

**DOCKET NO. D-2009-040 CP-4**

**DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION**

**Village of Buckingham Springs  
Wastewater Treatment Plant  
Buckingham Township, Bucks County, Pennsylvania**

**PROCEEDINGS**

This docket is issued in response to an application submitted to the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC or Commission) on October 8, 2024 (Application), for renewal of the docket holder’s existing wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and its discharge. The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) issued National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. PA0053279 for this discharge.

The Application was reviewed for continuation of the project in the Comprehensive Plan and approval under Section 3.8 of the *Delaware River Basin Compact*. The Bucks County Planning Commission has been notified of pending action. A public hearing on this project was held by the DRBC on May 7, 2025.

**A. DESCRIPTION**

- Purpose.** The purpose of this docket is to renew the approval of the docket holder’s existing 0.1 million gallons per day (mgd) WWTP and its discharge.
- Location.** The docket holder’s WWTP is located on Service Court at the intersection with Spring Meadow Circle in the Village of Buckingham Springs in Buckingham Township, Bucks County, Pennsylvania. The WWTP will continue to discharge treated effluent to Mill Creek at River Mile 115.63 – 23.39 – 4.95 (Delaware River – Neshaminy Creek – Mill Creek).

The location of the WWTP outfall in the Mill Creek Watershed as follows:

<b>OUTFALL NO.</b>	<b>LATITUDE (N)</b>	<b>LONGITUDE (W)</b>
001	40° 18’ 0.64”	75° 2’ 30.86”

- Area Served.** The docket holder’s WWTP will continue to serve the Village of Buckingham Springs residential development located in Buckingham Township, Bucks County,

Pennsylvania. For the purpose of defining the Area Served, the Type of Discharge and the Service Area sections from the docket holder's Application are incorporated herein by reference, to the extent consistent with all other conditions contained in Section C. DECISION of this docket.

4. **Design Criteria.** The docket holder's 0.1 mgd WWTP utilizes a sequencing batch reactor (SBR) treatment process.

5. **Facilities.** The WWTP facilities consist of a package plant which includes an influent bar screen, flow equalization, treatment in SBR, mechanical filtration and post treatment ultraviolet disinfection. The plant has reed beds for sludge management. Effluent is conveyed to detention ponds prior to discharge to Mill Creek.

The project facilities are not located in the 100-year floodplain.

Wasted sludge is directed to the on-site reed beds.

6. **Water Withdrawals.** The potable water supply in the project service area is provided by the docket holder's water supply distribution system. The docket holder's water withdrawal is described in detail in Docket No. D-1994-049 CP-3, which was approved on March 16, 2016.

7. **NPDES Permit / DRBC Effluent Requirements.** NPDES Permit No. PA0053279 issued by the PADEP includes final effluent limitations for the project discharge of 0.1 mgd to surface waters classified by the PADEP as supporting Warm Water Fishes (WWF) and Migratory Fishes (MF). EFFLUENT TABLE C-1 included in Section C. DECISION Condition C.1. of this docket, contains effluent requirements for DRBC parameters that must be met as a condition of this approval.

8. **Relationship to the Comprehensive Plan.** The existing WWTP was added to the Comprehensive Plan by Docket No. D-2009-040 CP-1 on May 5, 2010. The WWTP approval was renewed by Docket Nos. D-2009-040 CP-2 and D-2009-040 CP-3 on September 10, 2014 and March 13, 2019, respectively. Issuance of this docket (D-2009-040 CP-4) will continue the WWTP in the Comprehensive Plan.

## **B. FINDINGS**

The docket holder applied to renew approval of their existing 0.1 mgd WWTP and its discharge. No modifications to the WWTP are proposed.

