

State of New Jersey

Christine Todd Whitman Governor

Department of Environmental Protection

Robert C. Shinn, Jr. Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO THE ADOPTED AND APPROVED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN OF THE MORRIS COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

CERTIFICATION
OF THE NOVEMBER 22, 1994
AMENDMENT TO THE MORRIS COUNTY
DISTRICT SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSIONER:

A. Introduction

The New Jersey Solid Waste Management Act (N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq.) established a comprehensive system for the management of solid waste in New Jersey. The Act designated all twenty-one (21) of the state's counties, and the Hackensack Meadowlands District, as Solid Waste Management Districts, and mandated that the Boards of Chosen Freeholders and the Hackensack Meadowlands Development Commission develop comprehensive plans for waste management in their respective districts. On January 29, 1981, the Department of Environmental Protection (Department or DEP) approved with modifications the Morris County District Solid Waste Management Plan (County Plan).

The Act requires that all district plans be based on and accompanied by a report detailing the existing waste disposal situation in the district, and a plan which includes the strategy to be followed by the district in meeting the solid waste management needs of the district for a ten-year planning period. The report must detail the current and projected waste generation for the district, inventory and appraise all facilities in the district, and analyze the waste collection and transportation systems which serve the district. The disposal strategy must include the maximum practicable use of resource recovery techniques. In addition to this strategy, the plan must designate sufficient available suitable sites for the disposal of the district's waste for a ten-year period, which sites may be in the district or, if none are available, in another district. (The Act provides procedures for reaching any necessary interdistrict agreements).

The Act further provides that a district may review its County Plan at any time and, if found inadequate, a new County Plan must be adopted. The Morris County Board of Chosen Freeholders (County Freeholders) completed such a review and on November 22, 1994 adopted an amendment to its approved County Plan.

The amendment proposed the County Plan inclusion of both an expanded strategy for addressing the State requirements for source reduction, recycling, and regionalization planning and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated August 23, 1994. The County's strategy for addressing the noted State requirements was the subject of a prior certification dated February 14, 1995. The August 23, 1994 MOU was signed by the Morris County Municipal Utilities Authority (MCMUA) and the Essex County Utilities Authority and authorizes the delivery of 225,000 tons annually of Morris County processible waste to the Essex County Resource Recovery Facility (ECRRF) for a 25 year period commencing January 1, 1995.

The amendment was received by the Department on December 14, 1994 and copies were distributed to various administrative review agencies for review and comment, as required by law. The Department has reviewed this amendment and has determined that the amendment adopted by the County Freeholders on November 22, 1994 is approved in part and remanded in part for modification as provided in N.J.S.A. 13:1E-24.

B. Findings and Conclusions with Respect to the Morris County District Solid Waste Management Plan Amendment

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 13:1E-24a(1), I have studied and reviewed the November 22, 1994 amendment to the County Plan according to the objectives, criteria and standards developed in the Statewide Solid Waste Management Plan and I find and conclude that the approved portion of the plan amendment is consistent with the Statewide Solid Waste Management Plan. In this regard, the County Freeholders are notified of the issues of concern relative to the November 22, 1994 amendment which are included in Section B.2. below.

In conjunction with the review of the amendment, the Department circulated copies to fifteen federal and state administrative review agencies, and solicited their review and comment. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 13:1E-24a(2) and (3), these agencies included various bureaus, divisions, and agencies within the Department. All agencies contacted are as follows:

Division of Parks and Forestry, DEP Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife, DEP Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste, DEP Division of Water Quality, DEP Division of Enforcement, DEP Office of Air Quality Management, DEP
Green Acres Program, DEP
Land Use Regulation Element, DEP
New Jersey Turnpike Authority
New Jersey Advisory Council on Solid Waste Management
Department of Agriculture
Department of Health
Department of Transportation
Department of Community Affairs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1. Agency Participation in the Review of the November 22, 1994 Amendment

The following agencies did not object to the proposed amendment:

Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife, DEP
Division of Enforcement, DEP
Division of Water Quality, DEP
Green Acres Program, DEP
New Jersey Turnpike Authority
New Jersey Advisory Council on Solid Waste Management
Department of Agriculture
Department of Transportation

The following agencies did not respond to our requests for comment:

Office of Air Quality Management, DEP Division of Parks and Forestry, DEP Land Use Regulation Element, DEP Department of Health Department of Community Affairs U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

The following agency provided substantive comments as shown in Section B. of the certification document:

Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste, DEP

2. Issues of Concern Regarding the November 22, 1994 Amendment

Issue: April 24, 1995 Court Order

Since the adoption and submission of the November 22, 1994 plan amendment, the amendment and the MOU were the subject of an action in the Law Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey which was brought by Waste Management of Pennsylvania, Inc. (WMPA). The action sought to block the adoption of the MOU because its terms were allegedly inconsistent with the terms of the easement (disposal) agreement executed by the MCMUA and WMPA for the provision of landfill space. On April 24, 1995, Judge Reginald Stanton, A.J.S.C., in Waste Management of Pennsylvania, Inc. v.

Morris County Board of Chosen Freeholders, et al, Docket No. MRS-L-4409-94 P.W., ruled that the terms of the disposal agreement between WMPA and the MCMUA allowed for processible Morris County waste to be delivered to the ECRRF, but that the prorata share of ash residue attributable to Morris County as well as all bypass and nonprocessible waste generated in Morris County must be disposed of at WMPA landfills pursuant to the terms of the easement agreement. The court directed the Morris County Freeholders and the MCMUA to amend the County Plan to incorporate these requirements. The court further directed the County Freeholders and the MCMUA to seek Department approval of the plan amendment and the easement agreement as modified.

Issue: Terms of the MOU

The August 23, 1994 MOU contains "Section 11. Conditions Precedent to Implementation of Interdistrict Agreement." Specifically, this section states that "All rights, obligations and liabilities of the parties hereunder shall be subject to the satisfaction of each of the conditions precedent set forth below..." A total of 14 separate conditions are identified within this section. Among other things, these conditions include:

- * execution of an interdistrict agreement between the ECUA and the MCMUA;
- * adoption of amendments by Essex and Morris Counties including the interdistrict agreement and DEP certification of same;
- * execution of an MOU and an interdistrict agreement between the ECUA and a third county to provide additional waste to the ECRRF;
- * adoption of amendments by Essex County and the county providing additional waste including the interdistrict agreement and DEP certification of same;
- * issuance of a modified permit by the DEP to allow the ECRRF to process 985,000 tons per year;
- * approval of the ECUA/MCMUA MOU and interdistrict agreement and the additional waste MOU and interdistrict agreement by the Port Authority and American Ref-Fuel;
- * execution by the ECUA of an agreement providing for disposal of bypassed waste, nonprocessible waste, and ash residue at the ECUA designated landfill and DEP approval of same; and
- * DEP approval of the MCMUA/Waste Management out-of-state landfill disposal agreement for that Morris waste not delivered to the ECRRF.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Solid Waste Management Act, specifically N.J.S.A. 13:1E-24b., the Department has 150 days to approve, modify, or reject the November 22, 1994 amendment. However, the MOU contains conditions that can not be satisfactorily addressed prior to the close of the DEP's legislatively mandated 150 day review period on May 12, 1995.

However, as noted above, since the November 22, 1994 amendment was submitted to the DEP, Judge Reginald Stanton issued a ruling regarding the MOU. Therefore, within Section C. of the certification, the Department approves in part and remands in part for modification the amendment. Specifically, that portion of the amendment which provides for the delivery of up to 225,00 tons annually of processible Morris County waste to the ECRRF is approved while the remaining portions of the amendment are remanded for modification in accordance with the April 24, 1995 court order.

C. Certification of the November 22, 1994 Morris County District Solid Waste Management Plan Amendment

In accordance with N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq., specifically N.J.S.A. 13:1E-21, which establishes specific requirements regarding the contents of the district solid waste management plans, I have reviewed the November 22, 1994 amendment to the approved County Plan and certify to the County Freeholders that the November 22, 1994 amendment is approved in part and remanded in part for modification as further specified below.

