SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION - MORRIS CO. Docket No. C-3939-84

DIAMOND SHAMROCK CHEMICALS COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Transcript of Proceedings

THE AETNA CASUALTY & SURETY :

COMPANY, et al.

MORNING SESSION

OFFICE CODY

Defendants.

_		OFFICE COPY		
Place:	Morris County C			JAITIN
	Morristown, New	Jersey		
Date:	October 17, 198	8Served		
	ŕ	Filed		
		Signed		
BEFORE: HONORABLE REGI	NALD STANTON, A	Reseived		
TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY: St	ephen D. Cuyler	Desigt	1/23 1	114
APPEARANCES:		Diary	1	1H
	1	In Charge	Jogn Z	:17

MESSRS. PITNEY, HARDIN, KIPP & SZUCH BY: WILLIAM H. HYATT, JR., ESQUIRE

-and-

MESSRS. CAHILL, GORDON & REINDEL BY: WILLIAM E. HEGARTY, ESQUIRE MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, ESQUIRE RAYMOND L. FALLS, JR., ESQUIRE LEONARD A. SPIVAK, ESQUIRE MARSHALL COX, ESQUIRE PETER F. LAKE, ESQUIRE Admitted Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for the Plaintiff

> TERENCE E. HEADD, C.S.R. Morris County Courthouse Morristown, New Jersey

1 APPEARANCES (Continued): 2 MESSRS. CUYLER & BURK STEPHEN D. CUYLER, ESQUIRE 3 CLARA HARELIK, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Defendant, General Reinsurance Group, et al 5 MESSRS. SHEFT & SWEENEY PETER SHEFT, ESQUIRE BY: and LEONARD SHEFT, ESQUIRE 7 Admitted Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Defendant, Lloyd's and 8 London Market Companies 9 MESSRS. DAY, BERRY & HOWARD THOMAS J. GROARK, JR., ESQUIRE SCOTT P. MOSER, ESQUIRE 10 JOSEPH L. HAMMER, ESQUIRE Admitted Pro Hac Vice 11 Attorneys for Defendant, Aetna Casualty 12 MESSRS. MORGAN, MELHUISH, MONAGHAN, ARVIDSON, ABRUTYN & LISOWSKI 13 BY: HENRY G. MORGAN, ESQUIRE STEFANO CALOGERO, ESQUIRE 14 and Attorneys for Defendant, Home Insurance 15 Co. 16 MESSRS. PHELAN, POPE & JOHN ROBERT J. BATES, JR., ESQUIRE 17 Admitted Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Defendant, American 18 Re-Insurance Company, and American Excess Insurance Company 19 MESSRS. MUDGE, ROSE, GUTHRIE, ALEXANDER & 20 FERDON BY: PAUL R. KOEPFF, ESQUIRE GEORGE A. PIERCE, ESQUIRE 21 and Admitted Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Defendant, Insurance Co. 22 of North America, California Union Insurance Co., and Pacific Employer's 23 Insurance Co. 24

1 2 3 4 APPEARANCES (Continued): MESSRS. GARRITY, FITZPATRICK, GRAHAM, 5 HAWKINS & FAVETTA ANTONIO D. FAVETTA, ESQUIRE 6 BY: and RUDOLPH G. MORABITO, ESQUIRE 7 Attorneys for Defendant, American International Group 8 MESSRS. KUNZMAN, COLEY, YOSPIN & BERNSTEIN STEVEN A. KUNZMAN, ESQUIRE 9 Attorneys for Defendant, Firemen's Fund 10 MESSRS. LEVY, BIVONA & COHEN 11 DONALD M. GILBERG, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Defendant, Firemen's Fund 12 MESSRS. GOLDEN, ROTHSCHILD, SPAGNOLA & 13 DiFAZIO CHARLES W. MILLER, III, ESQUIRE BY: Attorneys for Defendant, Royal Indemnity 14 15 MESSRS. BUMGARDNER, HARDIN & ELLIS BY: MARK S. KUNDLA, ESQUIRE 16 and MARY ELLEN MYERS Attorneys for Defendant, U.S. Fire 17 Insurance Co., and London Guarantee Insurance Co. 18 MESSRS. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN 19 & DICKER THOMAS F. QUINN, ESQUIRE 20 Attorneys for Defendant, Evanston Insurance Co. 21 MESSRS. TOMPKINS, McGUIRE & WACHENFELD 22 REX K. HARRIOTT, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Defendant, First State Insurance Co., and Hartford Accident and 23 Indemnity Co. 24 25

1	INDEX TO WITHESSES
2	WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
3	Arthur Scureman 6 53
4	John Burton 58
5	John Burton 56
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	INDEX TO EXHIBITS
11	EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION FOR ID. IN EVID.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE COURT: I'm sorry for the delay 1 2 in getting on the Bench. The telephone motion took a lot longer than I feared it would. But 3 we're ready now. What witness do we have? 5 MR. CALOGERO: Your Honor, at this time I would like to call Mr. Arthur Scureman to the 7 8 stand. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Scureman. 10 11 12 ARTHUR SCUREMAN, called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants, being duly sworn, testifies 13 14 as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CALOGERO: THE COURT: Whenever you're ready, Mr. 16 17 Calogero. 18 MR. CALOGERO: Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. Scureman. 19 20 Good morning. A 21 I'm going to ask you to keep your voice up so everyone in the room and the courtroom can hear you. 22 23 Okay? 24 A Okay. 25 Could you please tell us where you

		Scureman - direct
	1	presently reside?
	2	A 32 Comley Place, Bloomfield, New Jersey.
	3	Q Are you presently employed, Mr. Scureman?
	4	A Yes. At Hoffman-LaRoache in Nutley, New Jersey.
	5	Q Now, was there a time, Mr. Scureman, when
	6	you were employed by a company known as Kolker Chemical
	7	Company?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And do you know approximately what year
	10	you were employed by Kolker?
	11	A It was in '51, early part of '51.
	12	Q And did there come a time, Mr. Scureman,
	13	when you became employed by Diamond Alkali?
	14	A Diamond Alkali bought over Kolker Chemical.
	15	Q Were you employed at the time that Diamond
	16	Alkali took over Kolker Chemical?
h.,	17	A What? What was I doing?
	18	Q What were you doing Could you give me
	19	the address of the company?
	20	A Lister Avenue.
	21	Q Is that 80 Lister Avenue?
	22	A 80 Lister Avenue.
	23	Q Could you tell me when you first started
	24	working for Kolker Chemical Company what job did you
	25	have?

A I worked in the blending room on the third shift. I started filling five gallon cans of Amine, a weed killer.

- Q When you referred to the blending room was there a particular building where that was located?

 A If you came in the driveway it was where the trucks backed up in the platform. It had a big garage door.
- Q Was anything else produced in that building besides the Amines which you just referred to?

 A In the back was called the ester room where they mixed 2,4-D and the esterfied in there, and they made Duramene which is an Amine they got from fatty acids.
- Q Now, when you were, or when Diamond Alkali took over Kolker Chemical did your job position remain the same?
- A No. I, when I started there, I was hired for a Hi-Lo driver, but they didn't have the Hi-Lo yet, so they put me on a a third shift filling five gallon cans until the Hi-Lo came in.
- Q And when the Hi-Lo came in did you then become employed as a forklift operator?
- A Yes.
- Q And did you remain in the position of a forklift operator during your entire time at Diamond

- 1 | Alkali at 80 Lister Avenue?
- 2 A Well, you were a forklift driver, and if there
 3 was no work on the forklift you went inside the
 4 production building and did work there.
 - Q How long were you employed at 80 Lister Avenue for Diamond Alkali?
 - A Until 1969.
 - Q Were you there, Mr. Scureman, when the plant closed in 1969?
 - A Yes.

- Q And what other types of jobs would you do during your course of employment at Diamond Alkali from 1951 to 1969 besides being a forklift driver?
- A Well, if there was nothing to do on the forklift, they took you inside, filled one gallon cans, five gallon cans. If there was no work there, you'd go over in the 2,4-D building and fill 2,4,5-T and 2,4-D in bags.
- Q During the course of your employment at Diamond Alkali as a forklift driver, and also in these other positions, did you have an opportunity to visit every portion of the premises that was at 80 Lister Avenue?
- A Yes. I got around the whole plant because I delivered all the raw materials to every part of the

l big building and the 2,4-D building and the ester room.

- Q Now, you've just referred to something as the big building. Could you tell me what was produced in the big building?
- A Well, when I first started there, they made cable compound in there and they made miticide and they made DDT, and they had autoclaves in the back where they used to make, used to mix caustic and something else, and I don't know what they made in that room. I didn't like to go in that room because it was so bad.
- Q Was this an area where TCP was made?

 A Yeah. That's -- yeah.
- Q And when you say that you didn't want to go back there, could you explain why you didn't want to go into that area?
- A Well, because there was so much vapors in that room and I used to just deliver the skids of caustic up to that room and they used to roll them in. They had two autoclaves in there.
- Q Now, during the time when you would deliver caustic, and into this area where TCP was made, did you have opportunity to ever observe leaks in that area?
- A Oh, yeah, there was a lot of leaks all over the place.

- Q Could you tell me what in particular you would observe leaking?
 - A Well, there was acid leaks in there. They had, there was sulfuric in there and other stuff leaking. I didn't know actually what it was in there leaking, but that's why I didn't want to go in there.
 - Q Now, you've referred to a building, a big building, and you referred to a building where 2,4-D and 2,4,5-T was made. Were there any pipes which connected these two buildings?
- A Well, you had the big building here and you had an aisleway where they had a tank outside where they used to make sulfate was like a filter stuff. They used to pump that into the ester room and in the aisleway was all pipes in the aisleway coming from the big building to the ester room.
- Q And how much space was between the big building and the 2,4-D building?
- A I'll say about the size of that desk and about half of this.
 - MR. CALOGERO: Indicating, for the record, your Honor, perhaps seven feet.
 - THE COURT: Very well.
 - Q And would these pipes --
 - THE COURT: Well, the size of what desk?

THE WITNESS: That desk and about half of this.

THE COURT: Okay. I would say that desk is about six, that table is about six feet long, and another half would be nine.

MR. CALOGERO: For the record, then, nine feet?

THE COURT: Roughly.

- A I used to stack a 48 inch pallet there. You had to be careful going along them because they had a sulfuric tank and a sulfite tank there.
- Q These pipes that you've just described were they overhead that you would be able to walk underneath them?
- A Well, they were about ten foot over your head or maybe a little higher.
 - Q Did you ever observe these pipes leak.
- A Oh, yeah, there was a lot of leaks. They had an ejector system right at the end of the building there.
- Q When you say an ejector system, could you describe what you mean by that?
- A That's how you make vacuum. A water ejector system would vacuum and steam.
- Q When these pipes leaked where did the leakage go to?

- A Ran on the sewer, ran on the ground into the
 river. There was a trench right there that went right
 to the river.
 - Q Now, could you tell me what were the material made of that constituted the ground between these two buildings?
 - A Was, some of it was blacktop, when you came in one area it was stone, 'cause we always got stuck with the Hi-Lo and we put diamond plates down. Then you'd get in by the ester room, it was concrete because the river was always caving in and they put concrete there so we wouldn't get stuck with the Hi-Lo.
 - Q Now, was this area of the ground between the two buildings where these pipes ran, was this area pitched in any direction?
 - A It was pitched towards the river.
 - Q And you've described a trench that was in that area between these two buildings.
 - A Yeah, it was right alongside the ester room.
 - Q Could you describe what this trench looked like?
 - A It was an open trench that had a grating on top.
 - Q Could you tell us approximately how deep this trench was?
- 25 A About like this. It wasn't too deep.

MR. CALOGERO: Indicating for the record,
your Honor, about a foot?

THE COURT: Yes.

