



Agriscience Fair

Purpose

The New Jersey FFA Agriscience Fair recognizes students engaged in outstanding experimental immersion SAEs. Students in this award area use scientific principles and emerging technologies to solve complex problems related to agriculture, food, and natural resources systems. The agriscience fair is for students in grades 7-12. Participation begins at the local level and progresses to state and national levels.

Selecting a Topic and Developing a Project

When selecting an agriscience research topic, consider the ongoing SAE program as a good place in which to begin. Quality research SAE programs are well suited for all students and can be easily incorporated into any SAE program. The research immersion SAE includes analytical, experimental, and invention types. During analytical SAEs, students explore agricultural phenomena they become interested in during their agriscience class or foundational SAEs. Experimental SAEs allow students to apply the scientific process to explore questions that might have been answered during their analytical SAE.

The Agriscience Fair is designed to award outstanding experimental SAEs. Outstanding experimental SAEs are those that ask a question that cannot be answered by a quick internet search, apply the scientific method to answer the question, and come up with practical recommendations that have meaningful impact on those involved in the agriculture, food, and natural resource industries.

Developing a quality agriscience project includes and requires:

- Focusing on an important agricultural issue, question or principle.
- Specific research objectives.
- Using a number of steps.
- Following a scientific process to collect and analyze data.
- Student commitment to a moderate or substantial amount of time.
- Teacher supervision.

PATHWAY DESCRIPTIONS

Student researcher(s) can compete in the agriscience fair in one of six pathways:

- Animal Systems
- Environmental Services/Natural Resource Systems
- Food Products and Processing Systems
- Plant Systems
- Power, Structural and Technical Systems
- Social Science

Pathways are determined by which agricultural system would be most interested in the practical recommendations of the experiment. For instance, if a student tests the width of buffer strips adjacent to corn fields to filter out sediments, the project would be in Environmental Service/Natural Resource Systems because the largest impacts would be on the stream system and aquatic organisms living in the stream.

Biotechnology Systems is the study of using data and scientific techniques to solve problems concerning living organisms with an emphasis on applications to agriculture, food and natural resource systems. Because of this, biotechnology research is incorporated into all categories listed depending on the study conducted. Biotechnology Systems is not its own category.

ANIMAL SYSTEMS (AS)

The study of animal systems, including life processes, health, nutrition, genetics, management and processing, through the study of small animals, aquaculture, livestock, dairy, horses and/or poultry.

Examples:

- Compare nutrient levels on animal growth
- Research new disease control mechanisms
- Effects of estrous synchronization on ovulation
- Compare effects of thawing temperatures on livestock semen
- Effects of growth hormone on meat/milk production

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/NATURAL RESOURCE SYSTEMS (ENR)

- Environmental Service Systems: The study of systems, instruments and technology used to monitor and minimize the impact of human activity on environmental systems.
- Natural Resource Systems: The study of the management, protection, enhancement and improvement of soil, water, wildlife, forests and air as natural resources.
- ENR research involves only non-invasive and non-intrusive methods that do not negatively affect a vertebrate animal's health or well-being. Studies that are designed or anticipated to cause vertebrate animal death are prohibited.

Examples:

- Effect of agricultural chemicals on water quality
- Effects of cropping practices on wildlife populations
- Compare water movements through different soil types

FOOD PRODUCTS AND PROCESSING SYSTEMS (FPP)

The study of product development, quality assurance, food safety, production, regulation and compliance and food service within the food science industry.

Examples:

- Effects of packaging techniques on food spoilage rates
- Resistance of organic fruits to common diseases
- Determining if varieties of sweet corn have different chemical energy

- Control of molds on bakery products
- Effects of the amount of sucrose used in baked goods
- Use of a triangle test in sensory science

PLANT SYSTEMS (PS)

The study of plant life cycles, classifications, functions, structures, reproduction, media and nutrients, as well as growth and cultural practices, through the study of crops, turf grass, trees and shrubs and/or ornamental plants.

Examples:

- Compare the rates of transpiration of plants in different locations in a landscape
- Effects of heavy metals such as cadmium on the growth rate of plants
- Compare GMO and conventional seed/plant growth under various conditions
- Effects of lunar climate and soil condition on plant growth
- Compare plant growth of hydroponics and conventional methods

POWER, STRUCTURAL AND TECHNICAL SYSTEMS (PST)

The study of agricultural equipment, power systems, alternative fuel sources and precision technology, as well as woodworking, metalworking, welding and project planning for agricultural structures.

Examples:

- Compare the energy output of alternative fuel sources to traditional forms
- Create minimum energy use structures
- Compare properties of various alternative insulation products
- Examining the efficiency, the configurations of ventilation systems in a swine facility

SOCIAL SCIENCE (SS)

The study of agricultural areas including agricultural education, agribusiness, agricultural communication, agricultural leadership and sales in agriculture, food and natural resources.

Examples:

- Investigate perceptions of community members toward alternative agricultural practices
- Determine the impact of local/state/national safety programs upon accident rates in agricultural/natural resource occupations
- Comparison of profitability of various agricultural/natural resource practices
- Investigate the impact of significant historical figures on a local community
- Determine the economic effects of local/state/national legislation impacting agricultural/natural resources
- Consumer confidence and understanding of food labels
- Economic effect of employment rate and meat consumption

UNDERSTANDING THE AWARD PROGRAM

Eligibility of Participants

MEMBERSHIP

Each participant must be a current dues paying secondary education (grades 7-12) FFA member in good standing with the local chapter, state FFA association and National FFA Organization at the time of state competition.

Each participant must be enrolled in at least one agricultural education course during the school year in which the participant competes on the state level.

Each member and/or team may enter only one project. Exhibited projects and written reports will be the result of the students' own efforts. A team is a maximum of two members working cooperatively on the same project. Teams can be made up of two students in different grades but will compete in the division in which the older participant would qualify.

Team members must be from the same chapter at the state level competition.

Once a student wins a division and category/pathway on the state level and/or represents New Jersey at the national level, they can no longer compete in that division and category/pathway regardless of the research subject.

Example: *If a student wins Animal Systems Division I as a 7th grader, they can no longer participate in that Division. However, they can compete in Animal Systems Division II as an 8th grader or even Animal Systems Division V as an 11th grader.*

- Students who wish to continue research on the same topic or who have won a division and category/pathway are encouraged to seek additional recognition using the proficiency award or star award, or they may compete in another agriscience research area within the agriscience fair.
- If a student wishes to continue with the same research, at least one variable must be changed, and the data must be from the current year. For more information place consult the Extension of Agriscience Fair portion of this handbook (page 11).
- Students may compete in another agriscience research category within the agriscience fair.
- Students may not participate in more than one category/pathway and division of the agriscience fair each year.
- A member may not compete as an individual and on a team in the same year.

Divisions

Competition is open to all FFA members in grades 7-12. There are six divisions:

- **Division 1** - individual member in grades 7 and 8.
- **Division 2** - team of two members in grades 7 and 8.
- **Division 3** - individual member in grades 9 and 10.
- **Division 4** - team of two members in grades 9 and 10.
- **Division 5** - individual member in grades 11 and 12.
- **Division 6** - team of two members in grades 11 and 12.

Grade is determined by the grade level of the member at the time of qualification at the state competition. If a team is composed of two members that span two divisions, the team must compete in the division in which the oldest student qualifies. For example, a team of two members in grades 10 and 11 must compete in Division 6.

Chapters can enter one project in each division and pathway for a total of 36 entries.

Rules

PROJECT RULES

If there are any questions regarding policies and procedures, contact the National FFA Agriscience Fair Education Specialist prior to beginning the research: agriscience@ffa.org.

