#196-13A (SBE Decision: http://www.state.nj.us/education/legal/examiners/2012/nov/1112-187.pdf)

STATE BOARD OF EXAMINERS DKT. NO. 1112-187 AGENCY DKT NO. 10-12/12A

IN THE MATTER OF THE :

REVOCATION OF THE CERTIFICATES: COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION

OF DAVID KELLY BY THE : DECISION

STATE BOARD OF EXAMINERS.

Order of Revocation by the State Board of Examiners, November 30, 2012

For the Respondent – Appellant, Edward Cridge, Esq.

For the Petitioner – Respondent State Board of Examiners, Fredrick H. Wu, Deputy Attorney General (Jeffrey S. Chiesa, Attorney General of New Jersey)

The Commissioner has reviewed the record and the papers filed in connection with the appellant David Kelly's appeal of the State Board of Examiners' Order of November 30, 2012, revoking his Teacher of Music Certificate of Eligibility with Advanced Standing and Teacher of Music Certificates. On appeal the appellant maintains that the Board's decision to revoke his certificates was arbitrary, capricious and unreasonable. Specifically, the appellant argues that the record contains no evidence that the Board conducted any analysis or considered the underlying circumstances concerning the nature of the conduct and the use of a firearm other than the assumption that the appellant was disqualified from public employment pursuant to *N.J.S.A.* 18A:6-7.1. The appellant further maintains that he is currently appealing the decision of the Department of Education, Criminal History Review Unit, that disqualified him from school employment, and as such the revocation of his certificates should be vacated.

In reviewing appeals from decisions of the State Board of Examiners, the Commissioner may not substitute his judgment for that of the Board so long as the appellant

1

received due process and the Board's decision is supported by sufficient credible evidence

in the record. Further, the Board's decision should not be disturbed unless the appellant

demonstrates that it is arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable. N.J.A.C. 6A:4-4.1(a).

After full consideration of the record and all submissions, the Commissioner finds

that the record adequately supports the Board's determination that the appellant engaged in

unbecoming conduct and that the revocation of the appellant's certificates was the appropriate

penalty. The appellant admits that after consuming several alcoholic beverages he displayed a

rifle to two young individuals and told them to get off his property, after which the rifle was

accidentally discharged approximately 200 feet from the trespassers. As a result of the incident,

the appellant was convicted of several misdemeanor criminal offenses in Pennsylvania. Contrary

to the appellant's assertions on appeal, the Board did not simply base its decision on the criminal

disqualification but rather the Board expressly stated in the decision that even if the criminal

disqualification was overturned, the nature of the incident and the use of a firearm warranted the

removal of his certificates. There is nothing in the record to suggest that the Board's decision

was arbitrary, capricious or unreasonable, and as a result the Commissioner finds no basis upon

which to disturb the decision of the State Board of Examiners.

Accordingly the decision of the State Board of Examiners is affirmed for the

reasons expressed therein.\*

COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION

Date of Decision: May 29, 3013

Date of Mailing: May 29, 3013

2

\*This decision may be appealed to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court pursuant to *P.L.* 2008, *c.* 36.