- Q Now, were there ever times, Mr. Scureman, when the pipes that were between these two buildings would freeze?
- A Oh, yeah. I worked --
- Q And when those pipes would freeze, could you tell me what you or anyone else at the plant had to do?
- A Well, they had caustic there. We used to have to break the lines and break the flanges and steam the caustic lines out.
- Q Could you tell us just briefly how you would steam the caustic out of these lines?
- A Well, when you steam a line at a chemical plant, you got to use a steam hose and it's got to come back because if you don't have it come back condensate forms and it rolls out. It's got to roll back at you.
- Q When you would do this process would it be necessary to take these pipes off of the, that area which you've described as running between the buildings?
- A I never took them down, but we just broke the unions or the flanges to steam them out. That's all.

1 That was a real cold area in the wintertime.

- Q Could you tell me when you would do this process of steaming these pipes where would the material go to?
- A On the ground and in the river.
- Q And was this something that would be done in the winter months on a frequent basis?
- A Well, in the winter, that's when you had most of the problems with all of the lines on the outside.
- Now, were there other areas of the plant which needed to have steaming put through pipes?

 A Oh, yeah.
- Q Now, could you tell me, though, what those other areas were?
- Well, they had, alongside the river they had what they call the 2,4,5-T unit, was along the river next to Sergeant Chemical. If they had problems, we would go there. They had phenol in the lines and we used to steam them out.
- Q When you steamed out phenol from these lines, was it by the same process which you described before?
- A What do you mean?
- Q Would you do the same thing to steam out the phenol?

- A Yes, yeah, you got to. You know, phenol freezes even in the summer if you don't have it heated up and traced.
- Q And when you would steam out the phenol from these lines, where would that material go?

 A On the ground.
- Q Now, could you describe the ground that fronted the river of that plant?
- A Well, from the ester room over to that unit there, the river was, the concrete was very bad. I got stuck many a times, you know, the concrete.
- Q When you say, Mr. Scureman, that the concrete was bad and you got stuck many times, would you explain what you mean by that?
- A The concrete was ate away from the acid being on it. And I would put diamond plates and go on the diamond plates to get back there. We used to go back there to pick drums up.
- Q When you say you would put diamond plates to go back there, are you referring to walking or are you referring to using the Hi-Lo?
- A Using the Hi-Lo. I got stuck many times. I thought I was going in the river.
- Q And can you tell me when you would go back there to do this process of steaming out the phenol,

Scureman - direct 1 were there any other areas where they were steaming out which then would go on to the ground and into the 2 3 river? 4 You mean in the back by the river front? Yes. Did you have to steam any other 5 6 pipes out back there? 7 No, just in that one area. For some reason they 8 always froze. 9 Now, what about inside the building, was there a process where you would have to steam out lines 10 11 that were inside the building? I never, in the 2,4-D building, I never steamed 12 13 any lines out. 14 What about in the other building? In the other building? 15 Α 16 Q Yes. In the big building? 17 Α 18 0 Yes. 19 Α Yeah, many times. 20 Could you tell me what type of lines you Q would have to steam out there? 21 22 Well, we had caustic in the lines and they used to get plugged. And there was, I forget now, that

phenol we used to have a lot of times.

Q

And when you would steam out lines inside

23

24

- the building, where would that material go after you steamed it out?
 - A On the floor.

- Q And where would it go then?
- A It went in the sewer.
 - Now, during your employment by Diamond, and particularly your employment as a forklift operator, was it necessary for you to bring into the plant raw materials that the plant would then use?
 - A Yeah, I brought all the raw materials in.
 - Q Could you tell me what types of raw materials you would have to bring into the plant as a forklift operator?
 - I brought flake caustic that was made by Diamond from DuPont. I brought in -- no. We used to bring, they used to come in heavy gauge drums. I forget the name of the stuff, very dangerous. You couldn't get no water in it.

And tetrachlorobenzene we used to get, we used to get from Hooker in fiber drums. And we used to store it in drums that we used to get from Hooker that they used to use in the 2,4-D building.

Now, were there ever, do you recall when you used to bring these raw materials in, any complaints by nearby, other manufacturing facilities

that had complaints about your operations when you were bringing these chemicals into the plant?

A The stuff that I brought in in drums and everything?

O Yes.

THE COURT: Just a moment. Mr. Cox?

MR. COX: Your Honor, there has been a

fair amount of leading so far, and I've let some

of it go on. But this seems to me to go

beyond --

THE COURT: I think the question has to be focused on and addressed. I think it's not leading. I don't think it's suggestive of the answer. I'll allow it.

MR. COX: Also I think it calls for hearsay, and I would suggest on the basis of his deposition that perhaps --

THE COURT: We don't know about his deposition because I haven't seen it. But asking if a complaint has been made does not necessarily involve hearsay, if the complaint is made directly to the person.

So I think what we'll ask you is if anybody complained to you about your operations, not complaints that you know indirectly, heard

of through other people. Did anybody complain to you?

THE WITNESS: The one complaint, the drums we used to get from Hooker, we used to have to break them open, I mean, break them on the ground with papers laying because the stuff was so hard. That's the only complaint we had. If you broke the drum, the stuff would come out and you would start burning your face. The other stuff --

THE COURT: You had a complaint in the sense you were worrying about it? I think Mr. Calogero's question to you was: Did any of the neighbors complain to you about the chemicals you were handling?

THE WITNESS: No, not the ones I was using.

THE COURT: Did any neighbors come to you and say: You fellows aren't doing this right, you ought to be cleaning the pipes in a different way or handling the material in a different way?

THE WITNESS: The fellow, when we used to steam the lines by the railroad tracks, when we used to get caustic in, the guy next door from

22

23

24

25

1 Sergeant Chemical, he was always complaining about it. 2 THE COURT: Did he talk to you? 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I was standing there 5 a lot of time. He says: This ain't right. THE COURT: Did he say anything more than 7 that? 8 THE WITNESS: No. He would go see, you know, my boss or the plant manager. He was next 9 10 door. That was Sergeant Chemical. THE COURT: Go ahead. 11 12 And, Mr. Scureman, he would complain about Q the process that you've just described of cleaning out 13 14 the caustic? 15 MR. COX: Objection, your Honor. I mean, 16 are we repeating what he just said? MR. CALOGERO: I'm trying to focus. 17 18 MR. COX: Is he repeating what -- the 19 objection was made by somebody else. 20 It's hearsay. 21

THE COURT: I think the thing is did he complain? All right. Go ahead. Restate the question, please.

Mr. Scureman, what was it that he complained about?

A About the stuff. He had the same railroad tracks next to us, and we used to clean everything there and steam the lines. And the stuff was always on the railroad tracks. And he was always yelling about his men walking in the stuff because he used to get box cars and stuff in there. And he was always complaining that the tracks always had the stuff on it.

Q Now, did he complain on more than one occasion or on a number of occasions?

A He always complained because we were always cleaning railroad cars out there for the 2,4-D.

Q Are you familiar with the Sherwin-Williams plant that used to be next to the 80 Lister Avenue plant?

A Oh, yeah. It's on the west side of the plant.

Now, did you have anything to do with, or did you ever hear directly complaints from people at Sherwin-Williams about the plant operations?

A The only complaint that I know of was that we overflowed DDT solution, high solvent, and it ran down the track. And they came up complaining that the stuff was running in on their property.

Q Did they complain to you?

A The guy came up and he yelled about it. And then I, you know, I told him to go inside. I had told

- 1 him what happened; the tanker overflowed.
- 2 Q And did you see him go inside?
- A He went in the building. I don't know who he saw.
 - Q Now, you've described, or you've testified on a number of occasions to the big building. Did there come a point in time when there was an explosion and that building was destroyed?
 - A Yeah. It was in 1960.
- 10 Q Were you at work that day of the 11 explosion?
 - A No. I was home. It happened on a Saturday. I was home. I was off that day.
 - Q And did there come a point in time when you went to the building or you went to the plant after the explosion?
 - A I heard on the news about the explosion. And I got in my car and went down and I went inside the plant, you know, in the grounds. We couldn't go in the building because the fire department and arson squad was all there.
 - Q What did you observe about the condition of the building when you arrived there?
 - A All the big doors were blown out and all the windows were blown out of building, and the roof had

came down inside the building.

- Q Did you observe any debris in the Passaic River at that time?
- A Not at that time. They wouldn't let nobody go back by the river.
- Q Did there come a time when you were allowed to go back to the river?
- A Well, what they did, they told us all to go home and they contacted us to come back to work. I was one of the first ones to come back because I drove the Hi-Lo.

And there was part of the building that had split on, was on the north corner, northwest corner was laying in the river.

- Description of the pumps, and we built a ramp across the railroad tracks and moved it over. They had a pump across the railroad tracks and moved it over that and the pumps, and we built a ramp across the railroad tracks and moved it over. They had rented a big Hi-Lo to pick up thousand gallon kettles, and I drove that and the other Hi-Lo driver drove the smaller Hi-Lo.
 - Q Now, did there come a point in time when a

- 1 new building was put up on the premises?
- 2 A Yeah. They ripped the old one down because it
 3 was condemned, and they built a new one out by the
 4 river front.
 - Q Are you familiar with the autoclaves that were put in after the 1960 explosion?
 - A Yeah. They put two of them in with walls around them with no top on.
 - Q Now, are you familiar with the rupture disks that used to be attached to those autoclaves?

 A Yeah.
 - Q Now, did you ever observe any material ever come out of those rupture disks that were connected to the autoclaves?
 - A Well, one night I had the job of plowing snow. They gave me the job to get extra overtime, and I was plowing snow, and the police department and the fire department came in.

And there was a big black streak all over the snow and all over Sherwin-Williams' building. And it went all the way out to, I think it's Chapel Street there or Albert Avenue. And it was all over the whole place.

Q Did you observe anything on the -- what could you observe about the Sherwin-Williams' plant at

Scureman - direct 1 that time? 2 The stuff was running, the paint and everything was running off the cars, the paint was running off the 3 cars. Paint was running off Sherwin-Williams' 5 Q building? 6 7 Yeah, the front of the building had this black stuff all over it. 8 What about the cars? 9 The cars, the cars, there was a caddy there. 10 Α 11 The paint was running off the car. Now, did you have an opportunity to ever 12 13 inspect those rupture disks? 14 Α No. Did you ever change any rupture disks on 15 16 autoclaves? No. It wasn't my job. I didn't know nothing 17 18 about them. 19 Did you know where those rupture disks went to from the autoclave? 20 21 Before the explosion or after the explosion? After the explosion. 22 23 After the explosion? Must have went to the A 24 atmosphere because the stuff blew towards me by the

25

building there.

25

1	Q Now, you referred to some damage that was
2	done to cars on this night when it was snowing. Were
3	there any other times when damage was done to cars as a
4	result of something that came out of the Diamond
5	facility?
6	A Well, I had my car painted twice that a rupture
7	disk went, and they painted my car and they painted
8	quite a few other cars.
9	Q When you say that they painted your cars,
10	who are you referring to, Mr. Scureman?
11	A Well, Diamond, I guess, had the insurance
12.	company pay it, paint them.
13	Q Where was your car parked at the time you
14	had this damage?
15	A It was inside next to Tri-Plex. It was a big
16	building, Tri-Plex Oil. And in between Tri-Plex Oil
17	and the dye outfit, there was a parking lot there. You
18	drove on the stone and parked your car in there.
19	Q And could you describe what happened to
20	your car?
21	A I went out to go home and here there were spots
22	all over my car and everybody's cars.
23	Q How many other cars did you observe with
2 4	this condition?

It was quite a few. I didn't know, you know,

Scureman - direct the amount. 1 2 Q Were they all in this parking lot? Yeah. 3 A Now, was there a parking lot that was used 4 by Diamond employees? 5 6 A Yeah. Did you notice any damage to cars outside 7 of that parking lot? 8 9 A No. 10 Now, did there come a point in time, Mr. Scureman, when you had chloracne? 11 12 Yeah, I had gotten it in 19, in the '60s. A And were you treated for your chloracne? 13 Q 14 A Yeah, by Dr. Bleiberg and Dr. Brockin. Now, did Dr. Bleiberg, did you go to visit 15 Dr. Bleiberg in his office? 16 I went up there in Irvington to him, and then 17 Α they brought the two doctors and the nurse down to the 18 19 plant. 20 And did you, were you treated by did Dr. Bleiberg while he was at the plant? 21 22 Yeah. 23 Q And how often would Dr. Bleiberg come to 24 the plant? 25 He'd come on a Thursday, one o'clock.