GENERAL

1. All studies not meeting the criteria of the National FFA Agriscience Fair but are otherwise permissible must be conducted in a Regulated Research Institution (RRI). A Regulated Research Institution is defined as a professional research/teaching institution that is regularly inspected by the USDA and is licensed to use animals covered by the Animal Welfare Act and may also be subject to U.S. Public Health Service Policy. Also included are federal laboratories such as National Institutes of Health and Centers for Disease Control. In addition, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and research institutes that utilize research animals that are not covered by the Animal Welfare Act but have been operational Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and are in compliance with U.S. Federal laws are included in this definition. In these studies, proper documentation must be presented and the project must be reviewed by the National FFA Organization prior to experimentation. The New Jersey FFA Agriscience Fair follows these guidelines.
2. A research project may be part of a larger study performed by professional scientists, but the project presented by the student researcher(s) must be only their own portion of the complete study.
3. Data may not be added to the research project after the state-level selection. Projects may not have more than one year of data included. See “Extension of Agriscience Fair Projects” for additional information about extension projects.

HUMAN VERTEBRATE

The following policies will govern the use of human beings in agriscience fair research projects:

1. No projects involving human cultures of any type (mouth, throat, skin or otherwise) are allowed. However, tissue cultures purchased from reputable biological supply houses or research facilities are suitable for the student researcher(s)' use. Researchers should not use animals (including insects, birds, fish etc.) to represent human tissue. Research in health systems related to humans is beyond the scope of the agriscience fair.
2. Projects that involve taste, color, texture or any other choice are allowed, but are limited to preference only. Quantities of normal food and non-alcoholic beverages are limited to normal serving amounts or less. No project may use drugs, food or beverages in order to measure their effect on a person.
3. The only human blood that may be used is that which is either obtained through a blood bank,

hospital or laboratory. No blood may be drawn by any person or from any person specifically for an agriscience project. This rule does not preclude student researcher(s) making use of the data collected from blood tests not made exclusively for an agriscience project.

4. Psychological, educational and opinion studies are allowed. Projects that involve learning, ESP, motivation, hearing and vision are also permitted (examples might include surveys, questionnaires, tests, etc.).
5. Data/record review studies in which the data is taken from preexisting data sets that are publicly available and/or published and do not involve any interaction with humans or the collection of any data from a human participant for the purpose of the research project are allowed.
6. No project will be allowed that is in violation of these rules. No person may perform any experiment for student researcher(s) that violates any of the rules.

NON-HUMAN VERTEBRATE

The following policies will govern the use of non-human vertebrates in agriscience fair research projects:

1. The use of vertebrate animals in agriscience projects is allowable under the conditions and rules below. Vertebrate animals are defined as:
 - a. Live, nonhuman vertebrate mammalian embryos or fetuses.
 - b. Tadpoles.
 - c. Bird and reptile eggs within three days (72 hours) of hatching.
 - d. All other non-human vertebrates (including fish) at hatching or birth.
2. Vertebrate animal studies may be conducted at a home, school, farm, ranch, in the field, etc. This includes:
 - a. Studies of animals in their natural environment.
 - b. Studies of animals in zoological parks.
 - c. Studies of livestock that use standard agricultural practices.
 - d. Studies of fish that use standard aquaculture practices.
3. Intrusive techniques used cannot exceed momentary pain and must comply with commonly accepted agriculture and livestock management procedures.
 - a. Student researcher(s) are prohibited from designing or participating in an experiment associated with the following types of studies on vertebrate animals:
 - b. Induced toxicity studies with known toxic substances that could cause pain, distress or death, including but not limited to alcohol, acid rain, harmful chemicals, or heavy metals.
 - c. Behavioral experiments using conditioning with aversive stimuli, mother/infant separation or induced helplessness.
 - d. Studies of pain.
 - e. Predator/vertebrate prey experiments.

4. Food and water cannot be used or withheld for more than 24 hours for maze running and other learning or conditioning activities.
5. The student researcher(s) and advisor have the responsibility to see that animals are properly cared for in a well-ventilated, lighted and warm location with adequate food, water and sanitary conditions. Care must be taken to see that organisms are properly cared for during weekends and vacation periods.
6. Livestock or fish raised for food using standard agricultural/aquacultural production practices may be euthanized by a qualified adult for carcass evaluation.
7. No vertebrate animal deaths due to the experimental procedures, including hunting or harvesting animals are permitted in any group or subgroup.
 - a. Studies that are designed or anticipated to cause vertebrate animal death are prohibited.
 - b. Any death that occurs must be investigated by a veterinarian or another professional qualified to determine if the cause of death was incidental or due to the experimental procedures. The project must be suspended until the cause is determined and then the results must be documented in writing.
 - c. If death was the result of the experimental procedure, the study must be terminated, and the study will not qualify for the New Jersey or National FFA Agriscience Fair.
8. Projects that involve behavioral studies or newly hatched chickens or other birds will be allowed, provided no change has been made in the normal incubation and hatching of the organism and all vertebrate rules are followed.

HAZARDOUS MATERIAL

1. Material Safety Data Sheets (MSD Sheets) are required and must be included for all substances other than Water (H₂O) and Table Salt NaCl).
2. All Hazardous substances used in the current year's research must be listed in the Hazardous Materials Form of the application.

SKILLS, COMPETENCIES AND KNOWLEDGE

This section of the application is linked to the Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources Standards (AFNR Standards) established by the National Council for Agricultural Education. This section of the application requires applicants to show how the included project meets AFNR Standards.

The application provides the opportunity to select 5 different indicators from the primary pathway

you selected.

1. **A. Select up to 5 different primary pathway performance indicators you have gained skills, competencies, or knowledge in through your project.**- This table in the application is controlled by the primary pathway selected on the application's Basic Setup page. If you decide the offered indicators do not match your project well, consider returning to the Basic Setup page and choosing a more appropriate primary pathway.

If you find that no AFNR Indicators match your project well, you should consider whether your project is actually within any agriculture, food or natural resource pathway.

- a) **AFNR Performance Indicator from Primary Pathway** – Select up to five different indicators which match your project from the drop-down menus.
- b) **Specifically describe the activities performed to learn or demonstrate the performance indicator selected.** – Concisely and clearly explain what you did that directly and clearly relates to the selected indicator. Describe how the activity contributed to the success of the included project and how it demonstrates performing the selected indicator.

TIP: The written description needs to match the selected indicator directly and closely.

TIP: Do not be repetitive. Do not select the same indicator more than once and do not describe the same activity more than once.

CHECKLIST

The application includes an automated checklist to help find errors or information missing from the application. It will not find every possible error. A clean checklist does not guarantee that the application won't be penalized.

1. **NOT MET, MISSING or ERROR** – The application cannot be submitted for national-level competition if there are any “Not Met,” “Missing” or “Error” items in red font on the checklist. This signifies incorrect or missing information that may prevent the application from qualifying.
2. **REVIEW** – A checklist item that says “Review” in red font is not an error and may be fine. The checklist is simply calling attention to information in the application that should be double-checked. Applicants are advised to make sure the information the items points to is clearly explained in the application.
3. **MET** – Ideally, all items on the checklist should say “Met” in green font. This does not mean the application is perfect and without errors. It does mean everything the computer can

automatically check for has been cleared.

TIP: Computers are not as smart as people. The computer can check whether or not a box contains text or numbers; it can't determine if the text or numbers are correct information. A clean checklist does not guarantee that the application has no errors.

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES

Carefully read the signature statements before electronically signing the application. Commitments are being made for all signatories – know what you are signing.

1. **Student Approval** – This is the signature of the applicant. Information can only be entered when the applicant is correctly signed into their electronic account.
2. **Advisor Approval** – This is the signature of the supervising agricultural education teacher/FFA advisor. The advisor's signature is required to verify and certify the application. The advisor must be signed into their electronic account to enter information here.
3. **Request for Parent/Guardian Approval** – This area is for the parent/guardian to certify the information in the application and give permission for the information to be used.
 - a. Enter the email address of the parent/guardian whose signature is being requested and click the "Request Signature" button. The application will send an email to that address.
 - i. The parent/guardian must open the email message, click the link and follow the instructions to sign the application electronically.
 - b. **Adult Sponsor** – This signature is for the adult sponsor or supervisor to verify that the application information is accurate.
 - i. Enter the email address of the "Adult Sponsor" whose signature is being requested and click the "Request Signature" button. The application will send an email to that address.
 - ii. The Adult Sponsor must open the email message, click the link and follow the instructions to sign the application electronically.

