

# SITE REMEDIATION NEWS

January 1997

Volume 9 Number 1

### How to Avoid Common Problems With Monthly Inventory Reconciliation

By: Sharon P. McLelland
Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation
Industrial Site Evaluation Element
Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks

Monthly inventory reconciliation, combined with periodic tank tightness tests, is a temporary leak detection method, which may be used to evaluate the integrity of underground storage tanks (USTs). This method requires daily measurements and monitoring, which can be extremely useful in detecting a problem early, thereby saving a tank system owner or operator significant costs in terms of product loss, environmental investigation and cleanup.

The inventory reconciliation procedure entails taking a volumetric measurement of the product from a port in the tank (a "stick reading") and comparing this volume with the expected volume, based on the prior end volume measurement, sales and deliveries (a "book reading").

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has compiled several booklets to assist the regulated community with understanding the process of monthly inventory reconciliation and the necessary steps in compiling the data. It is recommended that all owners and operators of UST systems obtain the following documents from the DEP:

- DEP, August 1996, Doing Inventory Control Right;
- DEP, August 1996, Manual Tank Gauging for Smaller USTs;
- DEP, January 1996, Straight Talk on Tanks and,
- DEP, January 1995, Don't Wait Until 1998.

The August 1996 editions are recent upgraded versions of the original EPA documents and provide tear-away master sheets for daily and monthly tabulations. Contact the Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks at (609) 292-8761 to request a copy.

The DEP reviews various forms of inventory reconciliation. Consistent problems are seen amongst the large and small UST system owners/operators. The intent of this article is to discuss what these problems are and how you, the UST owner/operator, can avoid making similar mistakes.

Stick Readings. The data is only as good as the measurement taken. This single measurement can result in overages/shortages which may not in actuality exist. The condition of the stick is most critical. It should be notched or marked to 1/8" and not worn at the base. A varnished stick can reduce the potential for petroleum "creep" and inaccurate readings; however, all that is required is that the stick be of non-sparking material (i.e. wood) and that it is legible to 1/8" markings. Automatic Tank Gauges (ATG) within a tank provide the same reading on actual volume measurement, thus measurement with a "stick" is not always a requirement.

**Daily readings are** *required***.** Opening and/or closing readings are recommended for more accurate inventory records. It is a requirement that stick readings (or ATG readings) are NOT conducted during fuel dispensing activities, thus it is recommended that readings either be taken while the pumps are shut off (i.e. before the station opens or after it closes) or during a lull in business. Stick or volume measurements are necessary prior to a delivery and following a delivery. Before product is delivered, a stick reading will correlate the book value used in determining how many gallons are needed. This action can help prevent overfilling tanks not yet upgraded. In measuring the volume following a delivery, it is important to wait a minimum of 5 minutes prior to taking a reading. This allows the temperature of the recently delivered fuel to equilibrate with the temperature of the product already in the tank.

#### **Contents**

| Avoid Problems With Inventory Reconciliation 1   |
|--|
| New Discharge Notification and Prevention Rule 3 |
| M/T Anitra Oil Spill4                            |
| Innovative Approaches To Site Characterization 6 |
| Conducting A Baseline Ecological Evaluation 7    |
| DRPSR Oversees A Cleaner New Jersey9             |
| List of SRP Publications 11                      |
| Summer 1996 Edition of KCS NJ 17                 |
| Site Remediation News Alphabetical Index 17      |

# **How to Avoid Common Problems With Monthly Inventory Reconciliation** (continued)

In collecting the stick volume readings, it is important to carry a clipboard. The measurements are to be read to the nearest 1/8". Due to time delays in taking the measurements to writing down the numbers in the office, it is best to write down the tank measurement as it is taken. This reduces the potential for confusion between different tanks' measurements when logging the data, especially where several tanks are measured in the same event.

The opportunity for the earliest numbers to be incorrectly tabulated back in the office is great when coordinating measurements of several tanks, possible interruptions with sales and time delays in taking the measurements and writing them into the log book.

Water Measurements. Measuring for water in a tank is only required to be conducted once a month. However, it may be beneficial in the overall program of evaluating the integrity of a tank to collect this measurement more often. When taking this measurement, the water paste is applied to the base of the stick. The stick should be set in the tank for a period of 10 seconds for gasoline and 30 seconds for diesel, for an accurate measurement. It is critical to remember that this stick reading should NOT be used in measuring for the product volume. The long residence time may result in "petroleum creep", a process by which the stick is wetted at a higher level than the actual product level. This can give a false volume loss (shortage). A separate measurement should be taken for product volume.

If more than one inch of water exists in a tank, the tank should be decommissioned and the water pumped from the tank. Due to this expensive and time-consuming process, more frequent measurements can be a cost-effective manner to evaluate potential causes of water accumulation. In noting the weather conditions daily, the potential for water to be infiltrating into the tank during a rain event, following a rain event due to inadequate runoff protection around the port, or for ground water entering the tank can be quickly evaluated, with minimum cost to the owner/operator. Water build-up as a result of condensation on the walls of the tank is a very slow process. If water accumulation is via ground water, daily or frequent measurements can pinpoint rapid accumulation of water in the tank. Care should be taken when measuring for water through a drop tube in the tank, due to potential condensation on the walls of the drop tube.

**Petroleum Measurements.** In collecting a petroleum volume measurement, care needs to be taken to avoid "petroleum creep". The problem with the creep factor is that the measurements need to be accurate to an 1/8 of an inch, thus the volumes calculated off a measurement reflecting "creep" are excessive and inaccurate. Creep may occur when the stick is left in a tank for as little as 5

seconds. The proper way to stick a tank is to gently place the stick to the base of the tank and then quickly removed the stick. Petroleum creep can be avoided by use of petroleum paste. This product is similar to the water paste used; however, it is applied along a six-inch interval where the product level is expected. The paste turns color where in contact with the petroleum. Care should be taken to allow readings to 1/8" when applying the paste to the stick. More accurate readings may occur with the use of petroleum paste, as it reduces the chance of error when reading a stick in poor lighting conditions or when volatilization is rapid. Petroleum paste also assists inexperienced workers in reading the measurement to 1/8".

**Calibration Charts.** Each tank should be provided with its own calibration chart. It is important to use only that chart associated with that tank, unless the tanks are all of the same volume and manufactured by the same company. Each chart is to be calibrated to 1/8 inch to gallon conversions. Often, this is not the case and extrapolation is needed. It is recommended that the tank manufacturer be contacted for a new chart, if extrapolation is currently the manner of calculating volumes. If a tank is a steel tank, the Steel Tank Association (847-438-8265) can provide a calibration chart for your size tank. The DEP's August 1996 Doing Inventory Control Right booklet provides for a method for extrapolating the volumes; however, this is a three step procedure and the more mathematical steps used in determining one value, the more chance for errors to make it on the tabulated sheet. If your tank(s) have been retrofitted with a striker plate, this modification will require a recalibrated chart or significant errors (1" or more) in volume measurement will occur. This can result in shortages of up to hundreds of gallons.

Manifolded Tank Systems. Tank systems which are manifolded or which have dispensers which blend fuels are to be considered one system. This requires adding all measurements as one reading. It is recommended that daily readings of the separate tanks be kept, as math errors can occur when combining several fractional readings. This will provide a double-check if the monthly tabulations indicate an overage or shortage, especially if a blending system is employed at the site. Combined readings from tanks where the fuel is blended may result in a masking effect if one of several tanks is leaking.

Combining readings from separate tanks or systems which do not blend fuel from a common inventory cannot be used for meeting the monthly inventory reconciliation records. When combined readings are provided, a statement clarifying the rationale for combined readings should be provided.

**Totalizer Calibration.** It is important for the totalizers to be properly calibrated, as these readings are used in the comparison of tank volume inventories to what has been sold and delivered. In New Jersey, the meter calibration is

# **How to Avoid Common Problems With Monthly Inventory Reconciliation** (continued)

regulated by the Bureau of Weights and Measures, which is under the jurisdiction of the county, for systems which sell product. Contact the county officials if your station's meters have not been inspected recently and you suspect the totalizer readings are not consistent with your records. Meters are to be inspected on an annual basis. If you are not regulated by the Bureau of Weights and Measures, an annual calibration is recommended.

The master sheets included in the August 1996 edition of the *Doing Inventory Control Right* manual includes several blanks for totalizer readings, if one tank dispenses to several totalizers. Each tank's totalizer reading(s) should be entered in the same column to ensure the correct readings are provided for that specific tank.

**Deliveries.** It is important to conduct a volumetric ("stick") measurement prior to receiving a delivery of product. This additional check of inventory provides an updated amount needed, as well as reduces any errors in over-deliveries (especially important for tanks which have not yet been upgraded with overfill protection devices). Following delivery, it is important to take a second volume measurement, to ensure the volumes delivered are consistent. When tallying the volume delivered, if sales occur during the delivery process, the "Gross Gallons Delivered (Receipt)" volumes should be used. If no sales occurred during the delivery process, the stick reading should be used (after volume minus the before volume). If two volumes are provided to you on the delivery ticket, the "gross" volume should be used, not the "net" volume.

To avoid common inventory reconciliation problems, it is important to be aware of what each step's procedure and purpose is relative to the end result. Careful measurements, keeping equipment in good condition, and daily review of the data will provide the tank owner with a measure of reliability in the monthly end result. It is recommended that an "overage" or "shortage" which has persisted for five or more days, be reviewed for any math errors or other problems discussed above. Daily records are supposed to be retained at the site. This allows the owner or operator to check for any errors in measurement or any anomalies in the data, as well as meet the regulatory requirements. Poor measurements can be costly as the UST Rules (N.J.A.C. 7:14B) require an investigation within 7 days of one month's exceedance of the leak rate (the federal regulations allow two consecutive months before tank system investigation).

If you are having any difficulty with the procedures or if you have any questions about monthly inventory reconciliation, the Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks (609-292-8761) can assist you.

# New Discharge Notification and Prevention Rule

By: Nate Byrd Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation

Discharge Response Element Bureau of Field Operations

The rule for notifying the Department "Hotline" of hazardous substance discharges and discharge prevention was recently readopted with revisions and appeared in the New Jersey Register on October 7, 1996. The revised rule, entitled "Discharges of Petroleum and Other Hazardous Substances" (N.J.A.C. 7:1E), implements the requirements of the Spill Compensation and Control Act (N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11 et seq.) that sets standards for discharge prevention, emergency responses, and mitigation of discharges. The old rule expired September 3, 1996.

Developed by the Department in concert with the De Minimis Task Force, a partnership of governmental agencies and the regulated community, the revisions are aimed at clarifying complex provisions of the old rule and simplifying discharge reporting procedures, while at the same time ensuring the protection of the public health and the environment. The task force was commissioned by Commissioner Robert C. Shinn, Jr., in November 1995.