- Q And you said that he would bring a nurse with him?
- 3 A Yeah, his nurse. I forget her name.
- 4 Q Did the nurse always come with Dr.
- 5 | Bleiberg?

like.

8

- A She only came for awhile. And then she got scared because of what she saw what the fellows looked
- 9 MR. COX: Objection.
- 10 Q And she didn't come after that?
- 11 A No, she refused to come.
- 12 Q Now, were there other people being treated
 13 by Dr. Bleiberg?
 - A Almost everybody in the whole plant.
- Q Were there office workers that were being treated by Dr. Bleiberg?
- 17 A I don't know about the office workers.
- Q Were there any lab people being treated by Dr. Bleiberg?
- 20 A Yeah, there was a fellow in the lab. He had it
 21 all over his face.
- Q Well, could you describe what this condition looked like?
- A Well, you would, it would start under your eyes
 and come in your earlobes. Your earlobes would puff up

and then your face would be all blackheads. And that's what he used to take out of your face. And if you don't get them out, a cyst forms.

- Q When Dr. Bleiberg would come to the plant on Thursdays, with or without his nurse, what treatment did he give to you and the other workers?
- A Well, he used to give me a shot and he would squeeze out with an instrument the blackheads on your face.
- Q Now, Mr. Scureman, did you ever have an opportunity in your employment at Diamond to observe operators that worked in the 2,4-D and 2,4,5-T area of the plant?
- A Yeah, because I used to go in there and bag 2,4-D. And I used to pick up material that they used to put in drums, and 2,4,5-T.
- Q Could you tell me what the procedure was as to how the operators worked in that area of the plant?
- A What do you mean, what they did?
- Q What they did? Could you describe what they used to do?
- A Well, they had, in the center of the building they had a big string filter that was open. And from there they used to take it, and they had a mix tank.

And along the wall they had vessels along, first they had over here a centrifuge. And then they had one, two, I think three kettles.

And then on the other end they had another -that was the 2,4-D. They had a centrifuge there, and
up in the back, which they called nutches, were open
kettles. And they used to shovel the stuff out of the
nutches. And I don't know where they put it from
there. But they mixed the batches there.

From there, they pumped it into the ester room or they dried it and made, you know, it went into bags, went into drums too.

- Q Now, during this process, did you ever have occasion to observe material leaking or spilling out of these equipment?
- A Oh, yeah, it was all over the floor. The pumps all leaked and the autoclaves -- I mean, the centrifuges, they stuck like a son of a B. You had to get away from them when they were running. They were open centrifuges.
- Q Could you tell me how this material was picked up from the floor?
- A Well, they would shovel it up or sweep it up.

 They had what they called dollies. They had dollies

 that sit under the centrifuge. And if the operator

didn't watch when he was filling the dolly, it would overflow on the floor. They use to shovel it up.

- Q Do you recall a individual who used to work at the plant named Bill Mackin?
- A Yeah, I seen him get killed.
- Q What was his position in the plant?

 A He was an operator. He worked in the 2,4-D and the 2,4,5-T unit.
- Q Now, could you tell me what happened to Mr. Mackin?

A Well, he, every morning he came in for years, did the same thing. Under the bottom of the kettle, when you have that dychlorophenol, you have to pre-steam the line so nothing, that it's open when you start pumping. And you have to steam up into the kettle, make sure the discharge valve is open.

And what happened, he's under the kettle, turned the steam on, the manhole cover on top of the kettle was open and he was, and when he turned the steam on, he bumped all the stuff out and it came down all on the sides of the kettle.

When I saw him in there, couldn't get him out, the fumes were so bad that he was sucking them all in his mouth. And when they got him out, he was covered with it.

Q Now, what type of material was this that came out of that kettle?

A It was 2,4-D or 2,4 -- dychlorophenol or whatever they made in the batch there.

THE COURT: What came out on him, a liquid?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, a liquid.

THE COURT: What did it do, burn him?

THE WITNESS: Well, you get so much of that on your body, you're done. It absorbs in your body.

THE COURT: Did he die in front of people, or did they get him to the hospital?

THE WITNESS: He was dying as we were walking him across the yard because nobody knew what to do for him. And we walked him across, and we got him in the shower.

And as soon as we got him in the shower, the stuff caked on his body. It was caking on his body. And his body couldn't breathe. And they right away got him to St. James Hospital, and St. James hospital has a book there. You got to wash the body off when you get there with alcohol. Nobody did it.

THE COURT: You mean nobody at the plant

did because you didn't know --

THE WITNESS: We didn't know what to do for him.

THE COURT: But he got liquid material on him, then it formed a cake?

THE WITNESS: You get that on you, like, dychlorophenol, get it on you, forms, like, a cake on your body.

THE COURT: It was dychlorophenol that got on him?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: And he got the hot vapors. You know, it was unbelievable. The hot vapors was running over the side of the kettle from putting hot steam up through the bottom of the kettle. It bumped over.

Q Now, Mr. Scureman, in your position as a forklift operator, did you ever have to package any drums with any material?

A Yeah. We packaged all the drums that went to Vietnam.

Q Are you referring to the Agent Orange material?

A Yeah. We packaged them outside. They had us

package them outside. We used to lay pallets down and we'd package it all outside.

- Q Where would this material, where were these materials with Agent Orange, how it would be shipped out of the plant after it was packaged?

 A Well, they were in army drums, and they had an orange band around them. And we used to load it in there, and then we used to load them on the trailers.
- Q And could you describe or tell us in what area of the plant these trailers were that you would put the Agent Orange on?
- A Well, we would -- Duralac Chemical, I used to put a trailer and I used to put a trailer by the maintenance shop, anywhere that we could load them at night.
- Q Now, were there any leaks and spills during this process of loading the drums and then loading them on to the trailers?
- A Well, if you didn't watch -- you know, you had a scale. If you didn't watch, if the scale stuck you, would get more in, you'd have to take it out.
 - Q How would you take it out?
- A Well, we had a drum rack and we would put them on a drum rack and go into a pail.
 - Q What would happen to that material then?

- A That material, we would take it and take it back inside, and they would suck it back into the tank.
 - Q Now, Mr. Scureman, have you ever heard of a term that was used at the plant call the scrubber turret acid?
 - A Yes.

Q Could you tell us what that meant?

A Well, they had a scrubber where you put caustic in, a caustic neutralized agent. If you didn't have the right amount of caustic going in, it would be on the acid side.

They had a scrubber on the roof, a homemade scrubber, made out of diamond plate. And you would feed it, you know, spray caustic in and neutralize any acid vapors in there because they, I had to shovel it out in the summer. I shoveled it out many times in the summer. I was on the roof.

- Q When you say it was a homemade scrubber, what do you mean by that?
- A Well, they made, they took diamond plate and they welded the diamond plate together. It was a big scrubber on top of the 2,4-D building.
- Q Did you ever see any material come out of that scrubber?
- A The scrubber, they used to have to change the

diamond plate every once in awhile because it used to fall apart. I didn't actually see it going in the atmosphere, but I had, going in the summer in there, they had a manhole cover on the side. I had to go in there once and shovel it out. And I had gotten chest pains in there and they sent me up the hospital.

Q What was the material that you were shoveling out of that homemade scrubber?

A Well, it was either vapors from the 2,4-D building or the 2,4,5-T. It was, like, crystals in the bottom.

Q Now, Mr. Scureman, while you were employed at the plant, did you ever observe the company's guard inspecting at the Passaic River?

A Yeah.

Q And could you tell me were there any instructions to Diamond employees on what to do when the company's guard would come in with inspectors?

A Well, what they used to -- I only saw them once with a boat. But a lot of times they would come right

into the plant, into the main road with the jeep.

And we had orders, if we saw the jeep, come hurry up, run into the 2,4-D building. Everybody make sure nothing was going to the sewer that wasn't suppose to go to the sewer, because we are were always in the

Q the river?

Scureman - direct yard.

They told us: If you see the jeep coming, you know, company's quard jeep, they would pull right into the yard, try and go right down to the river front and see what you were draining. They would take a sample.

- Now, did you ever see them in a boat on
- I saw them once that I had come early in the morning in to put some stuff away in the river, and the company's guard was there. And they were blocking the holes on all the sewer pipes.
- You just said that you came in early one Q morning to put some stuff into the river?
- Could you tell me what it was that you were putting into the river that morning?
- Well, they had, at the far end where I used to Α put the diamond plates, they had drums there with stuff. And I used to come in early, work overtime to drop it in the river because they didn't want the barges and everything going up and down see us dropping anything into the river.

THE COURT: Who told you to do that? THE WITNESS: The foreman.

THE COURT: How often did you do that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

Yes.

THE WITNESS: Whenever they collected stuff there that they couldn't get rid of.

THE COURT: How often was that? Once a year, once a month?

THE WITNESS: Geez, I couldn't give you the time on that. If they ever had a batch that got fouled up, you know, if they made a bad batch or somebody made a mistake, they had to get rid of it, they didn't want anybody to know. So they used to dump it.

THE COURT: Now, who told you -- what were you told to do when the jeeps came?

THE WITNESS: Told us: If you see the company's guard come in, to try and stop them so they don't go to the river front. And run in the building and the ester room and 2,4-D building and tell them to stop dropping all their stuff to the sewer, close off the valves.

THE COURT: Go ahead, please.

Q This material, Mr. Scureman, that you said was in drums, what type of drums were these?

A Well, some of the drums had Heresite liner.

They were black drums with Heresite liner. What would happen, if they sat too long the Heresite liner would peel, and the drums would start falling apart.

25

1	Q And these were drums that were on the
2	river front?
3	A Yeah. They had them stacked on the river front.
4	Q Now, when you dumped this material into
5	the river, per the instructions of your supervisors,
6	did they give you any instructions as to whether or not
7	to dump the contents in or just to dump the whole drum
8	in?
9	A No, just, I used to take and lay the drum down.
10	And you could put the forks of the Hi-Lo together, and
11	that's to pick it up. And I used to put a valve on it
12	before I laid it down, and I used to let it go into the
13	river.
14	THE COURT: You let the contents go into
15	the river?
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: You didn't throw the drum in?
18	THE WITNESS: No, I never threw any drums
19	in. I got rid of the drums by a garbage man.
20	Q Who was the garbage man?
21	A His name was Toscano.
22	Q Now, what time in the morning would you
23	come in to do this dumping?
24	A Before you know, it was dark.

Q Was that your normal shift that you would

41 Scureman - direct 1 come in? 2 No, no. I started at seven o'clock. Would someone tell you to come in early 3 4 that morning? 5 Oh, yeah. The foreman, Johnny Wolf, would tell A me: Come in and get rid of the drums that's in the 6 7 back. 8 At any point in time when you would come in early to dump this material, how many drums of 9 10 material are we talking about? Well, if they had three or four drums or, you 11 12 know, if a batch fouled up, I don't know how much was in a batch, you know. They would put it in the drums, 13 14 and I think the kettles were about, maybe, five hundred, thousand gallon kettles. But they would put 15 16 it in the drums and we would get rid of it. Now, did you ever notice -- strike that. 17 18 Are you familiar with the DDT portion of the 19 plant? 20 That was in the big building on the west Yeah. side of the building. 21

island in the river.

When the tide used to go down there was like an

see any solid DDT in the Passaic River?

