

Joseph M. Campisano, Esq.
SEDITA, CAMPISANO & CAMPISANO, LLC
145 Route 46 West, Suite 102
Wayne Plaza, Building 1
Wayne, New Jersey 07470
Tel: (973)787-0299
Fax: (973)787-0301
Attorney for Third-Party Defendant(s) Fiske Brothers Refining Co.

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION and
THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE NEW
JERSEY SPILL COMPENSATION FUND,

Plaintiffs,

v.

OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL
CORPORATION, TIERRA SOLUTIONS,
INC., MAXUS ENERGY CORPORATION,
REPSOL YPF, S.A., YPF, S.A., YPF
HOLDINGS, INC. and CLH HOLDINGS,
INC.,

Defendants.

MAXUS ENERGY CORPORATION and
TIERRA SOLUTIONS,
INC.,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

vs.

3M COMPANY, *et al.*,

Third-Party Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: ESSEX COUNTY

DOCKET NO. L-9868-05 (PASR)

CIVIL ACTION

**SUPPLEMENTAL THIRD-PARTY
DISCLOSURE**

Third-Party Defendant Fiske Brothers Refining Co. (“Respondent”), hereby provides its Supplement to Initial Disclosure pursuant to Case Management Order (“CMO”) XII and prior CMO’s incorporated therein by reference.

Reservations and Comments

1. Respondent reserves the right to object to the production of any documents or other information on any ground, including relevance and undue burden, and to assert any applicable privilege, including the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest doctrine, confidentiality, trade secret, State and Federal Homeland Security confidentiality and any other applicable protection. These disclosures are not intended to prejudice or waive any privileges or objections Respondent may have with respect to any outstanding or subsequent requests for discovery.

2. Respondent's investigation in this matter is continuing. Accordingly, it reserves the right to supplement, clarify, and revise these disclosures any time prior to trial to the extent additional information becomes available or is obtained through discovery. Further, Respondent reserves the right to amend these disclosures to the extent the claims brought by or alleged against Respondent in this litigation are amended.

3. Respondent reserves its right to rely on any facts, documents or other evidence that may develop or come to Respondent's attention during the course of this matter. Respondent's responses are set forth herein without prejudice to its right to assert additional objections of supplement its responses should Respondent discover additional grounds for doing so during the course of this matter.

4. "Documents", excluding electronic e-mail and Electronically Stored Information, shall have the meaning set forth in this Court's August 11, 2009 Order for Preservation of Documents and Data.

5. "Alleged Discharges" shall have the meaning set forth in the Court's CMO VIII.

6. "Sites", per CMO VIII, shall be defined as those site(s) or properties with which a Third-Party Defendant is associated in a Third-Party Complaint.

7. Document Production requirements set forth in CMO XII, Paragraph 21 are read in concert with CMO VIII, Paragraph 3 and CMO V, Paragraph 8 incorporated by reference in CMO XII, Paragraph (1). CMO VIII, *inter alia*, called for a listing of those documents to be produced by Third-Party Defendants with certain excepted categories, the "Excepted Information Categories".

8. Documents subject to claims of privilege, work product, confidentiality or trade secret will be detailed in a log to be furnished in accordance with the August 11, 2009 "Agreed Order Regarding Documents Withheld from Production" as appended to CMO VIII.

Production as to Paragraph 21(b) Requests

Copies of all non-privileged Documents other than electronic email discovery, that relate to

(i.) the alleged discharge of any hazardous wastes, hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants ("Hazardous Materials") to the Newark Bay Complex;

Response - See, Paragraph 7, above. Notwithstanding the above, Respondent is not in possession of any documents responsive to this request.

(ii.) the potential pathways and methods by which the Hazardous Materials have been released to the Newark Bay Complex, as well as the quantity, nature and toxicity of such Hazardous Materials;

Response - See, Paragraph 7, above. The above notwithstanding, Respondent is not in possession of any documents responsive to this request.

(iii.) other actions relating to properties or operations that may have adversely impacted the environmental condition of the Newark Bay Complex

Response - See, Paragraph 7, above. The above notwithstanding, Respondent is not in possession of any documents responsive to this request.

(iv.) the costs and damages costs and damages sought in connection with any alleged discharge of Hazardous Materials.

Response - See Paragraph 7, above. Third-Party Defendant further notes that all cross-claims and counterclaims and Fourth-Party claims are stayed in the present action and, as such, it has made no such claim against parties in this action at this time. The above notwithstanding, Respondent is not in possession of any documents responsive to this request.

Production as to Paragraph 21(c) Requests

1. A copy of all Documents relating to the following information for the site(s), properties and/or operations with which the Third-Party Defendant is associated in the Third-Party Complaints:

i. the release or discharge of Hazardous Materials from or at that Third Party Defendant's properties or operations.

