Agenda Date: 2/11/09 Agenda Item: 5A



STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102 www.nj.gov/bpu

WATER

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DISPOSAL CORP. FOR AN INCREASE IN RATES ORDER ADOPTING INITIAL DECISION/SETTLEMENT

BPU DOCKET NO. WR07090715 OAL DOCKET NO. PURCL 11168-2007N

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

BY THE BOARD:

On September 28, 2007, pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 48:2-21 and <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 14:1-5.11, 14:1-5.12, Environmental Disposal Corp. (EDC or Company) filed a petition with the Board of Public Utilities (Board) seeking approval for an increase in rates for sewer collection and treatment service. The Company's rate request, if approved, would have resulted in an increase in total Company revenues of \$524,353 representing a 9.79% increase over test year revenues ending December 31, 2007.

By this Order, the Board considers the Initial Decision recommending adoption of the Stipulation of Settlement (Stipulation or Settlement) executed by the Company, the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel (Rate Counsel), and Board Staff (Staff) (collectively, the Signatory Parties) agreeing to an increase in revenues of \$122,166 representing a 2.24% increase over current rates. The only intervenor, the Township of Bedminster (Township), signed a statement on the Settlement indicating that it did not oppose the filing of the Stipulation.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Company, a public utility of the State of New Jersey, is engaged in the business of collection, treatment and disposal of sewage for franchise customers in portions of the

Townships of Bedminster and Bernards and in the business of bulk treatment and disposal service on the basis of agreements with Bedminster, Far Hills, and Peapack and Gladstone, in the County of Somerset. EDC provides wastewater service to approximately 6,000 franchise customers. As noted above, the petition was filed on September 28, 2007 seeing an overall increase of 9.79% over current rate revenues.

This petition was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and assigned to Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Walter Braswell. A pre-hearing conference was conducted by ALJ Braswell on November 14, 2007. ALJ Braswell also granted the motion for intervention by the Township.

A public hearing was held on January 14, 2008, in EDC's service territory at The Hills Highland Recreation Center. Approximately 150 people attended the public hearing, and a number of people spoke voicing their objections to the proposed increase and to the feasibility of utilizing usage based rates.

Subsequent to the public hearing, the Signatory Parties and the Township engaged in settlement negotiations. As a result of these discussions, the Signatory Parties signed the Settlement which is attached to this Order. While the Township did not execute the Stipulation, it signed a non-objection to the filing of the Settlement.

ALJ Braswell issued his Initial Decision on February 5, 2009, recommending adoption of the Stipulation, finding that the Signatory Parties had voluntarily agreed to the Settlement and that the Settlement fully disposes of all issues and is consistent with the law.

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

The Settlement reflects a revenue requirement of \$5,582,692 for an increase of \$122,166 or 2.24% above pro forma present revenues. The capital structure and weighted cost of capital, as agreed to by the Signatory Parties, employs a return on equity of 10.3% yielding an overall rate of return of 8.52%. Using end of test-year adjusted plant in-service produced a rate base for the Company of \$15,487.687.

In the Board's July 6, 2005 Order in Docket No. WR04080760, the Board had directed initiation of a Phase II proceeding to investigate whether EDC should implement a usage rate for wastewater service to its franchise customers. This usage rate would be based in part on an individual customer's water use. In compliance with the Board's directive, EDC commissioned a rate design study of its franchise customers. On December 7, 2006, the completed study was filed with the Board, and the question of whether to implement a usage based rate was to be presented as part of this base rate proceeding. Accordingly, the Signatory Parties and the Township reviewed the study and compared it to the current fixed rate billing methodology used by the Company for its franchise customers. After that review, the Signatory Parties agreed to maintain the current fixed rate billing methodology, without prejudice to the adoption of a usage-based system at some future time, and have recommended so to the Board through the Settlement.