During your time at the plant did you ever

22

23

24

25

A

- Q And did you ever have an occasion to work to take that island out of the river?
- A Yeah, they used to put a rope around you, because I couldn't swim. I was scared to go in there. I'd put a rope around and go down in there and watch the tide and chop the DDT with an ice chopper and put it in drums.
- Now, you've mentioned a garbage man who used to come to the plant named Toscano. Besides the drums that he would take, would he actually take any material out of the plant?
- A Well, there was a lot of stuff left from the explosion that we had stored was 2,4,5,6 that they used to send to Whippany Paper Company. Them drums were falling apart. We had dichlorophenol in drums that had, you know, the drums were falling apart, the tops.

so after the explosion, Ray Guidi, the plant manager, told me to get rid of all of that stuff and give it to Toscano. And I would put the two pallets on his truck and put sand around so it wouldn't run on the street.

- Q Where would you put the sand, Mr. Scureman?
- A I'd put the two pallets. I wouldn't roll the drums off because it was too dangerous because they all

had holes in. And the tops were all being ate away.

And I used to put the sand alongside the pallets so it

wouldn't run on the street. But it would run anyway

because when he'd go over the railroad tracks and go

down out on the street, he would be back in a half hour

and I'd give him more.

- Q And Mr. Guidi told you to get rid of the drums with Mr. Toscano?
- A After the explosion, we had a whole area with all these drums in and the stuff was running all over the ground. We had a doing there once and it burnt the paws on the dog. And he says: Get rid of it. It was all opposite the new maintenance shop.
- Q Tell you to get rid of the dogs or the drums?
- A No, get rid of the drums because it was running down on the tracks. There was tracks there and it was running down on the tracks. There was railroad tracks against the coloring outfit there, and the stuff was running down on the tracks. We kept putting sand there so it wouldn't run, so he says to get rid of it.
- Q Now, these tracks, you said it was next to the coloring outfit. What company was that?
- A The coloring outfit?
 - Q Right.

2.5

- A I don't know the name of the coloring outfit.
 - Q was this on the same side of the plant as Sherwin-Williams or on the other side?
 - A If you were going south, the coloring outfit was on the south end, Sherwin-Williams was on the west side. There was the railroad tracks right here where we used to get stuff from Hooker in, TCB from Hooker, used to come in molten hot on the railroad tracks.
 - When that material would come in from Hooker, was it necessary to keep that material hot until it was actually put into the plant facility?

 A Oh, yeah. When that came in, and if they were going to pump it, they would have to, they had what they called jacketed lines, the lines with jackets on. And they would keep, you had to keep the line hot. You can't let it get cold or the stuff will stop dead right in the line.
 - Q Were there ever times, Mr. Scureman, when that material, because it wasn't kept hot, would get stuck in the lines?
 - A Oh, it happened many a time.
 - Q When that material would get stuck in the lines, what would happen? What would you or some other plant employee have to do?
 - A Well, the maintenance guys would have to take

and disconnect the lines and put a new line in because,
to steam it out. It's solid in the line.

- Q And what would happen to the material that had solidified in the line?
- A They threw the lines away because they, you steamed it, you got burnt. It was TCB from Hooker. They had the top of the railroad car open, and it used to snow out of the railroad car. And they used to put a tarp or something to keep it down from going all over the place because you have to vent it when it's under heat.
- Q What would happen to you if you came in contact with that material?
- A You'd be burnt.
- Q Now, were there ever times when that material escaped this tarp that was over it?
- A It was all over, it was on top, on the railroad car, and we used to have to go there and shovel it up from the ground. When it would come down, so much would be on the ground. We used to shovel it up and take it into the new building where the autoclaves was. They had a storage tank, and we used to take it up on the third floor and dump it in the tank.
- Q Did you ever observe this material going outside of the plant onto neighboring plants?

б

	A	I	don't	understand.	What	đо	you	mean?
--	---	---	-------	-------------	------	----	-----	-------

- Q This TCP material which you just described.
 - MR. COX: Objection, your Honor. I don't believe it has been described as TCP material.

MR. CALOGERO: I meant TC -- I meant TCB.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you go back, restate the question.

- Q This material, Mr. Scureman, what type of material was it?
- A TCB. We used to get it in fiber drums before the explosion. After the explosion they brought it in molten hot.
 - Q This is TCB, B as in boy?
- A Yeah. It came from Hooker.
- Q Did you ever observe that material going onto other plant properties?
- A Well, like I told you, they had heat on the railroad car. Right? And you got to open the manhole cover because you're heating up the car. And what it did, it used to flake out like snowing, went on the ground.
- Q Did you ever observe that going on to the property of Sherwin-Williams?
- A Well, I couldn't tell you if it went on the wall

MAXUS028515

б

on the other side, but it was all over the ground by the wall by Sherwin-Williams. I didn't climb up on the wall to look over the, you know, on the wall.

Q Now, are you familiar, Mr. Scureman, with the area of the plant where 2,4-D and 2,4,5-T acids would be made?

A Yeah. I used to work in there filling drums and bags.

Q Now, did the plant have equipment just for 2.4.5-D -- 2.4.5-T material?

A Yeah. They had the south end. Like I told you, along the wall was 2,4-D, they had a centrifuge and they had two make-up tanks. On the other side was one make-up tank and a centrifuge for the 2,4,5-T. You couldn't mix it together.

Q Were there ever times when equipment for 2,4-D was used to make 2,4,5-T?

A I don't know.

Q Now, did there come a point in time when there was some changes made in the building where this equipment was?

A Yeah. They had a stall, what they call -- it was under pressure. It was all glass. It was 2,4-D. That's the only thing. The glass was all there and they used to run -- the engineers were there. I went

in there when they were first starting it up, and they had plugged lines and everything. We had to unplug the lines. What they did with it from there, I don't know.

- Q Were there any changes made to the roof in that building?
- A Yeah. They, the ventilation was bad because you couldn't breathe in there. There was always fumes and it was always so hot in the building they had raised up the roof.
 - Q Do you recall when that was?
- A I couldn't give you the exact year.
- Q Were there any other changes made at the time that the roof was raised?
- Well, every summer they would shut everything down and they would fix the floor, fix the sewers, because after a year's running, the sewers used to fall apart. And the tanks, they used to change all of the lines and the pumps. That was a regular, like a regular shutdown in the summer, in August.
- Q Why would they change the floors every year?
- A Because of the acid running on the floor ate up the concrete, dissolved the concrete.
- Q Now, Mr. Scureman, are you familiar with the catch basin that used to be on the property?

- A Yeah. They had it outside the boiler room. The boiler room was here. 2,4-D building was over here.

 They had a catch basin right here, and they had steps in it. And they used to catch all the stuff that was going out to the city acid sewer.
 - Q Now, just for point of reference, are you familiar with the stack that used to be on the plant?

 A Yeah.
 - Q Where was this catch basin in reference to that stack?
 - A About 15 feet south of the stack.
 - Q Now, were there ever a time when you would clean out the catch basin?
 - A Well, yeah. The other Hi-Lo driver and I used to shovel it out and put it in drums. We used to put hip boots on.
 - Q Did you ever have occasion to note that material would come out of that catch basin, such as flooding, or any liquids that would flow out of that catch basin?
 - A What do you mean, overflow out of the catch basin?
 - Q Right. Did you ever see anything overflow out of that catch basin?
 - A No, I would never see it overflow. It would be

filled up to the top. What would happen, it would overflow into the other sewer in, what they called it was mother liquor.

If the mother liquor, if they didn't shovel the pit out, the mother liquor would rise, and acid water that was going out to the acid sewer wouldn't drop, you know, so they'd have to shut it down and shovel out the pit.

- Q Now, where was this material coming from that was going into this catch basin?
- A Coming from the 2,4-D building.
- Now, you've referred to the word sewer and you've also referred to trenches. Do you mean two different things when you say those words, or do you mean it the same way?
- The sewer from the building, right, from the centrifuges, right, that stuff would come out, come into the catch tank. From the catch tank, the heavies would sink to the bottom and the water would overflow into the acid sewer. It was an acid brick sewer that they installed.
- Q Now, did you ever observe any trenches going to the river?
- A What do you mean, after they put the catch tank in or before?

25

1	Q Well, at any point in time.
2	A Before they put that catch tank in, everything
3	used to go to the sewer I mean, go to the river.
4	Q Now, were there still trenches that were
5	going to the river during the entire period of time
6	that you were at the plant?
7	A After they put that? I don't remember because I
8	wasn't out on the river front that much after they put
9	that thing in.
10	THE COURT: When did they put the catch
11	basin in?
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know the exact year.
13	THE COURT: Can you estimate it?
14	THE WITNESS: I know it was in February.
15	It was a cold month when they were putting it
16	in.
17	THE COURT: Was it early '60s, late '60s,
18	late '50s?
19	THE WITNESS: Late '50s or '60s, I'm not
20	sure, because the company's guard shut us down,
21	and they had to put it in.
22	MR. CALOGERO: May I proceed, your Honor?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	Q Mr. Scureman, are you familiar with the

warehouse that used to be on the plant premises?

1 A The new warehouse	ouse	wareho	new w	The	A	1
-----------------------	------	--------	-------	-----	---	---

Q Yes.

A That's where I worked, in there. I stored material.

Q What type of operations went on in the warehouse besides any storage of materials?

Well, in that warehouse, we had, what happened, they were bagging the 2,4-D and the 2,4,5-T, and the scale was off. And we had to do, I forget the amount, but it took us a long while. We had to reweigh every bag of 2,4-D in that warehouse.

Q Were there any operations going on in that plant in the warehouse in regard to mixing materials?

A No. The only thing that we did was opened up all the 2,4-D bags and weighed them off and put the right weight in the bags. They only stored in there full drums of finished material. There was nothing else.

MR. CALOGERO: I have no further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any further questions from the defense side? Mr. Cox, are you going to be doing the questions?

MR. COX: Yes.

THE COURT: Fine.

Z 4

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

13

18

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. COX:
 - Q Good morning, Mr. Scureman. My name is Marshall Cox. I represent Diamond Shamrock in this litigation.
 - A Good morning.
 - Q Sir, you talked about dumping drums of material into the river. When did this happen?
 - A What do you mean, what year?
 - Q Yes, sir.
- 10 A Was before the explosion and after the explosion.
- 12 Q And what was in those drums?
 - A Stuff of the batches 2,4-D or the liquid.
- Q Came out of the 2,4-D building?
- 15 A The 2,4-D or 2,4,5-T. They had dichlorophenol
 16 tanks there that they used to store and mix in the
 17 corner of the building there on that north end,
- Q Did anybody else do this but you?

northeast corner. They had a place there.

- A Nick Centanni and myself did it, because we used to take the drums, lay them down and you would have it on the two forks with a valve and you'd let it go. I don't know if operators did it or not, but I know they used to have us do it.
 - Q Who told you to do this?

	Scureman - cross
1	A Johnny Wolf.
2	Q Johnny Wolf is dead, isn't he?
3	A I guess so. He ain't around.
4	Q Did he tell you why you were dumping it in
5	the river?
6	A No. He just told us get rid of the drums.
7	Q Why didn't you put it in the sewer?
8	A Pardon?
9	Q Why didn't you put it in the acid sewer?
10	A We didn't have the acid sewer.
11	Q So this was before the acid sewer was put
12	in?
13	A Yeah.
14	Q Now, the materials, the drums that you
15	sent off with the scavenger Mr. Toscano was his
16	name?
17	A Yeah, he was the garbage man. He took all the
18	garbage and the pipe and everything away.
19	Q Now, those drums, what did he do? Did he
20	empty those and bring them back?
21	A No. He dumped the drums and all. He used to be
22	back in a half hour.
23	Q And why did he dump the drums?
24	MR. CALOGERO: Objection, your Honor.
25	Q Was the material I'll withdraw the

l question.

THE COURT: Okay. It's being changed.

- Q The material that he was dumping, it was solid, wasn't it?
- A Unt-uh. If it was in the summer, the stuff would start oozing out of the drums. If it was in the winter, the stuff would be solid because if it sat in the sun, it started to loosen up. And in the winter it was solid in the drums.
 - O And what was this stuff?
- A Like I told you, they made 2,4,6 for Whippany Paper Company. If Whippany Paper ordered the stuff, we would put it in the drums. And if they didn't come for the drums, they were Heresite liner, you would have to get rid of the drums because the Heresite liner would peel off. And the drum would start getting hot in there and bulge and pick the center of the top of the drum up, would bulge and start eating the drum.
- Q And 2,4,6 was dichlorophenol product?