The County Plan inclusion of that portion of the November 22, 1994 amendment which provides for the delivery of up to 225,000 tons annually of Morris County processible waste to the ECRRF is approved. However, the remaining portions of the amendment are remanded to the County Freeholders for modification in accordance with Judge Stanton's court order of April 24, 1995. The County is hereby directed to comply with Judge Stanton's order and to submit a revised MOU and plan amendment as soon as possible.

D. Other Provisions Affecting the Plan Amendment

1. Contracts

Any contract renewal or new contract for solid waste collection or disposal which is inconsistent with this amendment to the County Plan and which was executed prior to the approval of this amendment and subsequent to the effective date of the Solid Waste Management Act (July 29, 1977), and which shall further be for a term in excess of one year, shall immediately be renegotiated in order to bring same into conformance with the terms and provisions herein set forth. Any solid waste collection operation or disposal facility registered by the Department and operating pursuant to a contract as herein described, shall be deemed to be in violation of this amendment and of the County Plan if such renegotiation is not completed within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this amendment provided, however, that any such registrant may, upon application to the Department, and for good cause shown, obtain an extension of time to complete such renegotiation.

2. Compliance

All solid waste facility operators and transporters registered with the Department and operating within the County and affected by the amendment contained herein shall operate in compliance with this amendment and all other approved provisions of the County Plan. Any facility operator or transporter who fails to comply with the provisions contained herein shall be deemed to be in violation of N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq., in violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-1 et seq., and in violation of their registration to operate a solid waste facility or a collection system issued thereunder by the Department and shall be subject to the provisions and penalties of N.J.S.A. 13:1E-9 and 12 and all other applicable laws.

3. Types of Solid Wastes Covered by the District Solid Waste Management Plan

The provisions of the County Plan shall apply to all solid wastes defined in N.J.S.A. 13:1E-3 and N.J.A.C. 7:26-2.13 and shall not apply to liquid wastes, sewage sludge, septage, and hazardous wastes. All nonhazardous materials separated at the point of generation for sale or reuse are excluded from the waste flows designated in the Interdistrict and Intradistrict Solid Waste Flow Rules set forth at N.J.A.C. 7:26A-1 et seq.

4. Definitions

For the purpose of this amendment and unless the context clearly requires a different meaning, the definitions of terms shall be the same as those found at N.J.S.A. 13:1E-3 and -99.12, N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.4, -2.13, and N.J.A.C. 7:26A-1.3.

5. Reservation of Authority

Nothing contained herein shall be construed as a limitation on any other action taken by the Department pursuant to its authority under the law. The County Plan, including any amendment made thereto, shall conform with the Statewide Solid Waste Management Plan, with appendices, which includes the Department's planning guidelines, rules, regulations, orders of the Department, interdistrict and intradistrict waste flow rules, and also includes the compilation of individual district plans and amendments as they are approved.

6. Modification Procedures

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 13:1E-24d., remands for modification shall be accompanied by a statement indicating the reasons for the modification and the action to be taken thereon. That section of the Act indicates that if the Commissioner determines that a modification is major, the County Freeholders must hold an additional public hearing to enact the modification. If the

modification is minor, no further public hearing need to take place. This instant remand requires that the Morris County District Solid Waste Management Plan be modified in accordance with Judge Stanton's court order of April 24, 1995 which constitutes a major modification with the holding of a public hearing and the readoption of an amendment after said hearing.

E. <u>Certification of Modification of the Amendment by the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection</u>

In accordance with the requirements of N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq., I hereby remand for modification the amendment, as outlined in Section C. of this certification, to the Morris County District Solid Waste Management Plan which was adopted by the Morris County Board of Chosen Freeholders on November 22, 1994.

Date

Røbert C. Skinn, Jr.

Commissioner

Department of Environmental Protection