Taxes Apportioned	Budget State Aid (R.S. 52:27D- 118.40)
							Deduct	Add	Deduct	Add		
							Overpayment	Underpayment	Overpayment	Underpayment	040.004.040.00	
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.				\$1,553,620,328	3,395,835,652	16,080,832.71			16,619.11	\$0.00	\$16,064,213.60	
2. CLARK, TWP.				2,195,534,780	2,962,886,404	14,030,620.29			11,742.67	0.00	14,018,877.62	
3. CRANFORD, TWP.				3,048,673,934	4,718,136,552	22,342,531.37			33,439.09	0.00	22,309,092.28	
4. ELIZABETH, CITY				8,473,068,543	9,402,902,678	44,527,038.54			0.00	18,791.09	44,545,829.63	
5. FANWOOD, BORO				41,200,180	1,299,614,916	6,154,270.17			3,887.17	0.00	6,150,383.00	
6. GARWOOD, BORO				15,829,012	755,422,445	3,577,270.28			2,203.46	0.00	3,575,066.82	
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.				1,343,684,625	2,244,943,030	10,630,830.52			783.14	0.00	10,630,047.38	
8. KENILWORTH, BORO				820,096,770	1,647,141,876	7,799,969.04			0.00	159.69	7,800,128.73	
9. LINDEN, CITY				4,127,600,211	6,862,121,613	32,495,279.81			324,313.22	0.00	32,170,966.59	
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO				15,302,068	1,907,822,855	9,034,412.53			68,731.14	0.00	8,965,681.39	
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORC	)			1,558,509, <b>505</b>	3,010,654,722	14,256,825.09			51,618.54	0.00	14,205,206.55	T 100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY				1,740,171,552	2,938,433,637	13,914,825.27			47,636.98	0.00	13,867,188.29	
13. RAHWAY, CITY				1,517,233,901	2,958,505,052	14,009,872.59			10,759.38	0.00	13,999,113.21 8,087,922.92	
14. ROSELLE, BORO				912,352,036	1,704,690,882	8,072,489.87	(a) (b)		0.00	15,433.05		
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO				207,062,795	1,266,253,302	5,996,287.69			7,392.60	0.00	5,988,895.09	
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.				3,448,256,825	4,454,345,426	21,093,359.92			30,640.35	0.00	21,062,719.57	
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.				1,945,381,648	3,067,960,114	14,528,192.29			13,350.04	0.00	14,514,842.25	
18. SUMMIT, CITY				4,364,186,646	7,564,952,752	35,823,506.24			104,639.24	0.00	35,718,867.00	and a base ope
19. UNION, TWP.				6,324,162,685	7,366,082,895	34,881,766.64			23,104.30	0.00	34,858,662.34 38,682,793.84	
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN			128,539,360		8,201,215,517	38,836,501.01			153,707.17		Problem of the Control of the Contro	MANAGE VEGE
21. WINFIELD, TWP.				6,220	16,640,210	78,798.99	40.00	40.00	0.00	2.34	78,801.33	60.00
			\$128,539,360.00	\$43,651,934,264	\$77,746,562,530	\$368,165,480.86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$904,567.60	\$34,386.17	\$367,295,299.43	\$0.00

Net County Taxes Apportioned (12 A III) \$367,295,299.43
Adjustments (Net Total 12 A II b) +/- \$904,567.60
Total County Taxes Apportioned
(Including Adjustments - Total 12 A I) \$368,199,867.03

