District:	Hainesport School District	County: Burlington
Monitoring Dates:	January 25 and February 7, 2006	
Monitoring Team:	Kenneth Richards and Jane Marano	

Background Information:

During the 2004–2005 school year, the Hainesport School District conducted a selfassessment of policies, procedures, programs, services and student outcomes. This self-assessment component of the monitoring process provided the Hainesport School District with an opportunity to evaluate strengths and areas of need with regard to:

- The provision of a free, appropriate public education (FAPE) for students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment;
- The protection of procedural safeguards for students and their families;
- The development and implementation of policies and procedures resulting in procedural compliance; and
- The organization and delivery of programs and services resulting in positive student outcomes.

The self-assessment was designed to identify areas of strength, promising practices, areas that need improvement and areas that may be noncompliant with state and federal requirements. The Hainesport School District developed an improvement plan to address identified areas of need.

The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) conducted an onsite monitoring to verify the self-assessment findings, to assess the appropriateness of the improvement plan and to determine the progress in implementing the plan.

During the onsite monitoring visit, the New Jersey Department of Education (NJDOE) monitoring team reviewed district documents, including district policies and procedures, student count information, master student lists, class lists, schedules of students, teachers, related service personnel and other relevant information. A representative sample of student records was also reviewed. Interviews were conducted with the district's special education administrator, building principal, general education and special education teachers, speech-language specialists and child study team members. Parents of students with disabilities were interviewed by telephone.

Data Summary:

In December 2005, the district reported a classification rate of 18.0% (115 of 639 enrolled students). The district also reported that 55% of students with disabilities (57 students) were educated in general education settings for more than 80% of the time. This rate was higher than the state rate of 42% for that year. An additional 35% (36 students) were educated with their nondisabled peers between 40% and 80% of the time. The district also reported that 100% (12 of 12 students) of preschool students with disabilities were educated in general education early childhood settings.

Areas not Reviewed

The age 16 IEP statement of needed transition services, identification of post-secondary liaison, activities, annual goals and benchmarks related to the student's desired outcomes and written notice of graduation were areas not reviewed by the NJDOE because the district does not serve a population of students for whom these requirements apply.

Sections Demonstrating Compliance with All Standards

The self-assessment process required the district to review implementation of federal and state regulations categorized into 15 sections. Within each section, a number of areas were reviewed. The onsite monitoring visit involved verification that the sections and areas identified as compliant by the district in their self-assessment were compliant with regulations. These sections were identified by the district during self-assessment and the NJDOE during the monitoring process as compliant:

- Eligibility
- Individualized Education Program (IEP)
- Transition to Preschool

- Discipline
- Statewide Assessments
- Programs and Services

Areas Demonstrating Compliance

The following areas, within the 15 sections reviewed, were identified by the district's selfassessment committee and by the NJDOE as compliant. These areas were reviewed for students eligible for special education and related services (ESERS) and students eligible for speech and language services (ESLS). Areas compliant for only one group of students are noted.

Section	Areas Demonstrating Compliance	
Free, Appropriate Public Education (FAPE)	 Oversight of individualized education program (IEP) implementation Extended school year Provision of programs Transfer procedures 	
Procedural Safeguards	 Consent Implementation without undue delay Content of notice of meeting (ESLS) Provision of notice of a meeting Meetings Provision of written notice Interpreters at meetings Independent evaluations 	
Location, Referral and Identification (LRI)	 Child Find Ages 3-21 Referral process Pre-referral interventions (ESLS) Direct referrals 	

Section	Areas Demonstrating Compliance	
	Identification meeting timelines	
	Identification meeting participants	
	Multi-disciplinary evaluations	
Evaluation	 Educational impact statement (ESLS) 	
	Standardized assessments	
	 Functional assessments (ESERS) 	
	Bilingual evaluations	
	Written reports prepared by evaluators	
Reevaluation • Reevaluation when change of eligibility is cons		
	Timelines (ESERS)	
	 Planning meeting participants (ESERS) 	
	Reevaluations prior to age 5	
	 Procedures when parental consent cannot be obtained 	
	Documentation of efforts to obtain parental consent	
Least Restrictive	 Documentation of LRE decisions 	
 Environment (LRE) Opportunity for all students with disabilities to general education programs 		
	Continuum of programs	
	Placement decisions based on students' individual needs	
Transition to Adult Life	Beginning at age 14, IEP statement of transition service needs	
	Student and agency invitation to IEP meetings	
Graduation	IEP requirements	

Areas of Noncompliance – Improvement Plan Review

The following areas were identified by the district's self-assessment committee as noncompliant. The NJDOE compliance review indicated that the district has implemented improvement activities and has demonstrated compliance in these areas.

Section	Area	Compliance Review
General Provisions	 Parent training – The district indicated the need for parent training in the areas of early childhood development and special education topics. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.
Free, Appropriate Public Education	 Provision of related services – Counseling goals and objectives are not documented in the IEP for students receiving special education and related services. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.
Procedural Safeguards	 Notice in native language - The district does not have notices of meetings in languages other than English. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.
Location, Referral and Identification	 Health summary – The district lacked knowledge that a health summary was required to be completed and submitted to the child study for review at the identification meeting. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.
Evaluation	 Functional Assessments (ESLS) - Written reports prepared for students eligible for speech and language services do not consistently document a review of interventions. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.
Reevaluation	 Timelines and planning meeting participants (ESLS) – The district did not maintain a data base to track three year timelines for students receiving speech and language services. Additionally, the speech and language therapist was not aware of the requirement to determine eligibility every three years for students receiving speech and language services. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.
Least Restrictive Environment	 Notification of and participation in non-academic and extracurricular activities for students educated outside of the district – The district lacked a formal procedure to ensure students placed in out-of-district programs were invited to participate in extra curricular and non-academic activities conducted by the district. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.

