

Water Audit Trends in the Delaware River Basin: 2012-2021

Water Management Advisory Committee (WMAC)

Sara Sayed Michael Thompson, P.E

February 8, 2023

This presentation was given at the February 8, 2023, WMAC Meeting. Content may not be published or re-posted in whole or in-part without the DRBC's permission.



Outline

1. Background

- a. Water Loss Control: Historical Context
- b. Water Audits in the Delaware River Basin
- 2. Extent of data collected by DRBC
- 3. Previous DRBC publications
- 4. Summary of CY2021 water audits
- 5. Planned trend analyses





300

200

100

1980

1990

2000

2010

2020

Date (Year)

2030

Historical and projected water withdrawals from the Delaware River Basin

10.0

-7.5

Population (millions)

-2.5

-0.0

2060

2050

2040

Population Category

--- Projection

GW

SW

Water Designation

Historic Estimate

RGANIZED 1882.

(Brackett, 1904) J. NEWWA

Report On The Measurement, Consumption and Waste of Water Supplied to the Metropolitan Water District

- Data on towns recently 100% metered
- Quantify water losses via meter calculations
- Meters only been used "but a few years", error not more than 2-3%
- Conclusion: "a large percentage of water delivered into the mains from the reservoir or pumps is <u>unaccounted for</u> by the meters."

(Metcalf et al., 1912) NEWWA Committee of Water Consumption

%UFW becomes an accepted indicator

"In general, it may be said that if, in a wellmetered system, the water-unaccounted-for does not exceed 25 per cent, of the total pumpage, the practice is good."

(Hazen et al., 1916) *NEWWA* Committee on *Meter* Rates –

- Analysis on the "Amount of Water Not Accounted For"
- Assessed 35 systems across the country
- "The water not accounted for averaged 27.0 per cent. of the total output."

MORE RESEARCH!

- (Howson, 1928) 44 systems, %UFW of 26.5%, or approximately 31 gallons per capita per day unaccounted for
- (Mabee, 1928) 121 cities, %UFW averaged 24.72%
- (Whitman, 1932) 12 cities, %UFW averaged 25%

NT, CONSUMPTION AND TO THE METROPOLITAN

the statements or opinions of any of its

AWWA "Water Work Practice" 1926 Textbook

"Water unaccounted for' is that portion of the water flowing into a distribution system which is not delivered to the consumers."



(Case, 1950) "No specific percentage of unaccounted-for water can be regarded as satisfactory for all water systems... Many factors must be considered before it can be said that the unaccounted-for figure is too high or too low."

(Cook, 1939) "The term "unaccounted for water" means but little, as it is too easy, with present day methods, to account for water..." (Haydock, 1947) "Unaccounted-for water means different things to different people... In a completely metered system, unaccounted-for water can therefore be described as nonrevenue-producing water."

Problems with %UFW:

- 1. Components of UFW can be accounted for
- Many measures for a percentage %UFW is a percentage of what?
- Total supply contains UFW... therefore
 30%UFW → 10%UFW ≠ 20% less UFW
- 4. Comparison between systems not equivalent, for example:
 - 2 systems with 1,000 connections & loss = 50 gal/conn/day [gcd])
 - System-A average demand of 150 gcd, the %UFW = 33% (50/150).
 - System-B average demand of 200 gcd, the %UFW = 25% (50/200).
 - Same level of leakage efficiency (50 gcd), System-B seemingly performs better using a percent based indicator simply because each person uses more water (or has a high-use industrial customer).



Water Act 1973: 10 regional authorities Established National Water Council

Northumbrian

Yorkshire

Anglian

Thames

Severn Trent

Vessex

Welsh

Water Act 1989: privatized water industry (authorities -> companies) create National River Authority which were passed the power of licensing abstractions -> NRA

1988-92 drought & public pressure / focus on water losses (NRA, 1992): "The NRA will not normally grant further abstraction licenses for additional water ...unless leakage levels are at, or steps have been taken... to achieve, the economic level by the methods set out in Technical Report No. 26"

1991-1994 UK National Leakage Control Initiative

"*Managing Leakage*" series of 8 reports to update the 1980 "Report 26"

1. Developed a Water Balance & terminology

2. Burst and Background Effects (BABE)

	DISTRIBUTIC	ON INPUT (DI)			
	WATER	TAKEN (WT)			DISTRIBUTION LOSSES (DL)
	Water	Delivered (WD)		DOU	Distribution Losses (DL)
Wat	ter Delivered thro Supply Pipes (WD	hrough Miscellaneous Distribution Losses (I VDS) Water Taken (WTM)		Distribution Losses (DL)	
Measured (WDSM)	Unmeasured Use (WDSU)	Unmeasured Supply Pipe Losses (WDSL)	Miscellaneous Water Taken (WTM)		Distribution Losses (DL)