### **1. Total Dissolved Solids Determination**

Section 3.10.4.D.2 of the Commission's *Water Quality Regulations (WQR)* states the following:

*"Total dissolved solids shall not exceed 1000 mg/l, or a concentration established by*

*the Commission which is compatible with designated water uses and stream quality objectives, and recognizes the need for reserve capacity to serve future dischargers.”*

The 1,000 mg/l effluent limit was included in Docket Nos. D-2009-040 CP-1 and D-2009-040 CP-2, approved on May 5, 2010 and September 10, 2014, respectively. On November 27, 2014, the docket holder notified DRBC of exceedances of the 1,000 mg/l limit. DRBC staff indicated to the docket holder that a TDS determination may be required if the WWTP effluent was unable to meet the 1,000 mg/l limit and advised the docket holder to perform more frequent TDS effluent monitoring. Bi-weekly effluent monitoring for TDS was performed from October 2014 to May 2017, and the results indicated that the WWTP effluent averaged 1,037 mg/l TDS, with a maximum of 1,228 mg/l.

The docket holder's engineer, Castle Valley Consultants (Engineer), submitted a TDS determination request and TDS questionnaire in the 10/10/2017 DRBC application. The conclusions of the TDS determination questionnaire and the results of other monitoring performed by the docket holder, in support of its request for a TDS variance from the 1,000 mg/l effluent limit, is as follows:

- a) Wastewater treatment chemicals are added to the WWTP treatment process, including ferric chloride to reduce phosphorous, and sodium hypochlorite for chlorination. The addition of these chemicals has the potential to increase TDS in the WWTP effluent, though the Engineer indicated that chemical addition is minimized so as not to increase TDS in the effluent. TDS influent and effluent monitoring performed in 2015 shows that TDS in the WWTP influent (prior to chemical addition) exceeds 1,000 mg/l in half of the samples taken, and that effluent TDS does not consistently exceed influent TDS. This indicates that TDS levels are high prior to chemical addition at the WWTP process, and chemical addition is at worst a minor contributor to exceedances of the 1,000 mg/l TDS limit,
- b) In order to determine if wintertime road salting is a contributor to elevated TDS, the Engineer analyzed TDS levels in the influent and effluent during wet weather rainfall events and during dry weather (no rainfall). The data analysis indicated that TDS levels did not increase or fluctuate during rainfall events, and therefore elevated TDS could not be attributed to road salting.
- c) The Engineer performed raw water sampling for TDS at several locations in the community water supply system. The sampling shows that TDS averaged approximately 400-500 mg/l at the entry point to the community homes. The sampling also indicated elevated water hardness of the public water supply. Although TDS levels are elevated in the public water supply, the public water supply is not the sole contributor to exceedances of the 1,000 mg/l TDS limit,
- d) The docket holder submitted information that the majority of the homes served by the WWTP have water softeners to remove hardness in the public water supply. During the water softener regeneration process, water containing hardness minerals high in TDS is backwashed to the sewer system for conveyance to the WWTP. The Engineer

believes that exceedances of the 1,000 mg/l TDS limit are a result of elevated TDS in the drinking water, and the water softeners backwash. The docket holder and Engineer performed community outreach to inform the Village of Buckingham Springs residents on proper operation and maintenance of the water softeners in order to reduce TDS levels in the WWTP influent.

DRBC staff determined that the monitoring/sampling and other information provided by the docket holder and Engineer support the claim that the elevated TDS levels in the WWTP effluent are not caused by the WWTP operations/processes and may be a result of elevated hardness in the public water supply and water softeners regeneration backwash. Therefore, this docket grants the request for the TDS variance, and includes an average monthly TDS effluent limit of 1,500 mg/l. Additionally, the docket holder is required to monitor WWTP influent for TDS on a monthly basis (See EFFLUENT TABLE C-2 in Section C.1.).

## **2. Other**

Near the project site, Mill Creek has an estimated seven-day low flow with a recurrence interval of ten years ( $Q_{7-10}$ ) of 0.49 mgd (0.76 cfs). The ratio of this low flow to the hydraulic design wastewater discharge from the 0.1 mgd WWTP is approximately 5 to 1.