As a result of the Settlement utilizing a fixed rate billing design, the average franchise wastewater customer's bill for a single family home will increase from the current \$70.00 per month to \$71.25 per month, an increase of \$1.25 per month or approximately 1.80%. For the franchised town home and condominium wastewater customer, the monthly bill will increase from the current \$58.32 per month to \$59.35 per month, an increase of \$1.03 per month or approximately 1.80%. For the franchised commercial wastewater customer, the monthly bill will increase from the current \$70.00 per month to \$71.25 per month, an increase of \$1.25 per month or approximately 1.80%. For the municipal bulk rate service customers, the sewerage rate per thousand gallons will increase from the current \$7.41 per thousand gallons to \$7.74 per thousand gallons, an increase of \$0.33 per thousand gallons or approximately 4.5%.

The Board, having considered the comments made at the public hearing, and having reviewed ALJ Braswell's Initial Decision and the Stipulation, <u>FINDS</u> that the Signatory Parties have voluntarily agreed to the Stipulation, that the Township does not object to the Stipulation, the Stipulation fully disposes of all issues in this proceeding, and is consistent with the law. The Board <u>HEREBY FINDS</u> the Initial Decision which adopts the Stipulation to be reasonable and in the public interest.

The Board has also carefully reviewed the rate design study submitted by EDC in compliance with the Board's Order in Docket No. WR04080760, dated July 6, 2005, and agrees with the Signatory Parties and <u>FINDS</u> that usage based rates are not appropriate for the franchise customers of EDC at this time.

Accordingly, the Board <u>HEREBY ADOPTS</u> the Initial Decision and the Stipulation attached hereto as its own incorporating by reference the terms and conditions as if fully set forth at length herein, subject to the following:

- a) The documents attached to the Stipulation containing the rates and charges conforming to the Settlement and designed to produce the additional annual revenues to which the Signatory Parties have stipulated herein are <u>HEREBY ACCEPTED</u>.
- b) The Stipulated increase and tariff design allocations for each customer classification are <u>HEREBY ACCEPTED</u>.
- c) The Board <u>HEREBY ORDERS</u> that Petitioner shall file a new tariff with the Board, with copies to the Parties, in conformity with this Stipulation.

d) The Board HEREBY DIRECTS the Company to submit a complete revised tariff conforming to the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and this Order within ten (10) days from the date of this Order.

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES BY:

PRESIDENT

FREDERICK F. BUTLER

COMMISSIONER

JOSEPH L. FIORDALISO COMMISSIONER

ELIZABETH RANDALL COMMISSIONER

NICHOLAS ASSELTA

COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

SECRETARY

HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public

I/M/O ENVIRONMENTAL DISPOSAL CORP. FOR AN INCREASE IN RATES BPU Docket No. WR07090715 OAL Docket No. PURCL 11168-2007N

Service List

Edward K. DeHope, Esq. Riker Danzig Scherer Hyland Perretti LLP One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962-1981

Elise Goldblat, DAG Babette Tenzer, DAG Alex Moreau, DAG Division of Law 124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 45029 Newark, NJ 07101

Debra Robinson, RPA
Division of the Ratepayer Advocate
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005
Newark, NJ 07101

William Mosca, Jr. Esq. Murray Bevan, Esq. Attorneys for Township of Bedminster Bevan, Mosca, Giuditta & Zarillo, P.C. 776 Mountain Boulevard Watchung, New Jersey 07069

Thomas H. Dillon, President Environmental Disposal Corp. 1430 Route 206, Suite 100 Bedminster, NJ 07921



State of New Jersey OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

33 Washington Street Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 648-6008

A copy of the administrative law judge's decision is enclosed.

This decision was mailed to the parties on FEB 5 2009



State of New Jersey OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

INITIAL DECISION
SETTLEMENT
OAL DKT. NO. PUC 11168-07
AGENCY DKT. NO. WR07090715

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ENVIORONMENTAL DISPOSAL CORP. FOR AN INCREASE IN RATES

Edward K. DeHope, Esq., for petitioner (Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti)

Sue McClure, Assistant Deputy Ratepayer Advocate (Division of Rate Counsel)

Alex Moreau and Cynthia Miller, Deputy Attorney Generals, for respondent (Anne Milgram, Attorney General of New Jersey, Attorney)