Figure 4.8 Glossary of Terms (from 'Managing Leakage') ted states of America

UK National Water Council - 1980 "Leakage Control Policy and Practice" (aka Report 26)

- 1. Two methods to calculate leakage via estimating 'unmetered' water (UFW). Supported two metrics:
 - a. Urban: gal / property / hour
 - b. Rural: gal/ mile of main/ hour
- 2. Showed leakage control could be "cost-effective"

Water Act 1983: Abolished National Water Council

AWWA national survey of water works and subsequent analysis of data (every 5-years)

A Statistical Analysis of Water Works Data for 1945

By G. J. Schroepfer, A. S. Johnson, H. F. Seidel and M. B. Al-Hakim

A paper presented on Sept. I, 1948, at the Minnesota Section Meeting. Winnipeg, Man., by G. J. Schroepfer, Prof. of San. Eng., Univ. of Minnesota; A. S. Johnson and H. F. Seidel, Instructors in Civ. Eng., Univ. of Minnesota; and M. B. Al-Hakim, Graduate Student of San. Eng., Univ. of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn.

Year	(s) of survey	No. systems		
	1945	462		
	1950	416		
	1955	497		
	1960	821		
	1965, 1970	861, 768		
197	76, 1978, 1980	760, 1350, 1397		





(Carr & Pike, 1986) : <u>Water Audit and</u> <u>Leak Detection Guidebook</u>

State of California Department of Water Resources, Water Conservation Office

- AWWA California-Nevada Section
- Does not mention UFW

- Introduction to the "Water Audit Worksheet"
- Corrections for meter error & reading lag
- · Quantifiably estimates leakage



1990 – AWWA M36 Manual



<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>

1991 International Water Supply Association (IWSA¹) Report: "Report on Un-accounted for Water and

the Economics of Leak Detection" (Cheong, 1991)

Identifies problems and unnecessary misunderstandings arise due to the differences in definition & calculation of UFW

¹The International Water Supply Association (IWSA) formally merged with International Association on Water Quality (IAWQ) in 1999 to form the International Water Association (IWA), after ongoing discussions since 1996 (Reiter, 2022).

1996 IWA Operation and Maintenance Committee Task Force

- (1) prepare a recommended basic standard terminology for calculation of real and apparent losses
- (2) review and recommend preferred performance indicators for international comparison of losses



J Water SRT -- Aqua Vol. 48, No. 6, pp. 227-237, 1999 (Lambert et al. 1999)

A review of performance indicators for real losses from water supply systems

A. O. Lambert^{*}, T. G. Brown[†], M. Takizawa[‡], and D. Weimer[§], *International Water Data Comparisons Ltd; †AWWA, North American Representative to UFW Task Force; [‡]Tokyo Metropolitan Waterworks Japan; and §Neckarwerke Stutigart AG, Germany

 $UARL = (5.41 L_m + 0.15 N_c + 7.5 L_c) \times P \times 365$ $L_c = N_c * L_p$ ILI = CARL / UARL

where,

UARL	=	unavoidable annual real lossesgallon	s per year
CARL	=	current annual real lossesgallon	s per year
ILI	=	infrastructure leakage indexdimen	sionless
L_m	=	length of mainsmiles	
L_p	=	average length of customer service connection piping fee	t
N_c	=	number of service connectionsdimen	sionless
L	=	total length of customer service piping miles	
P	=	average operating pressurepsi	



(Algeres et al, 2000)



1b. Water Audits in the Delaware River Basin

1976:	(RES 76-17) Program TO REDUCE WATER USE IN BASIN			
1981:	(RES 81-9) Information on water conserving devices and proce	edures		
1983:	(RES 83-14) Depletive Use reduction during drought	Note: RFS 98-21 (1998)		
1986:	(RES 86-12) Source & Service Metering	Dissolves the Ground Water Advisory		
1987:	(RES 87-6 Rev) Leak Detection & Repair	Committee (GWAC) and the Water		
1988:	(RES 88-2 Rev No. 2) Conservation Plumbing Standards	Conservation Advisory Committee (WCAC),		
1992:	(RES 92-2) Water Conservation Pricing	Advisory Committee (WMAC)		
1998:	(RES 98-21) Dissolve GWAC & WCAC, establish WMAC 🚩			
2000:	IWA published "Performance Indicators for Water Supply Serv	ices"		
2009:	AWWA published M36 3 rd edition with IWA/AWWA Water Aud	dit Methodology		
2006-09:	Water Loss Accountability (WMAC involvement)			
2009:	(RES 2009-1) Update water code to include water audit reporting			
2009-11:	"Water Audit" Rule Outreach / Voluntary Implementation (DRBC workshop and webpages)			
2012:	First year for use of new water audit (AWWA FWAS v4.2) – du	e in 2013, received 250 reports		
2015:	AWWA FWAS v.5 required			
2021:	AWWA FWAS v.6 required – 10 years of audits	Delaware River Basin Commiss Delaware • New Jers PENNSYLVANIA • NEW YO		



2. Extent of data collected by DRBC

Table: Summary of AWWA FWAS reports submitted to DRBC.