REGIONAL EFFICIENCY AID PROGRAM (REAP) NO AMOUNT FOR 2021

	T			12-B				12-C			
							APF	ORTIONMENT OF	TAXES		
	Section A		_				Sectio				Section D
	(County Taxes Cont.)			ection B			Local Taxes to B	e Raised For:	IUNICIPAL PURI	DOSES	
	V	(A)	(B)	(C)	I DISTE	RICT SCHOOL PUR	POSES	II LOCAL IV	IUNICIPAL PUNI	FUSES	
TAXING DISTRICTS*	Net County Taxes Apportioned Less Municipal Budget State Aid (Col. AllI-IV)	County Library Taxes	Local Health Services Taxes (R.S. 26:3A2-19)	County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (R.S.40:12-16 to19) (1.5% of Col. 11)	(A) As Required by District School Budget	(B) Regional Consolidated and Joint School Budgets	(C) As Required by Local Municipal Budgets	(A) Local Municipal Purposes (Adjusted for BPP)	(B) Municipal Open Space	(C) Municipal Library	Total Tax Levy On Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV+ B(a),(b),(c) + Cl(a)(b),(c)+Cll(a)(b)(c))
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	\$16,064,213.60	0	0	\$509,375.35	\$45,039,222.00			\$14, <b>1</b> 84,083. <b>63</b>		\$1,117,207.92	\$76,914,102.50
2. CLARK, TWP.	14,018,877.62	0	0	444,432.96	34,779,804.00			17,994,001.00		978,361.00	\$68,215,476.58
3. CRANFORD, TWP.	22,309,092.28	0	0	707,720.48	61,498,245.00			23,814,363.91		1,563,353.00	\$109,892,774.67
4. ELIZABETH, CITY	44,545,829.63	0	0	1,410,435.40	59,813,124.00			169,619,542.29		3,068,544.81	\$278,457,476.13
5. FANWOOD, BORO	6,150,383.00	0	C	194,942.24	0.00	21,852,161.00		6,216,000.00	125,739.00	431,729.00	\$34,970,954.24
6. GARWOOD, BORO	3,575,066.82	0	0	113,313.37	8,628,461.00			6,352,512.19		248,409.00	\$18,917,762.38
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.	10,630,047.38	0	(	336,741.45	30,526,495.00			28,853,662.69		733,331.94	\$71,080,278.46
8. KENILWORTH, BORO	7,800,128.73	0	(	247,071.28	21,889,339.00			12,616,040.52		543,315.26	\$43,095,894.79
9. LINDEN, CITY	32,170,966.59	0	(	1,029,318.24	94,215,916.00			60,337,703.12		2,251,564.01	\$190,005,467.96
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	8,965,681.39	0	(	286,173.43	18,213,202.00	1		9,064,481.32		627,567.00	\$37,157,105.14
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	14,205,206.55			451,598.21	40,587,198.00			14,796,047.00	145,214.52	994,331.00	\$71,179,595.28
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	13,867,188.29	A STATISTICS	) (	440,765.05	27,096,887.00			57,844,136.56	239,653.00	975,195.03	\$100,463,824.93
13. RAHWAY, CITY	13,999,113.21	0		443,775.76	46,603,673.00			38,160,360.00		974,150.00	\$100,181,071.97
14. ROSELLE, BORO	8,087,922.92	ad minorals	) (	255,703.63	25,265,871.00			33,055,425.13		560,216.42	\$67,225,139.10
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	5,988,895.09	(		189,938.00	22,925,691.00			14,050,950.80		417,598.00	\$43,573,072.89
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	21,062,719.57	355 NZBKIO9659	)	668,151.81	0.00	74,190,475.00		15,744,922.16	200,360.00	1,476,694.00	\$113,343,322.54
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	14,514,842.25	(		460,194.02	42,168,776.00			25,409,732.67		1,011,441.87	\$83,564,986.81
18. SUMMIT, CITY	35,718,867.00	(	)	0 1,134,742.91	67,689,697.00		3,489,367.00			2,492,085.00	and the second s
19. UNION, TWP.	34,858,662.34	(	)	0 1,104,912.43	98,690,553.00			75,540,629.29	MINISTRACTOR MINISTRA	2,442,050.93	\$212,636,807.99
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN	38,682,793,84	(	0	0 1,230,182.33	108,183,929.00			29,351,209.33	Section 1	2,705,536.00	NII SCHOMOTINA AND MAINTANA CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
21. WINFIELD, TWP.	78,801.33	) (	0	0 2,496.03	1,719,551.00			1,759,299.00	A=10.005 ==	0.00	
	\$367,295,299.43	3	0	0 \$11,661,984.38	\$855,535,634.00	\$96,042,636.00	\$3,489,367.00	\$682,417,100.64	\$710,966.52	\$25,612,681.19	\$2,042,765,669.16