SAVE YOUR APPLICATION

Judges do not access the live online application. The live application can be constantly accessed by the applicants and is ever-changing. The document that judges view is the specific, time-stamped PDF version of the application that is generated and submitted. If no PDF of the application is generated by completing the following steps, there is nothing to be submitted or judged.

1. **Complete/Save Your App** – The button labeled "Complete/Save Your App" is how application PDF versions are generated (created). This generated PDF is what is submitted and judged. As versions are generated, they are listed in the table on the screen. Which version to submit

is chosen by the applicant.

2. **Get PDF** – To view the generated application, click the “Get PDF” button next to each listed version. What you see when you open this file is what is being submitted for the judges to review, possibly minus some pages depending on your state (see details below in the Application Submission and Judging sections).

APPLICATION SUBMISSION

New Jersey applications are due April 1st.

Only state staff may certify and submit applications for national judging.

Each state association may submit a single application for national-level competition in each award area available at the national level each year.

EXTENSION OF AGRISCIENCE FAIR PROJECTS

The completion of a research project can generate additional research questions that are worthy of investigation. Participants will have the opportunity to conduct this additional research as long as the current year’s project could not have been done without what was learned from the past year’s research. This project would now be considered an extension project for competition. **If participants have competed at the New Jersey/National Agriscience Fair in the category/pathway they are competing in (regardless of the division), they must complete a project extension and they should complete original work.**

1. Student researcher(s) may use findings of previous research to formulate their research hypothesis; however, the student researcher(s) will be evaluated on research they have conducted in the twelve months prior to June 15 annually.
2. Previous research and information should only be included in the Literature Review/Other’s Work by citing the student researcher(s)’ previous work in the same fashion as other scholarly sources. Additionally, student researcher(s)’ work could be used to inform discussions and conclusions indirectly (not specifically listed). Judging will be based on the current year of research.
3. Extension projects should be unique in question and manuscript development. If an extension is filed, the introduction, review of literature, methods, results, and conclusions should not be copied and pasted into the new manuscript. Exceptions to this are methods that follow the same step-by-step procedures. Copying and pasting large sections of text from year to year will be considered plagiarism and will be disqualified.
4. The project must document that the additional research is an expansion based on the findings of prior work (e.g., testing a new variable or new line of investigation, etc.) Repetition of previous experiments with the same methodology and research question or increasing sample size are examples of unacceptable extensions and will be disqualified at the state event.
5. The project display must reflect the current year’s work only. The project title displayed in the finalist’s booth should not mention years (e.g., “Year Two of an Ongoing Study”).

6. Longitudinal studies are permitted under the following conditions:
 - a. The study is a multi-year study testing or documenting the same variables in which time is a critical variable (e.g., effect of high rain or drought on soil in a given basin; return of flora and fauna in a burned area over time).
 - b. Each consecutive year must demonstrate time-based change.
 - c. The display board must be based on collective past data and its comparison to the current year data set. No raw data from previous years may be displayed.
7. All extension projects must be reviewed and approved each year and forms must be completed for each year.
8. Successive year projects must indicate change or growth in the project from the previous year(s) in the log books and complete the continuation form in the application.
9. To complete an extension, students should indicate on the cover page of the application that the project is an extension. Once the student has selected “yes” a link will appear in the blue box on the left-hand side of the application. Complete this form to file an extension.

NOTE: For an extension project to be eligible for competition in the agriscience fair, the project extension form in the online application must be completed and will include the abstract for all other prior years. The documentation should be clearly labeled in the upper right-hand corner with the year (i.e., 2018-2019). Please retain all prior years’ paperwork in case event officials request additional documentation.

MULTIPLE RESEARCH PROJECTS FROM A CHAPTER

If more than one agriscience project is entered from the same chapter and/or school, then projects must differ in:

- Research hypotheses (questions or objectives).
- Findings related to the research hypothesis (questions or objectives).
- Conclusions.
- Recommendations.
- Student researcher(s) (each student researcher may only participate in one project).

Each of the published authors must have made a unique and substantial contribution to the research endeavor. It is standard that peripheral contributions be acknowledged (i.e., The student researchers would like to thank Mrs. Smith’s Seventh Period Animal Science Class for their assistance in...).

DISQUALIFICATION

A project will be disqualified if:

1. Teams or participants are not present for their interview time.
2. Any assistance is given to a team or participant from any source other than the agriscience fair officials or assistants once judging has begun.
3. Agriscience Fair Official stops any participants for manners they deem to be hazardous to themselves or others. Such removal will constitute immediate disqualification.
4. The participant does not complete the event they start, unless prior permission from the event official has been obtained.
5. Participants access and/or utilize personal electronic communication devices during the entire course of the event. Participants who access personal electronic communication devices without prior approval of the event official will be disqualified (examples include: iPads, tablets, computers, cell phones, WiFi devices, etc.,).
6. An advisor, coach, parent, or fellow chapter member is in the judging area once judging officially begins. Any advisor, coach, parent or fellow chapter member found to do so may disqualify their participant.
7. A student substitution is made. See the "Interview" section of this handbook for more information.
8. The participant fails to meet any rules or participation guidelines set forth in this handbook.
9. The participant commits plagiarism.
10. The participant conducts unethical research.
11. The student(s) have previously placed first in a division and category/pathway at the state level and/or in the top three of a division and category/pathway at the national level and competes again in the same division and category/pathway.
12. Participants alter the application and/or written report template.

PROFESSIONAL INTEGRITY

FFA members participating in the state/national FFA program and events understand and agree that all work must result from their own effort and ability, created, and completed alone (except for partner or chapter applications). When outside sources (direct quotes or phrases, specific dates, figures, or other materials) are used for a project, document, or application, the required reference citation must be completed according to the rules specified by the applicable handbook.

While participating in New Jersey and National FFA programs, FFA members are prohibited from:

- Plagiarizing
- Violating copyright
- Cheating
- Falsifying information
- Using another person's results or thoughts as their own, even with this person's permission. This includes work done by a family member or mentor.
- Using information or data obtained from the internet without proper citation.

Any attempt to gain an unfair advantage will not be tolerated. Non-compliance represents plagiarism and will automatically disqualify a member.

USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) FOR NEW JERSEY EVENTS AND NATIONAL EVENTS

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to computer systems capable of performing complex tasks that historically only a human could do, such as reasoning, making decisions or solving problems. As AI continues to evolve, it can be a tremendous tool to help companies, organizations and individuals enhance their productivity and work. Therefore, the New Jersey FFA Organization allows the use of AI tools. FFA members may use AI tools, such as ChatGPT, Gemini and CoPilot to assist them in their learning.

Appropriate uses of AI may include:

- Generating ideas for any FFA-related assignments, projects, contests and award applications.
- Checking facts of a phenomenon.
- Checking for and correcting grammatical errors in a paper written by a member.

For more information, please see the Standard Operating Procedures on the Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for National Events document.

PLAGIARISM

An agriscience fair project must be the result of a student's own effort and ability. However, in securing information such as direct quotes or phrases, specific dates, figures or other materials, that information must be marked and identified appropriately. Non-compliance represents plagiarism and will automatically disqualify a participant.

Student researcher(s) may not:

- In any way falsify a permission form, scientific paper or display.
- Use another person's results or thoughts as their own even with the permission of this person.
This includes work done by a family member or a mentor.
- Use information or data obtained from the Internet without proper citation.
- Re-enter a project with only minor changes or re-use parts of a manuscript in a new manuscript even if the original manuscript was written by the same researcher (ie. copying and pasting from the review of literature)

ETHICS STATEMENT

Scientific fraud and misconduct is not condoned at any level of research or competition. Plagiarism, use of presentation of other researcher's work as one's own and fabrication or falsification of data will not be tolerated. Fraudulent projects will result in disqualification from the New Jersey FFA Agriscience Fair. Unethical behavior will result in notification to the student researcher(s)' local school administration. Exhibited projects and project reports shall be the result of the student researcher(s) own effort.

