# **Sufficiently Sensitive**



Test Methods



**General** 

### 1) What is the Sufficiently Sensitive Rule and where can I find it?

 The purpose of this rule is to clarify that applicants and permittees in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program (in NJ this is the NJPDES program) must use EPA-approved analytical methods that are capable of detecting and measuring the pollutants at, or below, the applicable water quality criteria or permit limits.

### An EPA fact sheet is available at:

http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/npdes/basics/upload/Public-Fact-Sheet-Sufficiently-Sensitive-Methods-Rule-8-18-14-Final.pdf

### The rule itself is available at:

https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2014/08/19/2014-19265/nationalpollutant-discharge-elimination-system-npdes-use-of-sufficiently-sensitive-testmethods-for.

## 2) When do the Sufficiently Sensitive Test Methods (SSTM) requirements become effective?

 This final rule became effective on September 18, 2014 and all analytical monitoring was required to immediately come into compliance with the final rule.

## 3) What are the criteria for determining if the test method is sufficiently sensitive?

• An EPA-approved method is sufficiently sensitive where:

A. The method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion or permit limitation for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter; or

B. The method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in a facility's discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the discharge; or

C. The method has the lowest minimum level of the EPA-approved analytical methods.

### 4) What is EPA's definition of method minimum level (ML)?

The term method "minimum level" refers to either the sample concentration equivalent to the lowest calibration point in a method or a multiple of the method detection limit (MDL). For the purposes of this rulemaking, EPA is considering the following terms related to analytical method sensitivity to be synonymous: "quantitation limit," "reporting limit," "level of quantitation," and "minimum level." (footnotes #5 & #6 on page 49003 of the rule which can be found at <a href="http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-08-19/pdf/2014-19265.pdf">http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-08-19/pdf/2014-19265.pdf</a>)

NOTE: The method minimum level is not the same as method detection level.

# 5) Is there a list available of the acceptable quantitation levels or range for each toxic pollutant I have to monitor in my effluent? Will NJDEP be establishing its own MLs for each approved analytical method?

Yes. Attached to this guidance is a table which represents the best currently available Quantitation Levels and appropriate technologies for the purposes of compliance with the SSTM Rule in consideration of the three possible scenarios described in item 3. These values were selected by reviewing analytical capabilities within approved methods; reviewing data provided by certified laboratories; comparing these values to surface water quality standards (see <a href="http://www.nj.gov/dep/rules/rules/njac7">http://www.nj.gov/dep/rules/rules/njac7</a> 9b.pdf) and consideration of Practical Quantitation Levels as established in rule within the Ground Water Quality Standards at N.J.A.C. 7:9C. The values aslisted in the table are considered guidance and, if a more sensitive technology is approved within 40 CFR Part 136, then the Recommended Quantitation Level and relevant technique contained herein may change.

Page 2 of 10

While the Department anticipates that this table will be useful for most circumstances, there may be situations where data from an individual facility show quantifiable values in the effluent, even with a relatively high quantitation limit. In this case, a method utilizing a greater sensitivity is not required since all of the data is already detectable and quantifiable (Scenario 3.B above).

### **Specific Questions / Examples**

- 6) I have a NJPDES permit limit for chlorine produced oxidants and routinely submit non-detectable values on my monitoring report form. I have historically reported <0.1 mg/L per past Department guidance rather than the actual laboratory analytical quantitation limit. Should I continue this practice?
  - No. Under this scenario, reporting <0.1 mg/L on a Discharge Monitoring Report form is no longer acceptable and is not in compliance with the new SSTM rule. Laboratories must report the actual concentration obtained at testing, and not simply reporting the data as <0.1 mg/L. For example, if the analytical quantitation level is 0.02 mg/L and the result is "ND" or non-detectable, the permittee shall report <0.02 mg/L.</li>
- 7) I have a NJPDES permit limit of 0.007 mg/L for chlorine produced oxidants and my NJPDES permit states "Where the WQBELs are more stringent than the quantification limit (i.e. 0.1 mg/L), effluent compliance will be determined by comparing the reported value against the applicable quantification limit. Therefore, the enforceable daily maximum and monthly average concentration limitation is 0.1 mg/L." Will my compliance still be judged against the reporting level of 0.1 mg/L for CPO?
  - Yes, for permits that still contain this language indicating that compliance is judged against the RQL, permit compliance will continue to be assessed against the 0.1 mg/L level. However, if the RQL of 0.1 mg/L is less stringent (a higher number) than your water quality based effluent limitation, it is likely that this reporting level is not in compliance with the SSTM rule. If the method cannot detect to a level equal to or more sensitive than the WQBEL, a new test method may be necessary. Note that New Jersey laboratory certification is required for all methods performed to demonstrate compliance with this rule.
- 8) What are the criteria for determining if the test method is sufficiently sensitive for the parameters that do not have an assigned limit? In my NJPDES permit, lead is a "report only" parameter and the permit does not specify an RQL.