Section	Area	Compliance Review
Graduation	 Out-of-district student participation The district lacked a procedure to invite students placed in out-of district programs to the 8th grade graduation ceremony. 	The district has demonstrated compliance in this area.

Additional Areas of Need

The following areas were originally identified by the district's self-assessment committee as compliant, but were found to be noncompliant by the NJDOE during the onsite monitoring. Subsequent to the onsite monitoring, the district provided documentation in all of the **Additional Areas of Need**, verifying that the district had implemented improvement activities that resulted in corrective action. As a result, no further corrective action is required.

Section	Area	Documentation Review
Procedural Safeguards	 Content of notice of meeting (ESERS) – Notice of the IEP meeting does not consistently identify the development of a statement of transition service needs as a purpose of a meeting for students age fourteen and older. Content of written notice – Parents are not consistently provided with a copy of the of due process hearing rules with written notice of the identification meeting. Content of written notice- Written notice does not consistently include a copy of the short procedural safeguard statement. 	 The district demonstrated compliance in these areas.
Location, Referral and Identification	 Pre-referral interventions (ESERS) Pre-referral intervention plans, timelines and the effectiveness of the interventions in the general education classroom are not consistently documented. Vision and hearing screenings – Vision and hearing screenings are not consistently conducted prior to the identification meeting for students receiving special education and related services. 	 The district demonstrated compliance in these areas.

Summary

Onsite special education monitoring was conducted in the Hainesport School District on January 25 and February 7, 2006. The purpose of the monitoring visit was to verify the district's report of findings resulting from their self-assessment and to review the district's improvement plan. The district is acknowledged for the comprehensive review conducted during the self-assessment process. The district is further acknowledged for the many areas determined by the district and verified by the OSEP as compliant with federal and state statutes and regulations.

In December 2005, the district reported a classification rate of 18.0% (115 of 639 enrolled students). The district also reported that 55% of students with disabilities (57 students) were educated in general education settings for more than 80% of the time. This rate was higher than the state rate of 42% for that year. An additional 35% (36 students) were educated with their nondisabled peers between 40% and 80% of the time. The district also reported that 100% (12 of 12 students) of preschool students with disabilities were educated in general education early childhood settings.

During interviews conducted with parents by telephone, many parents expressed their satisfaction with the district's programs and services and staff. Parents indicated that communication with case managers and teachers was good. Parents also indicated that programs were developed to meet the individual needs of their children and that programs began promptly. Parents praised the inclusive preschool program and the gains experienced by their children.

Standards identified as consistently compliant by the district during self-assessment and verified during the onsite monitoring visit included

- Eligibility
- Individualized Education Program (IEP)
- Transition to Preschool

- Discipline
- Statewide Assessments
- Programs and Services

Areas identified as consistently compliant by the district during self-assessment and verified during the onsite monitoring visit include:

- Oversight of individualized education program (IEP) implementation
- Extended school year
- Provision of programs
- Transfer procedures
- Consent
- Implementation without undue delay
- Provision of notice of a meeting
- Content of notice of a meeting (ESLS)
- Meetings
- Provision of written notice
- Interpreters at meeting
- Independent evaluations
- Child find ages 3-21
- Referral process
- Pre-referral interventions (ESLS)

- Direct referrals
- Identification meeting timelines
- Identification meeting participants
- Multi-disciplinary evaluations
- Educational impact statement (ESLS)
- Standardized assessments
- Functional assessments (ESERS)
- Bilingual evaluations
- Written reports prepared by evaluators
- Reevaluation when change of eligibility is considered
- Reevaluation timelines (ESERS)
- Planning meeting participants (ESRS)
- Reevaluations prior to age 5
- Procedures when parental consent cannot be obtained

- Documentation of efforts to obtain parental consent
- Documentation of LRE decisions
- Opportunity for all students with disabilities to access all general education programs
- Continuum of programs
- Placement decisions based on students' individual needs

Areas of need originally identified by the district but determined to have been corrected prior to the onsite monitoring visit by the NJDOE include:

- Parent training
- Provision of related services
- Notices in native language
- Health summaries
- Functional assessments (ESLS)
- Reevaluation timelines (ESLS)
- Reevaluation planning meeting participants (ESLS)
- Notification of and participation in non-academic and extracurricular activities for students educated outside of the district
- Out-of-district participation in graduation ceremonies.

The onsite visit identified additional areas of need within the various standards, regarding:

- Content of notice of meeting (ESERS)
- Content of written notice
- Pre-referral interventions (ESERS)
- Vision and hearing screenings

It was determined that the district corrected all areas of need identified during the selfassessment process, and those identified during the onsite monitoring visit, and established administrative oversight activities to ensure ongoing compliance. As a result, it was determined that the Hainesport School District has demonstrated compliance in all areas.