REQUIRED FORMS

For state competition, students must complete the agriscience fair application in AET and upload the written report. These materials (application and written report) must be emailed to ag.ed.registration@ag.nj.gov by April 1. Advisors must submit a participant list via Jot Form (<https://form.jotform.com/NJFFA/AgriscienceFair>) by April 1.

STATE LEVEL SCORING

All students registered to participate in the New Jersey FFA Agriscience Fair must have their completed written report and application with all supporting forms submitted by April 1 to ag.ed.registration@ag.nj.gov. Participants with incomplete submissions will not be allowed to compete.

Scores from the written report submitted will count as 25 percent of the overall score. The interview will account for 75 percent of the overall score. The same judge(s) will review the written report and conduct the interview.

If a project is missing a report component, for example the Acknowledgements, this section of the scorecard will be marked as a zero and will be taken into account for the overall score.

A project will be ineligible for competition for the following reasons: incomplete application, missing signatures, project is not related to agriculture, project is declared in the wrong category, the wrong written report template is used, no research is conducted, project is not registered by the deadlines, version numbers differ throughout the application.

RECOGNITION

Chapter level: Winners may be selected annually in each FFA chapter. The winner can represent any of the agriscience category areas.

State level: Winners from each division in all categories are selected annually at the New Jersey FFA Agriscience Fair. Formal recognition takes place during the 2nd session (8:30 am) of the State FFA Convention in May. Winners from each division in all categories receive a \$50 award, certificate, plaque, and pin.

National level: Each state winners' application and report are sent to National FFA for prequalifying judging. A maximum of 10 applicants in each category and division will be considered a national finalist and invited to compete in the National FFA Agriscience Fair to be held at the National FFA Convention & Expo. Online interviews will take place on September 11-18 to determine the national winner.

PROJECT COMPONENTS

APPLICATION

(Create using National FFA's online application in the Degree/Application Manager): Complete the following pages:

1. Cover page
2. FFA Membership
3. Adult Sponsor Checklist
4. Hazardous Materials (upload MSD sheets for substances other than water (H₂O) and table salt (NaCl))
5. Human Vertebrates
6. Non-Human Vertebrates
7. Skill Development Form
8. Research Funding
9. Abstract/Summary
10. Written Report Uploaded (use template provided)
11. Checksheet
12. Electronic Signatures

Once you "Complete/Save Your App" click 'Get PDF' (located at the bottom of the Save/Print Your App page) and save.

WRITTEN REPORT

Upload the Written Report (using National FFA's template) to the application as instructed in the online application. You DO NOT need to mail a hard copy of the written report.

The full written report and application must be submitted to the New Jersey FFA at ag.ed.registration@ag.nj.gov by April 1. As developing student researchers, the expectations for the written report are slightly different for Divisions 1 and 2 (seventh and eighth grade students) compared to Divisions 3, 4, 5 and 6. The purpose of the rubric for Divisions 1 and 2 is to introduce young student researchers to the process of scholarly thinking. As the student researcher ages, skills grow and advance to utilize the rubric for Divisions 3-6 (grades 9-12).

FORMAT OF REPORT

The report should be on an 8 ½ x 11-inch document. The report will have 1-inch margins. Font size must be 12 using Arial, Courier or Times New Roman font. The written report template is required.

Divisions 1-2 Written Report Template can be found:

<https://ffa.app.box.com/s/cf4o9ys85ieer7z5xqcjn17raji0tvgp/file/289991270987> and Divisions 3-6

Written Report Template can be found:

<https://ffa.app.box.com/s/cf4o9ys85ieer7z5xqcjn17raji0tvqgp/file/289990393154>.

Divisions 1-2 (Grades 7-8)	Divisions 3-6 (Grades 9-12)
<p><i>See Summary on page 20.</i></p>	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>A brief summary of the paper, which concisely describes the purpose, methods, results and conclusions. The abstract may include potential research applications or future research. The abstract should not contain cited references. It should be no longer than one page and in paragraph form. Because this is the first page of the project report, it will be where the reader forms an opinion on the study. In the abstract, arrange the points as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Purpose 2. Procedure 3. Results 4. Conclusions <p>This section would include methods, primary results/effects of major treatments, and main conclusions. Do not include discussion, citations and footnotes. or references to tables and figures.</p>
<p>IMPORTANCE</p> <p>The importance answers the question “Why was the work done?” Provide an explanation of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why the research topic is important to the agriculture industry. • What problem the investigation attempts to solve. <p>Each point should be addressed in a paragraph for a minimum of two paragraphs in the importance section.</p>	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>The introduction answers the question “Why was the work done?” It provides background on the subject in several paragraphs. The introduction should clearly state the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on agriculture, the findings of earlier work and the general approach and objectives. You must cite sources for statements that are not common knowledge. The last paragraph of the introduction includes the objectives of the study.</p>

Divisions 1-2 (Grades 7-8)	Divisions 3-6 (Grades 9-12)
<p>OTHER’S WORK</p> <p>The other’s work section details the information that currently exists concerning the research topic. What other information did the student researcher(s) read before conducting the project? What information did student researcher(s) look up during the investigation? Reference information regarding where the publication was found should be listed, then a brief summary should be written by the student researcher(s) for each publication. Publications could include articles about similar studies, similar research methods, history of the research area and any other items that support the current knowledge base for the research topic.</p>	<p>LITERATURE REVIEW</p> <p>The literature review should detail what information currently exists concerning the research project. Information in the review should be written in APA style and should include publications used for the research. Publications cited could include articles about similar studies, similar research methods, history of the research area and any other items that support the current knowledge base for the research topic and how the project might complement existing information.</p>
<p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>This section enables others to reproduce the results by duplicating the study. Write in first person, encompass all materials required and explain the study design by sharing the technical and experimental procedures used. If used, any statistical procedures are included here. This section should be a narrative rather than a list of steps on how to do the process</p>	<p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>A well-written materials and methods section enables others to reproduce the results by replicating the study. Write in past tense, third person, encompass all materials required, state the hypothesis/research questions and explain the study design by sharing the technical and experimental procedures employed. With fieldwork, describe the study site. Include any statistical procedures employed. This section should be a narrative rather than a list of steps on how to do the process</p>
<p>HYPOTHESIS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS</p> <p>The student researcher(s) state the hypothesis and/or anticipated results. What are the expected results of the study?</p>	
<p>RESULTS</p> <p>This section is a summary of the results, even if they are not what was hypothesized. Do not include discussion or conclusions about the data. Tell the reader exactly what was discovered and what patterns, trends or relationships were observed. Decide on the most meaningful way to present the data (tables, figures), and refer to them in the text. Data should be able to stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures. Data should not be added after the state level selection as it may alter the discussion and conclusions</p>	<p>RESULTS</p> <p>This section is a summary of the results, even if they are not what was hypothesized. Do not include discussion or conclusions about the data. Tell the reader exactly what was discovered and what patterns, trends or relationships were observed. Decide on the most meaningful way to present the data (tables, figures) and refer to them in the text. Data should be able to stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures. Data should not be added after the state level selection as it may alter the discussion and conclusions.</p>

