



Agenda Date: 4/27/11
Agenda Item: IVE

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center, Suite 801
Newark, NJ 07102
www.nj.gov/bpu/

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF
VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC. AND DATA NET)
SYSTEMS, L.L.C. FOR APPROVAL OF AN)
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION)
252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996)

ORDER APPROVING
INTERCONNECTION
AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO. TO10020133

Gregory M. Romano, Esq., for Verizon New Jersey Inc., Newark, NJ
Michael Vitale, for Data Net Systems, Rolling Meadows, IL

BY THE BOARD:

By letter dated February 19, 2010, Verizon New Jersey Inc. ("Verizon"), a New Jersey corporation, and Data Net Systems, L.L.C., ("DNS") (individually, "a Party", and jointly, "the Parties"), pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, P.L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (codified in scattered sections of 47 U.S.C. § 151 et seq.) ("the Act"), filed with the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") a joint application ("Application") for approval of a certain negotiated interconnection agreement dated February 5, 2010 ("the Agreement").

The Agreement sets forth the terms, conditions, and prices under which Verizon will offer and provide access to unbundled network elements, ancillary services, and wholesale telecommunications services available for resale to DNS. The Agreement is in effect until February 4, 2012 and thereafter, as noted in the Agreement, continues in full force and effect unless terminated as provided in the Agreement.

By letter dated April 27, 2010, the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") filed comments wherein it recommended that the Board approve the Agreement subject to conditions as follows: The Board finds that Rate Counsel's objections to certain provisions of the Agreement and accompanying Letter Agreement are without merit, and accordingly, the Board declines to make Rate Counsel's recommended modifications to the Agreement. See Order, I/M/O the Joint Application of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. and Ernest Communications, Inc. For Approval of an Interconnection Agreement Under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Docket No. TO02050287, dated September 18, 2002 (rejecting Rate Counsel's recommendation that Condition 3 of the Pricing Section of the Agreement is inconsistent with FCC rules).

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(a)(1), an incumbent LEC may negotiate and enter into a binding interconnection agreement with a carrier requesting interconnection, service, or network

elements. In addition, 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1) requires approval by the Board of any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration, and further requires the Board to approve or reject the Agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. The Act provides that the Board may reject a negotiated agreement or any portion thereof only if it finds that: (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. [47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A)]. The Board's review of the Agreement and the record in this matter indicate that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, and that the Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Board FINDS that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Act, and HEREBY APPROVES the Agreement as presented by the Parties. This approval should not be construed as preapproval of any future petitions for rate recovery of costs incurred pursuant to the Agreement, nor shall the Board be bound by any provisions within the Agreement regarding the confidentiality of information.


The Board notes that amendments or modifications to Board-approved interconnection agreements are subject to Board review and approval. No agreement shall be read, nor does the Board believe the Parties to the Agreement intend that it be read, to limit the authority of the Board under Section 252(e) of the Act to review interconnection agreements. Accordingly, until and unless otherwise provided by the Board, subsequent amendments or modifications to the Agreement approved herein shall be subject to review and approval by the Board. Additionally, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(h), a copy of the Agreement will be made available for public inspection and copying within ten days of the issuance of this Order.

DATED: 4/27/11


BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
BY:




LEE A. SOLOMON
PRESIDENT



JEANNE M. FOX
COMMISSIONER



JOSEPH L. FIORDALISO
COMMISSIONER




NICHOLAS ASSELTA
COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:



KRISTI IZZO
SECRETARY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public Utilities



Service List

Docket No. TO10020133

Gregory M. Romano
General Counsel
Mid Atlantic Region
One Verizon Way, VC54S204
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920-109

Jimarli Figueiredo
Board of Public Utilities
Division of Telecommunications
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102

Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director
Division of Rate Counsel
Christopher White, Esq.
Maria Novas-Ruiz, Esq.
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005
Newark, NJ 07101

Alex Moreau, Deputy Attorney General
Department of Law & Public Safety
Division of Law
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 45029
Newark, NJ 07101

Michael Vitale
3701 Algonquin Road
Suite 450
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008