



DRBC Workshop Water System Audits and Water Loss Control Bordentown, NJ April 13, 2011 Module 2 Session 1 Water Auditing Resources and Tools

George Kunkel, P.E. Philadelphia Water Department AWWA Water Loss Control Committee

AWWA Tools for Water Loss Control

- The "M" Series: Manuals of Practice
 - Guidance Manuals: widely recognized around the world as source of best practices in water utility operations and management
- AWWA Water Loss Control Committee's Free Water Audit Software©
 - Originally released 2006; current Version 4.2 software (2010)
- Water Research Foundation Research Reports
- Textbooks
- www.awwa.com type "water loss control" in search box; select first item in list



C.E.

Research

Report

Infrastructure

I. Philadelphia's Water Audit Features

Compiled in MS EXCEL

- ♦ 30 Worksheets in a single workbook
- Format serves as a "Bottom-up" role; accepting data on detailed field investigations
- Primary water audit worksheet includes 90 detailed components of consumption & loss:
 - System Input volume: 4 components
 - Unbilled authorized consumption: 32 components
 - Apparent losses: 29 components
 - Real losses: 25 components
- Validity rated in qualitative manner; not quantitatively like AWWA software
- Email your request for a copy to: george.kunkel@phila.gov



II. AWWA Website: Water Wiser's Water Loss Control web pages

Type water audit software or water loss control in the search box

http://www.awwa.org/index.cfm		🔽 🐓 🗙 Live Search			
iew Favorites Tools Help					
Safe Drinking Water - American Water	Works Associat			<u>~</u> .	🔊 🕆 🖶 🕈 🔂 Pa
The Authoritative Resource	Water Works Assoc arce on Safe Water	con ciation	ntact Us Avertise With water Advant	h Us Sourceb r audit software	ook S.Ye Map
Home Membership and Sections	AWWA Conferences Bookstore and Education	Professional and Technical Resources	Government and Public Affairs	Publications	About AWWA
JOB SEEKERS	American Water Works Association CareerCenter www.awwa.org/careercenter		EMPLOYERS	Welcome Please Log In Log In	
Breaking	Bipartisan vote moves DWSRF I	bill - House panel to revi	ew infrastructure bank	. 🔼	10

III. AWWA Water Loss Control Committee Projects

2001 States Survey Project

- http://www.awwa.org/files/science/WaterLoss/AWWA-WaterLossPolicy.doc
- 2003: AWWA Water Loss Control Committee Report
 - Published in Journal AWWA in August
 - Applying Worldwide Best Management Practices in Water Loss Control
 - See workshop handouts, or:
 - http://apps.awwa.org/WaterLibrary/showabstract.aspx
 ?an=JAW_0058472

IV. AWWA M36 Publication

♦ 3rd Edition, 2009

- The detailed "how-to" guide for water auditing for water utilities
- Available for purchase from AWWA

Water Audits and Loss Control Programs

MANUAL OF WATER SUPPLY PRACTICES



Advocacy Communications Conferences Education and Training Science and Technologi Sections

Third Editio

36

he Authoritative Resource on Safe Water*

M36 3rd Edition Table of Contents

Chapter 1 – Introduction: Auditing Water Supply Operations and Controlling Losses

- Chapter 2 Conducting the Water Audit
- Chapter 3 Identifying and Controlling Apparent Losses
- Chapter 4 Understanding Real Losses: The Occurrence and Impacts of Leakage
- Chapter 5 Controlling Real Losses: Leakage and Pressure Management

Chapter 6 – Planning and Sustaining the Water Loss Control Program

Chapter 7 – Considerations for Small Systems

Glossary of Terms and Definitions for Water Loss Control

Appendix – Blank Forms, Assessing Water Resource Management, AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software, Case Studies

M36 Publication: Third Edition, 2009

Features

- 1. Conduct annual water audit as standard business practice
- 2. Describes 3 levels of auditing detail:
 - "top-down" approach (desktop gathering of information)
 - component analysis of leakage
 - "bottom-up" auditing (field measurements and investigations)
- 3. Standard terms and definitions for the components of water consumption and loss
- 4. Robust, reliable performance indicators useful for comprehensive performance tracking & benchmarking
 - Volumes & Costs are more important than percentages
- 5. Instructive clear example of a water utility audit
- 6. Applies to systems of all sizes and units of operation