Highlights of the readopted, less-burdensome rule include the following provisions:

- While small business owners or operators and homeowners are still required to report discharges to the DEP Hotline immediately, they are not required to submit discharge confirmation (follow-up) reports to the Department. However, all records of cleanup and removal actions must be maintained on-site.
- 2. Releases of hazardous substances resulting from motor vehicle accidents that are contained on paved roadways, do not impact either soil or water bodies, and are cleaned up and removed would not call for Hotline notification. Notification would be required for releases that have triggered other state or federal reporting requirements.
- 3. Major facility (as explained below) owners and operators will keep cleanup records on site in lieu of Department notification. Discharges at these facilities that are contained on-site, have not impacted the waters of the state, or migrated off-site, and are cleaned up within 24 hours do not require notification to the Department. Although a 30-day discharge confirmation report to the Department would not be required for these discharges, facilities are required to maintain cleanup records on-site for 3 years and available for

# **New Discharge Notification and Prevention Rule** (continued)

Department review. Again, this exclusion is not applicable if the discharge triggers other state or federal reporting requirements.

For the purposes of this summary, major facilities are defined as those facilities with approved preventive emergency response plans: the discharge prevention, containment, and countermeasure (DPCC) and discharge cleanup and removal (DCR) plans pursuant to N.J.A.C.7:1E-4; or a risk management plan pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:31; or an emergency contingency plan pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:26-12; or a response plan pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 112.

4. Electric utilities are relieved of the immediate notification requirement for less-than-25-gallon transformer fluid releases provided the discharges have not entered any waters of the state or any storm drains leading to state water bodies, do not contain any polychlorinated biphenyl compounds (PCBs), and are cleaned up within 24 hours of discovery. In lieu of immediate Department notification of these limited discharges, utility workers responsible for these discharges are required to document cleanup and removal actions and maintain the records for three years.

Aside from the above-noted discharge reporting revisions, another notable provision of the new rule states that the DEP will no longer maintain the Discharge Cleanup Organization list of firms that register with the DEP to conduct cleanup services in the state.

Moreover, there are several additions and deletions of hazardous substances from the rule's Appendix A list of substances subject to discharge notification. Copies of the guidance document noting these changes are available from the Bureau of Discharge Prevention by calling (609) 633-0610.

It should be noted that the task force did not establish a de minimis quantity or a concentration of hazardous material under which reporting to the Hotline would be waived. The task force did not set a gallon or concentration de minimis level primarily because of the large number of chemicals included on the hazardous substance list and their wide range of characteristics and toxicity.

Finally, the rule indicates that all cleanup and removal actions should be conducted in accordance with the Department's "Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, N.J.A.C. 7:26E et seq., or any applicable federal remediation regulations.

As indicated previously, the aforementioned summary is an overview of the readopted and revised rule, "Discharges of Petroleum and Other Hazardous Substances." Details are spelled out in the October 7, 1996 and the June 3,1996 editions of the New Jersey Register. If you have questions concerning the new rule, please contact the Bureau of Discharge Prevention by calling (609) 633-0610.

#### M/T Anitra Oil Spill

By: Rob Schrader
Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation
Discharge Response Element
Bureau of Emergency Response

On May 9, 1996, the Motor Tanker Anitra anchored in the Big Stone anchorage with its cargo of 41.9 million gallons of Nemba and Cabinda crude oils and prepared to lighter, or pump off, part of its cargo to a barge to enable the vessel to transit the thirty-five foot channel depth of the upper Delaware River. The anchorage is located in Delaware waters approximately ten and one half miles from Cape May, New Jersey. The vessel's draft was approximately 58.7 feet and it had anchored in approximately 65 feet of water. An oil sheen was noticed around the vessel on arrival but shortly after, it disappeared. During the lightering operation, it is believed that the valves to the sea chest had been inadvertently left open or that designated ballast lines had cargo in them. When the pumps were started, an estimated 40,000 gallons of oil was pumped out of the bottom of the vessel via the sea chest.

On Saturday, May 11, 1996, United States Coast Guard officials on the scene spotted the oil on the water surface and immediately halted the operation. Large containment booms were deployed around the vessel to contain the oil. That evening, an intense squall swept through the area and caused the oil contained in the boom to escape.

To understand the nature of this spill, you need to know the particulars of the oils involved. The Nemba and Cabinda crude oil on the vessel originally had a specific gravity less than that of water, which would cause the oil to float. One theory is that once the oil made contact with the water, it apparently started to weather and the specific gravity became greater or equal to the receiving water. Therefore, some of the oil had floated, but apparently the majority did not, buffeting the sandy bottom as it was pumped from the vessel.

We know that submerged oil can form thick, continuous deposits that are hundreds of feet long, or widely scattered small tar balls. Where there is current activity, especially generated by surf, such as along our coastal

#### M/T Anitra Oil Spill (continued)

beaches, the oil/sand mixture can form cigar-shaped "rollers" that can be scattered on the bottom or accumulate into mats. These rollers pick up sand and shell fragments as they move, making them heavier. Eventually they can be deposited on adjacent beaches after northeasters.

Another theory is that the oil remained liquid; initially it floated, but sank after picking up sand. In this scenario, the oil behaved very much like a conventional number 6 fuel at first, including rapid loss of the light fractions by evaporation, increase in viscosity. However, when the oil was transported into shallow water, it was more likely mixed temporarily through the water column by wave turbulence, due to its density greater than water.

On May 12, 1996, a Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife conservation officer discovered an oil fouled shoreline from Higbee Beach to just south of Sunset Beach, Cape May County. A responder was sent to Sunset Beach to make an initial assessment. After the assessment was made, the Bureau of Emergency Response and the United States Coast Guard from the Marine Safety Office in Philadelphia, along with a 70-person clean-up crew from the responsible party, converged on Sunset Beach to begin the tedious chore of cleaning up the oil. Separate staging areas were identified for both the waste and the equipment. To complicate matters, the oil had been buried in the coarse sand by the surf, and the arrival of migratory shore birds was imminent. In addition, the return of horseshoe crabs to lay their eggs on the beaches was threatened by the oil. It was imperative that the shoreline be cleaned as rapidly as possi-ble. Because of the impending shorebird and horseshoe crab arrival, representatives from the Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife's Endangered & Nongame Species Program were requested on scene. On the afternoon of Thursday, May 16, 1996, northeast winds began to blow as the cleanup contingent began to wrap up. At this point, oil had been cleaned from Town Bank to Cape May Point. Our target date for the clean up of that shoreline had been met.

It was on the morning of Friday, May 17, 1996, as clean-up operations were winding down, when it was learned that the northeast winds brought previously undiscovered submerged oil ashore on the beaches from Cape May Point to Atlantic City. What had appeared to be a relatively small spill of limited scope, became a large spill with extensive beach impacts on over 50 miles of coastline. This clean-up would require far greater resources. At this point, a structured command or Unified Command, consisting of the Coast Guard, NJ DEP and the responsible party, was formed. With assistance from the Boroughs of Stone Harbor and Avalon, a command post was established at the Stone Harbor Fire Department.

Immediately, shoreline assessment teams consisting of federal and state response personnel were formed and sent to assess the impacted beaches. Meanwhile, the Cape May County Office of Emergency Management began to convert the fire hall into a formal command post with a vast communications network, video capability and meeting rooms. Bird rehabilitation and cleaning stations were set up by Tri-State Bird Rescue and staffed with volunteers under direction of the Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife. Staging areas for equipment, waste, and health and safety were also set up and located along the coast. By the end of Friday night, over 150 people were cleaning the beaches. In the command post, 60 people planned the clean-up strategies and coordinated resources that were arriving around the clock.

DEP personnel from the Bureau of Emergency Response, Bureau of Field Operations, the Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife, and Office of Natural Resource Damages, as well as staff from the Nature Conservancy, converged in response to the spill. Governor Whitman and Commissioner Shinn, Jr. along with Assistant Commissioner Gimello, mayors and various representatives from the local and county governments, all met with the Unified Command on the beach in Avalon. On site, a briefing took place that explained the extent of the spill and our clean-up strategies.

Over the next week, more than 500 clean-up personnel, 50 boats, and several oil skimmers, helicopters and all-terrain vehicles were mobilized. County trucks and personnel, township personnel and equipment, and federal, state, county and local agencies worked together 20 hours a day to satisfactorily clean the beaches in time. By Friday, May 24, 1996, we had met our target date for the clean-up of the beaches, the Memorial Day weekend.

On Tuesday, May 28, 1996, northeast winds again brought undiscovered submerged oil ashore from Brigantine north to Long Beach Island. This oil, although intermittent and widely scattered, also required remediation, as it threatened the Holgate Wildlife Refuge areas. Another group of 150 clean-up personnel, along with federal, state, county and local agencies, worked 18-20 hours a day to satisfactorily clean the beaches for the next major beach weekend.

On July 9, 1996, the Anitra oil spill clean-up was brought to a successful conclusion. Representatives from the NJDEP Bureau of Emergency Response, the USCG, the responsible party representative Gallager Marine, and Prime Contractor S&D Environmental Services, inspected every beach from Town Bank on the Delaware Bay, around Cape May Point and north to Island Beach State Park. During this inspection, trenches were dug at the high water

#### M/T Anitra Oil Spill (continued)

line and just below the tide line to ensure no oil had been buried by the tides. In the end, all agreed that the beaches were satisfactorily cleaned. The cost for the spill to date is approximately 5 million dollars. A total of 2,878 tons of waste was generated, (all) disposed at reclamation facilities.

The cooperation and assistance among the Department, federal agencies, local business, and motel and restaurant owners, was key to the successful conclusion. Without this cooperation, we could not have accomplished the process of cleaning the 90 miles of shoreline as quickly as we did.

# **Innovative Approaches To Site Characterization**

By: John Prendergast
Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation
Hazardous Site Science Element
Bureau of Environmental Evaluation and Risk
Assessment

As a state participant on the Interstate Technology Regulatory Cooperation (ITRC) workgroup, the Department has been active in the evaluation and approval of site characterization and cleanup technologies. The ITRC is a partnership comprised of the Department of Energy (DOE), Department of Defense (DOD), EPA, and twenty four (24) state environmental agencies and other key stakeholders.

The ITRC is exploring mechanisms which decrease the amount of time it takes for new technologies to become widely accepted. The ITRC is working on the following technology classes:

- 1) In-situ bioremediation;
- 2) real-time field characterization technologies;
- 3) low temperature thermal desorption technologies;
- 4) plasma technologies;
- permeable treatment walls for ground water treatment;
- 6) technologies for treating metals in soil.