Record Closed: February 2, 2009 Decided: February 2, 2009

BEFORE WALTER M. BRASWELL, ALJ:

On October 12, 2007, this matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) for hearing as a contested case pursuant to N.J.S.A. 52:14B-1 to-15 and N.J.S.A. 52:14F 1 to-13. The matter was scheduled for a prehearing conference on November 14, 2007 at which time a public hearing was for scheduled for January 14, 2008 and evidentiary hearings were scheduled for June 2, and 6, 2008. The parties engaged in extensive settlement discussions and the June hearing dates were adjourned. Hearing

dates were rescheduled for February 2, 3, & 4, 2009. On January 30, 2009 petitioner's counsel advised that the parties reached settlement. A Stipulation indicating the terms of settlement was signed by all parties and submitted to the OAL on February 2, 2009. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and made part hereof.

have reviewed the record and terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and FIND:

- The parties have voluntarily agreed to the settlement as evidenced by the signatures of the parties or their representatives.
- The settlement fully disposes of all issues in controversy and is consistent with law.

I **CONCLUDE** that the agreement meets the requirements of <u>N.J.A.</u>C. 1:1-19.1 and therefore, it is **ORDERED** that the parties comply with the settlement terms and that these proceedings be and are hereby concluded.

I hereby FILE my initial decision with the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES for consideration.

ljb

This recommended decision may be adopted, modified or rejected by the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, which by law is authorized to make a final decision in this matter. If the Board of Public Utilities does not adopt, modify or reject this decision within forty-five (45) days and unless such time limit is otherwise extended, this recommended decision shall become a final decision in accordance with N.J.S.A. 52:14B-10.

J-2-09 DATE	WALTER M. BRASWELL,
Date Received at Agency:	2-5-09
FEB 5 2009	Mailed to Parties DIRECTOR AND CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DATE	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW



Edward K. DeHope

Partner

<u>Direct:</u> 973.451.8422 edehope@riker.com Reply to: Morristown

January 30, 2009

YIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Honorable Walter Braswell, ALJ State of New Jersey Office of Administrative Law 33 Washington Street Newark, NJ 07102-3011

: I/M/O the Petition of Environmental Disposal Corp.

for an Increase in Rates

BPU Docket No. WR07090715
OAL Docket No. PUCRL11169-2007N

Dear Judge Braswell:

Enclosed please find a Stipulation of Settlement signed by counsel for Staff of the Board of Public Utilities, Rate Counsel and Environmental Disposal Corp. in connection with the above-referenced matter. Attorneys for The Township of Bedminster have also attested to not objecting to the filing of the Stipulation. Should Your Honor agree that the Stipulation is an appropriate disposition of the rate case, we respectfully request that an initial decision adopting said Stipulation be issued.

Thank you for Your Honor's patience in handling this matter.

Respectfully yours,

Edward K. DeHope

cc: All Counsel of Record, w/encl., via Electronic Mail

3922552.1



STATE OF NEW JERSEY **BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES** OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF: BPU Docket No. WR07090715 ENVIRONMENTAL DISPOSAL CORP. FOR : OAL Docket No. PURCL 11168-2007N AN INCREASE IN RATES

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

APPEARANCES

Edward K. DeHope, Esq., and Scott Carlson, Esq., Riker Danzig Scherer Hyland & Perretti LLP, for Environmental Disposal Corp.

Alex Moreau, Esq. and Cynthia Holland, Esq., Deputy Attorneys General (Anne Milgram, Attorney General of New Jersey), for Staff of the Board of Public Utilities

Debra F. Robinson, Deputy Public Advocate and Susan E. McClure, Assistant Deputy Public Advocate on behalf of the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel

William K. Mosca, Jr., Esq. and Murray Bevan, Esq., Bevan, Mosca, Giuditta & Zarillo, P.C., Special Counsel for the Township of Bedminster

THIS STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT ("Stipulation") is made as of this 29^{th} day of January 2009, by and among Environmental Disposal Corp. ("EDC"), the New Jersey Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel"), Staff of the Board of Public Utilities ("Staff") and the Township of Bedminster ("Bedminster") (collectively referred to herein as the "Signatories").