Divisions 1-2 (Grades 7-8)	Divisions 3-6 (Grades 9-12)
<p>DISCUSSION</p> <p>In this section, the student researcher(s) should include information about the meaning of the results, how the results relate to the Other’s Work section and what impact the study has on the agriculture industry.</p>	<p>DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS</p> <p>In this section, draw conclusions from the results of the study and relate them to the original hypothesis. It is helpful to briefly recap the results and use them as a foundation for the conclusions. If the results were not what was expected, take this opportunity to explain why. Give details about the results and observations by elaborating on the mechanisms behind what happened. Tie the study in with the literature, but do not hesitate to offer sound reasoning of your own. Discussion should refer to facts and figures in the results section and provide recommendations for practice and future research. Discussion and conclusions should also address the impact the research has on the agriculture industry.</p>
<p>CONCLUSIONS</p> <p>In the conclusion the student researcher(s) should share recommendations on what should be done or what should change as a result of the research. It is helpful to briefly recap the results and use them as a foundation for the conclusions. If the results were not what was expected, take this opportunity to explain why. The student researcher(s) should share what the next steps are to continue the study.</p>	
<p><i>See Other’s Work on page 18.</i></p>	<p>REFERENCES</p> <p>Only significant, published and relevant sources accessible through a library or an information system should be included. All citations in the text must be included in the reference section. When information or facts are used that are not common knowledge, give credit to the source of that information by citing a reference. Use the APA style recognized citation system throughout the report.</p>
<p>SUMMARY</p> <p>The summary should be two to three paragraphs describing the study conducted. Describes why the student researcher(s) chose to conduct the study, why the study is important to the agriculture industry, how the student researcher(s) conducted the study, what the student researcher(s) found by conducting the study, and how the results apply within the agriculture industry.</p>	<p><i>See Abstract on page 18.</i></p>

Divisions 1-2 (Grades 7-8)	Divisions 3-6 (Grades 9-12)
<p>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</p> <p>Acknowledge anyone who helped in any aspect of the project in this section.</p>	<p>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</p> <p>Acknowledge anyone who helped in any aspect of the project in this section.</p>
<p>SKILL DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>The student researcher(s) select five appropriate competencies based on the study conducted. Two competencies must be from the study’s primary pathway and the third can be from any pathway. The student researcher(s) demonstrate skills that are appropriate for the scope of the project. The project demonstrates application of skill attainment with measurable impact on the overall study.</p>	<p>SKILL DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>The student researcher(s) select five appropriate competencies based on the study conducted. Three competencies must be from the study’s primary pathway and the other two can be from any pathway. The student researcher(s) demonstrate skills that are appropriate for the scope of the project. The project demonstrates application of skill attainment with measurable impact on the overall study.</p>
<p>SPELLING/GRAMMAR</p> <p>The student researcher(s) should use correct spelling, complete sentences and proper grammar throughout the report. APA or MLA-style writing used throughout the report.</p>	<p>APA STYLE/SPELLING</p> <p>The student researcher(s) should use correct spelling, complete sentences, proper grammar and appropriate APA style writing throughout the report.</p>

FRAMEWORK OF REPORT

Student(s) must use the division appropriate written report template available on FFA.org/agrisciencefair or the following headings to create a research report. All reports must meet the additional criteria listed in the Format of Report section.

Divisions 1-2 (Grades 7-8)	Divisions 3-6 (Grades 9-12)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title Page <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Project Title - Includes student’s name(s), chapter, state, pathway, Division •Importance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Why is this topic important to the agriculture industry? - What problem does the investigation solve for agriculture? •Other’s work •Materials and Methods •Hypothesis/Anticipated Results •Results •Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What do the results of the study mean? - How are they related to what others found in the “Other’s Work” section? •Conclusions •Summary •Acknowledgements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •A short title <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 50 character or less •Title Page <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Project Title <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪Maximum 3 lines/15 words - Includes student’s name(s), chapter, state, pathway, Division •Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No longer than one page •Introduction •Literature Review •Materials and Methods •Results •Discussions and Conclusions •Acknowledgements •References

DISPLAY

The Display is required for state competition but will not be scored. If an exhibit becomes unsafe or unsuitable for display, it will be removed and deemed ineligible for any awards.

Each exhibit should include information relevant to the study. All projects must have the following information attached to the exhibit:

- Name of agriscience fair participant(s) responsible for developing the project
- Chapter name, state
- Title of category
- Division (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6)

The poster may not have anything affixed to it including, but not limited to, tabs, pop-up images, foam core title pages, etc.

Agriscience Fair participant(s)' display shows the results of the study utilizing a display board not to exceed the dimensions of:

- 36 inches high (from top of table to top of display)
- 48 inches (width)
- 30 inches deep (the distance from front to back)

The complete display, which includes methods of attaching as needed (easel, stand, etc.,) cannot exceed the dimensions of:

- 38 inches high (from top of table to top of display).
- 50 inches wide.
- 30 inches deep (the distance from front to back).

Failure to meet these requirements will result in disqualification.

The display must consist of a stable, free standing display board on the provided table top not to exceed the sizes outlined above. No additional props, handouts (including written report), logbooks or electronics are permitted. No tablets, iPads, cell phones or other electronic devices will be permitted. Internet access will not be provided.

Posters can be created utilizing Microsoft PowerPoint slide format, however this is not required. Participant(s) are responsible for providing backing for the poster if needed.

INTERVIEW

All students are required to meet face to face with the judges to explain their projects. This component will be treated like an interview and is not intended to be a prepared speech. Explanation and questioning may not exceed 15 minutes. The interview is an opportunity for judges to ask questions about the project. A team project must be presented by a team of two. Substitutions are not permitted and will cause disqualification. Judges will ask questions to determine the extent of the knowledge gained, your understanding of your project, how it relates to your SAE, and possibly how your project relates to other FFA activities. The following is a list of example questions that may be asked:

1. How and why was the project selected?
2. What was your goal? What did you plan to accomplish in your project?
3. Were there any surprises in your project? How did you handle them?
4. What did you learn from the experience?
5. How much time did you devote to the project?
6. What kept you from being discouraged?
7. How did you manage time for this project in relation to your other activities?
8. How would you advise others doing a project? What is the value of completing an agriscience fair project
9. How can your findings and conclusions be applied in the agriculture, food and natural resources industry?

OFFICIAL DRESS

Participants are expected to observe the National FFA Code of Ethics and the proper use of the FFA jacket during the New Jersey FFA Agriscience Fair. (Please reference the latest edition of the Official FFA Manual.) Official dress is highly recommended for the interview, awards presentation, and recognition.

Scoresheets and Rubrics

The next 14 pages contains scoresheets and rubrics utilized by judges to evaluate written reports and interviews. As developing student researchers, the expectations for the written report are slightly different for Divisions 1-2 (grades 7-8) compared to Divisions 3-6 (grades 9-12). Please note that these written reports are evaluated differently.

Written Report Scoresheet: Divisions 1-2 (Grades 7-8)

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Pathway: _____ Division: _____

Area		Points Possible	Points Earned
Importance	The importance includes a one paragraph answer for each question that clearly answers Why is the topic important to the agriculture industry? What problem does the investigation solve for agriculture?	10	
Other's Work	Clearly details what information currently exists concerning the research project. Reference where the information was found (website, book, article, etc.) is listed, then a paragraph written by the student researcher(s) clearly describing the reference and information is provided for each publication used.	15	
Materials and Methods	Clearly written to enable others to replicate the study and results. The section is written in first person and encompasses all materials required. If used, the statistical procedures are included. A narration of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included.	10	
Hypothesis/ Anticipated Results	Student researcher(s) clearly state the hypothesis and/or anticipated results.	5	
Results	The written results of the project are summarized. Trends and relationships are clearly addressed. No conclusions are made in this section. Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are included.	20	
Discussion	The discussion includes clear, detailed answers for each question: What do the results of the study mean? How are they related to what others found in the "Other's Work" section?	10	
Conclusions	The conclusion clearly states what should be done and/or changed as a result of the research. Clearly states what the next steps are to continue the research.	5	
Summary	The summary is two to three paragraphs describing the study conducted. Describes why the student researcher(s) chose to conduct the study, why the study is important to the agriculture industry, how the study was conducted, what was found by conducting the study and how the results apply within the agriculture industry.	5	
Acknowledgements	A detailed list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.	3	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge	All five competencies from the primary pathway demonstrate skills that are appropriate for the scope of the research project. The project demonstrates application of skill attainment with significant measurable impact on the overall project. NOTE: If the selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application, the Skills Competencies and Knowledge section on the rubric will receive zero (0) points.	15	
Spelling/Grammar	APA or MLA citation style writing is used throughout the written report. Student researcher(s) use complete sentences; no spelling or grammar errors present.	2	
TOTAL SCORE (100 points possible)			
This constitutes 25% of the overall score to determine final ranking.			