The DEP is represented on workgroups for Field Characterization Technologies (John Prendergast), Permeable Treatment Walls Technologies (Matt Turner) and Metals in Soil Technologies (Brian Sogorka). In addition to technical areas, the ITRC is also exploring policy initiatives which may identify additional ways to facilitate new technology implementation.

New Jersey was one of several states recently recognized for streamlining the approval process for environmental technologies. Due to the involvement and contributions of the ITRC member states, the ITRC received the federal government's Hammer Award, which is presented annually to groups making significant progress in cutting red tape and improving government services.

One of the technologies highlighted by the efforts of the ITRC is the Site Characterization and Analysis Penetrometer System - Laser Induced Fluorescence (SCAPS-LIF) which was evaluated by the Cone Penetrometer Site Characterization Task Group, an ITRC work group.

The SCAPS-LIF technology is a real-time in-situ subsurface field screening method for the detection of petroleum, oil and lubricants that contain polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The technology was developed by the United States Navy as part of a collaborative effort with the Army and Air Force. The system is one of a planned family of sensors collectively called the Site Characterization and Analysis Penetrometer System (SCAPS), that will combine remote sensors with a cone penetrometer platform to provide rapid, in-situ, subsurface measurements of many different contaminants and soil characteristics. The laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) method is a fiber optic-based system deployed with a standard 20 ton cone penetrometer.

The LIF sensor is capable of providing rapid, qualitative to semi-quantitative information about the distribution of subsurface petroleum contamination. The traditional approach to site characterization, which depends on collection of discrete soil and water samples followed by laboratory analyses, is usually a slow, iterative and costly process because the samples are collected with little prior knowledge as to the extent or exact location of the contaminant plume. Significant delays occur in site characterization while samples are analyzed. Subsequent borings must be drilled with no knowledge of the results from other boring locations, or the process must stop to await results from previous sampling. The LIF sensor is intended as a method to delineate the boundaries of the subsurface contaminant plume prior to installing monitoring wells or collecting soil samples. It is not intended to replace traditional soil borings and monitoring wells, but rather to maximize the effectiveness, and minimize the number of conventional borings and wells.

Through a verification process, it was concluded that, with the appropriate number and placement of confirmatory laboratory samples, the SCAPS-LIF field screening system

# **Innovative Approaches To Site Characterization** (continued)

should produce reliable qualitative data capable of providing a detect/non-detect measurement of petroleum contamination in soil and an acceptable means of estimating the subsurface distribution of petroleum contamination. As a result of a detailed evaluation of the SCAPS-LIF and the endorsement of California EPA's certification of the SCAPS-LIF by the ITRC, formal acceptance of the technology has been obtained from 7 states including New Jersey, and is being pursued in all 24 ITRC member states.

The current efforts of the Cone Penetrometer Site Characterization Task Group will be directed to an evaluation and verification of two new SCAPS deployed volatile organic compound (VOC) sensors/samplers; the Thermal Desorption VOC Sampler and the Hydrosparge VOC Sensing System. The SCAPS Thermal Desorption VOC Sampler combines thermal desorption with the cone penetrometer technology to provide a means for real time detection and mapping of solvent and hydrocarbon contamination in the subsurface. The SCAPS Hydrosparge VOC Sensing System consists of a direct push groundwater sampling device coupled to an in-situ sparge device interfaced to an ion trap mass spectrometer. The SCAPS VOC technology is also undergoing a verification process led by the US Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station and is under review by the State of California for acceptance into California's AB 2060 Hazardous Waste Environmental Technology Certification Program.

#### SRP Web Page ...

Look for it in February 1997 at http://www.state.nj.us/dep

#### **General Information:**

The *Site Remediation News* is published by the Program Support Element. If you want to receive the *Site Remediation News*, send a request containing your name and address to:

George H. Klein Program Support Element CN 413 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0413

# Ecological Risk Assessment In NJDEP's Site Remediation Program: Conducting A Baseline Ecological Evaluation

By: Nancy Hamill, Research Scientist and Edward Demarest, Ph.D., Research Scientist Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation Hazardous Site Science Element Bureau of Environmental Evaluation and Risk Assessment

Ecological evaluations and risk assessments are conducted at contaminated sites (1) to address actual impacts or the potential for adverse ecological effects resulting from site-related contamination, (2) to evaluate the effects of alternative remediation strategies, and (3) to establish clean-up levels for the selected remedy that protect ecological receptors.

Ecological risk assessments have been performed for National Priorities List (NPL) sites since the early 1990s pursuant to National Contingency Plan (NCP) requirements. With the passage of P.L. 1993, c.139 which includes the Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA) (N.J.S.A. 13:1K-6) and the Hazardous Site Remediation Act (N.J.S.A. 58:10B), ecosystem protection has been integrated with current Site Remediation Program (SRP) initiatives directed toward the protection of human health; together they form the basis of remedial decisions for all sites under its jurisdiction. P.L. 1993, c.139 established an "Environment Advisory Task Force" that will consist of scientists and others from industry, academia, public interest groups, and government. The Task Force is charged with making recommendations to the Department on the feasibility, development, and application of ecologically-based remediation standards. Until such recommendations are available, N.J.S.A. 58:10B directs that the Department shall determine the need for and application of remediation standards to protect the environment on a case-by-case basis in accordance with USEPA regulations and guidances. SRP's initiatives in ecological evaluation and risk assessment are coordinated through the Bureau of Evaluation and Risk Assessment (BEERA), Environmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment Section (ETRA).

A tiered approach for conducting of ecological evaluations and ecological risk assessments has been developed by the SRP. Tier I, the Baseline Ecological Evaluation (BEE), has proved to be an efficient and cost-effective screening process and is required for all SRP sites. A BEE assures that all sites are addressed for potential ecological effects early in the remedial process; sites

# **Ecological Risk Assessment In NJDEP's SRP: Conducting A Baseline Ecological Evaluation**(continued)

without ecological concern are quickly eliminated from further, more rigorous site-specific investigation. Only those sites that present the potential for adverse ecological effects are retained for further investigation and/or risk assessment at the Tier II level. It is at the Tier II level that definitive estimates of risk and the basis for determining clean-up goals are provided.

While a BEE must be performed, evaluators are to use best professional judgment based on USEPA guidance. The purpose of this article is to provide further guidance on how to perform a BEE and to provide direction on the format and content of the final report delivered to the SRP.

The objective of the Baseline Ecological Evaluation is to examine the site for the co-occurrence of (1) contaminants of potential ecological concern, (2) environmentally sensitive areas, and (3) a chemical migration pathway to these sensitive areas. The intent is to use existing site documents, existing analytical data, and the results of a qualitative site visit to document these conditions in a brief report submitted as part of the Site Investigation (SI) report or as a stand-alone document if conducted outside of the SI. A work plan is not required for Tier I investigations. The BEE report should include, but is not limited to, the following information:

# 1. Contaminants of Potential Ecological Concern (COPEC)

Analytical data must be presented in a tabular format according to media and chemical fraction. Sample quantitative limits and data qualifiers should be included. If an adequate number of samples were taken and it is appropriate for the area of concern, the arithmetic mean, maximum concentration detected, 95 percent upper confidence limit, concentration range, and frequency of detection should be included. It is important to report measurement of parameters affecting toxicity to biota (e.g., total organic carbon, particle grain size, alkalinity, hardness) was well as standard field parameters (e.g., temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen). No potential COPEC should be excluded from consideration without proper justification pursuant to USEPA guidance.

Maximum measured contaminant concentrations are to be compared to ecotoxicologically-based benchmarks, or screening values, using a "weight of evidence" approach. If the measured concentration exceeds the benchmark, further assessment may be warranted since the potential for adverse ecological effects is indicated. For contaminants typically considered to biomagnify, concentrations below the screening values do not necessarily negate the potential for adverse effects; these should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. SRP currently recommends the media-specific screening values from the following references:

#### a. Surface Water

- New Jersey Surface Water Quality Standards, N.J.A.C.7:9B
- Federal Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Acute/Chronic Aquatic Life Protection, 40 CFR Part 131

#### b. Sediment

- "Guidelines for the protection and management of aquatic sediment quality in Ontario," Ontario Ministry of the Environment, ISBN 0-7729-9248-7, 1993, Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton. (Fresh water sediments)
- "Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments," Environmental Management 19:81-97, 1995, Long, E.R., D.D. MacDonald, S.I. Smith, and F.D. Calder. (Estuarine and marine sediments)
- Briefing Report to the EPA Science Advisory Board on the Equilibrium Partitioning Approach to Generate Sediment Quality Criteria," EPA 440/5-89-002.

#### c. Soil

The scientific literature and various ecotoxicological databases should be consulted. Suggested references include:

- "Contaminant Hazard Reviews," Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, various publication dates, Eisler, R.
- "Toxicological Benchmarks for Wildlife: 1994," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, Opresko, D.M., B.E. Sample, and G.W. Suter.
- "Toxicological Benchmarks for Screening Potential Contaminants of Concern for Effects on Terrestrial Plants: 1994 Revision," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, Will, M.E., and G.W. Suter.

Note that all benchmark values are intended to be used for screening purposes only and must not be considered as regulatory criteria or site-specific cleanup numbers. Any screening values used should be presented alongside analytical summary data in tabular format.

# **Ecological Risk Assessment In NJDEP's SRP: Conducting A Baseline Ecological Evaluation**(continued)

#### 2. Environmentally Sensitive Areas

Environmentally sensitive areas are thoroughly described in N.J.A.C. 7:1E-4.10. If present on-site, adjacent to the site, or under the influence of the site in any manner, these areas should be briefly described in the BEE report. Included should be a qualitative description of land use and major ecological habitat types, including natural and manmade areas (e.g., forested wetland, old field, waste lagoons, wildlife refuge). A map indicating sensitive area boundaries and an estimation of area covered by these habitat types, etc., should be provided. More comprehensive habitat and wildlife (plant and animal) surveys are usually reserved for the Tier II process.

 Contaminant Migration Pathways to Environmentally Sensitive Areas

The potential for contaminants to migrate from the source to receptors must be evaluated during a site visit and documented in the BEE report. The text should include a description of potential chemical migration pathways. For example, surface impoundments may affect a receptor via direct exposure, they may contaminate groundwater that then discharges to a surface water body, and they may contaminate surrounding soil or surface water bodies via overflow and overland transport. It is appropriate to include a qualitative comparison of contaminants in various media with known site-related contamination.

A "Results and Discussion" section should summarize and interpret findings of the evaluation and present a reasonable decision regarding the need for further studies, based on technical information and best professional judgment. For example, a slight exceedance of a conservative screening value for one compound in one media would likely not warrant further investigation.