PARTIES

The Parties to this proceeding are EDC, Staff, Rate Counsel and Bedminster. The Township of Bernards, which contains a portion of EDC's franchise service territory, the Township of Peapack and Gladstone, whose sewage is treated at EDC's sewerage treatment plant by virtue of a bulk service contract approved by the Board, and the Borough of Far Hills, whose

sewage also is treated by EDC in accordance with a similar contract, were served with EDC's Petition and supporting testimony. None of these municipalities filed a motion to intervene in this proceeding.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 28, 2007, Petitioner EDC, a public utility of the State of New Jersey, subject to the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Utilities (hereinafter "Board") pursuant to Title 48 of the Revised Statutes of New Jersey, filed a petition in accordance with N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.A.C. 14:1-5.12 requesting approval for an increase in rates for sewer collection and treatment service to generate \$524,353 in additional revenues over projected 2007 revenues at current rates, an overall increase of 9.79%. More specifically, EDC sought increases in monthly rates for specific customer classes as follows:

<u>Franchise Customers</u> (Monthly Billing – Flat Rate)

		Present Rate	Proposed Rate	Increase
Residential:	Detached and Semi-detached Single Family and Two-Family	\$70.00	\$77.28	10.4%
	Townhouses and Condominiums	\$58.32	\$64.37	10.4%
Commercial:	Per unit*	\$70.00	\$77.28	10.4%

^{*} One unit is based on design criteria of 235 gallons per day demand capacity.

Bulk User Customers (Monthly Billing)

	Present Rate	Proposed Rate	Increase		
Rate per 1000 gallons	\$7.41	\$7.93	7.0%		

In support of its Petition, EDC submitted the pre-filed testimony of five witnesses. The filing incorporated a pro forma test year ending December 31, 2007. The test year was updated for actual results during the discovery period. Rate Counsel, Board Staff and Intervener Bedminster propounded extensive discovery that was responded to by EDC. In addition, discovery/negotiation meetings were held on April 10 and May 1, 2008.

Upon transmittal of the case to the Office of Administrative Law, it was assigned to the Hon. Walter Braswell, who conducted a prehearing conference on November 14, 2007 and issued a Prehearing Order on November 20, 2007. ALJ Braswell also granted the Township of Bedminster intervention status. Following appropriate notice, a public hearing was held in EDC's service territory at The Hills Highlands Recreation Center on January 14, 2008. Approximately 150 members of the public attended the hearing. There were comments about the level of rate increase sought and several commenters suggested that EDC's rate design be examined.

In addition to the meetings, the Parties engaged in settlement discussions over a period of months. Based upon their review of EDC's pre-filed testimony, the discovery materials provided and their independent analysis, the Signatories engaged in negotiations and entered into a Stipulation of Settlement. Accordingly, rather than filing testimony, the Signatories negotiated this Stipulation. Given the intention of the Signatories to reasonably, fully and finally resolve the matters set forth herein, and in consideration of the recitals and mutual promises and covenants set forth herein, the Signatories, having given due consideration to filed materials and information exchanged and the various issues addressed therein, **DO**HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

Test Year. The twelve months ended December 31, 2007 is a reasonable test year and has been used for purposes of this stipulation.