Page 3 of 10

 For parameters without a permit limitation, permittees should choose a method that can quantify down to the sensitivity of the water quality criterion if possible. The water quality criteria in the State of New Jersey are the New Jersey Surface Water Quality Standards which are available at:

#### http://www.nj.gov/dep/rules/rules/njac7\_9b.pdf

In addition, please refer to the table at the end of this document. In this example, lead is a "report only" parameter. As indicated in this table, the permittee should utilize an analytical method that can achieve 5 ug/L or less in order to ensure compliance with the SSTM Rule. This level should be attainable using GFAA or ICP/MS.

### 9) My NJPDES/Discharge to Surface Water permit contains specific Recommended Quantitation Levels (RQLs) for many parameters with more stringent effluent limitations. Will permit compliance continue to be assessed against the RQLs?

 Yes. Permit compliance against RQLs will continue as long as the RQLs are retained in the current permit. The federal rule is in effect as of September 18th, 2014 and currently applies to all permittees/applicants in the NJPDES Surface Water Permitting Program.

Permittees and certified labs are encouraged to evaluate the table at the end of this document to determine if the methods currently used are in compliance with the SSTM rule. Appropriate changes may need to be made to the sampling, reporting, or methodology in order to comply. In addition new lab certifications may be required if a method change becomes necessary.

While the Department has prepared this guidance document, it is not the Department's intent to incorporate these Quantitation Levels into NJPDES permits as has been the Department's previous practice. However, there are a limited number of circumstances (i.e. chlorine produced oxidants) where "Required Quantitation Levels" will continue to be included in the NJPDES permit dependent on site-specific factors. If this is the case, the incorporation of the Required Quantitation Level will be clearly documented in the Fact Sheet, along with a basis and background, as well as in Part III of the NJPDES permit.

10) For the parameter, Arsenic, our WQBEL is 0.017 ug/L which is less than the most sensitive analytical method of EPA approved methods which is 2 ug/L. How do I comply with the \$\$TM rule?

Page 4 of 10

 As per the attached table, since the method used has the lowest minimum level of the EPA-approved analytical methods (which can be attained using GFAA or ICP/MS) it is in compliance with the SSTM rule.

### **Questions for Laboratories**

- 11) I am a contract certified laboratory that is performing the testing for the permittees as my client, how is my laboratory responsible for complying with the SSTM rule?
  - All laboratories, whether they be contract laboratories or affiliated with the actual permittee, are required to ensure they conduct compliance testing in accordance with their client's specifications, and that they maintain the required certification for any methods used for that testing to ensure compliance with the applicable regulations. All laboratories are strongly encouraged to consult with their clients and obtain a copy of the most current and effective permit to ensure that the most sensitive methods are being used at the laboratory for nonpotable water testing.
- 12) My New Jersey certified laboratory is located at or associated with the regulated discharge from my treatment facility, is my laboratory responsible for meeting the SSTM rule requirement for the parameters and methods I use at the laboratory?
  - Yes. This testing is performed to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the permittee's regulated discharges.