In summary, the BEE is a streamlined evaluation conducted with limited data using conservative assumptions for parameters where site-specific data are lacking. While the results of the BEE may overestimate actual risk, a "no further action" decision can be supported without additional investigation. If the results of the BEE indicate the realistic potential for ecological risk at the site, the appropriate conclusion will be that further site-specific investigation is needed at the Tier II level, which must be conducted in strict accordance with USEPA guidance ("Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume II, Environmental

Evaluation Manual," EPA/540/1-89/001, and the associated supplementary guidance "Ecological Update Series").

For further information, please contact the Bureau of Environmental Evaluation and Risk Assessment, Environmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment Unit, at 609-633-1348.

### Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation Oversees A Cleaner New Jersey

By: Michael Tompkins Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation Bureau of Field Operation

The mission of the Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation (DRPSR) is to remediate contaminated sites by maximizing the privately funded, contaminated site cleanup activities within the State. This mission supports that of the Department of Environmental Protection by requiring those responsible for contamination to conduct and fund the cleanup, thereby minimizing the expenditure of public funds.

In most cases, cleanups overseen by the DRPSR are first reported to the Department's 24 hour hotline. Last Fiscal Year, the hotline, which resides in the DRPSR's Discharge Response Element, received almost 21,000 environmental incidents/complaints, of which over 12,500 were further investigated by the Division. The balance (approximately 8,500) was handled by the other programs within the Department. Twenty percent of the Division's 12,500 incidents were actual emergencies or situations that could become emergencies and were handled by the Discharge Response Element's Bureau of Emergency Response (BER). Among the more dramatic emergencies BER responded to included the Shell Oil fire in Middlesex County and the Anitra oil spill impacting the southern New Jersey coast just prior to Memorial Day. Of the remaining 10,000 non-emergency incidents/complaints received by the DRPSR, approximately 3,700 were referred to either local or county health agencies. The remaining cases were either from regulated underground storage tank (UST) facilities and industrial establishments, or were spills/releases from other sources (i.e., homeowner oil tanks, drums, etc.). Those parties responsible for spills, in which the discharge did not result in an emergency or immediate threat to public health (and were not currently under the Division's oversight), were offered Division oversight via the Voluntary Cleanup Program. Parties willing to take part in this program, do so by signing a Memorandum of Agreement

# **DRPSR Oversees A Cleaner New Jersey** (continued)

(MOA) with the Division. The MOA is a contract whereby a party agrees to investigate and cleanup a discharge in accordance with the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation (Tech Rules, N.J.A.C. 7:26E) and reimburse the Division for the time and material it expends. The Division, in turn, agrees to dedicate its resources to provide oversight and technical guidance to those conducting the remediation. During Fiscal Year 1996 (FY96), a record 1,436 MOAs were entered into by parties wishing to voluntarily clean-up their sites, and nearly 1,200 MOAs were closed, with sites, or contaminated portions of sites cleaned up.

Regulated UST facilities in New Jersey reported approximately 600 releases from leaking tanks or their piping systems during FY96. Of these, as well as ongoing cleanups reported during prior fiscal years, 620 releases were cleaned-up and 2,880 cleanups of UST related contamination are currently underway.

Not all of the sites at which the DRPSR oversees cleanups come in to the Department through the hotline. Pursuant to the Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA), many industries in New Jersey are required to evaluate their property prior to sale, cessation of operations, etc. During FY96, approximately 620 industrial establishments performed this evaluation. Of these establishments, approximately 75 discovered they had areas in which a cleanup was necessary. Of these, as well as establishments that had entered the ISRA process prior to FY96, 65 sites had completed cleanups, with approximately 935 remediations currently under way.

During Fiscal Year 1996 (July 1995 - June 1996), the DRPSR fulfilled this mission utilizing a staff of 317. As of the end of FY96, the DRPSR was providing oversight for approximately 6,000 cases in New Jersey requiring cleanup. Over the years, DRPSR has had to initiate innovative approaches to provide oversight for so many cases. These include delegation of case-specific decision-making down to the case manager and supervisor level. The Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks has developed the "Cooperative Venture Program" in which the responsible parties of one or many sites can prioritize their sites with the Bureau and develop mutual schedules based on risk (see the Summer 1995 SRP Newsletter for a full description of this initiative). The result has been more expedient cleanups that are protective of the environment and public health. The Division has also worked hard to continue providing outreach in the form of informational speeches and training, further educating both the regulated community and the consulting firms that are conducting the clean-ups in this state. As a result of this outreach, the DRPSR has seen

closer adherence to the technical requirements for the Site Remediation Program (7:26E), resulting in improved submittals of site evaluations, remediation plans, and remedial action reports. Overall, these improvements have resulted in fewer multiple reviews by the Division, a reduced need in having to go back and perform additional work, and a resultant cost savings to those conducting cleanups. The Division has also developed a closer working relationship with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), resulting in a greater number of cases being overseen utilizing federal resources. For example, 39 emergency cases which met the criteria of the joint EPA-DEP Memorandum of Understanding were referred for EPA cleanup or oversight, saving the N.J. Spill Fund more than \$2.3 million and countless DRPSR staff hours.

Assistance to parties responsible for cleanups that continued in FY96 included disbursement of low interest loans and grants, overseen by the Department of Commerce's Economic Development Authority. During FY96, 145 loans and grants were approved, with a total of \$18,076,000 disbursed. Additionally, a refocus on intended land use has been undertaken (Brownfields Initiative), which has allowed for, among other things, capping of contamination where it could be shown that such a cap would be protective of human health and where groundwater would not be impacted. A prime example of this is the Mercer Waterfront Stadium, home of the Trenton Thunder AA professional baseball team.

For Fiscal Year 1997, we expect an increase in oversight work for the Division. This will require an even better prepared and educated staff, and a need for even better submittals from consultants servicing both the regulated community and residents of this State. Still, we remain optimistic that all priority sites will be remediated effectively and in a timely manner. As contaminated sites in New Jersey continue to be cleaned, as parties responsible for cleanups voluntarily come forward and do so, and as long as the DRPSR can continue to provide oversight, we will continue to see a cleaner New Jersey well into the future.

#### **General Information:**

Please be sure to include the box number on all mail addressed to the Industrial Site Evaluation Element. Some mail has been received by the element many weeks past the date on the correspondence due to the omission of the box number. The proper way to address mail to the element is:

Section Name or Case Manager's Name Industrial Site Evaluation Element CN 028 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0028

# **List of SRP Publications**

### **General Information**

| <b>Document Name</b>  | Published                 | Description  | Contact   | Price        |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| Site Remediation<br>Program Report  | Annual<br>Report          | Legislative and program report updates   | Bureau of Planning & Systems<br>CN413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 292-9418                           | No<br>Charge |
| Site Remediation<br>Newsletter  | Quarterly<br>Newsletter   | Remedial programs and progress   | Program Support Element<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 633-1373                               | No<br>Charge |
| Known Contaminated<br>Sites in New Jersey<br>(KCS NJ)   | Semi-<br>Annual<br>Report | Comprehensive listing of approximately 7,000 known contaminated sites  | Maps & Publications<br>CN 417<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0417<br>(609) 777-1038 or 1039                           | \$15.00      |
| Publicly Funded<br>Cleanups Site Status<br>Report   | Annual<br>Report          | Listing and summary descriptions<br>of approximately 300 publicly<br>funded sites undergoing<br>remedial action            | Bureau of Community Relations<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 984-3081                         | No<br>Charge |
| NJ Superfund Sites<br>on the National<br>Priorities List  | 8/96 Fact<br>Sheet        | List of Superfund sites in NJ, including county and municipality in which each site is located                             | Bureau of Community Relations<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 984-3081                         | No<br>Charge |
| Site Information<br>Program   | 1996 Flier                | Description of SRP program for information on known contaminated sites   | Bureau of Community Relations<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 984-3081                         | No<br>Charge |
| Revitalizing New<br>Jersey's Brownfields<br>– Industrial/Commercial<br>Contaminated Site<br>Reuse | 8/96<br>Booklet<br>l      | Summary of issues and programs related to remediation of Brownfield sites  | Bureau of Community Relations<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 984-3081                         | No<br>Charge |
| Homeowner<br>Assistance<br>Guideline  | 12/96 Fact<br>Sheet       | Provides guidance concerning<br>remediation of releases of #2<br>fuel oil (home heating fuel)<br>at residential properties | Bureau of Field Operations<br>Case Assignment Section<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-1421 | No<br>Charge |
| Choosing an Environmental Consultant for Site Remediation   | 9/96<br>Brochure          | Informational brochure on selecting a consultant for cleaning up a contaminated site                                       | Discharge Response Element<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-1421                            | No<br>Charge |

| <b>Document Name</b>  | Published                                     | Description  | Contact   | Price        |
|---|---|--|---|--------------|
| Voluntary Cleanup<br>Program's Information<br>Package   | 9/96  | Description of the Voluntary<br>Cleanup Program & MOA<br>Residential and Non Residential<br>Applications, as well as common<br>questions and answers | Bureau of Field Operations<br>Case Assignment Section<br>CN 434<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0434<br>(609) 292-2943   | No<br>Charge |
| Billing Brochure  | 9/93  | Information on the NJDEP<br>Responsible Party Site<br>Remediation Program<br>Oversight Costs   | Bureau of State Case Management<br>Environmental Claims<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-0701 | No<br>Charge |
| Spill Fund<br>Compensation/<br>Sanitary Landfill<br>Contingency Fund  | Brochure<br>(Updated<br>as<br>necessary)      | Outlines the two funds<br>administered by the Environmental<br>Claims Administration   | Bureau of State Case Management<br>Environmental Claims<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-2947 | No<br>Charge |
| Environmental Claims<br>Application Spill<br>Compensation and<br>Control Act Sanitary<br>Landfill Facility<br>Closure and<br>Contingency Fund Act | 8/94<br>Application<br>Forms &<br>Instruction | Application for Damage Claim   | Bureau of State Case Management<br>Environmental Claims<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-2947 | No<br>Charge |
| Spill Fund Annual<br>Report   | Annual  | Summary of Fiscal Year events for Spill Fund   | Bureau of State Case Management<br>Environmental Claims<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-2947 | No<br>Charge |
| Hazardous Discharge<br>Site Remediation<br>Fund Proposal  | 4/94  | Guidelines for submitting a<br>loan or grant application<br>from the Hazardous Discharge<br>Site Remediation Fund                                    | Bureau of State Case Management<br>Environmental Claims<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-2947 | No<br>Charge |
| Technical Gui   | dance   |  |   |              |
| Field Sampling<br>Procedures Manual   | 1992  | Sampling procedures  | Maps & Publications<br>CN 417<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0417<br>(609) 777-1038 or 1039                             | \$25.00      |
| Alternative Ground<br>Water Sampling<br>Techniques Guide  | 1994  | Guidance   | Maps & Publications<br>CN 417<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0417<br>(609) 777-1038 or 1039                             | \$5.00       |