- 2. Rate of Return. The capital structure and weighted cost of capital, as agreed to by the Parties, yield an overall rate of return of 8.52%. This reflects EDC's actual capital structure as of December 31, 2007 of 60.57% long-term debt and 39.43% equity, with cost rates of 7.36% and 10.30%, respectively. (See Attachment D.)
- 3. <u>Utility Plant in Service and Rate Base</u>. The rate base has been calculated based upon the end of the Test Year plant as adjusted, which amounts to \$15,487,687. (See Attachment B.)
- 4. Operating Revenue and Expenses and Revenue Increase. The Signatory Parties agree that the pro forma present rate revenues are \$5,460,526. Petitioner's anticipated revenue increase is stipulated at \$122,166, or 2.24%. A summary of the revenue requirement is provided on Attachment A. The revenue requirement increase resulting in a revenue requirement of \$5,582,692 reflects adjustments by the Signatory Parties to various components of Operating and Maintenance expenses. It is projected that \$80,109 of the increased revenues will be derived from EDC's franchise territory customers and \$42,057 will be derived from its municipal bulk service customers. (See Attachment C.)
- 5 Tariff Design. For franchise customers, flat rate monthly charges will increase for Single Family customers by 1.8% from \$70.00 to \$71.25, an increase of \$1.25 per month; for Townhouse/Condominium customers by 1.8% from \$58.32 to \$59.35, an increase of \$1.03 per month; and for Commercial customers by 1.8% from \$70.00 to \$71.25 per equivalent residential connection, an increase of \$1.25 per month. (See Attachment E.) The rate per thousand gallons of sewerage for municipal bulk rate service customers will increase by 4.5%

from \$7.41 to \$7.74 per thousand gallons, an increase of \$.33 per thousand gallons, and will be billed monthly. (See Attachment F. These flat rate charges for franchise customers and the rate per thousand gallons for municipal bulk rate service customers set forth in this paragraph will go into effect upon the effective date of a Board order approving this Stipulation.

- Tariff Design Considerations. The Board's Order Adopting Initial 6. Decision dated July 6, 2005 in Docket No. WR04080760 directed initiation of a Phase II proceeding to determine the appropriateness of implementing a rate design for EDC's franchise customers based in part on their water usage. At the suggestion of Board Staff, EDC commissioned a rate design study utilizing more recent water usage data for the winter months of 2006 by a representative sampling of EDC's franchise customers. The study was conducted by Applied Water Management and was filed with the Board on December 7, 2006. When EDC announced it would be filing a rate case in 2007, it was determined that this rate design question be addressed and resolved in EDC's new rate case. The Signatory Parties have reviewed the rate design study submitted by EDC and EDC's prefiled testimony on the rate design issue, have independently considered the issue and have agreed that the disadvantages of implementing a partially usage based rate design in EDC's franchise territory outweigh the advantages. Accordingly, the Signatory Parties recommend that the Board authorize the continuation of utilizing a fixed rate billing rate design currently used by EDC for its franchise customers, without prejudice to adopting a usage-based system at some future time, as part of a formal rate design process.
- 7. Expeditious ALJ Approval. Each Signatory Party agrees to use its best efforts to ensure that this Stipulation will be submitted to ALJ Braswell for approval as soon as

possible. Each Signatory Party also agrees to use its best efforts to obtain the approval by ALJ Braswell of this Stipulation without modification or condition.

- 8 Tariff. EDC will prepare tariff sheets, which will reflect the settlement provisions of this Stipulation, for submission to Board Staff and Rate Counsel for review and approval by the Board within ten (10) days of the date of the Board Order approving this Stipulation.
- 9. Revenue Requirement. The revenue requirement increase stipulated to herein is \$122,166 or 2.24% over present rate revenues, and is a level of revenue appropriate to ensure that EDC will continue to provide safe, adequate and proper wastewater collection and treatment service to its customers. The Revenue Requirement is summarized on Attachment A.
- 10. Stay Out. EDC will not file a petition seeking an increase in the rates set forth herein for a period of twelve months from the date of the Board Order approving this Stipulation, should the Board choose to issue such an order. In the event EDC's financial position deteriorates to the extent that it imminently endangers its ability to provide safe, adequate and proper sewage collection and treatment service, EDC may file a petition for an increase in the rates set forth herein prior to the end of the stay-out period set forth above. All rates remain subject to audit by the Board.