The nearest surface water intake of record for public water supply is located on Neshaminy Creek approximately 20 River Miles downstream of the docket holder's WWTP and is operated by Aqua Pennsylvania.

The project does not conflict with the Comprehensive Plan and is designed to prevent substantial adverse impact on the water resources related environment, while sustaining the current and future water uses and development of the water resources of the Basin.

The effluent limits in the NPDES Permit conform with Commission effluent quality requirements, where applicable.

The project is designed to produce a discharge meeting the effluent requirements as set forth in the Commission's *Water Quality Regulations (WQR)*.

## **C. DECISION**

Effective on the approval date for Docket No. D-2009-040 CP-4 below, the project described in Docket No. D-2009-040 CP-3 is removed from the Comprehensive Plan to the extent that it is not included in Docket No. D-2009-040 CP-4; Docket No. D-2009-040 CP-3 is terminated and replaced by Docket No. D-2009-040 CP-4; and the project and the appurtenant facilities described in Section A "DESCRIPTION" of this docket shall be continued in the Comprehensive Plan. The project and appurtenant facilities as described in Section A of this docket are approved pursuant to Section 3.8 of the *Compact*, subject to the following conditions:

### **Monitoring and Reporting**

1. The docket holder shall comply with the requirements contained in the EFFLUENT TABLES below. The docket holder shall submit the required monitoring results electronically to the DRBC Project Review Section via email [aemr@drbc.gov](mailto:aemr@drbc.gov) on the **Annual Effluent Monitoring Report Form** located at this web address: <https://www.nj.gov/drbc/programs/project/docket-app-info.html#3>. The monitoring results shall be submitted annually, absent any observed limit violations, by January 31. If a DRBC effluent limit is violated, the docket holder shall submit the result(s) to the DRBC within 30 days of the violation(s) and provide a written explanation that states the action(s) the docket holder has taken to correct the violation(s) and protect against any future violations. The following average monthly effluent limits are among those listed in the NPDES Permit and meet or are more stringent than the effluent requirements of the DRBC.

**EFFLUENT TABLE C-1: DRBC Parameters Included in NPDES Permit**

<b>OUTFALL 001 (Discharge to Mill Creek)</b>		
<b>PARAMETER</b>	<b>LIMIT</b>	<b>MONITORING</b>
pH (Standard Units)	6 to 9 at all times	As required by NPDES permit
Dissolved Oxygen	5.0 minimum	As required by NPDES permit
Total Suspended Solids	30 mg/l	As required by NPDES permit
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (at 20° C)	5/01-10/31 11/01-4/30 10 mg/l 15 mg/l	As required by NPDES permit
Total Dissolved Solids*	1,500 mg/l	As required by NPDES permit
Ammonia Nitrogen (5-1 to 10-31) (11-1 to 4-30)	3.0 mg/l 7.0 mg/l	As required by NPDES permit
Fecal Coliform	200 colonies per 100 ml as a geo. avg.	As required by NPDES permit

\* See DECISION Condition C.3.

**EFFLUENT TABLE C-2: DRBC Parameters Not Included in NPDES Permit**

<b>OUTFALL 001 (Discharge to Mill Creek)</b>		
<b>PARAMETER</b>	<b>LIMIT</b>	<b>MONITORING</b>
Total Dissolved Solids Influent*	Monitor Only	Monthly
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (at 20° C)	85 % minimum removal	Monthly
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (at 20° C) Influent	Monitor Only	Monthly

### **Other Conditions**

2. Except as otherwise authorized by this docket, if the docket holder seeks relief from any limitation based upon a DRBC water quality standard or minimum treatment requirement, the docket holder shall apply for approval from the Executive Director or for a docket revision in accordance with Section 3.8 of the *Compact* and the *Rules of Practice and Procedure*.

