Administrative Questionnaire 2017-18

To be completed by board secretary/business administrator

The Administrative Questionnaire is completed annually by the board secretary/business administrator of the school district/charter school/renaissance school project for use by the auditor when reviewing compliance with account coding of administrative expenditures. The auditor will review this questionnaire in conjunction with the test of transactions and include a finding and recommendation(s) for a questionnaire substantially incomplete or inaccurate. In addition, school districts/charter schools/renaissance school projects are required to have ready for audit a listing of all staff positions that require a school administrative, principal or school business administrator certificate which is detailed on item number 21 in Section III-6. The questionnaire should be kept with the auditor's workpapers and available to the department upon request and is not to be uploaded to the CAFR Repository.

There are three checklists (Current Operating Funds, Student Activity Fund, and Food Service Fund) of actions to be performed or documents to have available in advance of the audit. They are provided following the Questionnaire to assist board secretaries/business administrators in preparing for the year-end audit. These checklists may be modified by the audit firm to incorporate additional documents as needed.

1.	a. Were all salaries of administrative staff requiring a school administrative, principal or school business administrative certificate recorded in administrative functions 230, 240, and 25X? Yes No						
	b. If no to 1a, is the coding consistent with prior years?	Yes	No				
	c. If no to 1a, please list the position, the account coding and the rationale for account classification other than administration (attach additional sheet if necessary):						
	For Charter Schools and Renaissance School Projects Only d. Were all administrative expenditures recorded and reported on t Budgetary Comparison Schedules reported in the CAFR, and report to comply with the New Jersey Chart of Accounts?		sufficient detail				
2.	Is there a decline in administrative expenditures relative to total ge- previous year?	eneral fund expend Yes					
	If yes, is the decline the result of reclassification or allocation of salaries? Yes No						
	Please provide an explanation for any fluctuation (attach additional sheet if necessary):						

Were there positions)? If yes, plea (attach add Were any s principal o If yes, plea (attach add Did any of administration	e any non-certifica	n, the account	t coding and	the rationale fo	or the change ((attach additio
positions)? If yes, plea (attach add Were any s principal o If yes, plea (attach add Did any of administrations)						
positions)? If yes, plea (attach add Were any s principal o If yes, plea (attach add Did any of administrations)						
Were any sprincipal of attach add		ated administr	rative staff al			n (exclude cler No
Were any sprincipal of the second of the sec	ase list the position ditional sheet if ne		ssification, a	nd allocation r	nethod used	
Were any sprincipal of the second of the sec						
If yes, plea (attach add						
(attach add	supervisor position or supervisory cert					requiring a
(attach add					Yes	_ No
administra	ase list the positional sheet if ne		assification, a	nd allocation r	nethod used	
administra						
	f the administrativators and no relate 40-103, salaries of secretarial and clean	ed clerical sup of principals/v	port salaries' ice principal	? For example, but nothing wa	, salaries are r	ecorded in function 240-
If ves inlea	ase list the functio	on(s) and ratio	nale (attach :			
pica	ase fist the function					'•

Administrative Question No. 8 Is Not Applicable to Charter Schools/Renaissance School Projects: Did the school district (regular and county vocational) receive a "Warning" edit (#308) produced.

8.	with the 2017-18 final budget certified for taxes stating that the 2016-17 budgeted per pupil legal costs, revised as of February 1, 2017 is greater than 130% of the state average per pupil legal costs				
	(\$56 per pupil for the 16-17 original budget)?	Yes		No	
	8a. Enter the June 30, 2017 (2016-17 actual costs - per pupil ar indicator 8A of the <i>2018</i> – Legal Svc. (Actual cost per pupil): \$		r your di	strict from	
	The 2018 Taxpayer Guide to Education Spending (https://wavailable on the DOE website.	ww.state	.nj.us/edu	cation/guide)	<u>i</u> s
	8b. 130% of the audited statewide average for year ending 6/to Education Spending (released spring 2018): (\$43*1.30%)		the 2018	¥ ¥	Guide
	8c. N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-5.2(a)(3) requires that where the district's audited (pre-audit year, 6/30/17) per pupil legal costs (8a) exceeds the audited statewide average for that year (8b), the district is required to implement the cost containment procedures no later than the earliest board of education meeting subsequent to the next year end detailed at N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-5.2 (a)(3)(i) through ((iv), or provide evidence that the implementation of those procedures would not result in a reduction of costs. If 8a above exceeds 8b above, has the district implemented the cost reduction procedures required by resolution adopted at the earliest board of education meeting subsequent to the release of the 2018 Taxpayer Guide to Education Spending?				
	Ye	es	No		

If "Yes" please provide evidence of the implementation of the required procedures by board resolution. If "No" to 8c, please provide the auditor with evidence to support the assertion that such procedures would not result in a reduction of costs.