11. Reservations.

(a) Except as expressly provided herein, the Signatories agree that this Stipulation has been made exclusively for the purpose of this proceeding and that the Stipulation contained herein, in total or by specific items, is in no way binding upon the Signatories in other proceedings before the Board or in other forums or jurisdictions, nor are the contents of this Stipulation, in total or by specific items,

by inference, inclusion or deletion, in any way to be considered or used by another party as any indication of the position of any party hereto on any issue litigated or to be litigated in other proceedings. If any provisions of this Stipulation of Settlement are held to be invalid or unenforceable by a Court of competent jurisdiction, its other provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

- (b) The Signatories further agree that the purpose of this Stipulation is to reach a fair and reasonable settlement, and that the settlement will serve to avoid protracted and costly litigation of certain issues and that with respect to any policy or other issues which were compromised in the spirit of reaching an agreement, none of the Signatories shall be prohibited from or prejudiced in arguing a different policy or position before the Board in any other proceeding, as such agreements pertain only to this matter and to no other matter.
- with the others and essential in its own right to the signing of this Stipulation.

 Each term is vital to the agreement as a whole, since the Signatories expressly and jointly state that they would not have signed the agreement had any term been modified in any way. Each Signatory is entitled to certain procedures in the event that any modifications whatever are made to this Stipulation by the Board. If any modification is made to the terms of this Stipulation by the Board, the Signatories each must be given the right to be placed in the position it was in before the stipulation was entered. Therefore, if any modification is made to the terms of this Stipulation by the Board, it is essential that each signatory be given the option, before the implementation of any new rate resulting from this action,

either to modify its own position to accept the proposed changes, or to resume the proceeding as if no agreement had been reached. It is also the intent of the Signatories to this Stipulation that this settlement, once accepted and approved by the Board, shall govern all issues specified and agreed to herein for the purposes of this proceeding only. The Signatories to this Stipulation specifically agree that if adopted in its entirety by the Board, no appeal shall be taken by them from the Order adopting same as to those issues upon which the Parties have stipulated. The Signatories agree that the within Stipulation reflects a mutual balancing of various issues and positions and is intended to be accepted and approved in its entirety.

- (d) The Signatories agree that this Stipulation is voluntary, consistent with law, and fully dispositive of the issues addressed herein.
- 12. <u>Captions</u>. The subject headings of the sections of this Stipulation are inserted solely for the purpose of convenient reference and are not intended to, nor shall they, affect the meaning of any provision of this Stipulation.
- 13. <u>Execution</u>. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts.

 Each signatory has caused its duly authorized representative to execute below and deliver this

 Stipulation.

ENVIRONMENTAL DISPOSAL CORP.

Edward K. DeHop

Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP

Attorneys for Petitioner

Ţ

Ronald K. Chen Public Advocate of New Jersey

Stefanic A. Brand Director, Division of Rate Counsel

01/19/09 Dayed

Susan E. McClure Debra F. Robinson

Assistant Deputy Public Advocate

ANNE MILGRAM
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
Attorney for Staff of the Board of Public
Utilities

01/27/09 Dated

Alex Moreau, Deputy Attorney General Cynthia Holland, Deputy Attorney General

THE TOWNSHIP OF BEDMINSTER DOES NOT OBJECT TO THE FILING OF THIS STIPULATION

1/29/09

TOWNSHIP OF BEDMINSTER

William & Mosca, Jr.

Bevan, Mosca, Giuditta & Zarillo, P.C. Special Counsel for the Township of

Bedminster

3921135.1

Attachment A

Docket No. WR07090715 Stipulation

ENVIRONMENTAL DISPOSAL CORPORATION

Revenue Requirement

	Settlement
Rate Base	\$15,487,687
Rate of Return	8.52%
income Required	,319,430
Pro Forma Income	1,249,388
Income Deficiency	
Revenue Conversion Factor	1.74417
Revenue Requirement	\$122,166
Percentage Increase	

Rate Base

	Per Books 12/31/2006	Adjustments	Ref.	As Adjusted 12/31/2006	As Filed Adjustments	Ref.	Stip Adjustment	Pro Forma 12/31/2007
Utility Plant in Service Accumulated Depreciation Contributions in Aid of Construction Accum, Amortization of CIAC	\$ 32,895,179 (11,338,540) (6,474,759) 1,225,419	8,749	2a 3a	\$ 32,895,179 (11,329,791) (6,474,759) 1,250,948	(1,074,415)	1a 2b,c 3b	\$ (241,587) 4,059 (38,293)	\$ 33,034,192 (12,400,147) (6,474,759) 1,342,150
Net Investment	\$ 16,307,300	\$ 34,278		\$ 16,341,577	\$ (564,320)		\$ (275,821)	\$ 15,501,436
Deferred Taxes	(18,870)			(18,870)	5,120			(13,750)
Rate Base	\$ 16,288,430	\$ 34,278		\$ 16,322,707	\$ (559,200)		\$ (275,821)	\$ 15,487,687