| <b>Document Name</b>  | Published    | Description  | Contact   | Price        |
|---|--------------|--|---|--------------|
| *Field Analysis<br>Manual   | 1994         | Sampling procedures  | Maps & Publications<br>CN 417<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0417<br>(609) 777-1038 or 1039                             | \$7.00       |
| Guidance Document<br>for the Remediation of<br>Contaminated Soils           | Revised 6/96 | Mandated by Section 38 of P.L. 1993, Chp. 139, this guidance document describes remedial actions pertaining to soils   | Maps & Publications<br>CN 417<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0417<br>(609) 777-1038 or 1039                             | \$5.25       |
| *Declaration of<br>Environmental<br>Restrictions Guidance<br>Document (DER) | 8/93         | Legal Document that restricts the use of contaminated property; holds owner(s) to the regulatory/ statutory requirements for cleanup   | Industrial Site Evaluation Element<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 984-1351                      | No<br>Charge |
| Classification<br>Exception Areas<br>Final Guidance                         | 4/95         | Explains the standards used to protect the State's ambient ground water from pollution and how exception areas are identified  | Bureau of Ground Water<br>Pollution Abatement<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 292-5262           | No<br>Charge |
| Revised Soil Cleanup<br>Criteria  | Revised 7/96 | Provides risk-based soil cleanup<br>criteria based on land use<br>evaluating two pathways: direct<br>contact and impact to ground water  | Bureau of Environmental<br>Evaluation & Risk Assessment<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 633-7413 | No<br>Charge |
| *NJPDES - DGW<br>Technical Manual   |              | Explains the application process<br>for permits issued by the Site<br>Remediation Program for the<br>New Jersey Pollutant Discharge<br>Elimination System-Discharge to<br>Ground Water. Includes application | Maps & Publications<br>CN 417<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0417<br>(609) 777-1038 or 1039                             | \$5.00       |

### **Underground Storage Tank Information**

| Straight Talk on<br>Tanks  | 1/96<br>Booklet | Leak detection methods for<br>petroleum underground storage<br>tanks and piping regulated in<br>New Jersey                                 | Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 292-8761 | No<br>Charge |
|--|-----------------|--|---|--------------|
| Guide for the<br>Submission of<br>Remedial Action<br>Workplans   | 3/95<br>Booklet | Guidance to assist responsible<br>parties who are required to prepare<br>a Remedial Action Workplan for<br>remediating a contaminated site | Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 292-8761 | No<br>Charge |
| Don't Wait Until<br>1998 - Spill, Overfill,<br>and Corrosion<br>Protection for USTs<br>Regulated in NJ | 1/95<br>Booklet | Meeting the 12/22/98 deadline for upgrade of regulated underground storage tanks   | Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 292-8761 | No<br>Charge |

| <b>Document Name</b>  | Published                      | Description  | Contact  | Price        |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| Permit Application<br>Instructions  | 1995                           | Covers information for new,<br>existing and upgrading storage<br>tanks, as well as Construction and<br>Discharge Related Permits, Appli-<br>cation to Install or Substantially<br>Modify an Underground Storage<br>Tank System | Bureau of Field Operations<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-0708   | No<br>Charge |
| Tank Contractors<br>Certification Package   | 1/95                           | Helps a candidate prepare for initial certification tests or renewal of certification  | Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 292-8761  | No<br>Charge |
| Underground Storage<br>Tank Closure Plan<br>Approval Application  | 9/90<br>2/94                   | Covers proposed activities,<br>UST removal or abandonment,<br>required certifications and<br>more  | Bureau of Field Operations<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708   | No<br>Charge |
| <b>Industrial Site</b>  | Recove                         | ry Act Information   |  |              |
| *Industrial Site<br>Recovery Act Limited<br>Site Review<br>Application<br>N.J.S.A. 13:1K-11.3           | 1/95<br>Application<br>Package | Simplifies the procedures to apply<br>for and obtain an approval for a<br>Limited Site Review  | Bureau of Field Operations<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708   | No<br>Charge |
| *Industrial Site<br>Recovery Act Areas<br>of Concern Waiver<br>Application<br>N.J.S.A. 13:1K-11.4       | Application<br>Package         | Simplifies the procedures to apply<br>for and obtain an approval for an<br>Area of Concern Waiver  | Bureau of Field Operations<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708   | No<br>Charge |
| *Industrial Site<br>Recovery Act<br>Regulated Underground<br>Storage Tank Waiver<br>N.J.S.A. 13:1K-11.6 |                                | Simplifies procedures to apply for<br>and obtain an approval for a<br>Regulated Underground Storage<br>Tank Waiver   | Bureau of Field Operations<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708   | No<br>Charge |
| Consolidated Permit<br>Application Form for<br>Construction and<br>Discharge Related<br>Permits         | 11/92 Fact<br>Sheet            | Informative Fact Sheet and consolidated application form pertaining to construction and discharge related permits  | Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 292-8761  | No<br>Charge |
| *Application for<br>ISRA Applicability<br>Determination   | 5/95                           | Form used to obtain an<br>Applicability/Non-applicability<br>Determination from NJDEP<br>pursuant to the Industrial Site<br>Recovery Act   | Bureau of Environmental Evaluation,<br>Cleanup & Responsibility Assessment<br>CN 432<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 777-0899 | No<br>Charge |

| <b>Document Name</b>  | Published                    | Description   | Contact  | Price        |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| *Expedited Review<br>Application<br>N.J.S.A. 13:1K-11.2   | 1/95                         | Simplifies procedures for the application process when applying for and receiving an Expedited Review   | Bureau of Field Operations<br>Initial Notice Section<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708                       | No<br>Charge |
| *Industrial Site<br>Recovery Act<br>Remediation in<br>Progress Application<br>N.J.S.A. 13:1K-11.5 | 5/95                         | Simplifies procedures to apply for<br>and obtain approval for a waiver<br>from further compliance with<br>Industrial Site Recovery Act                                | Bureau of Field Operations<br>Initial Notice Section<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708                       | No<br>Charge |
| *General Information<br>Notice (GIN) and Fee<br>Submittal Forms                                   | 1994 Fact<br>Sheet &<br>Form | Application Form for ISRA review  | Bureau of Field Operations<br>Initial Notice Section<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708                       | No<br>Charge |
| *ISRA Preliminary<br>Assessment Report<br>(PAR) Form  | 7/95 Form<br>& Report        | Form used as a foundation for completing a preliminary assessment report  | Bureau of Field Operations<br>Initial Notice Section<br>CN 435<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0435<br>(609) 633-0708                       | No<br>Charge |
| Application for ISRA<br>Remediation<br>Agreement  | 4/94<br>Application          | Used when requesting a Remediation Agreement from NJDEP   | Bureau of Federal Case Management<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-1455  | No<br>Charge |
| Application for ISRA<br>DeMinimus Quantity<br>Exemption   | 12/87<br>Affidavit           | Allows the owner/operator of<br>an industrial establishment to<br>receive a De Minimus Quantity<br>exemption  | Bureau of Environmental Evaluation,<br>Cleanup & Responsibility Assessment<br>CN 432<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0432<br>(609) 777-0899 | No<br>Charge |
| *Application for ISRA<br>Certificate of Limited<br>Conveyance                                     | 12/87                        | Allows the applicant to transfer<br>up to one third the value of an<br>Industrial Establishment without<br>having to remediate the entire<br>Industrial Establishment | Bureau of Environmental Evaluation,<br>Cleanup & Responsibility Assessment<br>CN 432<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0432<br>(609) 777-0899 | No<br>Charge |
| *Negative Declaration<br>Affidavit  | 1/94<br>Affidavit            | Declares there have been no<br>discharges or any discharges<br>have been remediated   | Bureau of Environmental Evaluation,<br>Cleanup & Responsibility Assessment<br>CN 432<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0432<br>(609) 777-0899 | No<br>Charge |
| Self-Guarantee<br>Guidelines  | 4/94 Fact<br>Sheet           | Provides guidance for completing an application for Self-Guarantee  | Bureau of Federal Case Management<br>CN 028<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0028<br>(609) 633-1480  | No<br>Charge |

| <b>Document Name</b>   | Published                                       | Description  | Contact   | Price        |
|--|---|--|---|--------------|
| Site Safety & 1  | Health  |  |   |              |
| E-Z HASP   | Document<br>or<br>Diskette                      | Shell document HASP Health and<br>Safety Plan available on diskette<br>in WP/QA format   | Office of Site Safety & Health<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 984-9779              | \$5.00       |
| HASP Minimum<br>Requirements for<br>Contractors  | Document  | OSHA 1910 Requirements to be<br>completed by Contractors at<br>Hazardous Waste Sites   | Office of Site Safety & Health<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 984-9779              | No<br>Charge |
| Student Manual for<br>8 Hour OSHA<br>Refresher Course  | Document  | The manual given to each attendee<br>of the OSSH provided program;<br>OSHA 1910.120 annual 8 hour<br>refresher course for Hazardous Waste  | Office of Site Safety & Health<br>CN 413<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0413<br>(609) 984-9779              | \$2.50       |
| Regulations  |   |  |   |              |
| *Technical<br>Requirements for<br>Site Remediation<br>(7:26E)  | Published<br>NJ Register<br>Effective<br>6/7/93 | Provides the department's minimum technical requirements for remediating a contaminated site   | Office of Administrative Law<br>CN 049<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0049<br>(609) 588-6606                | \$30.75      |
| Proposed Readoption<br>with Amendments<br>Technical<br>Requirements for Site<br>Remediation                      |   | Technical requirements for the remediation of contaminated sites throughout the state 1-800-328-4480 ext. 76707  | Copies of the New Jersey Register may be obtained from: West Publishing                           |              |
| Department Oversight<br>of the Remediation of<br>Contaminated Sites<br>(N.J.A.C. 7:26C)                          | Adopted 5/17/93                                 | Sets forth how any person may<br>obtain the department's oversight<br>to participate in the remediation<br>of contaminated sites   | Office of Administrative Law<br>CN 049<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0049<br>(609) 588-6606                | \$24.50      |
| *Ground Water<br>Quality Standards<br>(N.J.A.C. 7:9-6)   | Published<br>New Jersey<br>Register<br>Feb.'93  | Rules which explain the ground water standards that must be met for acceptable cleanup   | Office of Administrative Law<br>CN 049<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0049<br>(609) 588-6606                | \$16.50      |
| Regulations<br>Implementing the NJ<br>Underground Storage<br>of Hazardous<br>Substances Act<br>(7:14B-1-13 & 15) | Effective<br>Date<br>11/18/92                   | Provides the regulating program<br>for the prevention and remediation<br>of unauthorized discharges of<br>hazardous substances caused by<br>releases from underground<br>storage tanks (UST) systems | Office of Administrative Law<br>CN 049<br>Trenton, NJ 08625-0049<br>(609) 588-6606                | \$21.50      |
| Remedial Priority<br>System Regulations<br>(N.J.A.C. 7:26F)  | Published<br>NJ Register<br>12/16/96<br>Issue   | Rule that provides a relative ranking system for contaminated sites  | Copies of the New Jersey Register may be obtained from: West Publishing 1-800-328-4480 ext. 76707 |              |

# Summer 1996 Edition of "Known Contaminated Sites In New Jersey" (KCS NJ)...

is available for purchase through the department's Maps and Publications Sales Office. The KCS NJ lists sites in the state which have confirmed contamination present at levels greater than the applicable cleanup criteria for soil and/or ground water contamination and includes a separate list of sites with unknown sources of contamination.