				VALUE OF D	13 EAL PROPERTY EXE	MDT FROM TAXAT	ΓΙ <b>ΟΝ</b>	
	TOTAL # OF LINE ITEMS		(D)	and the second s	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
	(a)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(b)	(=)	1.7	
TAXING DISTRICTS*	Exempt From Property Taxation	Public School Property	Other School Property	Public Property	Church and Charitable Property	Cemeteries and Graveyards	Other Exempt Properties	Total Amount of Real Property Exempt From Taxation (a+b+c+d+e+f
BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	149	\$40,301,700	\$0	\$82,891,000	\$6,871,900	\$5,500	\$7,471,100	\$137,541,200
	170	\$21,779,000	\$0	\$44,870,800	\$16,382,400	\$5,737,100	\$3,966,900	\$92,736,200
CLARK, TWP. CRANFORD, TWP.	318	\$80,470,800	\$2,809,700	\$122,013,300	\$32,371,000	\$0	\$4,687,800	\$242,352,600
. ELIZABETH, CITY	950	\$98,081,500	\$6,302,700	\$141,961,600	\$61,378,200	\$1,878,000	\$586,803,100	\$896,405,100
FANWOOD, BORO	56	\$0	\$0	\$23,306,300	\$10,954,400	\$0	\$22,690,300	\$56,951,000
S. GARWOOD, BORO	62	\$9,216,600	\$0	\$19,637,100	\$7,782,300	\$0	\$16,808,300	\$53,444,300
CERTIFICATION PROPERTY AND STREET OF STREET OF STREET	221	\$42,205,800	\$1,074,900	\$20,866,200	\$18,709,200	\$13,225,900	\$22,353,600	\$118,435,600
7. HILLSIDE, TWP. 3. KENILWORTH, BORO	105	\$17,860,200	\$2,883,600	\$40,637,100	\$6,575,400	\$10,221,900	\$2,324,500	\$80,502,700
3. KENILWOKTH, BOKO 3. LINDEN, CITY	415	\$48,403,800	\$4,937,800	\$240,360,000	\$23,071,600	\$52,686,400	\$69,196,800	\$438,656,400
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	92	\$26,227,400	\$0	\$485,866,400	\$53,953,500	\$0	\$2,551,200	\$568,598,500
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	127	\$34,886,800	\$5,735,900	\$40,185,900	\$21,267,900	\$125,100	\$2,683,100	\$104,884,700
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	476	\$62,631,600	\$10,682,800	\$25,423,800	\$52,351,800	\$1,784,100	\$83,128,100	\$236,002,200
13. RAHWAY, CITY	360	\$33,244,700	\$0	\$91,458,100	\$26,573,100	\$3,315,600	\$260,987,450	\$415,578,950
14. ROSELLE, BORO	268	\$26,559,000	\$19,111,800	\$70,020,600	\$22,901,800	\$0	\$60,815,900	\$199,409,100
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	91	\$42,493,100	\$0	\$17,768,000	\$7,186,400	\$0	\$26,642,400	\$94,089,900
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	333	\$40,839,900	\$25,375,000	\$117,658,300	\$13,140,900	\$4,139,500	\$19,380,600	\$220,534,200
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	186	\$26,835,400	\$0	\$79,729,700	\$21,396,200	\$1,833,900	\$5,132,800	\$134,928,000
	241	\$54,432,000	\$48,495,900	\$161,177,700	\$189,949,400	\$4,650,200	\$8,339,900	\$467,045,100
18. SUMMIT, CITY	522	\$27,407,300	\$3,406,600	\$120,074,000	\$22,551,000	\$11,055,800	\$26,111,700	\$210,606,400
19. UNION, TWP.	253	\$136,705,300	\$8,907,000	\$184,229,500	\$89,600,200	\$46,144,700	\$63,561,400	\$529,148,100
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN 21. WINFIELD, TWP.	7	\$4,468,900	\$0	\$2,724,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,193,800
ZI. YYINTICLU, IYYF.	5.402	\$875,050,800	\$139,723,700	\$2,132,860,300	\$704,968,600	\$156,803,700	\$1,295,636,950	\$5,305,044,050

			4		15	
	AM	OUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS		SUPPORT OF	DEDUCTIONS ALLOV	VED (C. 73, L. 1976)
-	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	(B)
TAXING DISTRICTS	Surplus Revenue Appropriated	Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated	Receipts from Delinquent Taxes and Liens	Total of Miscellaneous Revenues (a+b+c)	Full Estimated Amount of Senior Citizen, Totally Disabled, and Surviving Spouse Deductions Allowed (C. 129, L. 1976)	Veteran / Surviving Spouse of Veteran or Serviceperson Deductions
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	\$2,585,000.00	\$15,044,792.06	\$330,000.00	\$17,959,792.06	\$2,500	\$56,000
	2,250,000.00	4,021,550.59	450,000.00	6,721,550.59	\$20,000	\$99,750
2, CLARK, TWP.	3,300,000.00	9,845,163.50	790,000.00	13,935,163.50	\$12,000	\$113,250
3. CRANFORD, TWP.	46,000,000.00	52,796,269.06	4,000,000.00	102,796,269.06	\$86,000	\$104,000
4. ELIZABETH, CITY	200,096.49	2,334,337.18	185,000.00	2,719,433.67	\$2,250	\$36,500
5. FANWOOD, BORO	700,000.00	1,285,934.10	150,000.00	2,135,934.10	\$7,250	\$21,250
6. GARWOOD, BORO	1,773,500.00	12,438,918.36	1,619,000.00	15,831,418.36	\$32,000	\$61,750
7. HILLSIDE, TWP. 8. KENILWORTH, BORO	2,000,000.00	2,621,752.56	250,000.00	4,871,752.56	\$9,250	\$44,250
9. LINDEN, CITY	8,950,000.00	40,383,792.75	2,300,000.00	51,633,792.75	\$46,000	\$119,750
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	2,329,490.71	2,741,257.58	156,750.00	5,227,498.29	\$1,250	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	3,775,000.00	4,188,067.95	200,000.00	8,163,067.95	\$4,000	
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	9,197,000.00	16,656,259.92	2,130,000.00	27,983,259.92	\$67,250	The property of the second sec
13. RAHWAY, CITY	2,500,000.00	15,539,226.00	1,470,000.00	19,509,226.00	\$26,000	
14. ROSELLE, BORO	3,000,000.00	11,493,447.27	1,800,000.00	16,293,447.27	\$10,250	The state of the s
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	1,875,000.00	2,452,218.38	400,000.00		\$8,000	
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	3,600,000.00	4,835,203.78	925,00 <b>0</b> .00		\$12,250	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	4,200,000.00	4,554,214.74	632,306.98		\$7,750	
18. SUMMIT, CITY	7,600,000.00	10,134,297.59	420,000.00			
19. UNION, TWP.	5,165,000.00	18,493,615.61	2,290,000.00		\$64,750	
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN	3,795,000.00	12,213,056.66		and the second s	ALL STATEMENT OF THE PROPERTY	
21. WINFIELD, TWP.	150,000.00	245,642.25	0.00	395,642.25		
,	\$114,945,087.20	\$244,319,017.89	\$21,748,056.98	\$381,012,162.07	\$447,750	\$1,497,750