 A It was made, it was chlorinated in the new building there. After the explosion, we had it stored by the new locker room.
- Q But it wasn't a T product. It was not a T product, it was a D product. Is that right?
- 25 A D, D.

1	Q	Now, what	else did you,	what other	drums
2	did you load	to send o	ut with Mr. To	scano?	

- Well, the drums that they gave us out of that building and any other drums, it was fiber drums we had stuff in. I don't know what was in them. But the stuff that was in the steel drums was dangerous because you used to have to use rubber gloves, and they'd tell you: Don't get it on you.
 - Q But that was the dichlorophenol?
- A Yeah, that came out of that building there.
- Q Now, the pipes that would freeze up, they were caustic pipes largely?
- A There was a lot of caustic pipes, and what was being pumped from the autoclaves in that unit in the center, in the back would go through there. If the tracers or anything were off, the lines would freeze up. You would have to steam them out.
 - Q And did you steam them out?
- A Yeah, I used to get up, Nick used to raise me up with a pallet up on the top, and we'd break the line and steam them out with the Hi-Lo.
- Q And what was that material when you did that when you were up there?
- A The stuff coming from the autoclaves which was what was made in the autoclaves.

1	Q What time of year was this?
2	A In the winter. You never had much problem in
3	the summer. It was mostly in the cold weather.
4	Q And this was in the old building?
5	A Yeah, this was the old building.
6	Q Did that happen with the new building?
7	A In the new building, they put all the lines on,
8	on the racks and it was made different. It was ran a
9	different way.
10	Q The sewers that were running to the river,
11	they were closed off and then the acid sewer was
12	connected to the plant. Is that correct?
13	A Yeah, they shut down the building and they hired
l 4	outside contractors. And they worked around the clock,
15	and they put an acid brick sewer in. Everything was
16	acid brick.
17	Q Then process waters didn't go to the
L 8	A I didn't see anything after that. Most of it
19	all went to that catch tank where they used to catch
20	everything.
21	Q That's after the sewer went in?
22	A Yeah.
23	Q You're suing Diamond Shamrock too, aren't
24	you, Mr. Scureman?
5	h Sura

24

25

You're a plaintiff in a lawsuit against 1 Diamond Shamrock? 2 3 Yes, I have chloracne and I have trouble with my legs. 5 MR. COX: No more questions, your Honor. THE COURT: Anything further on the 6 7 defense side? Nothing. MR. CALOGERO: No, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: You may step down, then, Mr. Scureman. Who is the next witness that you 10 11 have? 12 MR. MOSER: Mr. Burton, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Burton? Would you come on 13 14 up, please. 15 16 17 18 J O H N B U R T O N, called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants, being duly sworn, testifies as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MOSER: THE COURT: Mr. Burton, you're sitting 21 22 there in a way the sun is hitting you in the face. You can change the position of your desk 23

or the chair or both.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I have a little

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

impairment. I have trouble hearing you.

THE COURT: Oh. You're sitting in the sunlight there. But the chair you're sitting in can be moved around to avoid the sun. You can move the desk too. So don't get bothered by the sun. Just make yourself comfortable.

Go ahead, please, Mr. Moser.

- Q Good morning, Mr. Burton. Could you tell us where you now reside? Where do you now live?
- A In Washington, New Jersey.
 - Q What is your current employment?
- 12 A Retired.
 - Q Can you tell us what your educational background is since you got out of you high school?

 A I had a Bachelor of Science degree in chemical engineering in 1936.
 - Q From what University?
 - A At that time it was Rhode Island State College.

 Now it's the University of Rhode Island.
 - Q Would you tell us what your employment has been since you left college?
 - A You mean each one step by step?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A First U. S. Rubber Company in Naugatuck,
 25 Connecticut, the Charles Pfizer Company in Brooklyn.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q Let me stop you. What did you do at U. S. Rubber?
 - A I had a variety of jobs. I was sort of in standby for an opening in chemical engineering. It had to do with testing fabrics and testing the rubber compounding.
 - A First I worked in the laboratory testing the finished products. Then I worked in the plant in chemical control in the citric acid department as controlling the, making tests to control the chemical operations.
 - Q Where was the Pfizer plant located?
- 14 A In Brooklyn.
- 15 Q How long did you work there?
- 16 A About a year and a quarter.
- Q What was your next employment?
- 18 A In Hayden Chemical.
- 19 Q Where was that located?
- 20 A Garfield, New Jersey.
- 21 Q What were your responsibilities there?
- 22 A First, I worked several months in their research
- 23 laboratory. Then I had charge of a production
- 24 department, and at times I did various engineering
- projects in addition to my normal production

Burton - direct 1 responsibility. 2 All right. How long were you at Hayden Q Chemical? 3 I'd say maybe three years. I'm not certain of 4 5 that. Were you always in the same plant while 6 you were at Hayden Chemical? 7 Yes, except the next, I worked for Cherokee 8 Ordinance Works, which was, in effect, operated by 9 10 Hayden Chemical for the Ordinance Department during 11 World War II. What did you do there? 12 13 Α I was production manager. 14 All right. 15 I designed the process equipment and supervised A its installation, and then supervised the production 16 17 during the period I was there. 18 What was being produced? 19 Α Hexamethylamine. What did you do after you left Hayden 20 Q Chemical? 21 Well, after I left Cherokee Ordinance Works, I 22 went to work for a Hayden plant in Princeton. I'm not 23 sure. I think this operated under Hayden's name. I'm 24 not sure what official name it had. This was for 25

Burton - direct manufacturing penicillin and I was assistant plant 1 manager there, and somewhere between six months and a 2 3 year, I don't remember the exact time. All right. What was your next employment after that? 5 Robenhaus Company in Bristol, Pennsylvania. 6 A What did you do there? 7 8 I was assistant supervisor of one of the production departments. 9 10 What was being produced? This department I had produced so many things, I 11 couldn't begin to remember the name of them. 12 Fair enough. How long were you there? 13 Two or three months. 14 A 15 And where did you go next? J. T. Baker Chemical in Phillipsburg, New 16 17 Jersey. 18 What was your job at J. T. Baker? I was chief engineer of the organic division, 19 20 and then at some point I was also put in charge of the production operations in the organic division. 21 22 What was J. T. Baker producing? Well, I think you mean what was produced in my 23 24 division. All right. 25

mentioned.

- A Because the inorganic division, which I had no connection with, produced a variety of chemicals.
- Q What was produced in your division?
 - A We produced DDT, 2,4-D, benzene hexachloride, grouton and some other more or less complex pharmaceuticals whose names I can't offhand remember. But I was mainly concerned with the chemicals I just
 - Q Did your job include supervising DDT production?
 - A Yes. Well, indirectly. I had a foreman directly supervising it.
 - Q All right. Did J. T. Baker make 2,4-D during the entire time you worked there?
 - A No. While I was there we developed the process, the laboratory put in a pilot plant unit and then put in a full scale production unit.
 - Q Were you involved in that development process?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What was your job? What role did you play in that process?
 - A Well, actually, I came into it when it was in the, going from the pilot plant stage to the full scale plant. I designed the full scale plant and supervised

Burton - direct its installation and supervised the production in it. 1 Now, I take it there came -- did there 2 0 3 come a time when J. T. Baker produced 2,4-D? A Yes. And for how long did J. T. Baker do so? 5 Well, what we produced and what we called the б A 7 pilot plant was actually production since it was sold. The total time, I'd say from one to two years. 8 9 All right. Did --10 Maybe less than that. A 11 Did 2,4-D production cease while you were at J. T. Baker? 12 13 A Yes. 14 Q And --15 THE COURT: What were your years of employment at, what were your years of 16 employment at J. T. Baker? 17 THE WITNESS: I left there about the first 18 19 of 1949, and I was there three or four years. So it would be around 1945 to 1949, but I'm not 20 21 precise on those days. THE COURT: This J. T. Baker plant is the 22 23 plant right on the Delaware River, is it not? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's in Phillipsburg.

THE COURT: And it's on the river, is it

not?

THE WITNESS: Well, we had access to the river. I'm not quite sure if we owned the property between the plant and the river or not. I don't remember that. But I remember our effluent went to the river and we had access back and forth between the river, so I guess we owned the property in between.

THE COURT: Go ahead. I note I happen to be familiar with the plant because Warren County used to be in this judicial district, and we had a very bad strike there some years back, I was involved in the case and I had to go up there to see it. Go ahead, please.

- Q At some point during that period of time you were at J. T. Baker did 2,4-D production cease?

 A Yes.
- Q And what was your understanding about why production ceased?
- Well, I knew very precisely because I remember it very clearly. We were, the chlorophenol effluent -- Well, just to be clear, maybe I have to add something. We purchased chlorophenols for the 2,4-D process and purchased them in a fairly high degree of purety. So the amount of chlorophenol effluent we had was much

less than normal in a 2,4-D plant. But nevertheless, we had some chlorophenols in the effluent and also we had a recycling step in the process. But still we had some chlorophenols in our effluent.

And I remember meeting with a representative of the New Jersey, I presume the Health Department or something associated with that, and trying to get a tolerance for how much chlorophenols would be allowed to go into the river, and he said it was absolutely zero. And we couldn't operate with a zero tolerance so we shut the plant down.

THE COURT: Let me just point out for the benefit of the rest of you, the Delaware River at Phillipsburg is a very different river from the Passaic River at Newark. At that point it's essentially a lovely, rural stream and has, indeed today, a very substantial recreational and fishing use.

So I would not be surprised that the public authorities would take a much sterner view of what could be done to the Delaware River at Phillipsburg as compared to what might be done to the Passaic River in Newark in the, even in the 1940s. Go ahead, please.

Q After you left J. T. Baker, what was your

Burton - direct 1 next employment? 2 Crown Chemical Company in Ridgefield, New Jersey. 3 All right. And what did you do there? They were producing die intermediates. 5 Α How long were you at Crown Chemical? 6 Oh, I'd quess about six months. 7 A 8 And after that where did you work? I can't remember the precise name of the 9 Α company, but it had something in the line of organic 10 11 chemicals, but I don't remember the precise name in 12 Sayerville, New Jersey. 13 Was it Wilson? 14 Oh, yes, Wilson Organic. Α 15 What was your job at Wilson Organic? 16 Production manager. Α 17 What did Wilson Organic produce? 18 Die intermediates. 19 All right. After you left Wilson, where Q did you go to work? 20 Kolker Chemical Company -- Kolker Chemical 21 22 Works, I think it was called. 23 Where was Kolker Chemical Works located?

80 Lister Avenue, Newark.

THE COURT: When did you start there?

24

25

Α

1	THE WITNESS: September, 1949.
2	Q And what was your job at Kolker?
3	A Well, when I went there, I went there as chief
4	engineer, and then at some point, supervision of the
5	laboratory was added to that, and then at some point
6	management of production was added to that.
7	So actually during the Kolker period, I ended up
8	as plant manager and chief engineer and laboratory
9	supervisor, which was really total plant manager's job.
10	Q What was being produced at Kolker when you
11	started?
12	A We produced DDT, 2,4-D, and I say 2,4-D, I mean
13	2,4-D in the form of various esters and formulations of
14	it. Another small product we called cable compounds.
15	I think that's all.
16	Q Were you producing 2,4,5-T?
17	A No.
18	Q Were you producing TCP?
19	A No.
20	Q Did there come a time when Kolker began
21	producing TCP?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What was your role in the process by which
24	Kolker came to produce TCP?
25	A Well, when I came there, they had one chemist

who was doing laboratory experimental work with a small autoclave on the product of trichlorophenol and I supervised his work, and when it was completed so that we had enough data for a plant design, I designed the plant, installed or supervised the installation of equipment and the start up of the unit for making TCP.

A You know, I'm not sure now at this point in the direction of describing which is north and south. But facing the river, it would be on the right-hand side in the corner next to the river, inside the main building.