The cost of the printed edition or a diskette format (ASCII or as a printable report) is \$15.00 for each option selected. Please direct all purchase requests to: NJDEP Maps and Publications Sales Office, CN417, Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0417. For more information, Maps and Publications can be reached at (609)777-1038 or 1039.

#### SITE REMEDIATION NEWS

State of New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection
Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation
CN 413

Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0413

Senior Editor ...... Donna Marie Zalis

Editorial Review Board ....... Ron Corcory, Barry Frasco, Linda Grayson, Wayne Howitz, George King, George Klein, Kevin Kratina, Ed Putnam, Dave Sweeney, and Bob Van Fossen.

Graphics Support ...... Kathy DiGregorio

Contributing Writers ........ Sharon McLelland, Nate Byrd, Rob Schrader, John Prendergast, Nancy Hamill, Edward Demarest, and Michael Tompkins.

Printed on 100% Recyclable Paper....

PLEASE RECYCLE

### Site Remediation News Alphabetical Index

By: Kenneth F. Smith, Industrial Site Evaluation Element Included with this edition of the Site Remediation News (SRN) is the annual update of the alphabetical index of articles found in the SRN (called ECRA UPDATE from Oct '89-Oct '91). The index is arranged using a key word or words from the title of the article. In some cases, an article title appears more than once. For example, an article dealing with soil cleanup was included under "Cleanup" and "Soil."

The index is updated once a year and included as an attachment to the edition published after the new year. If you have any suggestions for changes, please send them to Kenneth F. Smith, Industrial Site Evaluation Element, CN-028, Trenton, NJ 08625. If you would like to receive one or more back issues of the SRN or ECRA UPDATE, an order form has been included after the index. Although the most current issue of the SRN is distributed free of charge, a charge of \$5.00 per back issue is being instituted for this special service.

Please send your order form, with a check made payable to "Treasurer, State of New Jersey", to George H. Klein, Assistant Director, Program Support Element, Attn: Site Remediation News, CN413, Trenton, NJ 08625-0413.

We regret that we cannot make copies of individual articles.

State of New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection
Site Remediation Program
CN 028
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0028
(609) 292-9120

Christine Todd Whitman, Governor Robert C. Shinn, Jr., Commissioner

#### Site Remediation News Alphabetical Index

(October 1989 – August 1996)

| Subject<br>Agent  | Index #             | Issue     |
|---|---------------------|-----------|
| The Authorized, Helpful Hint  | Vol 1/No 1/Pg 1     | (Oct 89)  |
| Agreement   |                     |           |
| Memorandum of   | Vol 4/No 4/Pg 8     | (Oct 92)  |
| Memorandum of, New Site Remediation Initiative                          |                     |           |
| Memorandum of, Update   |                     |           |
| Analysis  |                     |           |
| Field, Revised Manual   | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 4     | (Sumr 94) |
| Of Remedial Action Workplan Rejections                                  | Vol 7/No 1 Pg 6-7   | (Wntr 95) |
| Remedial Alternative  | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 1     | (Nov 93)  |
| Applicability (ECRA/ISRA)   |                     |           |
| Determination Analysis  | Vol 1/No 1/Pg 3-4   | (Oct 89)  |
| Determination Analysis  | Vol 2/No 1/Pg 3     | (Jan 90)  |
| Determination Analysis  |                     |           |
| Determination Analysis  | Vol 3/No 2/Pg 4     | (Apr 91)  |
| Determination Analysis  | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 13-14 | (July 91) |
| Determination Analysis  | Vol 3/No 4/Pg 6     | (Oct 91)  |
| Determination Analysis  | Vol 4/No 1/Pg 5     | (Jan 92)  |
| Background Contamination  | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 4     | (Nov 93)  |
| Guidance for Sampling to Determine                                      |                     |           |
| Bankruptcy  |                     |           |
| Issue   | Vol 2/No 3/Pg 7     | (July 90) |
| Issue   | _                   |           |
| Matters and the Case Management Strategy                                | Vol 2/No 3/Pg 7     | (July 90) |
| Bioremediation  |                     |           |
| Treatability Work Plans, Evaluation of                                  |                     |           |
| Works! Environmental Innovation is Cheaper & Better for the Environment | Vol 4/No 4/Pg 3     | (Oct 92)  |
| Case Law Decisions  |                     |           |
| NJ Supreme Court Tackles Insurance Pollution Coverage                   |                     |           |
| Re Heldor Industries; Re Torwico Electronics                            | _                   |           |
| Storage of Heating Oil is Enough  | Vol 4/No 3/Pg 3     | (July 92) |
| Case Management   |                     |           |
| Cleanup Oversight   |                     |           |
| HEC   |                     |           |
| LEC, Focus on Prompt Processing   | <b>O</b> .          |           |
| MEC   |                     |           |
| Strategy  |                     |           |
| Strategy, Bankruptcy Matters and  | Vol 2/No 3/Pg 7     | (July 90) |
| Case Processing   | X 1 4 0 X 4 0 X     | (0 + 02)  |
| Reorganization Expedites ECRA LEC                                       | Vol 4/No 4/Pg 1     | (Oct 92)  |
| Certification   |                     |           |
| Of Persons Engaged in Remediating Contaminated Sites                    | _                   |           |
| Underground Storage Tank Services Bill Signed                           |                     |           |
| Underground Storage Tank Services Suspended                             | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 9     | (Wntr 95) |

NOTE: From October 1989 - October 1991, Site Remediation News was named ECRA Update.

| Subject<br>Claims   | Index #                  | Issue      |
|---|--------------------------|------------|
| Damage Processing, New Rules/Regulations for                                      | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 4-5        | (Sumr 94)  |
| Classification Exception Areas (CEAs)   | Vol 7/No 3/Pg 9-11       | (Sumr 95)  |
| Cleanup   |                          |            |
| Alternative Technologies, Approved Plans Using                                    | Vol 1/No 1/Pg 6          | (Oct 89)   |
| Contaminated Site, Progress With Public Funds - New Funding Initiatives On Ballot | Vol 8/No 2/Pg 6-9        | (Aug 96)   |
| Deactivating Your EPA ID Number Can Protect You After the                         | Vol 4/No 2/Pg 4-5        | (Apr 92)   |
| Environmental Technology Commercialization  | Vol 8/No 2/Pg 1-2        | (Aug 96)   |
| Implementation Schedule   |                          |            |
| Opening Statement (Article by Asst. Comm. Miller re Voluntary Cleanup)            | Vol 4/No 3/Pg 3          | (July 92)  |
| Opening Statement (Article by Asst. Comm. Miller re Voluntary Cleanup)            | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 3          | (Apr 93)   |
| Oversight   | Vol 2/No 1/Pg 5          | (Jan 90)   |
| Oversight   | Vol 2/No 4/Pg 5          | (Oct 90)   |
| Oversight Case Management   | Vol 3/No 2/Pg 1, 5-6     | (Apr 91)   |
| Reporting Costs   | Vol 4/No 1/Pg 1          | (Jan 92)   |
| Soil Criteria   | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 1          | (Nov 93)   |
| Soil Criteria, Guidance for Use of  | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 1-2        | (Wntr 95)  |
| Soil Criteria, Revisions to the   | Vol 6/No 1/Pg 13,17-19   | (Apr 94)   |
| Soil Criterion, New for Lead, Residential Use Direct Contact Exposure Pathway     | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 9          | (Wntr 95)  |
| Standards, Development of Draft Regulations for                                   | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 5-6        | (July 91)  |
| Standards for Contaminated Sites, Clarification of Procedures to Identify         |                          |            |
| Toxic, Environmental Claims Administration Funding for                            | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 5-6        | (Apr 93)   |
| Voluntary Program   | Vol 4/No 2/Pg 12         | (Apr 92)   |
| Voluntary Program, New Developments   | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 14, 16     | (Nov 93)   |
| Cleanup Criteria/Standards  |                          |            |
| Compliance Averaging  | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 6-10       | (Snng 95)  |
| Compliance for Soil   | _                        |            |
| Development of Draft Regulations for  |                          |            |
| Identify for Contaminated Sites, Clarification of Procedures to                   | _                        |            |
| Soil  | _                        |            |
| Soil, Guidance for the Use of   |                          |            |
| Soil, New for Lead, Residential Use Direct Contact Exposure Pathway               |                          |            |
| Soil, Revisions to the  |                          |            |
|   | 101 0/110 1/1 g 13,17 17 | (11p1 > 1) |
| Communications  |                          |            |
| And Decision Making, Technological Enhancements Assist in                         |                          |            |
| Data Sharing Initiatives, Focusing On Productivity Through                        |                          |            |
| Public Access to DEP & SRP Info, Online Resources For                             | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 6-7        | (Mar 96)   |
| Compliance Averaging  | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 6-10       | (Spng 95)  |
| Consultant  |                          |            |
| Caught Forging NJDEP Document and Signature, Poor Planning Leads to               |                          |            |
| Costly Mistake  | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 2          | (Snng 95)  |
| Selecting an Environmental, Use Due Diligence in                                  |                          |            |
|   | , 01 1/11/0 2/1 5 2      | (11p1 >2)  |
| Contaminated Sites  |                          |            |
| Certification of Persons Engaged in Remediating                                   |                          |            |
| Clarification of Procedures to Identify Cleanup Standards for                     |                          |            |
| Cleanup, Progress With Public Funds - New Funding Initiatives On Ballot           |                          |            |
| Ground Water Quality Standards as Applicable to the Remediation of                |                          |            |
| Home Buyers, Recent Developments May Affect                                       |                          |            |
| Human Health Impacts, Site Remediation Program Focused on                         | Vol 7/No 3/Pg 11-13      | (Sumr 95)  |
|   |                          |            |