*In the event of a tie, qualification for the agriscience fair will be based on the scores received in the following sections in order: discussion, conclusions, results, importance, summary.

Written Report Rubric: Divisions 1-2 (Grades 7-8)

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Pathway: _____ Division: _____

Area	High Points 5 – 4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1-0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Importance	The importance includes a one paragraph answer for each question that clearly answers: Why is the topic important to the agriculture industry? What problem does the investigation solve for agriculture?	The importance includes a one paragraph answer for each question that vaguely answers: Why is the topic important to the agriculture industry? What problem does the investigation solve for agriculture?	The importance includes a one paragraph answer for each question that poorly answers: Why is the topic important to the agriculture industry? What problem does the investigation solve for agriculture?	10	
Other's Work	Clearly details what information currently exists concerning the research project. Reference where the information was found (website, book, article, etc..)is listed, then a paragraph written by the student researcher(s) clearly describing the reference and information it provided for each publication used.	Poorly details what information currently exists concerning the research project. Reference where the information was found (website, book, article, etc..) is listed, then a paragraph written by the student researcher(s) vaguely describes the reference and information it provided for each publication used.	Does not detail what information currently exists concerning the research project. Reference where the information was found (website, book, article, etc..) is listed, then a paragraph written by the student researcher(s) poorly describes or is not included on what the reference says for each publication used.	15	
Materials and Methods	Clearly written to enable others to replicate the study and results. Section is written in first person and encompasses all materials required. If used, the statistical procedures are included. A narration of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included.	Not written clearly to enable others to replicate the study and results. Section may or may not be written in first person and encompasses all materials required. The statistical procedures are included but are unclear. A narration of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included.	Written poorly so that others cannot replicate the study and results. Section is not written in first person and does not encompass all materials required. The statistical procedures are not included. Steps taken to complete the experiment are listed.	10	
Hypothesis/ Anticipated Results	Student researcher(s) clearly state the hypothesis and/or anticipated results.	Student researcher(s) vaguely state the hypothesis and/or anticipated results.	Student researcher(s) do not state or poorly state the hypothesis and/or anticipated results.	5	
Results	Written results of the project are summarized. Trends and relationships are clearly addressed. No conclusions are made in this section. Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are included.	Written results of the project are incompletely summarized. Trends and relationships are vague. No conclusions are made in this section. Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are sometimes included.	Written results of the project are poorly summarized. Trends and relationships are not addressed. Data is not appropriately included as tables and figures.	20	

Area	High Points 5 – 4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1-0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Discussion	The discussion includes clear, detailed answers for each question: What do the results of the study mean? How are they related to what others found in the "Other's Work" section?	The discussion includes vague answers for each question: What do the results of the study mean? How are they related to what others found in the "Other's Work" section?	The discussion poorly answers each question: What do the results of the study mean? How are they related to what others found in the "Other's Work" section?	10	
Conclusions	The conclusion clearly states what should be done and/or changed as a result of the research. Clearly states what the next steps are to continue the research.	The conclusion vaguely states what should be done and/or changed as a result of the research. The next steps for research are unclear.	The conclusion poorly states what should be done and/or changed as a result of the research. The next steps for research are not included.	5	
Summary	The summary is two to three paragraphs describing the study conducted. Describes why the student researcher(s) chose to conduct the study, why the study is important to the agriculture industry, how the study was conducted, what was found by conducting the study and how the results apply within the agriculture industry.	The summary is two to three paragraphs vaguely describing the study conducted. Vaguely describes why the student researcher(s) chose to conduct the study, why the study is important to the agriculture industry, how the study was conducted, what was found by conducting the study and how the results apply within the agriculture industry.	The summary is two to three paragraphs that poorly describes the study conducted. Why the student researcher(s) chose to conduct the study, why the study is important to the agriculture industry, how the study was conducted, what was found by conducting the study and how the results apply within the agriculture industry is unclear.	5	
Acknowledgements	Detailed list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.	A list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project.	A list or paragraph is not included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.	3	
Spelling/ Grammar	APA or MLA citation style writing is used throughout the report. Student researcher(s) use complete sentences; no spelling or grammar errors present.	APA or MLA citation style writing is used. Student researcher(s) use complete sentences; minor spelling or grammar errors present.	APA or MLA citation style writing is not used. Student researcher(s) do not use complete sentences; excessive spelling or grammar errors present.	2	

Area	Skill #	Assessment of Skill	High	Mild	Low	Points Possible	Points Earned
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A1	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A2	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A3	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance	2	

			indicator. (max 2 pts)	indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)			
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A4	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2		
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A5	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2		
TOTAL SCORE (100 points possible)								
This constitutes 25% of the overall score to determine final ranking.								

*In the event of a tie, qualification for the agriscience fair will be based on the scores received in the following sections in order: discussion, conclusions, results, importance, summary.

Written Report Scoresheet: Divisions 3-6 (Grades 9-12)

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Category: _____ Division: _____

Area		Points Possible	Points Earned
Abstract	The abstract is brief and concisely describes the purpose, methods, results, and conclusions. The abstract does not include cited references. The abstract is no longer than one page. Arrangement makes the purpose, procedure and conclusions clear.	3	
Introduction	Introduction answers the question "Why was the work done?" It clearly states the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on agriculture, the findings of earlier work and the general approach and objectives.	10	
Literature Review	The literature review details what information currently exists concerning the research project. The information includes materials used in the research and material cited, such as articles about similar studies, similar research methods, history of the research area and other items that support the current knowledge base for the topic and how the project might complement existing information.	10	
Materials and Methods	Clearly written to enable others to replicate the study and results. The section is written in third person, encompasses all materials required, states the hypothesis/research questions and explains the study design. If used, the statistical procedures are included. A narration of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included.	15	
Results	The written results of the project are summarized. Trends and relationships are clearly addressed. No conclusions are made in this section. Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are included.	20	
Discussion and Conclusions	A brief recap of the results is included and shows how they were the foundation of the study. Sound reasoning shows that conclusions are based on results, incorporates previous literature, and relates directly to the hypothesis. The discussion refers to or references facts and figures in results section and provides recommendations for practice, future research and the impact on the agriculture industry.	15	
Acknowledgements	A detailed list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.	3	
References	References contain significant, published and relevant sources.	2	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge	All five competencies from the primary pathway, demonstrate skills that are appropriate for the scope of the research project. The project demonstrates the application of skill attainment with a significant measurable impact on the overall project. NOTE: If the selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application, the Skills, Competencies and Knowledge section on the rubric will receive zero (0) points.	20	
APA Style/Spelling	APA citation style writing is used throughout the written report. No spelling or grammar errors are present.	2	
TOTAL SCORE (100 points possible)			
This constitutes 25% of the overall score to determine final ranking.			

*In the event of a tie, qualification for the agriscience fair will be based on the scores received in the following sections in order: discussion, conclusions, results, importance, summary.