Year	v4.1	v4.2	v5	v6	Received
2012	3	247			250
2013	2	264	3		269
2014	1	97	169		267
2015		7	269		276
2016		1	299		300
2017			302		302
2018			305		305
2019		1	294		295
2020			156	140	296
2021			4	288	292







4. CY2021 Water Summary

- 304 systems supplying an average of 783 MGD in the DRB
- 207 MGD total water loss from distribution systems





4. CY2021 Water Summary

- Apparent losses can come from customer meter inaccuracies, unauthorized consumption and system data handling errors
- Highest median apparent loss in very large systems (>100,000 connections)



Apparent Losses (Gallons per Service Connection per Day), by System Class



4. CY2021 Water Summary

- Real losses = physical water losses from the system
- Highest median real loss occurs in very large systems (110 gal/con/day)
- High real loss is seen all size categories



Real Losses (Gallons per Service Connection per Day), by System Class



5. Planned Trend Analysis

- DRBC has compiled **10** years of water audits (CY2012-CY2021)
- At this scale, trend work is very limited
 - Most analyses have occurred on a system-bysystem level or statewide
- Analysis of 10 years of water audits assess effectiveness of water audit program
- Results of this work to help guide WMAC with next steps in the program
 - Data validation?
 - Reduction potentials?
- Publication anticipated Q2 2023



FIGURE 2.4. NASHVILLE MWS NRW COMPONENT UNIT VOLUMES

Adopted from AWWA



REFERENCES

Alegre, H., Baptista, K., Cabrera Jr, E., Cubillo, F., Duarte, P., Hirner, W [Wolfram], Merkel, W., & Parena, R. (2000). *Performance indicators for water supply services* (1st ed.). *Manual of best practice*. IWA Publishing.

- AWWA. (1926). Water Works Practice. A Manual. The Williams & Wilkins Company.
- AWWA. (1990). Water Audits and Leak Detection (1st Edition). AWWA manual: M36. AWWA.
- Brackett, D. (1904). Report on the Measurement, Consumption and Waste of Water Supplied to the Metropolitan Water District. *Journal of the New England Water Works Association*, *18*(2), 107–160.
- Carr, C., & Pike, C. (1986). Water Audit and Leak Detection Guidebook. water conservation guidebook no. 5. Sacramento, CA. California Department of Water Resources; AWWA.
- Case, E. D. (1950). Water Waste Surveys and Unaccounted-for Water. Journal American Water Works Association, 42(3), 245–248.
- Cheong, L. C. (1991). Unaccounted for Water and the Economics of Leak Detection. *Water Supply: The Review Journal of the International Water Supply Association*, *9*(3/4).
- Cook, A. T. (1939). Increasing the Efficiency of Water Systems. Journal of the American Water Works Association, 31(7), 1110–1123.
- DOE NWC. (1980). Leakage Control Policy and Practice. London. Department of Environment, National Water Council.
- Haydock, C. (1947). Reducing Unaccounted-for Water. Journal of the American Water Works Association, 39(12), 1204–1210.
- Hazen, A., Cuddeback, A. W., Blackmer, A. E., Tighe, J. L., Bettes, C. R., & Betts, P. (1916). Committee on Meter Rates. Report on Waste: To which is added suggestions for service charges for large meters. *Journal of New England Water Works Association*, *30*(4), 458–473.
- Howson, L. R. (1928). Unaccounted for Water. Journal American Water Works Association, 20(3), 349–369.
- Lambert, A., & Hirner, W [W.]. (2000). Losses from Water Supply Systems: Standard Terminology and Recommended Performance Measures. IWA Blue Pages. International Water Association.
- Lambert, A., Brown, T. G., Takizawa, M., & Weimer, D. (1999). A review of performance indicators for real losses from water supply systems. *Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology—AQUA*, 48(6), 227–237.
- Mabee, W. C. (1928). Unaccounted-for Water. Journal of the American Water Works Association, 19(6), 639–652.
- Metcalf, L., Gifford, F. J., & Sullivan, W. F. (1912). Report of the Committee on Water Consumption Statistics and Records. *Journal of New England Water Works Association*, 27(1), 29–143.

NRA. (1992). NRA Response to Using Water Wisely, A Consultation Paper Published by the DOE/Welsh Office. Bristol, UK. National Rivers Authority.

Whitman, E. B. (1932). Per Capita Water Consumption. *Journal of the American Water Works Association*, 24(4), 515-528.

