

RESEARCH REVIEW COMMITTEE

MEMBER REVIEW FORM

Reviewer:

Research Proposal Title:

Principal Investigator/Institute:

Please review each proposal based on the criteria listed below. Place a check in the appropriate column to note your determination. Note that not every reviewer needs to complete every section of this form.

Areas of Review		Yes	No	NA	Need More Information	Did Not Review Criteria		
Pot	ential Benefits (Reviewers: All)							
1.	Shows promise of producing, confirming or otherwise advancing knowledge that may help improve NJ residents' health, safety, and/or wellbeing.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Comments:								
2.	Aligns with NJ DCF's departmental priorities.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Comments:								
3.	The time and additional workload that will be borne by NJ DCF staff is justified by the expected benefits of the research.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Con	nments:							
Pot	ential Risks (Reviewers: Legal, Research)							
4.	Offers minimal risk to the children, families, and adults served by NJ DCF.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Con	nments:							
5.	The safest procedures are proposed consistent with sound research.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Con	nments:				_			
6.	The proposed study is politically and/or ethically sensitive.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Con	nments:							
Sam	ple Description and Selection (Reviewers: Research)							
7.	The participants will be selected in an equitable manner consistent with the goals of the research, if appropriate.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
	nments: will the study try to include representation from various ethnic caregi erent experience based on race	ver pai	rticipar	nts–to	determine if th	ere is a		
Consent (Reviewers: Legal, Research)								
8.	Informed consent or assent procedures are adequate.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Comments:								
Con	fidentiality (Reviewers: Legal, Research)							
9.	The procedures make adequate provisions to protect the privacy and confidentiality of the participants.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Con	nments:							
Methodology (Reviewers: Research)								
10.	The study design is sound.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		
Comments:								
	The proposed research methods are adequate to answer the research questions.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did Not Review		

Comments:								
12. Data elements requested from DCF are available through the proposed data source and are of adequate quality.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did N Revie			
Comments:	<u>l</u>		1	I.				
13. Research appropriately integrates race and sex considerations (e.g., analyses are stratified by participants' race/ethnicity and sex, research integrates racial/ethnic and gender considerations into research questions or approach)	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did N Revie			
Comments:								
 Research participants are compensated for their participation in the project, as appropriate. 	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did N Revie			
Comments:								
Dissemination (Reviewers: Research)								
15. There is a clear plan and timeline for reporting findings back to DCF.	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did N Revie			
Comments:								
 Research findings will be disseminated to research participants and/or relevant community stakeholders, as appropriate. 	Yes	No	NA	More Info	Did N Revie			
Comments:								
Reviewer Signature: Date:								
Recommendation:								
□ Deny								
☐ Approve								
\square More Information Needed (Specify Information Needed Below)								
☐ Conditional Approval (Specify Conditions Below)								