MATTHEW J. PLATKIN ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY Attorney for Plaintiff Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex 25 Market Street P.O. Box 117 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0117

By: Anna M. Lascurain Deputy Attorney General NJ Attorney ID: 006211994 (609) 376-2965 Anna.Lascurain@law.njoag.gov

> SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY SPECIAL CIVIL PART - MONMOUTH COUNTY DOCKET NO. MON-DC-006521-24

JUSTIN ZIMMERMAN, ACTING)	
COMMISSIONER OF THE NEW	Civil Action
JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF	
BANKING AND INSURANCE,	ORDER FOR FINAL JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
KENDRA CAVERLY,)	
) Defendant.	

THIS MATTER HAVING BEEN opened to the Court on the application of Matthew J. Platkin, Attorney General of New Jersey, (by Anna M. Lascurain, Deputy Attorney General, appearing), attorney for Plaintiff, Justin Zimmerman, Acting

MON-DC-006521-24 02/07/2025 Pg 2 of 5 Trans ID: SCP2025559584

Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance on a motion for final judgment by default; and

Defendant, Kendra Caverly ("Defendant"), having been duly served with a copy of the Summons and Complaint in the abovecaptioned action and default having been entered for failure to appear, answer, or otherwise defend;

This Court now finds that Defendant violated the New Jersey Insurance Fraud Prevention Act, N.J.S.A. 17:33A-1 to -30 ("Fraud Act"), specifically N.J.S.A. 17:33A-4(a)(4)(b) and -4(a)(5) and N.J.S.A. 17:33A-4(a)(3)(a) and (b), by knowingly providing a Statement of No Loss ("SONL") to Progressive Insurance Company ("Progressive") in which she falsely represented that she had not been in any prior accidents between June 12, 2021 and June 30, 2021 in support of her application to reinstate her automobile insurance policy which had lapsed when, in truth and in fact, Defendant was involved in a one-car accident during the period when the policy had lapsed;

FINAL JUDGMENT is on this day of 2025, entered in the amount of \$12,020.98 against Defendant Kendra Caverly and in favor of Plaintiff, Justin Zimmerman, Acting Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance. This amount consists of: a \$5,000.00 civil penalty for one violation of the Fraud Act, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-

2

MON-DC-006521-24 02/07/2025 Pg 3 of 5 Trans ID: SCP2025559584

5(b); attorneys' fees of \$5,000.00, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5(b); and a statutory fraud surcharge of \$1,000.00 pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5.1; and restitution of \$1,020.98 (\$972.30 principal plus prejudgment interest of \$48.68) payable to Progressive Insurance Company ("Progressive");

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to N.J.S.A. 39:6A-15, Defendant's driving privileges shall be suspended for a period of one year from the date of this judgment; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that a copy of this Order be served upon all parties within ____7___ days of the date of receipt.

/s/ Gregory L. Acquaviva Gregory L. Acquaviva, J.S.C.

Statement of Reasons

Defendant is in default. This motion is unopposed.

The unrebutted moving papers demonstrate that Defendant made false statements of no loss to Progressive in an effort to seek insurance coverage during a period in which coverage lapsed. The essential facts are well-supported and unrebutted. Because such establishes a violation of the Insurance Fraud Prevention Act, specifically N.J.S.A. 17:33A-4(a)(4)(b) & (a)(5), as well as N.J.S.A. 17:33A-4(a)(3)(a), the State is entitled to various reliefs, namely a judgment in the amount of \$12,020.93, representing:

1. A \$5,000 civil penalty;

2. Reasonable counsel fees of \$5,000;

3. A fraud surcharge of \$1,000, N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5.1; and

4. Restitution of \$1,020.98 (principal plus interest).

Moreover, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 39:6A-15, Defendant's driving privileges are properly revoked.

As to the counsel fees requested, the court has little difficulty concluding the request is reasonable when compared to the factors enumerated in Rule of Professional Conduct 1.5(a). Notably, the \$5,000 requested is a compromised amount. The actual amount of fees total in excess of \$6,202.50.

Plaintiff was wholly successful. The hourly rates charged by counsel are imminently reasonable, as to is the quantum of hours. All other factors are neutral.

This unopposed and well-supported motion for default judgment is granted.