And where was the TCP unit located?

- Q Now, did there come a time when Diamond acquired the Kolker plant?
- A Yes.
- Q And what was your role after Diamond's acquisition?
- A I was plant manager.
- Q What were your duties as plant manager after Diamond's acquisition?
- A Well, supervising everything that went on at the plant, although the office supervision was sort of divided. Nominally, they reported to me, but also the office managers to some degree reported directly to Cleveland.
 - Q To whom did you report?

A I reported to, I'm not sure his title, what his title was, but it was in technical the production supervisor for the chlorinated products division.

- O Where was his office?
- A In Cleveland.
 - Q Who was the highest ranking person at the Lister Avenue plant?
 - A Myself.
 - Q Did anyone at the plant have greater authority or responsibility than you with respect to any matters?
- A No.

THE COURT: When did you become the plant manager?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember the specific time, but sometime during the Kolker supervision, I sort of moved progressively upward. But I remember when Diamond came in that they, Diamond's supervisor, Mr. Guisinger said: Well, you were plant manager for Kolker, but I don't know if you're qualified for us. So that's why I remember that particular incident.

THE COURT: Go ahead, please, Mr. Moser.

Q And were you plant manager -- question withdrawn.

For what period of time were you the plant manager under Diamond at the Newark site?

- A From some date when Diamond bought the plant, which I believe was September 1951 according to my notes, until February 20, 1960.
- All right. Who, in your judgment, would be more knowledgeable than you about the operations of the plant over the period from 1951 to 1960?

A Well, in the total aspect of it, no one, although, for example, Mr. Scureman told some details that reminded me of things that I had forgotten. So for particular details, other people would know more than myself.

employment background has been, after you left Diamond in 1960, can you tell us what your employment was?

A Well, immediately after I left Diamond, I joined Kolker -- no, went under the name Doremus Chemical Company. Two names. One was Doremus Chemical. I think it was an associated name. In effect, they were one company on Doremus Avenue in Newark owned by Lee Kolker.

- Q And for how long did you work there?

 About nine months.
 - Q And thereafter what was your employment?

A

- A I worked as a free-lance consulting engineer from then until I retired.
 - Q And when, what date do you use as the date on which you retired?
 - A There's no specific date because I sort of wound down by degrees.
 - Q All right.
 - A More or less 1978, but not precisely.
 - Q All right. So from from 1961 to 1978, you engaged in this consulting work. Could you just give us a few examples of the types of consulting work you did over that period of time?
 - A Well, I did, actually, a wide variety. I set out to be a consultant on small chemical plant management, which I thought I had expertise in, but I found I couldn't sell that and, well, for example, Montross Chemical was also on Lister Avenue.

I worked on and off for them on a variety of jobs which included when they had, wanted to install the equipment for a new process, I supervised the purchase and installation of equipment.

Another case, they wanted to do pilot plant work on a projected new process and I designed and installed and supervised the pilot plant operation.

Another case, they lost their plant manager and

I pinch hit as plant manager for six months or so until they located a new one. They had some engineering projects for other companies and I carried those out for them. They put in an installation for making a new chemical that was new to them. It didn't work out successfully and I went in to solve the problem and get it corrected and running properly.

On my own -- Well, other than those types of things, the only other type of thing I did mainly was designing some chemical plant, for example, designed the, or furnished the process design for a 2,4-D plant in Mexico.

Q All right.

A I think that covers all the types of things I did.

Q Could you just identify for us some of the companies for whom you consulted over that period of time?

A Well, in New Jersey there was Essex Chemical,
Mobile Chemical, Missouri Chemical, Chase Chemical, in
New York; there was W. R. Grace, Syracuse University
Research Corporation, the Pennsylvania Lebenon
Chemical; in Missouri, Thompson-Hayward. Tell me when
to stop.

Q Go ahead.

A Thompson Chemical in Missouri, Sanford Chemical in Texas, Thompson, Sterns, Roger in Denver;
Interprovincial Coroperatives in Canada, Polaquimia Mexico, Inquiport in Venezuela, Sharoft Limited in India, there was a company in Turkey whose name I can't remember, and a company in Brazil whose name I can't remember.

There were probably others. That's all I can think of offhand.

THE COURT: Mr. Moser, we'll stop at this point. We'll take a break for ten minutes.

(A recess is taken.)

THE COURT: Mr. Burton remains on the stand under oath. You may continue, Mr. Moser.

MR. MOSER: Thank you, your Honor.

Q Mr. Burton, directing your attention now to the time you were at the Diamond plant from 1951 to 1960, what was your understanding at that time about what discharges, if any, were permissible to the river?

A Well, I knew that at the beginning, I don't know as I knew the precise rule, but I knew that, generally speaking, any substantial quantity of acids or any substantial quantity of acids or any substantial quantity of any chemicals was not permitted.

And why were you concerned about that?

l Why did you make it your business to know that?

- A Because we were putting in substantial quantities of acids and various organic chemicals.
- Q Do you have an estimate as you sit here today of the number of chemicals that Diamond was discharging to the river in 1951?
- A You mean at the point when Diamond bought the plant?
- Q Let's take the next year or two. Can you estimate for us what kinds of, how many chemicals were being discharged?
- Well, from the 2,4-D unit, there would be various chlorophenols, relatively small quantities of 2,4-D acid, larger quantities of 2,4,5 -- I'm sorry, 2,6-D-acid. It was the same as 2,4 acid but 6 in place of the 4, a by-product. Caustic soda, sulfuric acid, muriatic acid, DDT.

THE COURT: Did you say DDT?

THE WITNESS: DDT.

- A Probably relatively small quantities of monochlorobenzene and probable, but I'm not certain of this, larger quantities of I'm not sure of the proper chemical name. I call it monochloricbenzene sulfonic acid. Shortened monochloricbenzene.
 - In terms of quantity, those would be the --

Well, about that time, I don't know precisely when we began to produce miticide which would give a sulfuric acid as a fairly substantial by-product.

Q Now, are all of those products you've just identified products that were being discharged to the river in the period 1951 and going forward?

MR. SPIVAK: Objection.

THE COURT: What's the objection?

MR. SPIVAK: Because the question was in the next year or two, when he first asked in 1951.

MR. MOSER: Question withdrawn.

THE COURT: Why don't you restate it.

Q You've just described for us some chemicals that were being discharged from the plant in the year or two following 1951. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, which of those chemicals were not continuing to be discharged between, let's say, 1952 to 1956?

MR. SPIVAK: The same objection. The difference, slightly different. The question was to the river. Now the question is:

Continued to be discharged.

THE COURT: I think he means to the river.

MR. MOSER: I accept that correction.

THE COURT: You indicated what went into the river the first couple of years. After the first two years what chemicals were discharged into the river, if any, during the time you were the plant manager?

THE WITNESS: Well, the same ones as I just mentioned. I'm sorry, we're going to 1956 now?

Q Let's go to 1956.

A Well, I'm sorry. I forgot even in the first list, I forgot TCP. So there would be, if I can go back and add to that first list.

O Yes.

A TCP and associated chlorophenols, not specifically the 2,4,5, but related chlorophenols that is related trichlorophenols. And probably very small quantities of 2,4,5-T acid. And I think I included sulfuric acid in the first list.

Q Just so the record is clear, from 1951 to 1956 did you drop any of the chemicals off that list, that is, were there chemicals that were ones being discharged that before 1956 ceased to be discharged?

A No.

Q Now, perhaps you could tell us -- question

l withdrawn.

You indicated earlier that you understood is such discharges to the river to be illegal. If you knew that, why did you do it?

A we had no choice. We wanted to keep the plant operating, plus the fact in terms of let's say the common practice of the day, particularly in relation to the Passaic River, the only violation that concerned me from other than a legal point of view was the chlorophenols.

Q Why did that concern you?

A Because chlorophenols themselves are toxic and as we normally discharge them into the river -- Well, actually we changed. When I came there, we were discharging most of the chlorophenols into the river as liquid chlorophenols which would solidify in the river, actually congeal in the river immediately outside the plant.

so what we discharged after that was a solution of the sodium salt of phenols which would be water soluable, therefore, it would be disbursed throughout the Newark bay area. And even though in greatly diluted forms it would be fairly innocuous, I was always a little concerned about what might -- people are getting

Burton - direct 1 exposed to it in some form, although at that time there was no swimming in that whole area. 2 At the time did there exist the 3 technological ability to avoid discharging 4 5 chlorophenols to the river? Well, there existed the technological ability to 6 7 destroy substantially all of the by-product chlorophenols. But when you say eliminate, meaning one 8 hundred percent as we had to do in the case of the 9 Delaware River, I would say no. 10 Did you use the technology that existed? 11 Question withdrawn. 12 Did you use the technical technology you just 13 described to reduce the amount of chlorophenol 14 15 discharges? 16 No. A Now, did your superiors in Cleveland know 17 Q that the plant was engaged in these illegal discharges? 18 19 MR. SPIVAK: Objection, your Honor, as 20 phrased. THE COURT: Well, did you, was it your 21 perception that the discharges that you were 22 making to the river from 1951 until 1956 were 23 24 illegal?

THE WITNESS:

25

I knew they were illegal.

THE COURT: You knew they were illegal.

Did you communicate that to your superiors in

Cleveland?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember specifically, but if you -- if I could sort of put this in context of the situation.

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: My superior in Cleveland changed from time to time, but was usually a chemical engineer. The manager of the chlorinated products division, that is, his superior, was to my knowledge always a chemical engineer. And without knowing the details of what was going on at Newark, they couldn't have avoided not knowing the fact that all our waste chemicals went to the river.

THE COURT: Why do you say that?

THE WITNESS: Well, just the elementary knowledge of the process, there had to be a lot of bi-products of this, and also there was a lot of, as I said, I don't remember any specific discussion, but from time to time there were discussions of the products of not being able to sell our muriatic acid, for example. Obviously, what we didn't sell had to go to the river.

Everyone --

THE COURT: Let me just stop you there.

You say, "obviously, it had to go to the river."

Why couldn't it have been disposed of in some

other way other than going to the river, a toxic

waste dump?

THE WITNESS: It's a logical question from you. A logical question to an engineer familiar with muriatic acid would know the only way you could dispose of it then would be to purchase special tank trucks or tank cars which would have to be glass lined, hauled off that way.

The question then would be: Where would you haul it to? You can't put it in drums because it's too corrosive. Well, I'm sorry. I take it back. You could, it could be neutralized. It couldn't be disposed of as such but the practical way to dispose of it would be to neutralize it with caustic soda.

THE COURT: And then, after you neutralized it with caustic soda what safe way would you dispose of it?

THE WITNESS: Then you would end up with salt, common table salt.

THE COURT: How do you know that the people in Cleveland didn't think you were doing that?

THE WITNESS: Well, for one thing they
were familiar with our -- See, every month, one
thing that Cleveland was good on was cost
control. And our main cost was raw materials.

raw material was used in each product on a net inventory basis. And these were always a subject of discussion. Well, between me and my boss. And then we had quarterly meetings where the top management, that is, the president of the company and vice-president and other officials, the director of engineering and so on, went to each plant and the plant manager, then all the plant managers would make reports and these kind of things.

As I said, I can't remember the specifics, but just a common subject of discussion. And our production reports would show, for example, that our 2,4-D efficiency converting phenol to 2,4-D acid would be on the order of 60 to 70 percent. And any chemical engineer knows well there's another 30 percent. Where did it go?

THE COURT: Why would he -- What would there be in the reports that would lead him to conclude it wasn't being disposed of other than to the river?

THE WITNESS: Well, because, for example, one of the key costs in this plant, one of our key products was 2,4-D acid. The chief cost item in making 2,4-D acid was phenol and the figure for consumption of phenol to 2,4-D was, just happened to be the first number on the cost sheet for 2,4-D.

THE COURT: Are you telling me that just by looking at the cost they would have had to figure you were dumping?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, the first column was pounds of phenol used per pound of 2,4-D.