M36 Publication: Third Edition, 2009

- Defines and explains the Occurrence of Leakage in Distribution Systems and Proactive Leakage Management
 - 1. The nature of leakage occurrences in water distribution systems
 - 2. The role of time in leakage management
 - 3. Leakage can be quantified!
 - 4. The role of pressure and leakage
 - 5. Leakage Management: not just "leak detection & repair"
 - Leak Noise Correlating/Loggers/Monitors
 - Continuous Monitors in zones or District Metered Areas (DMA)
 - Inline leak detection of transmission mains
 - Pressure management

System Boundaries

• Raw or untreated water supply

 Regional water agencies often sell water on a wholesale basis to local water utilities



System Boundaries

• Retail Water Supply System

- Typical of most water utilities
- Used in the examples throughout the M36



System Boundaries

- Individual Zones or DMAs of a retail Water Supply System
 - » Can audit individual zones as desired
 - » Becomes complex in systems with many zones



Assembling Water Audit Data: Chapter 2

The M36 has always provided outstanding guidance in the details of auditing

- Water Supplied: source meter data, imports/exports
 - Source meter data: system input
 - Water imported: added
 - Water exported: subtracted
- Master Meter Error Adjustment
 - Added if source meter underregistration exists
 - Subtracted is source meter overregistration exists



Authorized Consumption: water that meets a beneficial use, and is sanctioned by the water utility

- Billed Metered
- Billed Unmetered
- Unbilled Metered
- Unbilled Unmetered





Apparent Losses: cause uncaptured revenue and distort the integrity of customer consumption data

- Customer Metering Inaccuracies
 - Assemble meter demographics from records
 - Conduct regular meter accuracy testing, small samples of meters will suffice
- Unauthorized Consumption
 - Can use default value of 0.25% of water supplied volume
- Systematic Data Handling Errors



Apparent Losses: systematic data OVER handling error

- Category of apparent loss was identified by AWWA WLC Committee
 - Includes all forms of data transfer, handling and archiving error in billing
- The "dark horse" of loss auditing
 - Can be subtle and tedious
 - Can often be readily corrected by programming or procedures improvements
- The suggested starting point is assessing apparent losses – find out what goes on in the billing system! Start with a flowcharting process



Systematic Data Handling Error

- Data Transfer Error
 - Customer meter reading
 - Manual meter reading
 - Limited efficiency in many areas
 - Automatic Meter Reading
 - High data transfer efficiency
 - AMI offers a new world of capabilities
- Data Handling Error
 - Customer Billing Systems
 - Created with financial intentions
 - Used for financial & operations purposes
 - Billing adjustments, non-billed accounts, poor account management



City of Philadelphia – 2nd largest water utility AMR System in the United States

Quantifying Real Losses in the Water Audit

- Top-down approach: real losses are the "catch-all" after authorized consumption and apparent losses are subtracted from water supplied
- Component Analysis: a rigorous accounting based upon findings and repairs of reported and unreported leaks and breaks
- Bottom-up approach: actual field measurements of flow and pressure. Most accurate data through investment of field equipment, measurements and analysis. Personnel gain intimate system knowledge



V. Water Loss Textbooks

- Water Loss Control, 2nd
 Edition (2008)
- Strong guidance on loss control activities
 - Real losses: leakage management
 - Apparent losses:
 - Customer metering
 - Addressing unauthorized consumption
 - Analyzing the customer billing system data



VI. Water Auditing and Loss Control Research Projects

Water Research Foundation

- Project 2811: Evaluating Water Losses and Planning Loss Control Strategies (2006)
- Project 2928: Leakage Management Technologies
- Project 3183: Continuous Leak Monitoring from Start to Repair (final report awaited)
- Project 4372: Effective Organization and Component Analysis of Water Utility Leakage Data (launching in 2011)
- United Kingdom Water Industry Research
 - See the "Managing Leakage" series of reports



