# CITY OF NORTHFIELD BOARD OF EDUCATION

AUDITOR'S MANAGEMENT REPORT ON ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS FINANCIAL, COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE

June 30, 2022

#### AUDITORS' MANAGEMENT REPORT ON ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS FINANCIAL, COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Scope of Audit	2
Administrative Practices and Procedures	
Insurance	2
Official Bonds	2
Tuition Charges	2
Financial Planning, Accounting and Reporting	
Examination of Claims	2
Payroll Account	2
Reserve for Encumbrances and Accounts Payable	3
Classification of Expenditures	
General Classifications	3
Administrative Classifications	3
Board Secretary's Records	3
Chief School Administrator's Records	3
Elementary and Secondary Education Act/Improving America's	
Schools Act as reauthorized by the No Child Left Behind	
Act of 2001	3
Other Special Federal and/or State Projects	4
T. P. A. F. Reimbursement	4
School Purchasing Programs	
Contracts and Agreements Requiring Advertisement for Bids	4
School Food Service	5
Student Body Activities	N/A
Application for State School Aic	7
Pupil Transportatior	7
Facilities and Capital Assets	N/A
Miscellaneous	N/A
Follow-up on Prior Year Finding:	7
Acknowledgment	7
Schedule of Meal Count Activity	N/A
Net Cash Resource Schedule	N/A
Schedule of Audited Enrollments	8-10
Excess Surplus Calculatior	11-12
Recommendations	13



1535 HAVEN AVENUE • OCEAN CITY, NJ • 08226 PHONE 609.399.6333 • FAX 609.399.3710 www.ford-scott.com

#### **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS**

Honorable President and Members of the Board of Education City of Northfield School District County of Atlantic, New Jersey

We have audited, in accordance with generally accepted audit standards and <u>Government Auditing</u> <u>Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the Board of Education of the City of Northfield School District in the County of Atlantic for the year ended June 30, 2022, and have issued our report thereon dated March 14, 2023.

As part of our audit, we performed procedures required by the New Jersey Department of Education, and the findings and results thereof are disclosed on the following pages, as listed in the accompanying table of contents.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City of Northfield Board of Education's management and the New Jersey Department of Education. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Very truly yours,

Ford, Scott & Associates, L.L.C.

FORD, SCOTT & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Nancy Sbrolla

Nancy Sbrolla Certified Public Accountant Licensed Public School Accountant No. 2426

March 14, 2023

#### ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS - FINANCIAL COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE

#### **Scope of Audit**

The audit covered the financial transactions of the Board Secretary/School Business Administrator, the activities of the Board of Education, and the records of the various funds under the auspices of the Board of Education.

#### **Administrative Practices and Procedures**

#### Insurance

Fire insurance coverage was carried in the amounts as detailed on Exhibit J-20, Insurance Schedule contained in the district's ACFR.

#### Official Bonds (N.J.S.A. 18A:17-26, 18A:17-32)

Name	Position	Amount
Linda Albright	Board Secretary/ School Business Administrator	\$228,000

Our audit procedures included an inquiry and subsequent review of health benefit data required per N.J.S.A. 18A:16-13.3 (Chapter 44) submitted for the year under audit. The Chapter 44 summary report was reviewed for reasonableness and timeliness.

The data submitted did include all health benefit plans offered by the District.

The District data certification was completed by the chief school administrator. The District Chapter 44 data was submitted timely.

#### Tuition Charges

A comparison of tentative tuition charges and actual certified tuition charges was made. The actual costs were different than estimated costs. The board made a proper adjustment to the billings to sending districts for the decrease in per pupil costs in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-17.1(f)3.

#### **Financial Planning, Accounting and Reporting**

#### Examination of Claims

An examination of claims paid during the period under review did not indicate discrepancies with respect to signatures, certification or supporting documentation.

#### Payroll Account

The net salaries of all employees of the Board were deposited in the Payroll Account.

Employees' payroll deductions and employer's share of fringe benefits were deposited in the Payroll Agency Account.

All payrolls were approved by the Superintendent and were certified by the President of the Board and the Board Secretary/School Business Administrator.