| Subject  | Index #                | Issue         |
|--|------------------------|---------------|
| Contaminated Sites (continued)                                       |                        |               |
| Known in New Jersey  |                        |               |
| Known in New Jersey, Scheduled for Jan 95, First Quarterly Update of | _                      |               |
| Known in New Jersey, Scheduled for May 95, Quarterly Update of       |                        |               |
| Known in New Jersey, Second Printing                                 |                        |               |
| Summary of Procedures for DEF Oversight of the Remediation of        | v 01 3/100 2/1 g 13    | (1107 93)     |
| Contamination  |                        |               |
| Background   | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 4        | (Nov 93)      |
| Background, Guidance for Sampling to Determine                       | Vol 3/No 1/Pg 6-7      | (Jan 91)      |
| Freon/Infrared Petroleum Hydrocarbon Method (418.1) To Be Replaced   | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 1-2      | (Mar 96)      |
| Cooperative Venture  |                        |               |
| Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks Announces                        | Vol 7/No 3/Pg 1-2      | (Sumr 95)     |
|  |                        | (= ==== , = ) |
| Cost Recovery  | 11.1501.00             | (5 0.5)       |
| Imaging System, NJDEP Implements                                     | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 5-6      | (Spng 95)     |
| Cost(s)  |                        |               |
| Cleanup Reporting  | Vol 4/No 1/Pg 1        | (Jan 92)      |
| Minimizing Remedial  | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 9        | (Nov 93)      |
| Damages  |                        |               |
| Treble, Assignment of, Interim Procedures                            | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 2        | (Apr 93)      |
|  | , 010,110 1,18 2       | (12p1 >0)     |
| Database Administrator   | 11 1 0 0 1 0 TD 0      | (4 00)        |
| Data Sharing Initiatives, Focusing On Productivity Through           | Vol 8/No 2/Pg 3        | (Aug 96)      |
| DEP  |                        |               |
| Dealing with the   | Vol 2/No 3/Pg 8        | (July 90)     |
| DEP Oversight  |                        |               |
| Case Management  | Vol 3/No 2/Pg1 5-6     | (Apr 91)      |
| Cleanup  | _                      |               |
|  | _                      |               |
| Remediation of Contaminated Sites, Summary of the Procedures for     |                        |               |
| Disputs Description Children   | Val 6/Na 1/Da 11       | (4 == 0.4)    |
| Dispute Resolution Guidance  | VOI 6/NO 1/Pg 11       | (Apr 94)      |
| Field Analysis Methods   |                        |               |
| Delineation of Soil Contamination on Hazardous Waste Sites Regulated |                        |               |
| Under ECRA   |                        |               |
| New Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbon in Soil                  |                        |               |
| Revised Manual   |                        |               |
| Site Characterization Using Field Gas Chromatography                 |                        |               |
| Site Characterization Using Field A-ray Fidorescence Spectrometer    | v 01 3/1NO 2/F g 11-12 | (Api 91)      |
| Financial Assurance  |                        |               |
| Documents  |                        |               |
| Reductions   | Vol 4/No 2/Pg 1        | (Apr 92)      |
| Forms  |                        |               |
| and Documents, Site Remediation Program                              | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 7        | (Sumr 94)     |
|  | 5                      | . ,           |
| Ground Water  Alternative Sampling Proposels                         | Vol 7/No 2/Do 2 2      | (Cum 05)      |
| Alternative Sampling Proposals                                       |                        |               |
| Aquifer Remediation, Non-aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPL) and            |                        |               |
| Classification Exception Areas                                       |                        |               |
|  |                        | (2 ))         |

| Subject   | Index #                | Issue            |
|---|------------------------|------------------|
| Ground Water (continued)  |                        |                  |
| Contaminated Aquifers, Evaluating Sites For Natural Remediation of        |                        |                  |
| Contour Map Submittals, Contour Reporting Form to Accompany               | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 5,11-12  | (Wntr 95)        |
| Long Term Monitoring, Variances Accepted for QA/QC Deliverables for Cases | V 100V 100 10          | 04.00            |
| With  | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 13       | (Mar 96)         |
| Monitor Wells, Use of EPA 500 vs 600 Series Methods for Analysis of       | Val 5/Na 2/Da 6 9      | (Nov. 02)        |
| Samples, Determining Situations for Use of                                | _                      |                  |
| NJPDES Discharge Permits, Summary of Changes to                           |                        |                  |
| Quality Standards as Applicable to the Remediation of Contaminated Sites  |                        |                  |
| Quanty standards as Applicable to the Remediation of Contaminated Sites   | VOI 3/140 1/1 g 0      | (Apr 73)         |
| Guidelines  |                        |                  |
| Final Report  | Vol 2/No 3/Pg 1, 4     | (July 90)        |
| Hazardous Waste   |                        |                  |
| Generator Seminar Series  | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 7        | (Mar 96)         |
|   | _                      |                  |
| Hazsite Database  | Vol 7/No 3/Pg 4        | (Sumr 95)        |
| Homa Duviara  |                        |                  |
| Home Buyers Recent Developments May Affect                                | Vol 7/No 3/Pg 6        | (Sumr 05)        |
| Recent Developments way Affect  | VOI //NO 3/1 g 0       | (Suiii 93)       |
| Human Health Impacts  |                        |                  |
| Freon/Infrared Petroleum Hydrocarbon Method (418.1) To Be Replaced        |                        |                  |
| Site Remediation Program Focused on                                       | Vol 7/No 3/Pg 11-13    | (Sumr 95)        |
| Index   |                        |                  |
| Alphabetical, Site Remediation News                                       | Vol 7/No 3/Pg 13-21    | (Sumr 95)        |
| Alphabetical, Site Remediation News                                       |                        |                  |
| Impliance teat, site itemediation items                                   | v or 0/110 1/1 g 10 23 | (17141 70)       |
| Insurance   |                        |                  |
| Homeowner's Coverage for Leaking USTs                                     |                        |                  |
| Pollution, NJ Supreme Court Tackles Coverage                              | Vol 6/No 1/Pg 4-5      | (Apr 94)         |
| Laboratory  |                        |                  |
| Mobile, Site Remediation Program  | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 3        | (Sumr 94)        |
| ·   | C                      | ,                |
| Land  | W 1 C/N 1/D 1 2        | (4 04)           |
| Future Use, Key Consideration in Remedy Selection                         | Vol 6/No 1/Pg 1-2      | (Apr 94)         |
| Landfill  |                        |                  |
| Sanitary, Contingency Fund  | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 4        | (Sumr 94)        |
| I 1 OCC: -:- 1-   |                        |                  |
| Local Officials Naturalina With   | Val 4/Na 2/Da 1 2      | (4 02)           |
| Networking With   | _                      |                  |
| Working with  | VOI 3/1NO 2/Fg 9, 11   | (1 <b>10</b> 93) |
| Memorandum of Agreement   | Vol 4/No 4/Pg 8        | (Oct 92)         |
| New Site Remediation Initiative   | Vol 4/No 1/Pg 8        | (Jan 92)         |
| Update  | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 2, 11    | (Apr 93)         |
| Monitor Wells   |                        |                  |
| Ground Water, EPA 500 vs 600 Series Methods for Analysis of Samples,      |                        |                  |
| Determining Situations for Use of   | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 6-8      | (Nov 93)         |
| And Recovery, Proper Grouting and Installing                              | <u> </u>               |                  |
|   |                        | (11p1 ) 1)       |
| NJPDES Permits  |                        |                  |
| Ground Water Discharge, Summary of Changes to                             |                        |                  |
| Ground Water Discharge, Proposed Amendments/Rules                         |                        |                  |
| Permit-By-Rule  | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 13-14    | (Mar 96)         |
|   |                        |                  |

| Subject NJPDES Permits (continued)   | Index #             | Issue     |
|--|---------------------|-----------|
| Site Remediation Program Issues Revised Technical Manual                     | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 3     | (Spng 95) |
| Natural Remediation  |                     |           |
| Of Contaminated Aquifers, Evaluating Sites For                               | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 2-6   | (Mar 96)  |
| Supporting a Ground Water and Soil Proposal                                  | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 7-12  | (Mar 96)  |
| Supporting a Ground Water and Soil Proposal (ERRATA to the Article           |                     |           |
| Published in Vol 8/No 1)   | Vol 8/No 2/Pg 4-5   | (Aug 96)  |
| Permits  |                     |           |
| Air Pollution Control, Application Requirements for                          | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 16    | (Sumr 94) |
| Environmental, Do You Have a Question About                                  |                     |           |
| Hazardous Waste, Continuing Education Seminar On                             | _                   |           |
| NJPDES Ground Water Discharge, Summary of Changes to                         |                     |           |
| Technical Advisory Subcommitee Group   |                     |           |
| UST Requirements   | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 12    | (Spng 95) |
| Pinelands Commission   |                     |           |
| Coordination Between DEP and the   | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 7     | (Apr 93)  |
| Program Organization   |                     |           |
| Bureau of Contract Management Creates Cost Evaluation & Control Section      | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 12-13 | (Nov 93)  |
| Bureau of Emergency Response, on the Delaware                                |                     |           |
| Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks  |                     |           |
| Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks, Reorganization & Overview of the        |                     |           |
| Mailing Procedures, Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation Expedites |                     |           |
| Office of Natural Resource Damages - Program Overview & Importance of        | _                   |           |
| Coordination With Site Remediation   | Vol 8/No 2/Pg 5-6   | (Aug 96)  |
| Voice Mail, Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation Gets              | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 15-17 | (Mar 96)  |
| Public Service   |                     |           |
| Access to DEP & SRP Info, Online Resources For                               | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 6-7   | (Mar 96)  |
| Site Information Program Provides  |                     |           |
| Quality Assurance/Quality Control  |                     |           |
| Data Reviews   | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 10    | (July 91) |
| Deliverables For Cases With Long Term Ground Water Monitoring, Variances     | 2                   | ` ',      |
| Accepted to Reduce   | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 13    | (Mar 96)  |
| Regulations  |                     |           |
| Development of Preliminary Draft for Cleanup Standards                       | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 5-6   | (Inly 91) |
| Legislative, 1991 Changes  |                     |           |
| Opening Statement (Article by Asst. Commissioner Miller)                     |                     |           |
| Proposed NJPDES Discharge to Ground Water                                    | _                   |           |
| Technical, Department Adopts   |                     |           |
| Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances Act, Amendments to               |                     |           |
| Underground Storage Tank, History of in New Jersey                           |                     |           |
| Remedial Action Workplans  |                     |           |
| Analysis of Rejections   | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 6-7   | (Wntr 95) |
| Approved Cleanup Plans Using Alternative Technologies                        |                     |           |
|  |                     | (         |
| Remedial Alternative Analysis  | Vol 5/No 2/Da 1     | (Nov. 02) |
| Anarysts   | V OI J/INO Z/Fg I   | (1107 93) |
| Remediation  |                     |           |
| Approved Cleanup Plans Using Alternative Technologies                        |                     |           |
| Delineation  |                     |           |
| DEP Specifies Info Required for Soil Venting Systems                         | v 01 0/1NO 2/Pg /   | (Sumr 94) |