WATER RESEARCH

ADVANCING THE Science of Waters



VII. AWWA Free Water Audit Software©

- Version 4.2 issued May 2010
- Designated water audit data collection method by:
 - Delaware River Basin Commission
 - PA PUC Pilot Program (2009-2010)
 - California Urban Water Conservation Council
 - 300 large water utilities
 - State of Georgia
- Users can refer questions or comments to wlc@aww.org

	ter Audit Software	: <u>R</u>	eporting W	<u>orksheet</u>	Back to Instruction
	Notice Sudde Description (Sec.	1-4-1	- Not register reader read.		
Click to access definition	Reporting Year: 200	4	phia water bepar	cheric	
Please enter data in the	white cells below. Where possible, meter	red valu	ies should be used; if m	etered values are unavai	ilable please estimate a value.
Indicate this by selectin	g a choice from the gray box to the left, whe	ere ^N = I	measured (or accurate)	y known value) and $E = e$:	stimated.
	ALL VOLUMES TO BE EN	ITERE	D AS ANNUAL QUAN	TITIES	
WATER SUPPLIED		-			
Magte	volume from own sources:	×	95,526.0	million gallons (Us	() per year million gallong (US) ne
	Water Imported: 2	н	0.0	million gallons (US	3) per year
	Water Exported:	×	7,210.2	million gallons (US	3) per year
	WATER SUPPLIED:	_	89,011.2	million gallons (US	3) per year
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	_				
	Billed metered:	×	57,535.2	million gallons (US	3) per year
	Unbilled metered:	Я	179.3	million gallons (US	3) per year
	Unbilled unmetered:	2	693.6	million gallons (US	3) per year
	AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION:	Ξ Ι	58,408.1	million gallons (US	3) per year
WATER LOSSES (Water Suppl	ied - Authorized Consumption)		30,603.1	million gallons (US	3) per year
Apparent Losses	Unauthorized consumptions		1 145 3	million gallor - (m	2) non mon
Custon	er metering inaccuracies: 7	2	1,145.2	million gallons (US	3) per year 3) per year
	Data handling errors:	Ε	2,751.2	million gallons (US	3) per year
	Apparent Losses:		4,058.9	million gallons (US	3) per year
Real Losses				-/11/11 (194	
Real Logges (water L	osses - Apparent Losses):	_ 1	26,544.2	million gallons (us) per year
	WATER LOSSES:	_	30,603.1	million gallons (US	3) per year
NON_REVENUE WATER					
	NON-REVENUE WATER:		31,476.0	million gallons (US	() per year
SYSTEM DATA					
	Length of mains: 🔽	×	3,160.0	niles	
Number of active AND inac	tive service connections: 7	×	548,289	comm /mile main	
Averag	e length of private pipe:	2	12.0	ft (pip	e length between curbsto
				and	customer meter or proper
	erage operating pressure.		55.0		
COST DATA					
Total annual cost o	f operating water system:	×	\$167,604,000	\$/Year	
Customer retail unit cost	(applied to apparent losses):	н	\$3.95	\$/1000 gallons (US)
Variable production co	st (applied to real losses):	×	\$133.58	\$/million gallons	(US)
DATA REVIEW -	Please review the followin	ng in	formation and :	make changes ab	ove if necessary:
- Input values should be	indicated as either measured	lore	stimated. You ha	ve entered:	
12 as measured values					
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying m	easured or estimated				
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying m - It is important to acc	easured or estimated urately measure the master me	ter -	· vou have entere	d the measurement	type as: measured
 6 as estimated values 0 without specifying m - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems 	easured or estimated urately measure the master me identified	ter -	- you have entere	d the measurement	: type as: measured
<pre>6 as estimated values 0 without specifying m - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems</pre>	easured or estimated urately measure the master me identified	ter -	- you have entere	d the measurement	type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying m - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	easured or estimated urately measure the master me identified	ter -	- you have entere	d the measurement	type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying : - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems PERFORMANCE INDICATORS Financial Indicators	easured or estimated urately measure the master me identified	s per	- you have entere	d the measurement	: type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying m - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems PERFORMANCE INDICATORS Financial Indicators	easured or estimated urately measure the master me identified Non-revenue water a Non-revenue water	s per	cent by volume:	d the measurement	: type as: measured