### **Contact Information/Questions**

- 13) If I have questions relating to lab methods for Sufficiently Sensitive Test Methods (SSTM) or issues with a particular method in meeting the specified quantitation level, whom should I call?
  - For questions regarding the applicability of the rule and whether or not the facility is complying with the target level of sensitivity, contact Steve Seeberger of the Bureau of Surface Water Permitting at (609) 292-4860 or via email at <u>Stephen.Seeberger@dep.nj.gov</u>
  - For questions regarding laboratory methodologies, certifications, or specifics relating to quantitation limits associated with individual test methods, contact Ryan Larum of the Office of Quality Assurance at 609-292-3950 or via email at <u>Ryan.Larum@dep.nj.gov</u>

Page **5** of **10** 

### **Current Quantitation Levels (QLs)**

Below is a list of what the Department has determined to be the most sensitive achievable quantitation levels available for the analysis of wastewater pollutants as of the date of this document. This list can be used as a reference in order to comply with the SSTM rule found at 40 CFR Parts 122 and 136.

While the below is being provided as guidance, note that the rule is comprised of three possible situations in which each must be evaluated for individual pollutants. Therefore, it is not possible to disseminate a list of universally acceptable test methodologies for all monitored parameters for all NJPDES permits. For example, if facilities with relatively high detectable levels for a particular parameter are reported, a method utilizing a greater sensitivity is not required since all of the data is already detectable and quantifiable. In addition, if a permit limit is a large number as compared to the SWQS (e.g., due to high dilution numbers), an extremely sensitive method may not be necessary in order to meet the SSTM rule. The following list is simply a list of what is currently considered achievable.

		Current best	Sufficiently Sensitive	
Parameter	Units	available QL	Technique from 40 CFR 136	
			Spectrophotometric, or	
Chlorine Produced Oxidants (CPO)	mg/l	0.02	Amperometric Titration	
Metals and Cyanide				
Antimony, Total	μg/L	4	GFAA or ICP/MS	
Arsenic, Total	μg/L	2	GFAA or ICP/MS	
Barium, Total	μg/L	4	ICP/MS	
Beryllium, Total,	μg/L	1	GFAA or ICP/MS	
Cadmium, Total	μg/L	1	GFAA or ICP/MS	
Chromium, Total	μg/L	4	ICP/MS	
Copper, Total	μg/L	4	ICP/MS	
Lead, Total	μg/L	2	GFAA or ICP/MS	
		<b>Current best</b>	Sufficiently Sensitive	
Parameter	Units	available QL	Technique from 40 CFR 136	
Manganese, Total	μg/L	4	ICP/MS	
Mercury, Total	μg/L	0.0005	CVAFS	
Nickel, Total	μg/L	4	ICP/MS	
Selenium, Total	μg/L	4	GFAA or ICP/MS	
Silver, Total	μg/L	2	GFAA or ICP/MS	
Thallium, Total	μg/L	2	GFAA or ICP/MS	
Zinc, Total	μg/L	10	ICP/MS	

Cyanide, Total	µg/L	20	Spectrophotometric
	Volatiles		
Acrolein	µg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
Acrylonitrile	µg/L	2	GC or GC/MS
Benzene	μg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Bromoform	μg/L	4	GC or GC/MS
Carbon Tetrachloride	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Chlorodibromomethane			
(Dibromochloromethane)	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Chloroform	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Dichlorobromomethane			
(Bromodichloromethane)	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L	2	GC or GC/MS
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-			
Dichloroethene)	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
1,3 Dichloropropene	ug/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	2	GC or GC/MS
Methyl Bromide (Bromomethane)	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Methylene Chloride	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Tetrachloroethylene			
(Tetrachloroethene)	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Toluene	μg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
1,2-Transdichloroethylene or Trans -			
1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	μg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Trichloroethylene (Tetrachloroethene)	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS
Vinyl Chloride	µg/L	1	GC or GC/MS

