BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE NORTHERN BURLINGTON COUNTY REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, BURLINGTON COUNTY,

PETITIONER-APPELLANT,

·

V.

WILLIAM L. LIBRERA AND WALTER KEISS, BURLINGTON COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS,

RESPONDENTS-RESPONDENTS,

AND

TOWNSHIP OF MANSFIELD, BURLINGTON COUNTY,

PETITIONER,

٧.

WILLIAM L. LIBRERA AND WALTER KEISS, BURLINGTON COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS,

RESPONDENTS.

Decided by the Commissioner of Education, April 12, 2002

For the Petitioner-Appellant Northern Burlington Regional High School District, Parker, McCay & Criscuolo, P.A. (Frank P. Cavallo, Jr., Esq., of Counsel)

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

DECISION ON MOTION

.

For the Respondents-Respondents, Kevin M. O'Dowd, Deputy Attorney General (David Samson, Attorney General of New Jersey)

For the Movant Township of North Hanover, Costa, Vetra & LaRosa, P.C. (Joseph A. D'Aversa, Esq., of Counsel)

This matter involves an appeal by the Northern Burlington County Regional School District (hereinafter "Regional District") from a determination by the Commissioner of Education dismissing separate petitions of appeal filed by the Regional District and the Township of Mansfield. Those petitions sought revision of the County Superintendent's reapportionment of the nine seats that comprise the Board of Education of the Regional District among the Regional District's four constituent districts.¹

By motion filed with the State Board on May 30, 2002, the Township of North Hanover seeks to intervene in the appeal. North Hanover is one of the constituent districts and, based upon the County Superintendent's reapportionment, will lose one of its seats on the Regional Board. Neither of the other parties opposes the motion. Accordingly, and because North Hanover will be directly affected by the outcome of this appeal, we grant its motion to intervene so as to permit it to challenge the Commissioner's determination.

Edward Taylor al	ostained.
July 2, 2002	
Date of mailing _	

¹ We note that the Township of Mansfield did not file an appeal from the Commissioner's determination and, consequently, is not a party to this appeal.