Pro Forma Income Statement

			2/31/2006	٠		D-6		s Adjusted			٠.		esent Rates		o Forma	_ :	Stip		ro Forma
	Operating Revenue:		231/2006	AG	justments	rer.	!	2/31/2006	AC	justments	Ret.		2/31/2007	Αq	ustments	Ref.	Adjustment	1	2/31/2007
	461 Flat Rate Sales	\$	4,288,869	s	42,398	1a	s	4,331,287	s	186,552		s	4,517,839		210.000		(000 101)	_	
	463 Bulk Service Sales	4	931,385	Ψ	· -2,330 · (0)	2a	4	931,384	9		1b	3			349,232	10		\$	4,597,947
	400 balk betwee bales	_	331,363		(0)	- 44 .		331,304	·	11,303	2b		942,688		69,744	. 2c	(27,687)		984,745
	Total Operating Revenue	s	5,220,274	\$	42,398		\$	5,262,671	\$	197,855		\$	5,460,526	\$	418,977		\$(296,811)	\$	5,582,692
	Collecting Expense																		
	701 Supervision, Engineering, and Labor		45,584					45,584		4,320	3a		49,904				1,497		51,401
	703 Miscellaneous Supplies and Expense		-					· -					-				•		-
	801 Maintenance of Collecting System		4,040					4,040		13,546	4		17,586						17,586
	Pumping Expense	•																	• •
	711 Power and Fuel		41,903		3,277	5a		45,180		14,292	5c		59,472				2,647		62,119
	712 Miscellaneous Supplies and Expense		327					327					327						327
	802 Maintenance of Pumping System		106,833		(11,855)	6а		94,978		(39,430)	6b		55,548						55,548
	Treatment and Disposal Expense												•						,
	721 Supervision, Engineering, and Labor		358,972					358,972		34,020	3b		392,992				11,790		404,782
	723 Miscellaneous Supplies and Expense		141,116		3,323	7a		144,439		27,705	7b		172,144				16,058		188,202
	711 Power and Fuel		237,452		18,569	5b		256,021		80,989	5d		337,010				16,848		353,858
	724 Chemical Treatment Expense		59,840		•			59.840		1,105	8		60,945				19,739		80,684
	803 Maintenance of T&D System		68,757					88,757		52,134	9		140,891						140,891
	Commercial Expense		•					·		• •									
	731 Supervision & Meter Reading		102,563					102,563		29,644	Зс		132,207				3,966		136,173
	734 Billing, Collecting, and Accounting							-									-,		.50,115
	735 Uncollectible Accounts		(85,157)					(85,157)		85,157	10a				48,671	10b	(48,671)		_
	Administrative & General Expense		,,,					V = V -					-				(
	741 Salaries		232,678					232,678		5,940	3d		238,618				2,059		240,677
	742 Office Supplies and Other Expenses		20,478					20,478		512	11		20,990				7,633		28,623
	743 Professional Services		104,518		(6,185)	12a		98,333		5,000			103,333				. ,000		103,333
	744 Property Insurance		231,417		(-,/			231,417		731	13		232,148						232,148
	747 Franchise Requirements		8.719					8,719					8,719				4,337		13,056
	748 Regulatory Commission Expense		11,620					11,620		183,299	14		194,919				(111,559)		83,360
	750 Miscellaneous General Expense		1,645					1,645		41	15		1,686				(111,000)		1,686
	805 Maintenance of General Equipment		9,776					9,776		13,050	15		22,826				(5,133)		17,693
	Expense Credit for CoBank Dividends	,—	3,7.0	-		-			•	10,000			22,020				(26,509)		(26,509)
	Total Operation & Maintenance Exp.	•	1,723,080			•		1,730,209					2,242,263				(20,003)_		2,185,636
	403 Depreciation Expense		983,304		(16,385)	17a		966,919		23,401	17b		990,320						990,320
	404 Amortization Expense		-							48,720	18		48,720				(20,259)		28,461
	408 Taxes, Other		685,815		7,476	19a		693,292		25,482	19b		718,773		16,041	19c	• • •		734,814
	409 Income Taxes		344,842		(10,118)	20a_		334,724		(46,776)	20b		287,948		36,082	20c	_		324,030
	Total Operating Expense	\$	3,737,041				\$	3,725,144				\$	4,288,025					\$	4,263,262
	Net Operating Income	\$	1,483,233				\$	1,537,528				\$	1,172,502					\$	1,319,430
	Rate Base	\$	16,322,707				\$	16,322,707				\$	15,487,687					\$ 1	5,487,687
	Rate of Return	•	9.09%					9.42%				-	7.57%						8.52%
*																	•		