# Addendum to Abstract of Ratables for Union County Assessed Value of Partial Exemptions and Abatements

	1 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TAXING DISTRICTS*	Air/Water Pollution Control (R.S. 54:4-3.56)	Automatic Fire	Fallout Shelter (R.S. 54:4-3.48)	Water/Sewage Facility (R.S. 54:4-3.59)	Urban Enterprise Zone (R.S. 54:4-3.139)	Residential and Industrial Chapter 104 P.L. 1977 (R.S. 54:4-3.72)	Multiple Dwelling Chapter 233 P.L. 1979 (R.S. 54:4-3.121)	Commercial and Industrial Chapter 12 P.L. 1977 (R.S. 54:4-3.95)
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.								
2. CLARK, TWP.								
3. CRANFORD, TWP.								
4. ELIZABETH, CITY		<b>\$218</b> ,600			\$11,321,300		The source of th	
5. FANWOOD, BORO								
6. GARWOOD, BORO								
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.			W-227	en ver				
8. KENILWORTH, BORO				fice and an incident				
9. LINDEN, CITY		\$1,059,100						
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO				Line West County of the Assessment				
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO								
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY			Estate in the control of the control					
13. RAHWAY, CITY							P (200 M ) (200 M ) (200 M )	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14. ROSELLE, BORO								
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO								
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.								
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.								
18. SUMMIT, CITY								
19. UNION, TWP.								
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN								
21. WINFIELD, TWP.						-	40	-
TOTAL	\$0	\$1,277,700	\$0	\$0	\$11,321,300	\$0	\$0	\$0

	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
TAXING DISTRICTS*	Dwelling Abatement Chapter 441 P.L. 1991 (R.S. 40A:21-5)	Dwelling Exemption Chapter 441 P.L. 1991 (R.S. 40A:21-5)	New Dwell/ Conversion Abatement Chapter 441 P.L. 1991 (R.S. 40A:21-5)	New Dwell/ Conversion Exemption Chapter 441 P.L. 1991 (R.S. 40A:21-5)	Multi Dwell/ Conversion Abatement Chapter 441 P.L. 1991 (R.S. 40A:21-6)	Multi Dwell/ Conversion Exemption Chapter 441 P.L. 1991 (R.S. 40A:21-6)	Commercial/ Industrial Exemption Chapter 441 P.L. 1991 (R.S. 40A:21-7)	Renewable Energy	Total Assessed Value as Reflected in Column 3 Abstract of Ratables (Addendum Cols. 1 thru 15)
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.									\$0
2. CLARK, TWP.							Page and a second control of the second cont	<u>.</u>	\$0
3, CRANFORD, TWP.		**************************************							\$0
4. ELIZABETH, CITY									\$11,539,900
5. FANWOOD, BORO							\$560,000		\$560,000
6. GARWOOD, BORO									\$0
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.									\$0 \$0
8. KENILWORTH, BORO						in 2 the state of	₱7 04C 000		\$8,876,000
9. LINDEN, CITY							\$7,816,900		\$8,670,000
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO								\$115,000	\$115,000
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO								\$113,000	\$0
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY									\$0
13. RAHWAY, CITY		00 007 440							\$2,227,410
14. ROSELLE, BORO		\$2,227,410							\$392,900
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO		\$392,900							\$0
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.					and the section of th	Later and the second second second		the man to be a second to the	\$0
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.									\$0
18. SUMMIT, CITY		\$238,600							\$238,600
19. UNION, TWP. 20. WESTFIELD, TOWN		Ψ230,000							\$0
21. WINFIELD, TWP.									\$0
ZI. WINT ILLD, 1991.	\$0	\$2,858,910	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,376,900	\$115,000	\$23,949,810