Parameter	Units	Current best	Sufficiently Sensitive Technique from 40 CER 136
Δ	cid Compo	ounds	reeningue from 40 er x 150
2-Chlorophenol	ug/L	10	GC/MS
2.4-Dichlorophenol	ug/L	10	GC/MS
2.4-Dimethylphenol	ug/L	10	GC/MS
4,6-Dinitro-O-Cresol	μg/L	10	GC/MS
2,4-Dinitrophenol	μg/L	20	GC/MS
Pentachlorophenol	μg/L	0.3	GC/MS (SIM)
Phenol	μg/L	10	GC/MS
2,4,5 Trichlorophenol	ug/L	10	GC or GC/MS
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	μg/L	10	GC/MS
	Base/Neu	tral	
Acenaphthene	μg/L	9.5	GC or GC/MS
Anthracene	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
Benzidine	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
Benzo (a) Anthracene	μg/L	0.1	GC/MS (SIM)
Benzo (a) Pyrene	μg/L	0.1	GC/MS (SIM)
3,4-Benzofluoranthene			
(Benzo(b)fluoranthene)	μg/L	0.2	GC/MS (SIM)
Benzo (k) Fluoranthene	μg/L	0.3	GC/MS(SIM)
Bis (2-Chloroethyl) Ether	μg/L	2	GC or GC/MS
Bis (2-Chloroisopropyl) Ether	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) Phthalate	μg/L	3	GC or GC/MS
Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	μg/L	7.5	GC or GC/MS
2 Chloronaphthalene	ug/L	9.5	GC or GC/MS
Chrysene	μg/L	2.5	GC or GC/MS
Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene	μg/L	0.3	GC/MS (SIM)
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
Diethyl Phthalate	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
Di-N-Butyl Phthalate	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	μg/L	7.5	GC or GC/MS
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	μg/L	20	GC or GC/MS
Fluoranthene	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
Fluorene	μg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
Hexachlorobenzene	μg/L	0.02	GC/MS (SIM)
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L	2.5	GC or GC/MS

Page **8** of **10** 

April 2020

Parameter	Units	Current best available QL	Sufficiently Sensitive Technique from 40 CFR 136
Hexachloroethane	μg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene	μg/L	0.2	GC/MS (SIM)
Isophorone	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
Nitrobenzene	μg/L	5	GC or GC/MS
N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine	ug/L	5	GC/MS
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	μg/L	5	GC/MS
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	μg/L	6	GC/MS
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	μg/L	5	GC/MS
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	μg/L	5	GC/MS
Pentachlorobenzene	ug/L	5	GC/MS
Pyrene	μg/L	10	GC or GC/MS
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	9	GC or GC/MS
Pest	icides and	Dioxin	
Aldrin	μg/L	0.04	GC
Alpha-BHC	μg/L	0.02	GC
Beta-BHC	μg/L	0.04	GC
Gamma-BHC	μg/L	0.03	GC
Chlordane	μg/L	0.5	GC
Chlorpyrifos	μg/L	20	GC
4,4'-DDT	μg/L	0.05	GC
4,4'-DDE	μg/L	0.05	GC
4,4'-DDD	μg/L	0.02	GC
Demeton	μg/L	1	GC
Dieldrin	μg/L	0.03	GC
Alpha-Endosulfan (Endosulfan I)	μg/L	0.02	GC
Beta-Endosulfan (Endosulfan II)	μg/L	0.04	GC
Endosulfan Sulfate	μg/L	0.02	GC
Endosulfans, Total (alpha and beta)	μg/L		
Endrin	μg/L	0.02	GC
Endrin Aldehyde	μg/L	0.04	GC
Guthion (Azinphos methyl)	μg/L	2.7	GC
Heptachlor	μg/L	0.02	GC
Heptachlor Epoxide	μg/L	0.2	GC
Malathion	μg/L	2	GC
Methoxychlor	μg/L	0.1	GC
Mirex	μg/L	0.05	GC

Parameter	Units	Current best available QL	Sufficiently Sensitive Technique from 40 CFR 136
Parathion	ug/L	4	GC
PCB-1016	μg/L	0.5	GC
PCB-1221	μg/L	0.5	GC
PCB-1232	μg/L	0.5	GC
PCB-1242	μg/L	0.5	GC
PCB-1248	μg/L	0.5	GC
PCB-1254	μg/L	0.5	GC
PCB-1260	μg/L	0.5	GC
Toxaphene	μg/L	1	GC
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	μg/L	5	GC/MS
2,3,7,8 Tetrachloro dibenzo p dioxin	ug/L	0.00275	GC/MS

GFAA = Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption

ICP/MS = Inductively Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry

GC = Gas Chromatography

GC/MS = Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometry

CVAFS = Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry

GC/MS (SIM) = Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry with Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM)