Agenda Date: 4/29/13 Agenda Item: VIIF



STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350 www.nj.gov/bpu/

			CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE	
GERARD FELIX, Petitioner V.)	ORDER OF EXTENSION	
v .))		
PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND Respondent	GAS COMPANY,)	BPU Dkt. No. EG12100929U OAL Dkt. No. PUC01332-13	
(SE	ERVICE LIST ATTAC	HED)		
The Initial Decision of the Administration Utilities (Board) on April 12, 2013. Decision will expire on May 28, 2013 of time for issuing the Final Decision complete, record in this matter.	The 45-day statute 3. Prior to that date, t	ry perional he Boar	od for review to issue a Final rd requests a 45-day extension	
Good cause having been shown, pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 52:14B-10(c) and <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 1:1-18.8, IT IS ORDERED that the time limit for the Board of Public Utilities to render a Final Decision is extended until July 12, 2013.				
DATED: 4/29/13		BOAR BY ¹ :	D OF PUBLIC UTILITIES	
	ROBERT M. HANNA PRESIDENT		destina.	
KRISTI IZZO	LHEREBY CERT document is a bri to the files of the (Nillies	a copy of the	r carliginad	

SECRETARY

¹ Authorized by the Board to execute this Order of Extension on its behalf.

Date Board mailed Order to OAL: 43013

cc: Service List Attached

DATED:

LAURA SANDERS, ACTING DIRECTOR & CHIEF

Lucy Standers

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Date OAL mailed executed Order to Board:

4/30/13

Date Board mailed executed Order to Parties:

51113

GERARD FELIX

٧.

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

BPU DOCKET NO. EC12100929U OAL DOCKET NO. PUC01332-13

SERVICE LIST

Gerard Felix 299 N. Day Street Orange, New Jersey 07050

Sheree L. Kelly, Esq. PSEG Service Corporation 80 Park Plaza – T5G Newark, New Jersey 07102-4194

Eric Hartsfield, Director Division of Customer Assistance Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350

Julie Ford-Williams
Division of Customer Assistance
Board of Public Utilities
44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor
Post Office Box 350
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350

Veronica Beke, DAG Division of Law 124 Halsey Street P.O. Box 45029 Newark, New Jersey 07101 C1477 杨州, 张 3000 4 15 13 ALCOHOLDS Strategic miles 701 ma 15 ma 13 07 14 A fire-is alligned 計しは日 みずえー 6 446 6 738

State of New Jersey OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

GERARD FELIX,

ونادره الأحصي

Petitioner,

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY,

Respondent.

INITIAL DECISION SUMMARY DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. PUC 01332-13 AGENCY DKT, NO. EC12100929U

RECEIVED

APR 12 2013

MARIN CRITICAL COMMITTEES

Gerard Felix, pro se

Sheree Kelly, Esq., for respondent Public Service Electric and Gas

Decided: April 10, 2013 Record Closed: April 10, 2013

BEFORE KIMBERLY A. MOSS, ALJ:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Petitioner Gerard Felix (Felix) filed a complaint before the Board of Public Utilities (BPU) disputing the billing of Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G). The matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and filed on February 4, 2013. A prehearing telephone conference was scheduled for March 4, 2013. Felix could not be reached by phone at that time. An in-person prehearing conference was scheduled for April 10, 2013. On March 22, 2013, PSE&G filed a motion to dismiss petitioner's complaint for lack of standing. On March 22, 2013, I sent petitioner a letter stating that he had to respond to PSE&G's motion by April 6, 2013. Felix did not respond to the motion. Felix appeared at the in person pre-hearing on April 10, 2013.

FACTUAL DISCUSSION

PSE&G provides electric and gas service to 299 N. Day Street, Orange, New Jersey. In his petition Felix lists charges made by PSE&G on his grandmother, Marie Kinsta Pierre's account. The customer of record for that account is not Felix. The customer of record is Felix's grandmother, Marie Kinsta Pierre. Petitioner's grandmother is not named as a party in this matter. Petitioner does not have a power of attorney for his grandmother. Petitioner has provided no evidence that he fits the criteria for non-lawyer representation before the OAL. On or about April 3, 2013, Marie Kinsta Pierre died. Felix is not the executor or administrator of his grandmother's estate.

LEGAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Summary decision is available in the administrative court pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 1:1-12.5. The regulation provides that summary decision is appropriate when:

The papers and discovery, which have been filed, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact challenged and that the moving party is entitled to prevail as a matter of law. When a motion for summary decision is made and supported, an adverse party in order to prevail must by responding affidavit set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue, which can only be determined in an evidentiary proceeding. If the adverse party does not so respond, a summary decision, if appropriate, shall be entered.

