

## New Jersey Department of Education Approved Teacher Practice Evaluation Instruments\* as of May 1, 2015\*

### Teacher Practice Evaluation Instruments:

- 5D+ Teacher Evaluation Rubric
- Charlotte Danielson: The Framework for Teaching Learning Sciences International (2007 Edition)
- Charlotte Danielson: The Framework for Teaching (2011 Edition)
- Charlotte Danielson: The Framework for Teaching (2013 Edition)
- Charlotte Danielson: The Framework for Teaching Instructionally Focused Edition (2013)
- Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS)
- Classroom Strategies Scale Model
- Focal Point Teaching Practice Model
- IMPACT: The DCPS Effectiveness Assessment System For School-Based Personnel
- H.E.A.T./Danielson Teacher Evaluation Instrument
- Insight Core Framework
- Kenilworth Teacher Evaluation Instrument
- Lenape Regional Teacher Evaluation Instrument
- Marzano's Causal Teacher Evaluation Model
- Mid-Continent Research for Education and Learning (McREL) Teacher Evaluation Standards
- North Star Academy Teacher Evaluation Rubric
- Pearson Framework for the Observation of Effective Teaching
- Rhode Island Model: Teacher Evaluation & Support System (Edition II)
- Stronge Teacher and Leader Effectiveness Performance System
- Teacher Evaluation and Improvement Instrument
- The College-Ready Promise Teaching Framework (CRPTF)
- The Marshall Rubrics
- The Newark Public Schools
- The New Jersey LoTi Teacher Evaluation
- The Smart Start Teach Elite Evaluation System
- The Thoughtful Classroom Teacher Effectiveness Framework

### Advisory

\*Please note that the approved instrument list will only include instruments that have met the *technical requirements* for use in New Jersey. Any district that will be purchasing instruments is required to follow public bidding laws and regulations in acquiring an evaluation instrument and should consult with their Business Administrator (BA) for guidance. If the BA needs additional support, he or she should contact the appropriate county office of education.

\*\*Note that the instruments on the approved list *will not* have contracts with the state, necessitating that districts develop their own contracts; please refer to our FAQ on public bidding for more information. Additionally, local districts must ensure that they have the supports in place to meet the implementation requirements of the evaluation instrument, such as teacher and administrator training and/or proof of mastery. Related details can be found in our evaluation requirement FAQ

\***Instruments are approved only as version/edition specified here;** districts that make modifications to an approved instrument must submit the instrument with modifications for separate review through [RFQ process](#)

\*\*\*This list is not inclusive of thirteen districts with approved evaluation instruments. Those districts asked that their evaluation instruments not be published