Salary withholdings were promptly remitted to the proper agencies, including health benefits premium amounts withheld due to the general fund.

Payrolls were delivered to the secretary of the board who then deposited with warrants in separate bank accounts for net payroll and withholdings.

### Reserve for Encumbrances and Accounts Payable

A review of outstanding issued purchase orders was made as of June 30 for proper classification of orders as reserve for encumbrances and accounts payable.

### Classification of Expenditures

The coding of expenditures was tested for proper classification in accordance with NJAC 6A:23-2.2(g) as part of our test of transactions of randomly selected expenditures items. We also reviewed the coding of all expenditures included in our compliance and single audit testing procedures. In addition to randomly selecting a test sample, our sample selection specifically targeted administrative coding classifications to determine overall reliability and compliance with N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-2.4. As a result of the procedures performed, a transaction error rate of 0% overall was noted and no additional procedures were deemed necessary to test the propriety of expenditure classification.

#### A. General Classification Findings

During our testing of transactions there were no significant exceptions found relating to misclassifications.

#### B. Administrative Classification Findings

During our testing of transactions there were no significant exceptions found relating to administrative classifications.

#### Board Secretary's Records

The records of the Board Secretary were in satisfactory condition.

# <u>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (E.S.E.A.)/Improving America's Schools Act (IASA) as reauthorized by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001</u>

The E.S.E.A. financial exhibits are contained within the Special Revenue Section of the ACFR. This section of the ACFR documents the financial position pertaining to the projects under Titles I and VI of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended and reauthorized.

The study of compliance for E.S.E.A. indicated no areas of noncompliance and/or questionable costs.

# **Other Special Federal and/or State Projects**

The district's Special Projects were approved as listed on Schedule A and Schedule B located in the ACFR.

Our audit of the federal and state funds on a test basis, indicated that obligations and expenditures were incurred during the fiscal year or project period for which the project was approved.

The financial exhibits are contained within the Special Revenue Section of the ACFR. This section of the ACFR documents the financial position pertaining to the aforementioned special projects.

The study of compliance for Special Federal and/or State Projects indicated no areas of noncompliance and/or questionable costs.

# T.P.A.F. Reimbursement

Our audit procedures included a test of the biweekly reimbursements filed with the Department of Education for district employees who are members of the Teachers Pension and Annuity Fund. No exceptions were noted.

# **TPAF Reimbursement to the State for Federal Salary Expenditures**

The amount of the expenditure charged to the current year's Final Reports for all federal awards for the school district to reimburse the State for the TPAF/FICA payments made by the State onbehalf of the school district for those employees whose salaries are identified as being paid from federal funds was made prior to the end of the 60 day grant liquidation period required by the Office of Grants Management. The expenditure was reviewed subsequent to the reimbursement and no exceptions were noted.

# School Purchasing Programs

# Contracts and Agreements Requiring Advertisement for Bids

#### N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-3 states:

a. When the cost or price of any contract awarded by the purchasing agent in the aggregate, does not exceed in the contract year the total sum of \$32,000, the contract may be awarded by a purchasing agent when so authorized by resolution of the board of education without public advertising for bids and bidding therefore, except that the board of education may adopt a resolution to set a lower threshold for the receipt of public bids or the solicitation of competitive quotations. If the purchase agent is qualified pursuant to subsection b the board of education may establish that the bid threshold may be up to \$44,000. Such authorization may be granted for each contract or by general delegation of the power to negotiate and award such contract pursuant to the section.

b. Commencing in the fifth year after the year in which P.L. 1999, c.440 takes effect, and every five years thereafter, the Governor, in consultation with the Department of Treasury, shall adjust the threshold amount and the higher threshold amount which the board of education is permitted to establish as set forth in subsection a. of this section or the threshold amount resulting from any adjustment under this subsection, in direct proportion to the rise or fall of the index rate as the term is defined in NJS 18A:18 A-2, and shall round the adjustment to nearest \$1,000. The Governor shall notify all local school districts of the adjustment no later than June 1 of every fifth year. The adjustment shall become effective on July 1 of the year in which it is made. Any contract made pursuant to this section may be awarded for the period of 24 consecutive months, except that contract for professional services pursuant to paragraph (1) of subsection a. of NJSA 18A:18A-5 may be awarded for a period not exceeding 12 consecutive months.