3. The docket holder may request the Executive Director in writing the substitution of specific conductance for TDS. The request should include information that supports the effluent specific correlation between TDS and specific conductance. Upon review, the Executive Director may modify the docket to allow the substitution of specific conductance for TDS monitoring.
4. The docket holder is responsible for a timely submittal to the DRBC of a docket renewal application on the appropriate application form including the appropriate docket application filing fee (see 18 C.F.R. 401.43) at least 6 months in advance of the docket expiration date set forth below. The docket holder will be subject to late filed renewal surcharges in the event of untimely submittal of its renewal application, whether DRBC issues a reminder notice in advance of the deadline or the docket holder receives such notice. If a timely and complete application for renewal has been submitted and the DRBC is unable, through no fault of the docket holder, to reissue the docket before the expiration date below, the terms and conditions of the current docket will remain fully effective and enforceable pending the grant or denial of the application for docket approval.
5. The docket holder is permitted to treat and discharge wastewater as set forth in the Area Served Section of this docket, which incorporates by reference the Type of Discharge and Service Area sections of the docket holder's Application to the extent consistent with all other conditions of this DECISION Section.
6. In accordance with the Commission's regulations at 18 C.F.R. Part 440, the docket holder is prohibited from discharging wastewater from high volume hydraulic fracturing ("HVHF") or HVHF-related activities to waters or land within the Basin. The docket holder is further prohibited from discharging hydraulic fracturing wastewater, whether treated or untreated, from sources within or outside the Basin, without obtaining the Commission's prior review and express approval in the form of a revised docket. Violation of this or any condition of this docket approval may result in enforcement, including the risk of financial penalties, pursuant to Section 14.17 of the *Delaware River Basin Compact* and Section 2.7.8 (18 CFR 401.98) of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure*. The facility and operational records shall be available at all times for inspection by the DRBC.
7. The facility shall be operated at all times to comply with the requirements of the Commission's *WQR*.
8. If at any time the receiving treatment plant proves unable to produce an effluent that is consistent with the requirements of this docket approval, no further connections shall be permitted until the deficiency is remedied.
9. Nothing herein shall be construed to exempt the docket holder from obtaining all necessary permits and/or approvals from other State, Federal or local government agencies having jurisdiction over this project.
10. The docket holder shall discharge wastewater in such a manner as to avoid injury or damage to fish or wildlife and shall avoid any injury to public or private property.

11. No sewer service connections shall be made to newly constructed premises with plumbing fixtures and fittings that do not comply with water conservation performance standards contained in Resolution No. 88-2 (Revision 2).
12. The issuance of this docket approval shall not create any private or proprietary rights in the waters of the Basin, and the Commission reserves the right to amend, suspend or rescind the docket for cause, in order to ensure proper control, use and management of the water resources of the Basin.
13. The docket holder shall be subject to applicable DRBC regulatory program fees, in accordance with duly adopted DRBC resolutions and/or regulations (see 18 CFR 401.43).
14. This approval is transferable by request to the DRBC Executive Director provided that the project purpose and area served approved by the Commission in this docket will not be materially altered because of the change in project ownership. The request shall be submitted on the appropriate form and be accompanied by the appropriate fee (see 18 CFR 401.43).
15. The docket holder shall request a name change of the entity to which this approval is issued if the name of the entity to which this approval is issued changes its name. The request for name change shall be submitted on the appropriate form and be accompanied by the appropriate fee (see 18 CFR 401.43).
16. The Executive Director may modify or suspend this approval or any condition thereof, or require mitigating measures pending additional review, if in the Executive Director's judgment such modification or suspension is required to protect the water resources of the Basin.
17. Any person who objects to a docket decision by the Commission may request a hearing in accordance with Article 6 of the *Rules of Practice and Procedure*. In accordance with Section 15.1(p) of the *Delaware River Basin Compact*, cases and controversies arising under the *Compact* are reviewable in the United States district courts.

**BY THE COMMISSION**

**APPROVAL DATE:**

**EXPIRATION DATE:      June 11, 2030**