					SR 3A STAT	EMENTS				
		Class 1		lass 2		ss 3A		ss 3B	_	lass 4A
	Va	cant Land	Re	sidential	Regul	ar Farm	Qualifi	ed Farm	Co	mmercial
TAXING DISTRICTS*	Line Item	Assessed Value	Line Item	Assessed Value	Line Item	Assessed Value	Line Item	Assessed Value	Line Item	Assessed Value
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	104	\$23,432,400	4,436	\$1,394,831,800	0	\$0	1	\$420	110	\$381,442,700
2. CLARK, TWP.	116	7,799,900	4,879	606,663,800	0	0	0	0	206	100,949,900
3. CRANFORD, TWP.	62	4,027,100	7,506	1,386,146,700	1	202,200	1	4,900	282	209,724,700
4. ELIZABETH, CITY	801	49,979,500	15,205	525,532,600	0	0	0	0	1,746	164,978,600
5, FANWOOD, BORO	41	11,056,800	2,482	1,154,628,300	0	0	0	0	74	80,507,700
6. GARWOOD, BORO	42	9,757,800	1,307	524,928,300	0	0	0	0	119	181,498,400
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.	168	9,277,400	5,656	691,592,850	0	0	0	0	245	75,575,200
8. KENILWORTH, BORO	95	8,299,600	2,524	462,874,300	0	0	0	0	175	89,133,700
9. LINDEN, CITY	442	98,568,300	10,202	1,348,444,000	0	0	0	0	737	325,626,900
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	95	18,329,200	2,473	1,539,177,200	0	0	0	0		219,953,500
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	91	9,277,800	3,731	1,124,249,600	AND ASSOCIATION OF THE PARTY OF	0	0	0	127	202,475,892
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	256	7,706,300	9,201	991,504,456	0	0	0	0		118,015,000
13. RAHWAY, CITY	235	13,139,700	7,317	981,387,950	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	0	0	0	WINDS CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF T	125,764,350
14. ROSELLE, BORO	83	7,285,100	5,206	631,539,955	0	0	0	0		74,089,700
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	25	9,411,200	3,312	838,471,000	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON	0	0	0		89,359,400
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	359	12,660,800	7,338	909,502,300	2	482,000	2	5,700	The second second second	50,955,900
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	97	4,972,700	4,815	784,287,100		0	1	25,400	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	201,009,600
18. SUMMIT, CITY	59	9,361,300	6,257	2,645,680,800	Selection of the select	0	EN TORSES AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSONS AND ADDRESS AN	0		316,641,100
19. UNION, TWP.	168	10,510,500	16,171	748,342,000	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO PARTY AND PARTY	0	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	0		190,994,900
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN	205	47,404,400	9,231	7,391,810,800	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Own	0	The second secon	5,900	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON	757,543,900
21. WINFIELD, TWP.	1	2,634,000	698	12,856,100	0	0	0	C		1,076,600
·	3,545	\$374,891,800	129,947	\$26,694,451,911	3	\$684,200	6	\$42,320	7,216	\$3,957,317,642

				SR 3	A STATEMENTS	S			
		Class 4B Industrial		Class 4C Apartment	To	otal Class 4	Total Line	Total Assessed Value	
TAXING DISTRICTS*	Line Item	Assessed Value	Line Item		Line Item	Assessed Value	ltems	Total Assessed Value	
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	31	\$35,681,150	3	\$5,822,900	144	\$422,946,750	4,685	1,841,211,370	
2. CLARK, TWP.	15	18,709,200	7	32,912,900	228	152,572,000	5,223	767,035,700	
3. CRANFORD, TWP.	40	44,736,100	13	22,437,200	335	276,898,000	7,905	1,667,278,900	
4. ELIZABETH, CITY	183	87,869,800	649	99,797,100	2,578	352,645,500	18,584	928,157,600	
5. FANWOOD, BORO	15	11,746,700	0	0	89	92,254,400	2,612	1,257,939,500	
	10	13,723,000	8	9,213,000	137	204,434,400	1,486	739,120,500	
6. GARWOOD, BORO	161	113,233,700	21	10,883,200	427	199,692,100	6,251	900,562,350	
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.	155	265,614,000	1	538,900	331	355,286,600	2,950	826,460,500	
8. KENILWORTH, BORO	370	889,347,000	99	69,735,000	1,206	1,284,708,900	11,850	2,731,721,200	
9. LINDEN, CITY	52	113,487,400	0	0	167	333,440,900	2,735	1,890,947,300	
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	26	49,830,400	14	63,890,500	167	316,196,792	3,989	1,449,724,192	
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	58	18,767,400	SERVICE SERVICE	57,335,500	778	194,117,900	10,235	1,193,328,656	
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	98	250,115,600		70,863,500	521	<b>44</b> 6,743,450	8,073	<b>1</b> ,441,271,100	
13. RAHWAY, CITY	87	32,040,200		44,808,700	356	150,938,600	5,645	789,763,655	
14. ROSELLE, BORO	20	17,622,400	36	103,720,900	234	210,702,700	3,571	1,058,584,900	
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	26	6,131,600	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	25,561,800	254	82,649,300	7,955	1,005,300,100	
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	64	44,843,900	CANADA SERVICIONA DE LA CANADA	86,434,700	315	332,288,200	5,228	1,121,573,400	
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	11	144,564,800	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, WHEN THE OWNER,	81,735,600	399	542,941,500	6,715	3,197,983,600	
18. SUMMIT, CITY	191	65,753,700		24,940,800	950	281,689,400	17,289	1,040,541,900	
19. UNION, TWP.	191	5,819,200				883,493,100	9,892	8,322,714,200	
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN	0	3,019,200	NATIONAL PROPERTY OF		A CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE P	1,076,600	700	16,566,700	
21. WINFIELD, TWP.	1,617		_		10,072	\$7,117,717,092	143,573	\$34,187,787,323	

