

Fill Material Guidance for SRP Sites

April 2015

Version 3.0

(formerly Alternative and Clean Fill Guidance for SRP Sites)

List of significant changes from December 2011 Version

1. Cover page: Changed title of document, date (to April 2015) and version (to 3.0)
2. Section 1 **Intended Use of Guidance**: updated committee information
3. Section 2 **Purpose**: moved UST information to new section 3.12 Underground Storage Tanks
4. Section 3.4 **Pre-Approval for Excess Filling**: revised section heading and content for clarity on pre-approval requirement
5. Section 3.5 **Like-on-Like and 75th Percentile Requirements**: changed heading to reflect Technical Rule; deleted variance language after bullets
6. Section 3.10 **Beneficial Use and CAO/BUD**: revised section to clarify Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Program (SHWMP) position on Beneficial Use Determination (BUD) requirement
7. Section 3.12 **Underground Storage Tanks**: this section was added
8. Section 4.12 **Recycled Concrete and Class B Recyclables**: renamed and revised section to include information regarding Class B recyclables, and to clarify SHWMP position on BUD requirement and use of Solid Waste guidance on concrete recycling
9. Section 4.15 **Asphalt Millings**: added this section
10. Section 4.18 **Pre-Approval Process for Excess Fill**: added to clarify process
11. Section 5.3 **Exceptions for Historic fill at SRP Redevelopment Sites**: correction made to language in boldface type
12. Section 6.1 **Purpose**: clarification of Solid Waste regulations
13. Section 6.3 **Clean Fill Testing Exceptions**: deleted due to the addition of Section 7
14. Section 6.7 **Recycled Concrete and Class B Recyclables**: renamed and revised section to clarify SHWMP position on BUD requirement and use of Solid Waste guidance on concrete recycling
15. Section 7 **Licensed Quarry/Mine Material**: section added
16. Appendices reordered and “SRP Policy Statement” renamed as “Basis for Alternative Fill Use”
17. Clarified references to “fill material” throughout document to differentiate between “clean fill,” “alternative fill,” and “quarry material”
18. With Stakeholder input, language was added and formatting was changed in various sections of the document in order to provide greater clarity.