Response - Documents responsive to this request, to the extent available, are on the enclosed disc subject to the exceptions set forth in CMO VIII enumerated below.

ii. the operations, manufacturing and/or production processes, any Hazardous Materials stored or utilized on the property, and any sampling that took place on the property and any sampling or testing of the materials, by products or waste products used in connection therewith;

Response - Respondent limits its response in accordance with Paragraph 7 above. The above notwithstanding, Respondent relies upon CMO VIII to limit the scope of the production required by incorporating and carrying forward the definitions applicable to the initial disclosures. Foremost among these is the limitation of initial disclosures to "Alleged Discharges". Under CMO VIII pp 3a, "Alleged Discharges" are defined as "Any alleged discharge or release of pollutants, contaminants and/or hazardous substances ("Pollutants") into the Newark Bay Complex (as those terms are defined in the Second Amended Complaint and The Third-Party Complaints) from the sites(s) and/or properties with which the disclosing party is associated in the Third-party Complaint". Similarly, Respondent reads the CMO XII, pp 21(c)(ii) request for "operations, manufacturing and/or production process" information in concert with the CMO VIII limitation to "Alleged Discharges" such that the Members produce such operational information only to the extent relevant to the Alleged Discharges. Subject to the above limitations, Respondent is not in possession of any such documents responsive to this request.

iii. sampling results from environmental, chemical, or biological testing conducted at that Third Party Defendant's properties; and

Response - Respondent limits its response to those documents as to the Alleged Discharges in accordance with Paragraph 7. Documents responsive to this request, to the extent available, are on the enclosed disc, subject to the exceptions set forth in CMO VIII enumerated below.

iv. any communications involving that Third-Party Defendant and any branch, department, agency or instrumentality of municipal, State or federal government relating to any discharges or releases of Hazardous Materials or this litigation.

Response - Documents responsive to this request have been produced pursuant to the requirements of CMO XII, Paragraph 21(c) as to the Alleged Discharges subject to the exceptions set forth in CMO VIII enumerated below in our response to Paragraph 21(c)1(i).

2. Any Documents relating to any industrial waste containing Hazardous Materials that was transported to, processed or treated at, or discharged from any of the sites and/or properties with which a Third Party Defendant is associated in the Third Party Complaints.

Response - This request is incapable of response absent further clarification. Further, Respondent relies upon CMO VIII to limit the scope of the production required by incorporating and carrying forward the definitions applicable to the initial disclosures. Foremost among these is the limitation of initial disclosures to "Alleged Discharges". Under CMO VIII pp 3a, "Alleged Discharges" are defined as "Any alleged discharge or release of pollutants, contaminants and/or hazardous substances ("Pollutants") into the Newark Bay Complex (as those terms are defined in the Second Amended Complaint and The Third-Party Complaints) from the sites(s) and/or properties with which the disclosing party is associated in the Third-party Complaint". Similarly, Respondent reads the CMO XII, pp 21(c)(ii) request for "operations, manufacturing and/or production process" information in concert with the CMO VIII limitation to "Alleged Discharges" such that the Members produce such operational information only to the extent relevant to the Alleged Discharges. Notwithstanding the above, Respondent is not in possession of any documents responsive to this request.

3. Any insurance or indemnity agreement under which another person or entity may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy said judgment.

Response - Documents responsive to this request, to the extent available, are on the enclosed disc subject to the exceptions set forth in CMO VIII enumerated below and as to policies potentially covering the Alleged Discharges. Further, Respondent objects to this request under general corporate policy as to production of insurance documents. Further, Respondent objects to this request as it is premature at this stage of the case.

August 11, 2009 CMO VIII Excepted Information Categories

Excepted Information includes the following:

“Information, (“Information”), including, but not limited to, environmental, chemical and/or biological testing of groundwater, surface water, soil or sediment (hereinafter “Sampling Information”) contained in the nexus packages produced pursuant to Paragraph 8(e) of CMO V”. See, Paragraph 4(a), as well as information produced under CMO XII, Paragraph 21(a).

“Information previously produced to any branch, department, agency or instrumentality of the State of New Jersey including to the New Jersey Department of Environment Protection (“NJDEP”) or Information produced to any other governmental agency or entity whose responsibility it is to oversee environmental compliance in the Newark Bay Complex Area, including, but not limited to, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (hereinafter “Governmental Recipients”). We believe information received from such agencies are included within the exception.

“Information produced to any Licensed Site Remediation Professional (hereinafter “LSRP”) who is duly licensed by the State of new Jersey at the time of receipt of such Information where such disclosure of Information is intended to be used in connection with any remedial investigation or remedial action having an assigned case number in the NJDEP’s NJEMS system;” See, Paragraph 4(c).

“Sampling Information compiled with the expectation of being produced to a Governmental Recipient or an LSRP under Paragraphs 4(b) or 4(c) but which Sampling Information has not yet undergone quality assurance/ quality control or similar analysis;” See, Paragraph 4(d).

“Information produced by a third-party defendant to any third-party plaintiff pursuant to the New Jersey Open Records Act or other freedom of information law or regulation;” See, Paragraph 4(e).


“Information previously produced to Defendants” See, Paragraph 4(f).

“Information that falls within the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product privilege.” See, Paragraph 4(g).

Dated: February 10, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

SEDTA, CAMPISANO & CAMPISANO, LLC
Attorney for Third-Party Defendant(s)
Fiske Brothers Refining Co.



Joseph M. Campisano, Esq.