Then after that was, further along the sheet was costed out. The first was pounds of phenol per pounds of 2,4-D and that number is self-evident to a chemical engineer as showing there was a big loss.

THE COURT: Well, it would be self-evident that there was a big loss, but why would it be self-evident that there wasn't some recovery of the waste and proper disposal of it?

THE WITNESS: Well, from the magnitude of the production, it would be I think pretty obvious we weren't going to be carrying it off in drums as we did minor refuse. And for the nature of chlorophenols there's just no place to put it.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SPIVAK: Your Honor, I would submit that your original question to the witness has not been answered, which was: How did they know it was illegal?

THE COURT: Well, that may be. You're certainly welcome to explore that.

MR. CUYLER: I submit that everybody is charged with knowledge of the law.

THE COURT: Well, not necessarily so in some technical regulations.

THE WITNESS: May I add a point on that?
THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: The only specific time I remember was someone from Diamond headquarters, I don't remember his name or his specific title, but he had, Diamond had pollution problems with various plants. There were six plants in our division. He had some rule in the general

problem of pollution. He came down, looked at the Passaic River.

I remember standing on the riverbank with him and we were discussing muriatic acid. He said: You don't have to worry about it. The volume of flow in this river is such that it will be dissipated and it won't be noticed.

So at least to that extent, someone from headquarters -- Well, I guess that didn't necessarily involve a violation of law. But at least specifically knew that there was a problem with muriatic.

THE COURT: Go ahead, please, Mr. Moser.

- Q You mentioned that during this period of time Diamond had problems with other plants. What other plants were you aware of that Diamond had pollution problems in?
- A The only one I remember, my impression is they had several, but the only one I remember very specifically was the Greens Bayou plant where they were making DDT.
 - Q What was the problem there?

 MR. SPIVAK: Objection, your Honor. This
 calls for hearsay.

THE COURT: We don't need to get into

that. It does call for hearsay.

MR. CUYLER: It goes to the state of mind Diamond Shamrock. If this man knew about it it had to be known by others within the company. And we will be putting in articles later on the specific problem at Greens Bayou which paralleled exactly the problems that they had at Newark. And the problem is they did something at Greens Bayou while they didn't do anything at Newark.

MR. SPIVAK: It's still hearsay no matter how you slice it.

THE COURT: Well, it may not be.

How did you know anything about what was going
on at the Greens Bayou plant?

THE WITNESS: I remember it being discussed at one of these quarterly meetings I mentioned before because the plant manager often would bring up problems and the Greens Bayou plant had an interesting description of his problem with monochloribenzene and the effluent, and it was also sort of a standing joke about how many times he was threatened to be put in jail.

THE COURT: He discussed the problems in

your presence, the manager of the Greens Bayou plant?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Did the manager of the Greens
Bayou plant discuss his problems in your
presence?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead, please.

Well, the Greens Bayou plant, unlike the Newark plant, was in effect built in the country, at the time was built with nothing but prairie around it. But the liquid effluent from that went to the Houston ship channel which was, I don't know, a mile or I think more away. And had to go through an open ditch. And the only chemical plant that fed into that ditch was the Greens Bayou DDT plant.

And at some point, and this would be I think in the last part of the '50s, they became, they began very strict checking on the, what was going into the Houston ship channel.

And the reason I remember it, his description was that the inspector would put gold fish in this ditch that led from the Greens Bayou plant to the ship channel. If the gold fish died that was self -- that

solved the case as far as his position.

They did take several steps to reduce the effluent by neutralizing the muriatic acid, actually sulfuric acid, not muriatic was this effluent. To eliminate traces of monochloribenzene for practical purposes was impossible.

Q By the way, did people from Cleveland ever visit the plant during the time you were plant manager? A My supervisor probably visited on an average of maybe every two months. Other people at times, one point in the late 1950s, the question came up about our 2,4-D efficiency, if we couldn't improve the process to reduce the cost and several -- Well, I say several. I guess it was only two engineers from Cleveland spent a couple of months here studying the process.

As I say, once -- Well, it wouldn't be once a year in our case. Well, I think it seemed to me it worked out about once a year the general managers of the company came on one of these quarterly visits, although maybe it wasn't actually once a year.

Q When your supervisor visited the plant did you make any effort to disguise where the plant's effluents went?

A No. We always had -- Well, at these quarterly meetings we always had a campaign beforehand to make

sure that things were washed and painted to look well.

But I can't remember anything we ever did in connection

with the effluent itself.

Q Did you ever try to hide from him the fact that the effluent went to the river?

A No.

Q When those engineers were there for a couple of months did you make any effort to hide from them?

A I'm sorry?

Q You said there were some engineers that came in for a couple of months who were studying a particular process. While they were there did you make any effort to hide from them where the effluents went?

THE COURT: You're shaking your head.

Q You have to answer so the reporter can take it down.

A Oh, no.

Q When the general manager visited did you make any effort to hide from him where the effluents went?

A No.

Q Directing your attention to the period in the 1950s when you were at the plant, am I correct that there was, there were at least two buildings, one

referred to as the main building and one referred to as the 2,4-D building?

A Right.

- Q Directing your attention to the main building, can you describe for us what the drainage and discharge system was from the main building?
- A Before or after the sewer connection?
 - Q Let's go 1951 to 1956.
- A well, I don't remember the details of the piping, except I remember running down the, at one point we redid the floor and I remember putting in, pitching the floor toward the center of the building so that running lengthways of the building at right angles to the river was a drainage trench to which basically everything in the 2,4-D building went. And that in turn fed from a pipeline into the river. There may have been some other draining lines directly into the river although I don't remember any of them.
- Q Let me direct your attention to the main building. What was the drainage system in the main building?
- A In the end of the main building nearest to the river, again, at some time we resurfaced the floors and put in some of these small open drainage trenches covered with grating for safety so that we could wash

the floors into these, and these, in turn, led to a pipe which led to the river. I believe, as I remember, most, I know we had several pipes projecting out from the river bulkhead and I believe most of the individual sources of effluent each had its individual pipe that was connected to it that led to the river.

- Q What was made in the main building?
- A What was made in there?
 - Q Yeah, what were the products, processes that went on in the main building?
 - A Trichlorophenol, chloral, DDT, miticide, monochloricacetic acid, what we called compound 923. I can give you its chemical name if you want. Benzenes and some of these were added during the latter part. Benzene sulfinyl chloride. Benzene sulfonamide, and smaller quantities of some compounds related to benzene sulfinyl chloride that I don't remember the specifics on but they're relatively small in quantity. I mentioned DDT, didn't I?
 - Q Yes, you did.
 - A And hexachlorobenzene. That's all I can think of offhand.
 - Q Could you give us a brief description of the TCP process?
 - A Well, the heart of it was an autoclave, an

agitated jacketed vessel. To this we charged tetrachlorobenzene, methanol and caustic soda and heated it with agitation for a number of hours. Then cooled it, distilled off the unreacted methanol, condensed it and saved it for recycling.

Q That's the methanol?

A That's the methanol. Well, actually, we had two totally different processes. From this point on up to this point they were the same. In 1954 or thereabouts, we changed the process from there on.

Q Let's describe the one that existed from '51 to '54 from this point forward.

A Right. And in that process after we distilled off the methanol, we diluted the batch with water, transferred it to another tank where we further diluted it with water, added sulfuric acid, which caused the trichlorophenol itself to precipitate as a solid material. We filtered that trichlorophenol, put it in containers as a solid material and transported it over to the 2,4-D building. The filtrate from the filtration which discarded.

Q When you say the filtrate was discarded, where did it go?

A To the river.

Q And what was your understanding at the

time about the legality of that discharge?

A I'm sorry?

river.

Q What was your understanding at that time about the legality of that discharge to the river? Was it legal or illegal?

A If I could explain there a bit. When the Kolkers left, I knew that gross pollution was illegal. And at some point, and I don't know exactly when, but probably not too long after, we began to have inspections by the Passaic Valley Sewage Commission and I understood from him that the regulations were quite strict on almost any pollution.

so that at some point probably in the 1954 or thereabouts, I would have known that the amount of chlorophenols and the effluent from the trichlorophenol unit was illegal.

- Now, during this process, the TCP process
 that you just described, what happened to any product
 which spilled or leaked onto the floor?

 A Well, it would be washed into these small
 ditches I described and washed, ultimately, to the
- Q Now, you indicated to us that in 1954 the process changed somewhat. Can you describe for us what the change was to the process?

A Under the new process, the finished batch after distilling off the methanol was transferred to what we called the steam stripper and further diluted with water further and steam blown through it either by direct injection plus heating with a steam coil so that the unreacted anisole was distilled over.

The anisole was, came over as a mixture of anisole and water. The water layer was discarded to the river. The anisole was saved for recycling to future batches. The product in this case was the sodium salt, was a water solution of the sodium salt of trichlorophenol which we transferred by pumping to the 2,4-D building.

Q You said that the water was discarded to the river. Mechanically, how did the water get to the river?

A Well, in this case the collection vessel, with a separation made, was about 15 feet above the, was located actually the end of the building nearest the river and about 15 feet high so it was simply a pipeline drop from there to the river.

Q What was your understanding about the legality of that discharge?

A By that time I would be quite sure that I understood that was a volume big enough to be illegal.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

0 Now --

2 A
3 b
4 w
5 v
6 t

A I'm not certain about that as I think about it because as far as we knew in this material in this water layer, I might be concerned, concerning the volume and the amount of material in it, I might have thought that would have passed. Although later I found out that it wouldn't.

Q Were there, was finished TCP stored in any tanks? Finished TCP, how was it kept?

A That would be a step up from the steam stripper. We had I think a 20 thousand gallon steal tank where we stored the sodium trichlorophenol solution.

Q Where were those tanks located?

A That tank was in the main building.

Q And was it, was the tank ever cleaned?

A I know it was cleaned. I don't remember how often, but it was a particularly messy job cleaning it. So that sticks in my mind.

0 How was it cleaned?

A Well, it would be pumped as empty as possible. Then it would be given a water wash, and then finally had to take off the manhole cover and go in and physically shovel out the contents.

Q And where would you put the contents you shoveled out?

- A In the river. The contents, incidentally, were primarily sodium chloride, table salt.
 - Q What would you do after you got the contents out of the tank?
- 5 A Flush it out, close it up and start using it 6 again.
 - Q And where would the water that left the tanks go? Where did the water go when you washed out the tank?
 - A To the river.
 - Q During this TCP process that you've described from 1954, where you made the change to steam stripper, where would products that spilled or leaked during that process end up?
 - A The river. Well, during the part of the process, it was in the main building.
 - Q Yes. All right. Now, during -- I'm sorry.
 - A Well, because there was some recovery parts of that which are actually a leak. But really part of the 2,4,5-T operation, they were in the other building.
 - Q I'm going to bring you to that building in a moment. During the entire period now -- question withdrawn.
 - You indicated that the process was changed in

- 1 1954 to have this steam stripping done. From 1954 to 2 1960 was there any change to the process?
 - A Not in, not the process as I described it. We changed things like temperatures and specific details but not in the general process as I described it.
 - Q Were there any changes in the amount of the equipment from 1951 to 1960?
 - Well, the first autoclave was put in in 1951 and two or three years later we added a second autoclave of larger capacity. And when we changed to the steam stripping process, of course, that meant an equipment change.

Oh, in 1959, yes, we made not really a process change but a change in handling of materials. Up to that time we were purchasing tetrachlorobenzene in fiber containers. In 19', I think, I'm quite sure it was sometime in 1959, or possibly in '58, we began purchasing tetrachlorobenzene in tank cars. And when we first — and the reason we did it was because it was a big cost saving.

When we first purchased it, we would take the tetrachlorobenzene from the tank car and we happened to have a flaker on hand in the miticide unit and would pump it to this flaker and convert it to flake tetrachlorobenzene which, in turn, would charge to the

autoclave as before. Later we put in a storage tank in the trichlorophenol unit equipped to keep tetrachlorobenzene in its molten state.

In that case we discharged the tank car direct from the tank car to this storage tank in the trichlorophenol unit, pumped it to the autoclave as molten tetrachlorobenzene.

