IN THE MATTER OF THE DISTRIBUTION:

OF LIQUID ASSETS UPON DISSOLU- :

TION OF THE UNION COUNTY : STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT : DECISION ON MOTION

NO. 1, UNION COUNTY. :

\_\_\_\_\_

Decided by the Commissioner of Education, February 5, 1997

For the Petitioner-Appellant Mountainside Borough, Post, Polak, Goodsell & MacNeill (Charles R. Church, Esq., of Counsel)

For the Respondent-Respondent Kenilworth Borough, Thomas A. Vitale, Esq.

- For the Respondent-Respondent Board of Education of Mountainside, David B. Rubin, Esq.
- For the Respondent-Respondent Board of Education of Berkeley Heights, McCarter & English (Steven B. Hoskins, Esq., of Counsel)
- For the Respondent-Respondent Board of Education of Springfield, Sills, Cummis, Zuckerman, Radin, Tischman, Epstein & Gross (Lester Aron, Esq., of Counsel)
- For the Respondents-Respondents Garwood Borough and Board of Education of Garwood, DeCotiis, Fitzpatrick & Gluck (Agnes I. Rymer, Esq., of Counsel)
- For the Respondent-Respondent Board of Education of Clark, Kenny, Gross & McDonough (Douglas J. Kovats, Esq., of Counsel)
- For the Respondent-Respondent Board of Education of Kenilworth, James P. Granello, Esq.

For the Respondent-Respondent Board of Education of the Union County Regional High School District, Schwartz, Simon, Edelstein, Celso & Kessler (Jeffrey A. Bennett, Esq., of Counsel)

Inasmuch as we find that the application of Mountainside Borough fails to meet the standards that would entitle it to relief under <u>Crowe v. De Gioia</u>, 90 <u>N.J.</u> 126 (1982), we deny its motion for a stay.

May 6, 1998	
Date of mailing	