Capital Structure as of 12/31/2007 - Stipulated

<u>Capital</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost	Return Req'd on Rate Base
Long-Term Debt	\$ 11,071,497	60.57%	7.36%	4.46%	\$ 690,430
Common Equity	7,207,445	39.43%	10.30% _	4.06%	629,000
Total	\$ 18,278,942		**	8.52%	\$ 1,319,430
Rate Base	\$ 15,487,687				

Pro Forma Billing Analysis at Present Rates for 12 Months Ended December 31, 2007

	Total Landing City Co.	33311331 31, 2331								
Year-End		Monthly	Annual							
	Customers	Rate	Revenue							
Flat Rate Service										
Single Family	1,363.0	\$ 70.00	\$ 1,144,920							
Townhouse / Condo	3,958.0	\$ 58.32	2,769,967							
Commercial (ERCs)	717.8	\$ 70.00	602,952							
, ,										
			\$ 4,517,839							
	Annual Flows	Rate	Annual							
	(1,000 gals.)	(per 1,000)	Revenue							
Bulk Rate Service	127,218.3	\$ 7.41	\$ 942,688							
Dail Nato Col Vice	121,210.0	Ψ (, τ)	•							
		Total Revenue	\$ 5,460,526							
Pro Forma Billing Analysis at Proposed Rates for 12 Months Ended December 31, 2007										
	Year-End	Monthly	Annual							
	Customers	Rate	Revenue							
Flat Rate Service										
Single Family	1,363.0	\$ 71.25	\$ 1,165,365							
Townhouse / Condo	3,958.0	\$ 59.35	2,818,888							
Commercial (ERCs)	717.8	\$ 71.25	613,719							
			\$ 4,597,972							
	Annual Flows	Rate	Annual							
	(1,000 gals.)	(per 1,000)	Revenue							
Bulk Rate Service	127,218.3	\$ 7.74	\$ 984,670							
		Total Revenue	\$ 5,582,641							
Franchise Customer		1.8% Proposed Increase								
F	at Rate Service	1.070 1 roposed moredae								
Bulk Service Custom										
В	ulk Rate Service	4.5% Proposed Increase								

Summary of Revenue Requirement Allocation and Sewerage Service Rate Calculation

		Pro Forma Test Year	Support Schedule	Allocation Symbol		Bulk Service	Flat Rate Franchise Service		
O & M Expense Depreciation Expense Amortization Expense Revenue Taxes Property Taxes Income Taxes Return Requirement	\$	2,185,636 990,320 28,461 718,995 15,819 324,030 1,319,430	F-2 F-3 F-4 F-6 F-6	D E	\$	416,534 180,125 126,825 2,146 51,088 208,028	\$	1,769,102 810,195 28,461 592,170 13,674 272,942 1,111,402	
Revenue Requirement	_\$_	5,582,692				984,745	\$	4,597,947	
	Bulk Service Demand (1,000 gallons) Bulk Service Rate - per 1,000 gallons					127,218.3 7.74			
	Flai	Rate per ERC	(Monthly)			\$	71.25		