Q Now, with the exception of the changes you've mentioned, were there any other changes to the process during the period 1951 to 1960 in the main building?

A No.

Q All right. Now, if I could, I'd like now to direct your attention to what I think you referred to as the 2,4-D building. And just to put it in context, is that the building -- question withdrawn.

Did you describe the drainage system of the 2,4-D building earlier this morning?

A That's what I did before.

Q Okay. Just wanted to make sure the record was clear, and you and I were communicating.

MR. SPIVAK: Up to 1956, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MOSER: We're going to go beyond that.

THE COURT: There's been a stop at 1956.

1 MR. MOSER: Right.

THE WITNESS: Could I add one point to that?

Q Sure.

The ester building was, in terms of the way the floors was pitched was distinct from -- we called one the ester unit and the other one the 2,4-D building, although they were all part of the same big building. Basically, the floor ditch pitched toward the main building. Outside the ester building was a drainage trench which ran right angles to the river and ran directly to the river.

MR. SPIVAK: Your Honor, I think it would be useful, although I know you like the witness to give complete answers, not to feel artificially restrained, if we waited for a question prior to the time we had an answer.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Burton has been trying to do that in general. Go ahead, please.

Q Could you describe for us briefly the 2,4-D process?

A Well, the first step in it was making dichlorophenol, which was made by reacting chlorine with phenol, a by-product of HCL gas which was absorbed in water as muriatic acid.

Again, sometime in the early '50s, we changed that process at the time Diamond bought the plant, the finished dichlorophenol chlorination batch was distilled to separate out the 2,4 dichlorophenol which was a particular, what you might call type of chlorophenol we wanted for 2,4-D.

And the other phenols were still bottoms, were discarded as still bottoms.

Sometime about I think 1953, we changed that process to where we took the finished chlorination batch from the dichlorophenol unit and used it directly in 2,4-D without this intermediate distillation purification step.

Q What was, what were the discharges, if any, from the 2,4-D process?

A Well, this was just a first step in the 2,4-D process.

Q Go ahead.

The dichlorophenol was reacted with monochloricacetic acid and caustic soda in one of the 2,4-D reaction vessels. This resulted in a thick mush of the sodium salt of 2,4-D acid. That was diluted and filtered. The filtrate was discarded. The solids from the filter were put in a tank, mixed with water and acidified with sulfuric acid which again gave a thick

mush of 2,4-D acid suspended in water. That material was filtered on a centrifuge. The filtrate discarded and the wet solid 2,4-D acid put in carts and charged from there to a tank for use in making esters or Amines.

Q Where was the filtrate discarded?

A Well, it went to the river. I don't remember the way, whether we had a separate line for it or whether it went into this central trench.

Q All right. During this process, what happened to any product which spilled or leaked on the floor?

A It would be washed into the central trench and ultimately end up in the river.

Q Now, in the 2,4-D building did you also make 2,4,5-T?

A Yes.

Q Could you give us a brief description of the 2,4,5-T process?

Well, it was essentially the same. Reacted trichlorophenol, caustic soda and monochloricacetic acid in the 2,4,5-T vessel, diluted it, filtered it as I described before, although a different style of equipment. The solids were acidified to form 2,4,5-T acid which again was centrifuged, the filtrate

discarded an the solids put in carts for use.

The difference in the process in this case, the filtrate from the filtration of the sodium salt of 2,4,5-T was saved and acidified in a tank located just outside the 2,4-D building which gave a layer of liquid trichlorophenol which was recycled to future batches. And the water layer from that was discarded.

Q All right. What happened to any product that spilled or leaked on the floor in the 2,4,5-T process?

A It would be the same as the 2,4-D. Hosed down to the central sewer and from there would go to the river.

Q Now, you indicated that in the -- question withdrawn.

In the process by which you created the dichlorophenol, I think you indicated that you transferred the dichlorophenol to a still and you distilled the 2,4-D from the other chlorophenols. Do you recall that?

- A Yes.
- Q What did you do with the other chlorophenols?
- A They were discharged to the river.
- Q In this process, I think you also

indicated that you created a product called muriatic acid.

A Yes.

Q What did you do with the muriatic acid?

A We sold most of it. That which we couldn't sell we discharged to the river.

Q Now, in this same building, in a different area, there occurred the ester process. Correct?

A Yes.

Q Could you give us a brief description of the ester process?

A Well, we changed the details for various esters at various times during this whole ten years. But basically, the ester process consisted of reacting either 2,4-D acid or 2,4,5-T acid with an alcohol which would be one of a number of varieties.

That product in the reaction vessel, we then had an ester of 2,4-D or 2,4,5-T which contained some unreacted 2,4-D or 2,4,5-T acid and also small amounts of impurities as dichlorophenol or trichlorophenol.

Not always, but normally that ester was then washed with caustic soda to remove the impurities, that is, the dichlorophenol or 2,4-D acid, and those washed waters were discarded. The washed ester was then dried in a dryer vessel and the water obtained from dying

discarded and the ester at that point was filtered and was ready for sale directly or for other use in formulations.

- Q You indicated on two different occasions on describing that process that the water was discarded. Where was the water discarded?
- A To the river.
- Q Now, -- And what happened to any material that spilled or leaked during this process?
- A That would be washed into this trench I mentioned that was just outside the ester building and run from there to the river.
- Q Now, did there come a time when the 2,4-D building had a hookup to the sewer?
- A Yes. I don't have the specific date, but offhand I think it was about 1956.
- Well, we had frequent inspections by an inspector for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission, and one day in 1956, he went into the plant unannounced and saw a leak of alcohol where the packing on a pump was dripping and that drip was going to this small trench just outside the ester building, and from there obviously running into the river.

And he pointed out that any tiny violation of

б

any form was a violation of the law, and I believe we got some official notice at that point to, in effect, cease and desist.

Q You indicated that this inspector came on a regular basis. How is it that you avoided a citation prior to 1956, if you did?

MR. SPIVAK: Objection to form.

THE COURT: I'll allow the question. Go ahead and answer the question, please.

A Well, normally, the procedure for any visitor to the plant, which was standard in chemical plants, was to check in with the receptionist. So he would check in with the receptionist. The receptionist would, in turn, contact someone to escort him through the plant.

But we used this time lag, and ultimately ended up with a system, we had an inter-plant communication system. So the receptionist, who would recognize the inspector as such, would then sound I think it was three buzzes on this inter-plant communication system which would alert the foreman and the operators that an inspector was on hand.

So they would take prompt steps to see that anything being, going into the river at that moment was stopped.

So with that time delay, by the time someone

came and escorted him through the plant, he would find nothing, except for, as I say, the one time when we got caught because we thought that was so inconsequential that it didn't matter.

Q Let me direct your attention to what's been marked for identification as defendants' exhibit 731. Can you, Mr. Burton, can you identify exhibit 731 as a letter you wrote on or about July 22, 1956? Is this a letter you wrote, exhibit 731?

A I don't remember the specific letter, but I remember, in general, trying to do something about these unannounced visits, which is -- unauthorized trips into the plant, which is what this refers to.

MR. SPIVAK: Your Honor, I have a basic problem with this. We have a procedure which has been described to your Honor several times where the, both sides were to advise the other regarding documents that they were going to use on direct prior to the, within 36 hours prior to the commencement of direct examination.

And I did receive a list from Mr. Cuyler on Friday.

Now, that, it was understood in that agreement that if it was thought in the exercise of professional judgment that there would be an

additional document or two, that would not be a problem, and perhaps this document falls under that exception.

MR. CUYLER: It's on the list. The last entry on the list I sent you was exhibits to report of Environmental Technology, Inc., which is your expert witness or one of your expert witnesses, and that is a document from that report.

MR. SPIVAK: That is not one of our expert witnesses, but it is the materials received from Mr. Leubetkin, and with that understanding, then, I have no problem and I will accept the representation.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Moser.

Q Mr. Burton, can you recognize the signature at the bottom as appearing to be your signature?

A Yes.

Q All right. And does this letter address the circumstance you were describing of having the inspector stop at the receptionist before inspecting the plant?

A I didn't hear your full question.

	Burton - direct
1	Q Does this letter relate to the subject you
2	had just been discussing, namely
3	A Yes.
4	Q insuring that the inspector reported
5	to a receptionist?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now, what was the purpose of this alarm
8	system?
9	A So that the also so that all discharges to
10	the river could be stopped by the time the inspector
11	got back to the riverbank.
12	Q Did you make any effort to hide this
13	system from your superiors at Diamond?
14	MR. SPIVAK: Objection. It lacks
15	foundation, your Honor. It would assume that
16	there was someone from Diamond on the property
17	at the time that the so-called alarm system was
18	utilized.
19	THE COURT: Yes, I think the first
20	question is whether anybody higher up than he
21	at Diamond knew about it.
22	Q Did anyone higher up than you at Diamond
23	know about the system?
24	A The alarm system?

Q The alarm system, yes.

Burton - direct 1 I don't remember. 2 Did you make any effort to hide the system from them? 3 MR. SPIVAK: The same objection. THE COURT: Well, I'll allow that 5 question. Answer that question, if you can, 6 7 please. I would, knowing the circumstances of how things 8 were myself, my superiors and the problem, I would be 9 certain we made no effort to hide it from them. 10 Now, in the event -- question withdrawn. 11 12 Is there any doubt in your mind, sir, whether or 13 not such a system existed? 14 Again, I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. Is there any doubt in your mind that there 15 was such a warning system at this plant? 16 17 Α No. 18 Now, in 1956, when the sewer hookup occurred, to what building or buildings was the sewer 19 20 hooked up? To the 2,4 -- we've been calling it the 2,4-D 21 building, including all its components. 22 Now, after the sewer was hooked up to the 23 0 2,4-D building, did all discharges from that building 24 25 go to the sewer?

A All discharges went to that sewer except we had an emergency connection where that could be blocked and the effluent go to the river. But this was for emergencies.

Q How did you go about blocking those discharges? How mechanically, what did you have to do if you wanted to do it?

A I don't remember except I remember it was at the central point where one line went to the river and the other line went to the industrial sewer. But I don't remember the specific mechanics of how we did it.

Q What about discharges from the ester unit, after the sewer hookup in 1956, where did discharges from the ester unit go?

MR. SPIVAK: Objection. It's already been answered. He said the 2,4-D building and all of its components.

THE COURT: I think it's implicit, but counsel is entitled to make it explicit. Would you answer the question, please.

A Well, I should have mentioned, because even though they ended up in the same central pit, the line from the ester building to this pit was a separate line from the line from the 2,4-D acid building.

Q After the sewer hookup, were there any

б

trenches that ran in the river from the 2,4-D building?

- A Again, I didn't get the question.
- After the sewer hookup in 1956 were there any trenches that ran from the 2,4-D building to the river?
- A Any what that ran?
 - Q Trenches or pipelines.

MR. SPIVAK: The same objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow the question.

MR. SPIVAK: It also gets to be leading after a point, your Honor.

- A Am I back? Should I answer the question?

 THE COURT: Yes, would you answer the question, please.
- A Yes, there were the same trench that where they found alcohol dripping into that went to the river, that same trench stayed in existence except the end of it that came from the ester building was blocked and went toward the industrial sewer.

Strictly speaking, part of that trench still stayed in existence and there may have been a similar one over in the, some other part which were basically for washing down the floor.

THE COURT: Let's stop at this point. I want to receive some indictments from the Grand

	Burton - direct
1	Jury.
2	You can just get up and leave, if you
3	like. We'll break for lunch and we'll resume at
4	1:30.
5	(The Court recesses for lunch.)
6	(The afternoon session is recorded by
7	Deborah Nutting, C.S.R.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	•
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I, TERENCE E. HEADD, Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of New Jersey, certify the
foregoing transcript to be a true and accurate
record of the proceedings as taken
stenographically by me on the date and place
heretofore mentioned.

TERENCE E. HEADD, C.S.R. Certificate No. 575

Dated: October 17, 1988