6 as estimated values O without specifying a - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems PERFORMANCE INDICATORS Financial Indicators	easured or estimated unately measure the master me identified Non-revenue water a Non-revenue water Annual cost Annual cost	s per as p of A	cent by volume: ercent by cost: pparent losses:	35.4% 11.7% \$16,012,518	: type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying = - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems PERFORMANCE INDICATORS Financial Indicators Descriptional Efficiency -	essured or estimated urately measure the master me identified Non-revenue water a Non-revenue water Annual cost Annual	s per as p of A cost	cent by volume: erent by cost: pparent losses: of Real Losses	35.4% 11.7% \$16,012,518 \$3,545,768	: type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 vithout specifying s - It is important to acc - Cost Data' No problem PERFORMANCE INDICATORS Financial Indicators Operational Efficiency In	assured or estimated urately measure the master me identified Non-revenue water Annual cost Annual cost Annual cost Annual cost Annual cost Annual cost	s per as p of A cost	cent by volume: erecent by cost: pparent losses: of Real Losses:	35.4% 11.7% \$16,012,518 \$3,545,768	: type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying r - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problem PERFORMACE INDICATORS Pinancial Indicators Operational Efficiency In	easured or estimated urately measure the master me identified Non-revenue water a Ron-revenue water Annual of dicators Apparent losses per service	ster - s per as p of A cost	cent by volume: ercent by cost: pparent losses: of Real Losses: ection per day:	35.4% 35.4% 11.7% \$16.012.518 \$3.545.768	: type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying p - It is important to acc - Cost Data: No problems PEPPORANCE INDICATORS Financial Indicators Operational Efficiency In	assurad or estimated urately measure the master as identified Non-revenue water a Non-revenue water Annual cost Annual dicators Real losses per service	s per as per of A cost conne	cent by volume: ercent by cost: pparent losses: of Real Losses: mection per day:	d the measurement 35.4% 11.7% \$16.012.518 33.545.768 20.28 gall 132.64 gall	ons/connection/day
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying a - To is important to acc - Cost Bata: No portant Personance Indicators Plannial Indicators Operational Efficiency In	essured or estimated urately mesoure the master me identified Non-revenue water a Non-revenue water Annual of Annual dicators Apparent losses per service Real losses per service Real losses per service	s per as p of A cost conne th of	cent by volume: ercent by volume: ercent by cost: of Beal Losses: ection per day: ction per day:	d the measurement 35.44 11.71 316,012,518 33.545,768 20.28 gall 132.64 gall 1/A	: type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying 3 - It is important to ac - Cost Data: No problems PREFORMACE INFLOATORS Financial Indicators Operational Efficiency In Real losses	assured or estimated urately measure the master me identified Non-revenue water a Monual of Annual of Apparent losses per service Real losses per service Real losses per leng per service connection per d	s per as per of A cost conne th of	cent by volume: ercent by cost: pparent losses: of Real Losses: ection per day: ction per day: r psi pressure:	d the measurement 35.44 11.78 816,012,518 83,565,768 20.28 gal1 132.64 gal1 132.64 gal1 172.64 gal1	c type as: measured
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying p - It is important to use Cost Data: No problem PREPORMET INDICATOR Planneial Indicators Operational Efficiency In Real losses	assured or estimated urately mesore the master as identified Non-revenue water a Non-revenue water Annual cost Annual deators Real losses per service Real losses per service Real losses per leng per service connection per d	ster - s per s per s of A cost conne th of ay pe . Real	<pre>you have entere cent by volume: ercent by cost: pparent losses: of Real Losses: ection per day*: main per day*: main per day*: Losses (UARL):</pre>	d the measurement 35.44 11.78 816,012,518 93,545,768 20.28 gall 132.64 gall 8/A 2.41 gall 5.58 sill	: type as: measured ons/connection/day ons/connection/day ons/connection/day/pai ion galloms/day
6 as estimated values 0 without specifying 3 - If is important to access - Cost Data: No problem Performed Indicators Pinancial Indicators Operational Efficiency In Real losses	An and the second secon	s per as p of A cost conne th of lay pe . Real	cont by volume: ercent by cost: gparent losses: ection per day: ction per day: main per day: r psi pressure: Losses (UARL):	35.41 35.43 31.75 32.601.218 63.545.769 20.28 gall 132.64 941 12.41 941 5.98	type as: measured ona/connection/day ona/connection/day ona/connection/day/pai ion gallom/day

