FILED GURBIR S. GREWAL 10:20 am, May 11, 2021 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY Attorney for Plaintiff Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex 25 Market Street P.O. Box 117 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0117 By: Brian R. Fitzgerald Deputy Attorney General NJ Attorney ID: 024972004 (609) 376-2965 brian.fitzgerald@law.njoag.gov SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - ESSEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. ESX-L-005429-20 MARLENE CARIDE,) COMMISSIONER OF THE) NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND INSURANCE, Plaintiff, Civil Action ORDER OF FINAL JUDGMENT v.) BY DEFAULT) JOAO CARVALHO and) OAK BUILDERS, INC.,))

Defendants.

THIS MATTER HAVING BEEN opened to the Court on the application of Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General of New Jersey, (by Brian R. Fitzgerald, Deputy Attorney General, appearing), attorney for Plaintiff, Marlene Caride, Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance on a motion for judgment by default; and

)

Defendants, Joao Carvalho ("Carvalho") and Oak Builders, Inc. ("Oak Builders") (collectively, "Defendants"), having been duly served with copies of the Summons and Complaint in the abovecaptioned action, and default having been entered for Defendants' failure to appear, answer, or otherwise defend;

This Court now finds that Defendants (a) made a written statement intended to be presented to an insurance company in support of a claim for payment pursuant to an insurance policy knowing that the statement contained false information concerning material facts; (b) made oral statements to an insurance company in support of a claim for payment pursuant to an insurance policy knowing that the statement contained false information concerning material facts; and (c) conspired with others to commit insurance fraud, all in violation of the New Jersey Insurance Fraud Prevention Act, N.J.S.A. 17:33A-1 to -30 ("Fraud Act").

Specifically Defendants: (a) provided an insured an invoice in support of an insurance claim that included charges for work that was never performed, in violation of N.J.S.A. 17:33A-4(a)(2); (b) told an insurance company in support of an insured's claim that the work charged on the invoice was performed, when in fact it was not, in violation of N.J.S.A. 17:33A-4(a)(1); and (c) conspired with the insured to make a claim for payment for the work listed on the invoice that was never performed, in violation of N.J.S.A. 17:33A-4(b). FINAL JUDGMENT is on this **11th** day of **May** 2021, is entered in the total amount of \$20,572.00 against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff, Marlene Caride, Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance. This amount consists of (i) \$15,000.00 in civil penalties against Defendants, jointly and severally, for three (3) violations of the Fraud Act pursuant to 17:33A-5(c); (ii) attorneys' fees of \$3,477.00, jointly and severally, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5(c); (iii) cost of service in the amount of \$95.00 against Defendants, jointly and severally, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5(c); (iv) a statutory fraud surcharge of \$1,000.00 against Carvalho, individually, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5.1; and (v) a statutory fraud surcharge of \$1,000.00 against Oak Builders, individually, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5.1.

> /s/ Thomas R. Vena Thomas R. Vena, J.S.C., J.S.C.

This motion was:

_____ Opposed
_____ Unopposed