Written Report Rubric: Divisions 3-6 (Grades 9-12)

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Category: _____ Division: _____

Area	High Points 5 – 4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1-0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Abstract	Abstract is brief and concisely describes the purpose, methods, results and conclusions. Abstract does not include cited references. Abstract is no longer than one page. Arrangement makes the purpose, procedure, results and conclusions clear.	Abstract describes the purpose, methods, results and conclusions. Abstract does not include cited references. Abstract is longer than one page. Arrangement makes the purpose, procedure, results and conclusions vague.	Abstract poorly describes the purpose, methods, results and conclusions. Abstract includes cited references. Abstract is longer than one page. Arrangement makes the purpose, procedure, results and conclusions unclear.	3	
Introduction	Introduction answers the question "Why was the work done?" It clearly states the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on agriculture, the findings of earlier work, and the general approach and objectives.	Introduction answers the question "Why was the work done?" It vaguely states the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on agriculture, the findings of earlier work, and the general approach and objectives.	Introduction does not answer the question "Why was the work done?" It does not state the problem that justifies conducting the research, the purpose of the research, its impact on agriculture, the findings of earlier work, and the general approach and objectives.	10	
Literature Review	The literature review details what information currently exists concerning the research project. The information includes materials used in the research and material cited such as articles about similar studies, similar research methods, history of the research area and other items that support the current knowledge base for the topic and how the project might complement existing information.	The literature review poorly details what information currently exists concerning the research project. The information may or may not include materials used in the research. Some materials cited include articles about similar studies, similar research methods and history of the research area. How the project might complement existing information is not clear.	The literature review does not detail what information currently exists concerning the research project. There is no information included or it does not reference materials used in the research. No information cited such as articles about similar studies, similar research methods or history of the research area. How the project might complement existing information is not clear.	10	
Materials and Methods	Clearly written to enable others to replicate the study and results. Section is written in third person, encompasses all materials required, states the hypothesis/research questions and explains the study design. If used, the statistical procedures are included. A narration of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included.	Not written clearly to enable others to replicate the study and results. Section may or may not be written in third person, encompasses all materials required, states the hypothesis/research questions and explains the study design. The statistical procedures are included but are unclear. A narration of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included.	Written poorly so others cannot replicate the study and results. Section is not written in third person, does not encompass all materials required for the research and hypothesis/research questions are not stated. The statistical procedures are not included. Steps taken to complete the experiment are listed.	15	

Area	High Points 5 – 4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1 - 0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Results	Written results of the project are summarized. Trends and relationships are clearly addressed. No conclusions are made in this section. Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are included.	Written results of the project are incompletely summarized. Trends and relationships are vague. No conclusions are made in this section. Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are sometimes included.	Written results of the project are poorly summarized. Trends and relationships are not addressed. Data is not appropriately included as tables and figures.	20	
Discussion and Conclusions	Brief recap of the results is included and shows how they were the foundation of the study. Sound reasoning is shown that conclusions are based on results, incorporates previous literature and relates directly to the hypothesis. Discussion refers to or references facts and figures in results section and provides recommendations for practice, future research and the impact on the agriculture industry.	Brief recap of the results is included and shows how they were the foundation of the study. Unsound reasoning is shown that conclusions are based on results, vaguely incorporates previous literature and partially relates to the hypothesis. Discussion refers to or references facts and figures in results section and provides recommendations for practice, future research and the impact on the agriculture industry.	No recap of the results is included or poorly shows how they were the foundation for the study. Conclusions are not based on results, previous literature not included and do not relate directly to the hypothesis. Discussion poorly refers to or references facts and figures in the results section and does not provide recommendations for practice, future research and does not illustrate the impact on the agriculture industry.	15	
Acknowledgements	Detailed list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.	A list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project.	A list or paragraph is not included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.	3	
References	References contain significant, published and relevant sources.	References listed are somewhat significant, published and relevant sources.	References listed are not significant, published and relevant sources.	2	
APA Style/Spelling	APA citation style writing is used throughout the report. No spelling or grammar errors are present.	APA citation style writing is used. Minor spelling or grammar errors are present.	APA citation style writing is not used. Excessive spelling or grammar errors are present.	2	

Area	Skill #	Assessment of Skill	High	Mild	Low	Points Possible	Points Earned
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A1	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A2	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A3	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5	

		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2		
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A4	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2		
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway (Score each selected indicator. No selected indicator equals zero points.)	Primary Pathway: Indicator A5	Relevance to Project	The selected indicator clearly applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max .5 pts)	Selected indicator vaguely applies to the projects detailed in the application. (max 0 points)	The selected indicator does not apply to the project detailed in the application. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Relevance of Activity to Indicator	The activity described is completely and clearly related to the selected indicator. (max .5 pts)	The activity described is vaguely related to the selected indicator. (max 0 pts)	The activity described is not related to the selected indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	0.5		
		Quality of description	The provided description clearly demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 2 pts)	The provided description vaguely demonstrates the applicant's performance indicator. (max 1.9-1 pts)	The provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate the applicant's performance indicator. (Zero Score for the entire indicator)	2		
TOTAL SCORE (100 points possible)								
This constitutes 25% of the overall score to determine final ranking.								

*In the event of a tie, qualification for the agriscience fair will be based on the scores received in the following sections in order: discussion, conclusions, results, importance, summary.

Interview Scoresheet: Divisions 1, 3, 5

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Category: _____ Division: _____

Area		Points Possible	Points Earned
Knowledge Gained	Is there evidence the student researcher has acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing this project? Does the student researcher recognize the scope and limitation of the problem he or she has selected? The project demonstrates application of skill attainment with significant measurable impact on the overall project.	30	
Scientific Research	Has the problem been clearly stated? Has the student researcher used scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions? Is the student researcher aware of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the methods used and conclusions reached? Can the research be the basis for further study? Have the appropriate methods and scientific design principles been applied? Is the student researcher aware of the empirical method (the necessity of repeating trials) and the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions?	30	
Collaboration	Is there evidence of collaboration present? Identify the portions of the project representing the work of others. Others include student researchers, teachers, specialists in the field of study, etc.	15	
Thoroughness/ Information	How successfully was the original plan carried through to completion? Were adaptations to the study made? If so, were they made in a way that upholds the integrity of the study? Are known facts and principles stated correctly and used accurately? Have the results of experiments been reported accurately even though faulty experimental methods or conditions may have made the data unreliable? If so, have these errors been noted? Did the student researcher identify areas of weakness in the study?	30	
Results/ Conclusions	Has the student researcher started with known facts and drawn their own conclusions? Are the conclusions consistent with the data and/or observations? Did the student researcher share what was learned as a result of the research? Can the student researcher effectively communicate the results and impact of the study?	15	
TOTAL SCORE (120 points possible)			
This constitutes 75% of the overall score to determine final ranking.			

*In the event of a tie, winner will be determined based on the score of the written report. If a tie still exists, the tie will be broken on scores received in the following sections in order: knowledge gained, thoroughness/information, results/conclusions.

Interview Rubric: Divisions 1, 3, 5

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Category: _____ Division: _____

Area	High Points 5-4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1 - 0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Knowledge Gained	There is evidence the student researcher has acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing the project. The student researcher exhibits knowledge of the scope and limitations of the problem selected. The project demonstrates application of skill attainment with significant measurable impact on the overall project.	There is some evidence that the student researcher has acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing this project. The student researcher has limited knowledge of the scope and limitations of the problem selected. There is some evidence of demonstration of skill attainment with some measurable impact on the overall project.	There is no evidence that the student researcher has acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing this project. The student researcher does not recognize the scope and limitations of the problem selected. There is no evidence of demonstration of skill attainment or impact on the overall project.	30	
Scientific Research	The problem is clearly stated. The student researcher uses scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions. The student researcher is aware of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the methods used and conclusions reached. The research is the basis for further study. The appropriate methods and scientific design have been applied. The student researcher is aware of the empirical method and the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions.	The problem is not clearly stated. The student researcher uses some scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions. The student researcher has limited knowledge of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the methods used and conclusions reached. With some modification, the research could be the basis for further study. Some of the appropriate methods and scientific design have been applied. The student researcher is partially aware of the empirical method and the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions.	The problem is not stated. The student researcher does not use scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions. The student researcher is unaware of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the methods used and conclusions reached. The research cannot be the basis for further study. Inappropriate methods and a flawed scientific design have been applied. The student researcher is unaware of the empirical method and do not recognize the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions.	30	