VIII. Regulatory Agencies:State of Texas

- First state to legislate requirement for utility water audits
- House Bill 3338 (2003) required water audits from water utilities for 2005 operations
- Texas Water Development Board selected IWA/AWWA Water Audit Method
- Over 2,000 water audits collected in 2006
- Findings confirmed that many water utilities hadn't previously tracked water efficiency data
- Audit data collection for 2010 year is underway in 2011



Report on evaluation of 2005 data can be found at: http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/RWPG/rpfgm_rpts.asp

State of Georgia

- Decades long struggle for use of water from Lake Lanier; 2009 court ruling went against the City of Atlanta's continued level of withdrawals for water supply
- Landmark Water Stewardship Bill passed March 18, 2010: requires IWA/AWWA water audit by all water utilities by 2013
- Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District: part of Atlanta Regional Commission; oversees +60 water utilities in multi-county Atlanta area
 - Requires water utilities to submit water audits via AWWA Free Water Audit Software©
 - Software training workshops held



www.legis.ga.gov/legis/2009_2010/pdf/sb370.pdf

www.northgeorgiawater.com/files/WSWC_SECTION8.PDF

Delaware River Basin Commission Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

♦DRBC revised its Water Code in March 2009 to incorporate the IWA/AWWA Water Audit Method and AWWA Free Water Audit Software©

Collecting water audits – initially on a volunteer basis - mandatory by 2012

♦PA PUC launched pilot water audit program in 2010 with five companies employing AWWA Free Water Audit Software©:

- Pennsylvania-American Water Aqua Pennsylvania
- United Water
- York Water Company
- Superior Water Company

The two agencies are sharing resources in launching the water audit programs





PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

California

- California Urban Water Conservation Council
 - Consortium of about 300 water utilities that agree to implement best management practices for water conservation
 - Revised BMP 1.2 for Water Loss Control effective 2009
 - Will utilize AWWA Free Water Audit Software©
- www.cuwcc.org/mou/bmp1-utilityoperations-programs.aspx



This is the most ambitious Water Audit and Loss Control Program authorized to date in the US

Five Year Validation Phase: advance utilities to Level IV data validity Years 5-6: conduct component analysis, select performance indicator for leakage control & set target level

Final four years: must meet level for leakage control by year 10 (2019)

New Mexico – Office of the State Engineer

- Adopted IWA/AWWA Water Audit Method and advocates use of AWWA Free Water Audit Software©
- Sponsored pilot water audit and study in several small water utilities



See website references at:

www.ose.state.nm.us/water-info/conservation/h2o-tech-assist.html

IX. Conferences

- AWWA Annual Conference & Exposition June 12-15, 2011 Washington DC
 - Water Loss Control Committee meeting on Monday afternoon, June 13th
 - Water Loss Control Technical Session on Tuesday morning, June 14th
- Distribution System Symposium Sept 11-14, 2011, Nashville, TN
 - Water Loss Control all day technical session on Tuesday, Sept 13th

X. AWWA Water Loss Control Committee

- Promotes water audits and efficiency best practices
- Maintains M36 Publication
- Maintains AWWA Free Water Audit Software©
- Conducts workshops, conference sessions, webcasts and trainings
- Partnered with IWA Water Loss Specialist Group
- ♦ 2011: Water Audit Data Collection Initiative
 - Look for list of validated water audit data later in 2011 on AWWA website

Got Tools???

We've got 'em

- Guidance Manual
- Textbooks
- Research reports
- Web pages
- Software
- Regulatory agencies
- Conferences
- Networking
- Plenty of great tools exist for water auditing and loss control!!!