N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-4 states, "Every contract for the provision or performance of any goods or services, the cost of which in the aggregate exceeds the bid threshold, shall be awarded only by resolution of the board of education to the lowest responsible bidder after public advertising for bids and bidding therefore, except as is provided otherwise in this chapter or specifically by any other.

The board of education may, by resolution approved by a majority of the board of education and subject to subsections b. and c. of this section, disqualify a bidder who would otherwise be determined to be the lowest responsible bidder, if the board of education finds that it has had prior negative experience with the bidder."

The board of education has the responsibility of determining whether the expenditures in any category will exceed the statutory thresholds within the contract year. Where question arises as to whether any contract or agreement might result in violation of the statute, the Solicitor's opinion should be sought before a commitment is made.

Inasmuch as the system of records did not provide for an accumulation of payments for categories for the performance of any work or goods or services, the results of such an accumulation could not reasonably be ascertained. Disbursements were reviewed, however, to determine whether any clear-cut violations existed.

The results of my examination indicated that no individual payments, contracts, or agreements were made for the performance of any work or goods or services, in excess of the statutory thresholds where there had been no advertising for bids in accordance with the provision of N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-4, as amended.

Resolutions were adopted authorizing the awarding of contracts or agreements for "Professional Services" per N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-5.

The system of records did not provide for an accumulation of purchases for which the school board used contracts entered into by the State Department of Purchase and Property pursuant to Ch. 114, P.L. 1977, therefore, the extent of such purchases could not reasonably be ascertained.

## **School Food Service**

#### Public Health Emergency

In accordance with the Governor's Declaration of Emergency pertaining to the COVID 19 Virus all Public, Charter, Non-Public schools were ordered to close effective as of March 18, 2020 for an undetermined period to limit the spread of the virus. As a result, School Food Authorities (SFA) were required to institute alternate procedures to provide meals to Free and Reduced Price eligible students during the period of school closures. Governor Murphy's emergency declaration ended June 4, 2021; however, the United Stated Department of Agriculture's federal waiver continued through June 30, 2022. Food Service Agencies operated under this federal waiver.

As a result, SFAs were required to provide meals in accordance with the regulations governing the National School Nutrition Seamless Summer Option (SSO) or the Summer Food Service Program (SFSP) option. SFAs could also choose to participate in the National School Lunch Program utilizing standard counting and claiming practices.

Therefore, SFAs were authorized to solicit and award emergency noncompetitive procurements and contracts with Food Service Management Companies in accordance with 2 CFR 200.320 and N.J.S.A.18A:18A-7. The SFAs were also authorized to submit contract modifications to their existing Cost Reimbursable or Fixed Price contracts as necessary to ensure the feeding of all children throughout the age of 18, as well as persons over 18 with disabilities as defined in the regulations.

SFAs were notified of the requirement to maintain and report separate meal count records and financial records of all applicable costs incurred in providing meals to all free and reduced price meal eligible students during the emergency.

The school food service program was not selected as a major federal and/or State program. However, the program expenditures exceeded \$100,000 in federal and/or State support. Accordingly, we inquired of school management, or appropriate school food service personnel, as to whether the School Food Authority (SFA) had any Child Nutrition Program reimbursement overclaims or underclaims. Exceptions were not noted.

We also inquired of school management, or appropriate school food service personnel, as to whether the SFA's expenditures of school food service revenues were limited to allowable direct and indirect costs. Exceptions were not noted.

We also inquired of management about the public health emergency procedures/practices that the SFA instituted to provide meals to all students, maintenance of all applicable production records; meal counts; noncompetitive procurements; modification of existing contracts and applicable financial records to document the specific costs applicable to the emergency operations. We also inquired if the FSMC received a loan in accordance with the Payroll Protection Plan and whether the funds were used to pay for costs applicable to the FSMC received a loan in quired if the PPP loan was subsequently forgiven and the FSMC refunded or credited the applicable amounts to the SFA.

