DHPBL #113-98 SB # 46-98

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISQUALIFI-

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

CATION FROM SCHOOL EMPLOYMENT:

**DECISION** 

OF T.J.H.

Decided by the Assistant Commissioner of Education, March 13, 1998 For the Petitioner-Appellant, T.J.H., <u>pro se</u>

On March 13, 1998, the Assistant Commissioner of Education, Executive Services,<sup>1</sup> affirmed the determination of the Office of Criminal History Review disqualifying T.J.H. (hereinafter "appellant") from school employment as a bus driver after a criminal history record review conducted pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:39-19.1 revealed a conviction for Criminal Sexual Contact. The Assistant Commissioner determined that appellant had failed to affirmatively demonstrate his rehabilitation by clear and convincing evidence.

On April 22, 1998, appellant, acting <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>, filed a notice of appeal to the State Board of Education, along with an explanation for his late filing. By letter dated April 27, the Director of the State Board Appeals Office advised appellant that his appeal had been due within 30 days of the filing date of the Commissioner's decision, and that

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We note that <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 18A:4-33 authorizes the Commissioner to "designate an assistant commissioner as deputy commissioner with full power to act in his place and stead during any absence or inability of the commissioner and at such other times as the commissioner may designate." Such authority expressly includes assignment by the Commissioner of the "hearing and determination of controversies and disputes which may arise under the school laws...." <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 18A:4-34.

this matter was being referred to our Legal Committee for consideration of the effect of his failure to file timely notice.

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:6-28, appeals to the State Board must be taken "within 30 days after the decision appealed from is filed." The State Board may not grant extensions to enlarge the time specified for appeal. N.J.A.C. 6:2-1.5(a). In contrast to the period for filing petitions to the Commissioner of Education, see N.J.A.C. 6:24-1.2; N.J.A.C. 6:24-1.15, the time limit within which an appeal must be taken to the State Board is statutory, and, given the jurisdictional nature of the statutory time limit, the State Board lacks the authority to extend it. Mount Pleasant-Blythedale Union Free School District v. New Jersey Department of Education, Docket #A-2180-89T1 (App. Div. 1990), slip op. at 5. The Appellate Division has "consistently concluded" that appeals must be timely filed and that "neither an agency nor our court on appeal may expand a mandatory statutory time limitation." In the Matter of the Special Election of the Northern Burlington County Regional School District, Docket #A-1743-95T5 (App. Div. 1996), slip op. at 3, citing Scrudato v. Mascot Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 50 N.J. Super. 264 (App. Div. 1958).

We conclude that the statutory filing requirement with which the appellant failed to comply is of such significance that we dismiss the appeal. In <u>Yorke v. Board of Education of the Township of Piscataway</u>, decided by the State Board of Education, July 6, 1988, <u>aff'd</u>, Docket #A-5912-87T1 (App. Div. 1989), the Court upheld the dismissal of an appeal by the State Board where it found that the notice of appeal had been filed one day late by appellant's counsel, who alleged that he had misread or misunderstood the applicable regulations. The Court added that even if the statute

could be construed to permit enlargement of the time for filing an appeal, the appellant therein had failed to establish good cause.

In this case, the Assistant Commissioner's decision was rendered on March 13, 1998 and mailed to the appellant on that date. Accordingly, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6:2-1.4, the decision appealed from was deemed filed on March 16, 1998, three days after it was mailed. Therefore, as mandated by N.J.S.A. 18A:6-28, see N.J.A.C. 6:2-1.3(a); N.J.A.C. 6:2-1.4(a), as computed under N.J.A.C. 6:2-1.4(b), the appellant was required to file his notice of appeal to the State Board on or before April 15, 1998. As previously indicated, the appellant's notice of appeal was not filed until April 22, a week after the deadline.

Even if N.J.S.A. 18A:6-28 can be construed to provide us with the authority to enlarge the time limit for filing an appeal, we find no substantive basis to warrant doing so in this particular instance. Appellant explains his late filing by indicating that he had gone into a "deep depression" after receiving the Assistant Commissioner's decision. He states: "It was very hard to deal with and I could not stay focused at the time. I had no appetite and I would sleep all day with no desire to get up or do anything. I felt like everything I had worked for and hoped for was no longer in reach or possible." While we are mindful of appellant's <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> status, we find that his explanation does not excuse his failure to comply with the statutory filing requirement.

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal in this matter for failure to file notice thereof within the statutory time limit as computed under the applicable regulations.

June 3, 1998

Date of m	nailing			
-----------	---------	--	--	--