Area	High Points 5 – 4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1 - 0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Collaboration	There is clear evidence of collaboration. The student researcher identified portions of the project representing the work of others.	There is lack of clear evidence of collaboration, or the student researcher does not identify portions of the project representing the work of others.	There is lack of clear evidence of collaboration and the student researcher does not identify portions of the project representing the work of others.	15	
Thoroughness/ Information	Student researcher clearly communicates the original plan and adaptations that may have been made to the study. Any adaptations made uphold the integrity of the study. Facts and principles the student researcher states are correct and accurate. All results of the experiments are reported accurately based on methodology used. Any errors and weaknesses in the study are identified, if applicable.	Student researcher partially communicates the original plan and adaptations that may have been made to the study. Any adaptations made may uphold the integrity of the study. Facts and principles the student researcher states are partially correct and accurate. Most results of the experiments are reported accurately based on methodology used. Most errors and weaknesses in the study are identified, if applicable.	Student researcher does not communicate the original plan and adaptations that may have been made to the study. Adaptations made do not uphold the integrity of the study. Facts and principles the student researcher states are inaccurate. Results of the experiments are not reported accurately based on methodology used. Errors and weaknesses in the study are not identified.	30	
Results/ Conclusions	The student researcher uses known facts to draw conclusions. Conclusions are consistent with the data and/or observations presented. The student researcher clearly shares what was learned as a result of the research. The student researcher effectively communicates the results and impact of the study.	The student researcher uses known facts to draw conclusions. Conclusions are inconsistent with the data and/or observations presented. The student researcher ineffectively shares what was learned as a result of the research. The student researcher ineffectively communicates the results and impact of the study.	The student researcher does not use known facts to draw conclusions. Conclusions are inconsistent with the data and/or observations presented. The student researcher does not share what was learned as a result of the research. The student researcher does not communicate the results and impact of the study.	15	
TOTAL SCORE (120 points possible)					
This constitutes 75% of the overall score to determine final ranking.					

*In the event of a tie, winner will be determined based on the score of the written report. If a tie still exists, the tie will be broken on scores received in the following sections in order: knowledge gained, thoroughness/information, results/conclusions.

Interview Scoresheet: Divisions 2, 4, 6

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Category: _____ Division: _____

Area		Points Possible	Points Earned
Knowledge Gained	<p>Is there evidence the student researcher has acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing this project? Does the student researcher recognize the scope and limitation of the problem he or she has selected? The project demonstrates application of skill attainment with significant measurable impact on the overall project.</p> <p><i>Each team member can receive the maximum of 15 points. If a team member is not in attendance the highest point possible for this category is 15.</i></p>	30	
Scientific Research	<p>Has the problem been clearly stated? Has the student researcher used scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions? Is the student researcher aware of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the method used and conclusions reached?</p> <p>Can the research be the basis for further study? Have the appropriate methods and scientific design principles been applied? Is the student researcher aware of the empirical method (the necessity of repeating trials) and the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions?</p>	30	
Collaboration	<p>Is there evidence of collaboration present? Identify the portions of the project representing the work of others. Others include student researchers, teachers, specialists in the field of study, etc.</p>	5	
Peer to Peer Collaboration	<p>Evidence during interview of collaboration between teammates</p> <p><i>If only one team member is present, no points will be awarded in this area.</i></p>	10	
Thoroughness/ Information	<p>How successfully was the original plan carried through to completion? Were adaptations to the study made? If so, were they made in a way that upholds the integrity of the study? Are known facts and principles stated correctly and used accurately? Have the results of experiments been reported accurately even though faulty experimental methods or conditions may have made the data unreliable? If so, have these errors been noted? Did the student researcher identify areas of weakness in the study?</p>	30	
Results/ Conclusions	<p>Has the student researcher started with known facts and drawn their own conclusions? Are the conclusions consistent with the data and/or observations? Did the student researcher share what was learned as a result of the research? Can the student researcher effectively communicate the results and impact of the study?</p>	15	
TOTAL SCORE (120 points possible)			
This constitutes 75% of the overall score to determine final ranking.			

*In the event of a tie, winner will be determined based on the score of the written report. If a tie still exists, the tie will be broken on scores received in the following sections in order: knowledge gained, thoroughness/information, results/conclusions.

Interview Rubric: Divisions 2, 4, 6

Student Researcher(s): _____ Chapter: _____

Category: _____ Division: _____

Area	High Points 5-4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1 - 0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Knowledge Gained	There is evidence the student researchers have acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing the project. The student researchers exhibit knowledge of the scope and limitations of the problem selected. The project demonstrates application of skill attainment with significant measurable impact on the overall project.	There is some evidence that the student researchers have acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing this project. The student researchers have limited knowledge of the scope and limitations of the problem selected. There is some evidence the project demonstrates application of skill attainment with some measurable impact on the overall project.	There is no evidence that the student researchers have acquired scientific skills and/or knowledge by doing this project. The student researchers do not recognize the scope and limitations of the problem selected. There is no evidence of the project demonstrates application of skill attainment with no measurable impact on the overall project.	30	
Scientific Research	The problem is clearly stated. The student researcher uses scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions. The student researcher is aware of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the methods used and conclusions reached. The research is the basis for further study. The appropriate methods and scientific design have been applied. The student researcher is aware of the empirical method and the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions.	The problem is not clearly stated. The student researcher uses some scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions. The student researcher has limited knowledge of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the methods used and conclusions reached. With some modification, the research could be the basis for further study. Some of the appropriate methods and scientific design have been applied. The student researcher is partially aware of the empirical method and the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions.	The problem is not stated. The student researcher does not use scientific facts as a basis for new conclusions. The student researcher is unaware of the basic scientific principles that lend support to the methods used and conclusions reached. The research cannot be the basis for further study. Inappropriate methods and a flawed scientific design have been applied. The student researcher is unaware of the empirical method and do not recognize the importance of controlling the variables in order to reach valid conclusions.	30	
Collaboration	There is clear evidence of collaboration. The student researchers identified portions of the project representing the work of others.	There is lack of clear evidence of collaboration, or the student researchers do not identify portions of the project representing the work of others.	There is lack of clear evidence of collaboration and the student researchers do not identify portions of the project representing the work of others.	5	
Peer to Peer Collaboration	There is clear evidence of collaboration. Both team members are present. No points will be award if only one team member is present.	Some collaboration is evident. No points will be award if only one team member is present.	There is lack of evidence of collaboration. No points will be award if only one team member is present.	10	

Area	High Points 5 – 4 points	Medium Points 3 – 2 points	Low Points 1 - 0 points	Points Possible	Points Earned
Thoroughness/ Information	Student researchers clearly communicate the original plan and adaptations that may have been made to the study. Any adaptations made uphold the integrity of the study. Facts and principles the student researchers state are correct and accurate. All results of the experiments are reported accurately based on methodology used. Any errors and weaknesses in the study are identified, if applicable.	Student researchers partially communicate the original plan and adaptations that may have been made to the study. Any adaptations made may uphold the integrity of the study. Facts and principles the student researchers state are partially correct and accurate. Most results of the experiments are reported accurately based on methodology used. Most errors and weaknesses in the study are identified, if applicable.	Student researchers do not communicate the original plan and adaptations that may have been made to the study. Adaptations made do not uphold the integrity of the study. Facts and principles the student researchers state are inaccurate. Results of the experiments are not reported accurately based on methodology used. Errors and weaknesses in the study are not identified.	30	
Results/ Conclusions	The student researcher use known facts to draw conclusions. Conclusions are consistent with the data and/or observations presented. The student researchers clearly share what was learned as a result of the research. The student researchers effectively communicate the results and impact of the study.	The student researchers use known facts to draw conclusions. Conclusions are inconsistent with the data and/or observations presented. The student researchers ineffectively share what was learned as a result of the research. The student researchers ineffectively communicate the results and impact of the study.	The student researchers do not use known facts to draw conclusions. Conclusions are inconsistent with the data and/or observations presented. The student researchers do not share what was learned as a result of the research. The student researchers do not communicate the results and impact of the study.	15	
TOTAL SCORE (120 points possible)					
This constitutes 75% of the overall score to determine final ranking.					

*In the event of a tie, winner will be determined based on the score of the written report. If a tie still exists, the tie will be broken on scores received in the following sections in order: knowledge gained, thoroughness/information, results/conclusions.