The Schedule of Meal Count Activity is not a required presentation where the School Food Service Program has not been audited as a major program.

## **Application for State School Aid**

Our audit procedures included a test of information reported in the October 15, 2021, Application for State School Aid (A.S.S.A.) for on-roll, private schools for the handicapped, low-income, and bilingual. We also performed a review of the district procedures related to its completion. The information on the A.S.S.A. was compared to the district workpapers without exception. The information included on the work papers was verified without exception. The results of our procedures are presented in the Schedule of Audited Enrollments.

The district maintained workpapers on the prescribed state forms or their equivalent.

The district's written procedures appear to be adequate for the recording of student enrollment data.

### **Pupil Transportation**

Our audit procedures included a test of on roll status reported in the 2021-2022 District Report of Transported Students (DRTRS). The information that was included on the DRTRS was verified to the DRTRS Eligibility Summary Report without exception. The results of our procedures are presented in the Schedule of Audited Enrollments.

Our procedures also included a review of transportation related contracts and purchases. Based on our review, the district did comply with proper bidding procedures and award of contracts. No exceptions were noted in our review of transportation related purchases of goods and services.

# Follow-up on Prior Years' Findings

In accordance with government auditing standards, our procedures included a review of all prior year recommendations. Corrective action was taken on all prior year findings.

#### **Acknowledgment**

We received the complete cooperation of all the officials of the school district, and I greatly appreciate the courtesies extended to the members of the audit team.

ŝ
늘
Ξ
Σ
J
2
۴
ш
믭
5
~
Ы
ш
-
Ы
Ψ
ㅎ
ő

# NORTHFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT APPLICATION FOR STATE SCHOOL AID SUMMARY ENROLLMENT AS OF OCTOBER 15, 2021

			2022-2020 Application	אלאווהמווטוו וטו טומוב טטוטטו אומ					oalliple	Salliple IUI VEIIICALIUI		
	Reporte	ed on	Reported on	uo pa			San	ple	Ve	Verified per		Errors per
	A.S.S.A.	λ.A.	Workpapers	pers			Selected from	d from	æ	Registers		Registers
	on Roll	loi	on R	lic	Ern	Errors	Workpapers	apers	5	on Roll		on Roll
	Full	Shared	Full	Shared	Full	Shared	Full	Shared	Full	Shared	Full	Shared
y Preschool 4 yrs	18		18		•		4		4	4		
iy Kindergarten	62		62				17		17	-		
	67		67				18		18	~		
	74		74		'		20		20	6		
	68		68		'		18		18	~		
	81		81		,		22		22	<u>c</u> .		
	82		82				22		22	<u>c</u> .		
	80		80				21		21	-		
	89		89				24		24	-		
	88		88		,		23		23	~		
Subtotal	206	•	206	.	1	•	189	·	189	'		
Ed Elementary	66		66				26		26			
Special Ed Middle School	62		62				17		17	2		
Subtotal	161		161	.	•	' 	43	.	43	-		
Totals	870	•	870	·	,		232		232			
Percentage					0.00%	0.00%					0.00%	0000

	0
ų	ĺ
2	ļ
ğ	
ц	
ш	1
Ξ	פ
ų	5
ē	2
Ę	
ũ	0

# NORTHFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT APPLICATION FOR STATE SCHOOL AID SUMMARY ENROLLMENT AS OF OCTOBER 15, 2021

		Private School for Disabled	or Disabled			Resident Low Income			Sample for Verification		Res	Resident LEP Low Income	me
	Reported on ASSA as	Sample			Reported on ASSA	Reported on Workpapers		Selected	Verified to Application		Reported on ASSA	Reported on Workpapers	
	Private	for	Sample	Sample	as Low	as Low	ı	from	and	Sample	as LEP Low	as LEP Low	ı
	Schools	Verification	Verified	Errors	Income	Income	Errors	Workpapers	Register	Errors	Income	Income	Errors
Half Day Preschool 4 yrs					:	:		1	1				
Full Day Kindergarten					12	12		7	7				
One					16	16		ი	0		4	4	
Two					20	20		12	12		c	c	
Three					13	13		7	7		2	2	
Four					27	27		15	15		-	-	
Five					15	15		6	6				
Six					16	16		10	10		-	-	
Seven					23	23		13	13				
Eight					14	14	•	80	80		-	-	
Subtotal	•	'			156	156	1	06	06	1	12	12	
Special Ed Elementary	-	-	-		41	41		23	23		-	-	
Special Ed Middle School	-	-	-		17	17		10	10		2	7	
Subtotal	5	2	2	'	58	58	'	33	33	'	3	3	' 
Totals	2	2	2		214	214	'	123	123	'	15	15	
Percentage Error				0.00%		. 1	0.00%		1 1	0.00%			0.00%