| Subject  | Index #                               | Issue        |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Remediation (continued)  |                                       |              |
| Information Required for Soil Venting Pilot Tests & Systems                      | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 9-18                    | (Sumr 94)    |
| ISRA Sites, Use of Innovative Technologies for                                   | Vol 6/No 1/Pg 13-15                   | . (Apr 94)   |
| Natural, of Contaminated Aquifers, Evaluating Sites For                          | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 2-6                     | . (Mar 96)   |
| Natural, Supporting a Ground Water and Soil Proposal                             | Vol 8/No 1/Pg 7-12                    | . (Mar 96)   |
| Natural, Supporting a Ground Water and Soil Proposal (ERRATA to the              |                                       |              |
| Article Published in Vol 8/No 1)   | Vol 8/No 2/Pg 4-5                     | . (Aug 96)   |
| Of Contaminated Soil, Guidance Document for the                                  | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 5-6                     | . (Sumr 94)  |
| Of Contaminated Soil Via Low Temperature Thermal Desorption                      | Vol 3/No 2/Pg 10                      | . (Apr 91)   |
| Technical Advisory Subcommittee, Alternative Technologies                        | Vol 3/No 2/Pg 6-9                     | (Apr 91)     |
| Demont   |                                       |              |
| Report Site Remediation Program Issues Site Investigation/Remedial Investigation |                                       |              |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 0 10/Attach             | (Wate 05)    |
| Checklist  | voi //No 1/Pg 9-10/Attacii            | . (WIIII 93) |
| Reviews  |                                       |              |
| Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC Data)                                   | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 10                      | . (July 91)  |
| Technical, on Innovative/Alternative Proposals, Ten Factors That Can             | _                                     |              |
| Expedite   | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 6-7                     | . (July 91)  |
|  | _                                     | •            |
| Rules  | 11.1.601.100.011                      | (1 01)       |
| NJPDES Discharge to Ground Water, Proposed                                       |                                       |              |
| Permit-By-Rule   |                                       |              |
| Status of  | Vol 4/No 4/Pg 9                       | . (Oct 92)   |
| Sampling Analysis  |                                       |              |
| Alternative Ground Water Techniques, New Guide for                               | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 6                       | (Sumr 94)    |
| Ground Water Monitor Wells, Use of EPA 500 vs 600 Series Methods for             |                                       | . (2 , )     |
| Analysis of, Determining Situations for Use of                                   | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 6-8                     | . (Nov 93)   |
| New Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbon in Soil Method                       |                                       |              |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbon Residues in Soil, Analytical Cleanup Methods for           |                                       |              |
|  | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | ,            |
| Soil   |                                       |              |
| And "Sediment", Differentiation Between, Responsible Parties Need to             |                                       |              |
| Exercise Care In   | _                                     |              |
| Cleanup Criteria   | _                                     |              |
| Cleanup Criteria, Guidance for the Use of  |                                       |              |
| Cleanup Criteria, Revisions to the   | Vol 6/No 1/Pg 13,17-19                | . (Apr 94)   |
| Cleanup Criterion, New for Lead, Residential Use Direct Contact Exposure         | 11.1501.40                            | (TTT - 0.5)  |
| Pathway  | C                                     | ` /          |
| Compliance Averaging   |                                       |              |
| Compliance Criteria for  |                                       |              |
| Contaminated, Guidance Document for Remediation of                               | _                                     |              |
| Contaminated, Remediation Via Low Temperature Thermal Desorption                 | Vol 3/No 2/Pg 10                      | (Apr 91)     |
| Contamination, on Hazardous Waste Sites Regulated Under ECRA Program,            | W-12NI- 1/D- 6                        | (I 01)       |
| Field Delineation of   |                                       |              |
| Erosion, Sediment Control & Clean Fill   |                                       |              |
| Management and Reuse   | _                                     |              |
| Mathad Nam Tatal Danasan Ila Datadanan Hadasan kan in                            |                                       |              |
| Method, New Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbon in                           |                                       |              |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbon Residues In, Analytical Cleanup Methods for                | <u> </u>                              | ,            |
| Reuse Plan   | v 01 2/100 3/Pg b                     | . (July 90)  |
| Vapor Extraction of Petroleum Hydrocarbons, New Air Permit Conditions            | Vol 7/No 2/Do 5 6                     | (Cum= 05)    |
| Announced for  |                                       |              |
| Venting Systems, DEP Specifies Info Required for                                 |                                       |              |
| vending dystems, DEI specifies into required for                                 | v 01 0/140 2/1 g /                    | . (Duill 74) |

| Subject Smill Frank Claims   | Index #              | Issue     |
|--|----------------------|-----------|
| Spill Fund Claims Damage Processing, New Rules/Regulations for           | Vol 6/No 2/Da 4 5    | (Sumr 04) |
| Emergency Response Claims Filed Under the NJ Spill Compensation Fund     |                      |           |
| Environmental Claims Administration, Funding                             |                      |           |
| -  | 7 01 3/110 1/1 g 3 0 | (11p1 )3) |
| Subcommittee/Workgroup   | ******               |           |
| Environmental Technology Commercialization                               | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory   | 2                    | , ,       |
| Technical Advisory   |                      |           |
| Technical Advisory   | 2                    |           |
| Technical Advisory Dermit Crown  | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory, Permit Group   | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory Technical Advisory                                    | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory   | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory, Alternative Technologies                             |                      |           |
| Technical Advisory, Alternative Technicologies  Technical Advisory       | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory   | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory   | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory   | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory   | _                    |           |
| Technical Advisory   | _                    |           |
| ·  | -                    |           |
| Tank Registration and Billing  | _                    |           |
| Changes in Requirements  | _                    |           |
| Common Questions   | _                    |           |
| 5 Commonly Asked Questions on  |                      |           |
| 1992 "Billing Season" Starts   | _                    |           |
| Tips   | Vol 3/No 2/Pg 13     | (Apr 91)  |
| Tanks  |                      |           |
| For Sale   |                      |           |
| Heating Oil Releases, Homeowner Assistance Guidelines for Remediation of |                      |           |
| Leaking, Homeowner Insurance Coverage for                                | Vol 6/No 1/Pg 2-4    | (Apr 94)  |
| 1998 Deadlines, BUST Announces Outreach Effort to Help UST Owners/       |                      |           |
| Operators Comply With  | •                    |           |
| Owners & Operators, Upgrade Deadlines Approach for                       | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 9-10   | (Apr 93)  |
| Telephone Numbers  |                      |           |
| Site Remediation Program   | Vol 6/No 2/Pg 8      | (Sumr 94) |
| Voice Mail, Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation Gets          |                      |           |
| *  | C                    |           |
| Treble Damages   | V 15/N 1/D 0         | (4 02)    |
| Assignment of, Interim Procedures  | Vol 5/No 1/Pg 2      | (Apr 93)  |
| Underground Storage Tanks  |                      |           |
| Changes in Requirements  | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 10-12  | (Spng 95) |
| Clarification on Technical Procedures to Investigate                     |                      |           |
| Deadlines for  |                      |           |
| Fee Rule   | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 5-6    | (Wntr 95) |
| General Questions Regarding  | _                    |           |
|  |                      |           |
| History of NJ Legislation/Regulation                                     | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 14-15  | (Spng 95) |
| Homeowner and  |                      |           |
| Improvement Fund Loan  |                      |           |
| New Fees Become Effective  | _                    |           |
| 1992 "Billing Season" Starts   | Vol 4/No 1/Pg 7      | (Jan 92)  |
|  |                      |           |

| Subject   | Index #              | <b>Issue</b> |
|---|----------------------|--------------|
| Underground Storage Tanks (continued)                               |                      |              |
| Permit Prototypes   | Vol 4/No 2/Pg 11     | (Apr 92)     |
| Permitting Requirements   |                      |              |
| Questions to Ask Concerning   | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 4-5    | (July 91)    |
| Registration of   | Vol 5/No 2/Pg 13, 16 | (Nov 93)     |
| Registration Tips   |                      |              |
| Services Certification Bill Signed                                  | Vol 3/No 4/Pg 8      | (Oct 91)     |
| Services Certification Suspended                                    | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 9      | (Wntr 95)    |
| Technical Deadlines Extended  | Vol 3/No 4/Pg 8      | (Oct 91)     |
| Types of System Monitoring  | Vol 7/No 2/Pg 15-19  | (Spng 95)    |
| Voluntary Cleanup Program   | Vol 4/No 2/Pg 12     | (Apr 92)     |
| New Developments  |                      |              |
| Opening Statement (Article by Asst. Commissioner Miller)            |                      |              |
| Opening Statement (Article by Asst. Commissioner Miller)            |                      |              |
|   | C                    |              |
| Wells   |                      |              |
| Ground Water Monitor, EPA 500 vs 600 Series Methods for Analysis of |                      |              |
| Ground Water Samples, Determining Situations for Use of             |                      |              |
| Monitor & Recovery, Proper Grouting & Installing                    |                      |              |
| Search Procedures, Summary of                                       | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 11-12  | (July 91)    |
| Well Search Procedures  |                      |              |
| Summary of  | Vol 3/No 3/Pg 11-12  | (Inly 91)    |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~                              | 01 0/110 0/1 g 11 12 | (301) /1)    |
| Workplan  |                      |              |
| Remedial Action, Analysis of Rejections                             | Vol 7/No 1/Pg 6-7    | (Wntr 95)    |
|   |                      |              |

| Site Remediation News Back Issue Order Form Cost is \$5.00 per issue. | Please send your Order Form, along with a check made payable to "Treasurer, State of New Jersey," to George H. Klein, Assistant Director, Program Support Element, ATTN: Site Remediation News, CN-413, Trenton, NJ 08625-0413. |                      |  |
|---|---|----------------------|--|
| Name:   | Phone No. (   | )                    |  |
| Address:  |   | Amount Enclosed: _\$ |  |
| Subject   | Index   | Issue                |  |
|   |   |                      |  |
|   |   |                      |  |
|   |   |                      |  |
|   |   |                      |  |
|   |   |                      |  |