# **TAX RATE SUMMARY**

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N
	County	County Library Taxes	Local Health Services	County Open Space	District School Budget	Regional School Budgets	School Debt Service	Municipal Purposes	Municipal Library	Municipal Open Space	Total Tax Rate (Sum of B thru K)	Equalization Ratio of Assessed to True Value	Effective Tax Rate (L X M)
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	0.873	0.000	0.000	0.027	2.445	0.000	0.000	<b>0</b> .770	0.061	0.000	4.176	54.78%	2.288
2. CLARK, TWP.	1.827	0.000	0.000	0.057	4.533	0.000	0.000	2.345	0.128	0.000		26.10%	2.320
3. CRANFORD, TWP.	1.336	0.000	0.000	0.042	3.684	0.000	The second secon	1.427	0.094	0.000	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	35.48%	2.336
4. ELIZABETH, CITY	4.791	0.000	0.000	0.152	6.433	0.000	A SECTION AND PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE P	18.242	STATE OF THE OWNER, WHEN THE PARTY OF THE PA	0.000	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	9.97%	2.986
5. FANWOOD, BORO	0.489	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.000	1.737	0.000	0.494	0.034	0.010	2.779	96.94%	2.694
6. GARWOOD, BORO	0.483	0.000	0.000	0.015	1.167	0.000	The second second second second	0.859	NERSON CONTRACTOR CONT	0.000	2.558	99.10%	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.	1.179	0.000	0.000	0.037	3.387	0.000	and the second s	3.202	0.082	0.000		40.63% 50.72%	3.204
8. KENILWORTH, BORO	0.943	0.000	0.000	0.029	2.647	0.000	A COLUMN TO STATE OF THE PARTY	1.526	Any or the contract of the state of the stat	The state of the s	Michigan Mark Control of the Control	40.36%	
9. LINDEN, CITY	1.177	0.000	0.000	0.037	3.446		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	2.207	0.082	0.000	The second secon	99.85%	2.805 1.961
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	0.474	0.000	0.000			COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE		0.479	TO SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP SHIP	permanent and a second a second and a second a second and	1.964	48.34%	RESERVED TO BE RESERVED TO SERVED TO
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	0.978	0.000	0.000	0.031	2.795		CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE PARTY O	1.019	and the second s	news to be a second of the sec	The second secon	41.00%	
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	1.157	0.000	0.000	WINDOWS REAL PROPERTY.		MATERIAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND	STREET, STREET	4.828	The second second second second second	SUCCESSION OF THE PROPERTY OF	CHILD STORY SERVICE AND SERVICE SERVIC	49.13%	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O
13. RAHWAY, CITY	0.971	0.000	0.000		3.234	and the second s	Annual Control of the	2.648	and the second s	The second secon	6.951	49.13%	
14. ROSELLE, BORO	1.021	0.000	The state of the s	SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.		PARTIES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		SECTION SALVANDOS NA SECTIONS	0.000	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	83.86%	GOVERNMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	0.566			and the same of th	2.165	Annual Control of the Printer of the Parket	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	1.327		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		22.60%	
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	2.093	0.000		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		AND RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	A STATE OF THE OWNER, WHEN PERSON AND PARTY OF THE OWNER, WHEN PER	The Part of the Pa			STOCK CONTRACTOR WITH CONTRACTOR	36.76%	Committee of the Commit
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	1.293			CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	3.757	The second second second	and the second s	CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF TH		The second leading of		42.42%	
18. SUMMIT, CITY	1.116	Charles Control 14 To Service Control	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	September 1000 Septem	Charles and Application of Street Control		The special contract of the second contract of the second	Charles and the second	Photographic and a company of the state of t		COLUMN STREET,	14.22%	
19. UNION, TWP.	3.345			AND RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH		and the second s	The second secon	7.251	The second secon	and the second second second second second	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	101.76%	
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN	0.464	September 2012 To September 2017 To September 20	Committee of the property of t	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	MALE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN					CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	100.10%	
21. WINFIELD, TWP.	0.473	0.000	0.000	0.015	10.338	0.000	0.000	10.577	0.000	0.000	21.403	100.1070	21.424

# SPECIAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS

SPECIAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	ASSESSED VALUE	TOTAL LEVY	RATE (PER \$100)
CRANFORD, TWP	\$85,168,700	\$178,854.00	\$0.210
ELIZABETH, CITY - SO1 - MIDTOWN	\$23,073,700	\$225,000.00	\$0.976
ELIZABETH, CITY - SO2 - ELIZABETH AVE	\$12,312,600	\$250,000.00	\$2.031
LINDEN, CITY	\$37,954,900	\$189,774.50	\$0.500
NEW PROVIDENCE, BOROUGH	\$36,519,500	\$114,927.00	\$0.315
PLAINFIELD, CITY	\$80,428,200	\$161,323.00	\$0.201
RAHWAY, CITY	\$252,071,550	\$325,000.00	\$0.129
SPRINGFIELD, TWP - SO1	\$65,708,400	\$111,704.28	\$0.170
SPRINGFIELD, TWP - SO2	\$16,127,200	\$24,190.80	\$0.150
SPRINGFIELD, TWP - SO3	\$100,875,600	\$131,138.28	\$0.130
SPRINGFIELD, TWP - SO4	\$18,041,900	\$14,433.52	\$0.080
SUMMIT, CITY	\$141,770,400	\$267,878.00	\$0.189
UNION, TWP	\$13,836,300	\$154,000.00	\$1.114
WESTFIELD, TOWN	\$400,733,300	\$416,347.00	\$0.104

## PROPERTY TAX DISTRIBUTION BY CLASSIFICATION

	DISTRIBU	JTION OF TAXE	S BY TYPE OF	% OF COUNTY TAX PAID BY	AVERAGE TAX ON		
	PROPERTY			MUNICIPALITY	RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY		
			-	_	=total as val res/tot res prop x total tax rate		
	VACANT	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	9			
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	1.27%	75.76%	22.97%	4.37%	\$13,123		
2. CLARK, TWP.	1.02%	79.09%	19.89%	3.82%	\$11,054		
3. CRANFORD, TWP.	0.24%	83.14%	16.61%	6.07%	\$12,157		
1. ELIZABETH, CITY	5.38%	56.62%	37.99%	12.13%	\$10,351		
5. FANWOOD, BORO	0.88%	91.79%	7.33%	1.67%	\$12,929		
6. GARWOOD, BORO	1.32%	71.02%	27.66%	0.97%	\$10,274		
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.	1.03%	76.80%	22.17%	2.89%	\$9,643		
B. KENILWORTH, BORO	1.00%	56.01%	42.99%	2.12%	\$9,556		
9. LINDEN, CITY	3.61%	49.36%	47.03%	8.76%	\$9,185		
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	0.97%	81.40%	17.63%	2.44%	\$12,223		
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	0.64%	77.55%	21.81%	3.87%	\$14,771		
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	0.65%	83.09%	16.27%	3.78%	\$9,037		
13. RAHWAY, CITY	0.91%	68.09%	31.00%	3.81%	\$9,323		
14. ROSELLE, BORO	0.92%	79.97%	19.11%	2.20%	\$10,294		
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	0.89%	79.21%	19.90%	1.63%	\$10,415		
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	1.26%	90.47%	8.22%	5.73%	\$13,964		
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	0.44%	69.93%	29.63%	3.95%	\$12,128		
18. SUMMIT, CITY	0.29%	82.73%	16.98%	9.73%	\$18,254		
19. UNION, TWP.	1.01%	71.92%	27.07%	9.49%	\$9,445		
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN	0.57%	88.81%	10.62%	10.53%	\$17,321		
21. WINFIELD, TWP.	15.90%	77.60%	6.50%	0.02%	\$3,942		
County-Wide Average	1.91%	75.73%	22.35%	100.00%	\$11,399		

# DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY TAXES FOR AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY

Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	К	K
	County	County Library	Local Health Services	County Open Space	District School Budget	Regional School Budgets	School Debt Service	Municipal Purposes	•	Municipal Open Space	K)
1. BERKELEY HEIGHTS, TWP.	\$2,745	\$0	\$0	\$85	\$7,688	\$0		\$2,421	\$184	and the second s	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF
2. CLARK, TWP.	\$2,272	\$0	\$0	\$71	\$5,636	\$0		\$2,916	CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN CO.		CHARGE CONTRACTOR STATES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE
3. CRANFORD, TWP.	\$2,467	\$0	\$0	\$78	\$6,803	\$0	\$0	\$2,635	CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P	Linear American Street, Street	\$12,157
4. ELIZABETH, CITY	\$1,656	\$0	\$0	\$53	\$2,223	\$0		\$6,305	SOURCE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE	CORPORATION CARLEST CONTRACTOR	
5. FANWOOD, BORO	\$2,275	\$0	\$0	\$70	\$0	\$8,081	\$0	\$2,298	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED	Annual State of the Control of the C	\$12,929
6. GARWOOD, BORO	\$1,940	\$0	\$0	\$60	\$4,687	\$0	The second secon	\$3,450	The state of the s	SECRETARIAN AND A SECRETARIAN AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS	A TOTAL TOTAL STREET,
7. HILLSIDE, TWP.	\$1,442	\$0	\$0	STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	\$4,141	\$0		\$3,915	and the second second second second		
8. KENILWORTH, BORO	\$1,729	\$0	\$0		\$4,854	\$0	\$0	\$2,799	The second secon	Against a comprehensive and a comprehensive an	
9. LINDEN, CITY	\$1,556	\$0	\$0	\$49	\$4,555			\$2,917	- And the Company of		
10. MOUNTAINSIDE, BORO	\$2,950	\$0	\$0	\$93	\$5,994	\$0	\$0	\$2,981	STREET, STREET, SQUARE, SQUARE	Selection of the second	E HOUSEAGURETTHICKNEY (LINCORDOLOGICAL)
11. NEW PROVIDENCE, BORO	\$2,947	\$0	\$0	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	\$8,422	\$0		\$3,071	The second second second second second second	And the last of th	The second secon
12. PLAINFIELD, CITY	\$1,247	\$0	\$0		\$2,438	\$0	\$0	\$5,203		The second secon	So and the second secon
13. RAHWAY, CITY	\$1,302	\$0	\$0		A STREET OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	\$0	\$0	\$3,552	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, WHEN PARTY OF T		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
14. ROSELLE, BORO	\$1,239		\$0	The second secon	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$0	\$0	\$5,061	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	Statement of the second second	
15. ROSELLE PARK, BORO	\$1,433	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	\$0	The second second second	th September 2017 control of the con	\$0	\$0	\$3,359		are and embedding and an alternative property of the	and the second common and the beautiful and the second contract of t
16. SCOTCH PLAINS, TWP.	\$2,594		\$0		and the second s	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$0	\$1,940	A STATE OF THE STA		
17. SPRINGFIELD, TWP.	\$2,106	SCHOOL STATE OF STREET, STATE OF STATE	\$0	En tradelligeconnecturation of the property of	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	\$0	\$0	\$3,688	\$147		
	\$4,719		\$0		The second secon	The second residence of the second se	\$461	\$3,653	\$330		
18. SUMMIT, CITY	\$1,548	Commence of property of the second	\$0	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		PER STANDARD SELECTION SELECTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRE	\$0	\$3,356			The second secon
19. UNION, TWP.	\$3,716		\$0		The second secon	and the second s	\$0	\$2,827		THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN T	
20. WESTFIELD, TOWN 21. WINFIELD, TWP.	\$87	\$0	\$0		PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1	The state of the s	The second second second second second		\$ \$0	\$0	\$3,942

Total County Taxes Apportioned	
+ Adjustments (Net Total 12 A IIb)=	\$870,181.43
*Net County Taxes Apportioned (12 A III )	\$367,295,299.43
County Percentage Level of Taxable Value of Real property in Effect	100%
Rate per \$100 to be applied to Col. 11 for apportionment of County Taxes	\$ .47354567055
Appropriated) for the support of the County Budget	\$152,540,807.93

+ Net overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned and Net Underpayments are deducted

Attest:

Christopher R. Duryee Tax Administrator

I, Bibi Taylor, Director of Finance and County Treasurer of the County of Union, do hereby certify that the above is a true copy of the Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in and for the County of Union for the year 2021 as filed with me by the Union County Board of Taxation.

Bibi Taylor Director of Finance County Treasurer

Dated: 10/30/2021

Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus R Appropriated) for the support of the County Budget	
Rate per \$100 to be applied to Col. 11 for apportionment of County Taxes	\$ .47354567055
County Percentage Level of Taxable Value of Real property in *Net County Taxes Apportioned (12 A III ) + Adjustments (Net Total 12 A IIb)= Total County Taxes Apportioned (including Adjustments - Total 12 A I)	\$367,295,299.43 \$870,181.43
+ Net overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportion	ned and Net Underpayments are deducted
Cl Duryel  COUNTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR	COMMISSIONER PRESIDENT  COMMISSIONER VICE-PRESIDENT  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER  COMMISSIONER

Christopher R. Duryee Tax Administrator

I, Bibi Taylor, Director of Finance and County Treasurer of the County of Union, do hereby certify that the above is a true copy of the Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in and for the County of Union for the year 2021 as filed with me by the Union County Board of Taxation.

Attest:

Bibi Taylor Director of Finance County Treasurer

COMMISSIONER

Dated: 10/30/2021