SCHEDULE OF AUDITED ENROLLMENTS

# NORTHFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT APPLICATION FOR STATE SCHOOL AID SUMMARY ENROLLMENT AS OF OCTOBER 15, 2021

		Sample	Errors													'			0.00%
Sample for Verification	Verified to Test Score	and	Register				-	2				-	4					4	
San	Sample Selected	from	Workpapers				-	2				-	4					4	
lcome			Errors										•					1	%00.0
Resident LEP NOT Low Income	Reported on Workpapers	as NOT Low	Income				2	2				-	Ð					5	
Residen	Reported on ASSA	as NOT Low	Income				2	2				-	2 D					5	
		Sample	Errors															1	%00.0
Sample for Verification	Verified to Test Score	and	Register		9	2	2	-		-		-	10	-	N	ę		13	
Sa	Sample Selected	from	Workpapers		3	2	2	-		-		-	10	-	2	r r		13	
				Half Day Preschool 4 yrs	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight	Subtotal	Special Ed Elementary	Special Ed Middle School	-	Totals	. 11	Percentage Error

		Errors						'	0.00%
	L								
		Verified	'	'	14	30	4	48	
Transportation		lested	1	,	14	30	4	48	
Trans	L	Errors							0.00%
	Reported on DRTRS by	District			18	37	ũ	60	
	Reported on DRTRS by	DOE/County			18	37	ũ	60	
			RegPublic Schools, col. 1	RegSpecial Ed., col. 4	Transported - Non-Public, col. 3	Transported - Non-Public, AIL	Special Needs - Public, col. 6	Totals	Percentage Error



#### CITY OF NORTHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT EXCESS SURPLUS CALCULATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

#### SECTION 1 - School Based Budgeting Districts

2021-2022 Total General Fund Expenditures reported on Exh.(C-1)	\$	18,640,508.42 (A)
Increased by Applicable Operating Transfers Transfer from Capital Outlay to Capital Projects Transfer from Capital Reserve to Capital Projects Transfer from G/F to SRF for Preschool - Regular Transfer from G/F to SRF for Preschool - Inclusion Less: Expenditures allocated to restricted federal resources as reported on Exhibit D-2 2021-2022 Adjusted General Fund & Other State Expenditures {(A)-(A1)}	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- (A1a) - (A1a) - (A1a) - (A1a) - (A1a) (A1b) 18,640,508.42 (A2)
Decreased by: On-Behalf TPAF Pension & Social Security General Fund 10 Assets Acquired Under Capital Leases (C-1a)	\$\$	3,990,505.07 (A3) (A4)
<ul> <li>Add: General Fund &amp; State Resources Portion of Fund 15</li> <li>Assets Acquired Under Capital Leases :</li> <li>Assets Acquired Under Capital Leases in Fund 15</li> <li>Reported on Exhibit C-1a</li> <li>Combined General Fund Contribution &amp; State Resource</li> <li>% of Fund 15 Resources Reported on Exhibit D-2</li> </ul>	\$	(A5) (A6)
General Fund & State Resources Portion of Fund 15 Assets Acquired Under Capital Leases [(A5)*(A6)]	\$	(A7)
Total Assets Acquired Under Capital Leases [(A4)+(A7)]	\$	(A8)
2021-2022 General Fund Expenditures [(A2)-(A3)-(A8)]	\$	14,650,003.35 (A9)
4% of Adjusted 2021-2022 General Fund Expenditures [(A9) times .04]	\$	<u>586,000.13</u> (A11)
Enter Greater of (A11) or \$250,000	\$	586,000.13 (A12)
Increased by: Allowable Adjustment*	\$	79,039.00 (K)
Maximum Unassigned Fund Balance [(A12)+(K)]	\$	665,039.13 (M)
SECTION 2 - All Districts         Total General Fund - Fund Balances @ 6-30-22         Decreased by:         Year End Encumbrances         Legally Restricted - Designated for Subsequent Year's         Expenditures         Legally Restricted - Excess Surplus - Designated for Subsequent Year's         Expenditures**         Other Restricted/Reserved Fund Balances ****         Assigned - Designated for Subsequent Year's         Expenditures	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	<u>3,430,503.21</u> (C) <u>166,726.71</u> (C1) <u>-</u> (C2) <u>339,987.24</u> (C3) <u>1,605,681.50</u> (C4) <u>143,668.76</u> (C5)
Total Unassigned Fund Balance [(C)-(C1)-(C2)-(C3)-(C4)-(C5)]		1,174,439.00_(U)
<u>SECTION 3 - All Districts</u> Restricted Fund Balance - Excess Surplus***[(U)-(M)] IF NEGATIVE ENTER -0-		\$ <u>509,399.87</u> (E)