[N.J.A.C. 1:1-12.5(b).]

The summary decision rule is substantially the same as that of the summary judgment rule under R. 4:46-2. See Contini v. Bd. of Ed. of Newark, 286 N.J. Super. 106, 121 (App. Div. 1995), which recognized that the summary decision standard in administrative proceedings is substantially similar to that of New Jersey Court Rule 4:46-2).

The New Jersey Supreme Court in Brill v. Guardian Life Ins. Co., 142 N.J. 520 (1995) in a motion for summary judgment, stated that a motion judge is required to consider "whether the competent evidential material presented, when viewed in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, are sufficient to permit a rational fact finder to resolve the alleged dispute in favor of the non-moving party." Id. at 540. Therefore, where a moving party demonstrates, by competent evidential material, that no genuine and material issue of fact exists, the Court must grant the motion for summary Similarly, the rules governing administrative proceedings provide that summary decision may be granted if there is "no genuine issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to prevail as a matter of law." Borough of Lincoln Park Bd. of Ed. V. Bd. of Ed. of the Town of Boonton, EDU 5944-02, Initial Decision, (April 2, 2003) http://lawlibrary.rutgers.edu/oal/search.html, modified, Comm'r. (May 15, 2003) http://www.state.nj.us/njded/news/info.htm. Under N.J.A.C. 1:1-12.5(b). The determination to grant summary decision should be based on the papers presented as well as any affidavits filed with the application. Ibid.

N.J.A.C. 14:3-1.1 provides:

"Customer of record" means the person that applies for utility service and is identified in the account records of a public utility as the person responsible for payment of the public utility bill. A customer may or may not be an end user, as defined herein.

N.J.A.C. 14:3-7(a) provides:

The customer(s) of record, as defined at N.J.A.C. 14:3-1.1, shall be responsible for payment for all utility service rendered.

OAL DKT. NO. PUC 01332-13N

The standard for determining whether petitioner has standing to assert a cause of action is whether there is "sufficient stake in the outcome of the litigation"; (2) genuine adverseness regarding the subject matter of the action; and (3) "a <u>substantial likelihood</u> that the plaintiff will suffer harm in the event of an <u>unfavorable</u> decision." N.J. Citizen Action v. Riviera Motel Corp., 296 N.J. Super. 402, 409-10 (App. Div. 1997), appeal dismissed, 152 N.J. 361 (1998) (emphasis added).

In the petition, Felix stated that the bills he is disputing are for his grandmother's account. Therefore he is not responsible for payment of the utility service. The customer of record is his grandmother. In other words, petitioner does not have a contractual relationship with PSE&G. I **CONCLUDE** that petitioner does not have standing in this matter.

N.J.A.C. 1:1-5.4(a) provides:

Representation by non-lawyers; authorized situations, applications, approval procedures

In conformity with <u>New Jersey Court Rule R.</u> 1:21-1(f), the following non-lawyers may apply for permission to represent a party at a contested case hearing:

- 1. Persons whose appearance is required by Federal law;
- State agency employees;
- 3. County or municipal welfare agency employees;
- Legal service paralegals or assistants;
- 5. Close corporation principals;
- 6. Union representatives in Civil Service and Public Employment Relations Commission cases;
- 7. Individuals representing parents or children in special education proceedings;

- 8. County or local government employees in Civil Service cases; and
- 9. Individuals representing claimants or employers before the Appeal Tribunal or Board of Review of the Department of Labor and Workforce Development.

Petitioner has not provided any evidence that he fits the above criteria for nonlawyer representation. I therefore **CONCLUDE** that petitioner does not meet the criteria for non-attorney representation.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, respondent's motion for summary decision is **GRANTED**. It is hereby **ORDERED** that petitioner's formal petition be **DISMISSED**.

I hereby FILE my Initial Decision with the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES for consideration.

This recommended decision may be adopted, modified or rejected by the **BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES,** which by law is authorized to make a final decision in this matter. If the Board of Public Utilities does not adopt, modify or reject this decision within forty-five days and unless such time limit is otherwise extended, this recommended decision shall become a final decision in accordance with N.J.S.A. 52:14B-10.

OAL DKT. NO. PUC 01332-13N

Within thirteen days from the date on which this recommended decision was mailed to the parties, any party may file written exceptions with the SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, 44 South Clinton Avenue, P.O. Box 350, Trenton, NJ 08625-0350, marked "Attention: Exceptions." A copy of any exceptions must be sent to the judge and to the other parties.

4-10-13		MU	
DATE	KIMBERLY A. MOSS, ALJ		
Date Received at Agency:		Leura Parties	
Date Mailed to Parties:	APR 1 1 2013	DIRECTOR AND CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	