#### Recapitulation of Excess Surplus as of June 30, 2022

Restricted Excess Surplus - Designated for Subsequent Year's	
Expenditures**	\$ 339,987.24 (C3)
Restricted Excess Surplus***[(E)]	\$ 509,399.87 (E)
Total [(C3)+(E)+(F)]	\$ 849,387.11 (D)

\* This adjustment line (line (K) as detailed below) is to be utilized for Impact Aid, Sale and Lease-back (Refer to the Audit Program page II-11.7), and Extraordinary Aid, if applicable (Refer to the Audit Program page II-11.3 for restrictions on the inclusion of extraordinary aid), and Additional Nonpublic Transportation Aid for 2021-22 received in July 2022 only to the extent not appropriated.

#### Detail of Allowable Adjustments

Impact Aid Sale & Lease-back Extraordinary Aid Additional Nonpublic Transportation Aid	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - 63,121.00 15,918.00	(H) (I) (J1) (J2) (J3)
Total Adjustments[(H)+(I)+(J1)+(J2)]	\$	79,039.00	(K)

- \*\* This amount represents the June 30, 2022 Excess Surplus (C3 above) and must be included in the Audit Summary Worksheet Line 11025.
- \*\*\* Amount must agree to the June 30, 2022 CAFR and Audit Summary Worksheet Line 11024.
- \*\*\*\* Amount for Other Restricted/Reserved Fund Balance must be detailed for each source and request for approval to use amounts other than state imposed legal restrictions in the excess surplus calculation must be submitted to the Assistant Commissioner of Finance prior to September 30.

#### Detail of Other Restricted/Reserved Fund Balance

Statutory reatrictional

T	otal Other Restricted/Reserved Fund Balance	\$ 1,605,681.50 <b>(C</b>	4)
0	ther Restricted/Reserved Fund Balances not noted above **** (Inventory)	\$ 	
U	nemployment Fund reserve (N-9)	\$ 82,190.57	
Е	mergency reserve (N-4)	\$ 	
T	uition reserve (N-3)	\$ -	
Μ	laintenance reserve (N-2)	\$ 380,300.00	
С	apital reserve (N-1)	\$ 1,143,190.93	
S	ale/lease-back reserve	\$ 	
С	apital outlay for a school district with a capital outlay SGLA	\$	
Α	pproved unspent separate proposal	\$	
2	tatutory restrictions:		

# RECOMMENDATIONS

# Administrative Practices and Procedures

None

Financial Planning, Accounting and Reporting

None

Elementary and Secondary Education Act (E.S.E.A)/Improving America's Schools Act (IASA) as reauthorized by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

None

Other Special Federal and/or State Projects

None

School Purchasing Program

None

School Food Service Program

None.

Application for State School Aid

None

**Transportation** 

None

Miscellaneous

None