

State of New Jersey Department of Education PO Box 500 Trenton, NJ 08625-0500

CHRIS CHRISTIE Governor

KIM GUADAGNO Lt. Governor

March 11, 2011

Dr. Steven Ciccariello, Superintendent Greater Egg Harbor Regional School District 1824 Dr. Dennis Foreman Drive Mays Landing, New Jersey 08330-0870

Dear Dr. Ciccariello:

Subject: Special Education Monitoring Report – Greater Egg Harbor Regional School District

This correspondence has been sent to inform you of the results of the New Jersey Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs' onsite monitoring regarding the Greater Egg Harbor Regional School District's implementation of federal and state special education requirements. Between November, 2010 and the present, the New Jersey Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (NJOSEP), conducted onsite monitoring visits, verification visits and desk audits in the Greater Egg Harbor Regional School District to determine compliance with federal and state special education requirements. The members of the monitoring team were Caryl Carthew and Patricia Fair.

The special education monitoring system is data driven and aligned with the federally required State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators, including the federal monitoring priorities established by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (IDEA 2004). Specifically, the NJOSEP monitoring process is focused on improving educational results and functional outcomes for students with disabilities and ensuring compliance with those special education requirements related to positive student outcomes.

The special education self-assessment and monitoring process focused on requirements related to the following areas:

- Transition to Adult Life
- State Assessment
- Placement in the Least Restrictive Environment
- Parent Involvement
- Disproportionate Representation of Specific Racial-Ethnic Groups in Special Education
- Evaluation and Reevaluation
- Individualized Education Program
- Programs and Services

The Greater Egg Harbor Regional School District was selected for the selfassessment/monitoring process based on trend data that indicated: a high percentage of

CHRISTOPHER D. CERF Acting Commissioner

www.nj.gov/education

students with disabilities placed in separate public and private settings. (See Section III – Table of Findings).

Monitoring Results

The enclosed Table of Findings details the findings of noncompliance resulting from the onsite monitoring. All findings must be corrected within one year of the date of this report. Corrective action should include, as necessary: development and/or revision of policies and procedures, staff training, implementation of the identified IDEA and N.J.A.C. requirements and implementation of an oversight mechanism to ensure ongoing compliance. The monitoring team leader, Ms. Caryl Carthew, will contact Mr. John Ragan to discuss procedures for verification of correction of the findings of noncompliance listed in the Table of Findings. *For any finding of noncompliance related to the development or implementation of IEPs or the delivery of programs and services, corrective action activities have been directed by NJOSEP.*

The results of the special education monitoring must be reviewed at the next meeting of the district's board of education. A copy of the minutes from the board of education meeting documenting the review by the board must be submitted to the following address:

Ms. Caryl Carthew New Jersey Department of Education Office of Special Education Programs Finlaw Building, 4th Floor 199 East Broadway Salem, New Jersey 08079

Questions regarding the enclosed monitoring report should be directed to Dr. Peggy McDonald at 609-292-7605. The NJOSEP appreciates the cooperation of district staff members during the self-assessment/monitoring process.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gantwerk, Assistant Commissioner Division of Student Services

BG/PM/cc

Enclosure.

- c: Gregg Edwards Andrew Smarick
 - Peggy McDonald
 - Caryl Carthew
 - Thomas Dowd
 - County Supervisor of Child Study John Ragan

1

Section I. TRANSITION TO ADULT LIFE

Areas of Noncompliance	
Area	Status of Compliance
1) Individualized Education Program (IEP) requirements for students ages 16 and above. [N.J.A.C. 6A:14- 3.7(e)12; 20 U.S.C. §1414(d)(1)(A)(i)(VIII); and 34 CFR §300.320(b) and (c)]	Noncompliance identified during a targeted review was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
2) Invitation to IEP meetings provided to students and agencies providing or paying for transition services. [N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(k)2x and 3.7(e)13, 3.7(h); 20 U.S.C. §1414 (d)(1)(A)(i)(1)(VIII); and 34 CFR §300.322.b(2)]	Review of records indicated that students were not consistently issued a written invitation to the IEP meeting to discuss transition planning due to lack of implementation of district procedures.
Section II. STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT None	compliance was not identified during monitoring.
to 15.1 percent in 2008. The placement rate decreased to district did not meet the SPP target for that year of 8 perce	udents in separate public and private day programs from 13.7 percent in October 2007 o 12 percent in 2009 and the district has reported 12.2 percent in 2010; however, the nt. The district must continue to participate in technical assistance provided by NJOSEP The district is reminded that all placement decisions shall be made in accordance with
Area	Status of Compliance
2) IEPs shall include an explanation of the extent, if any, to which child will not participate with nondisabled children. [20 U.S.C. §1412(a)(5) and 1414(d)(1)(A)(i)(V); 34 CFR §300.115 and §300.320(a)(5) and N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7(e)6]	Review of records indicated that IEPs did not include an explanation of the extent, if any, to which a child will not participate with nondisabled children, including a statement of the supplementary aids and services considered and the reasons they were rejected due to lack of implementation of district procedures.
4) IEPs shall include a comparison of the benefits	Review of records indicated that IEPs did not include a comparison of the benefits

5) IEPs shall include the potentially beneficial or harmful	Review of records indicated that IEPs did not include a statement or the potentially
effects which a placement (general education) may have	beneficial or harmful effects which a placement in general education may have on the
on the student with disabilities or the other students in the	student with disabilities of the other students in the class due to lack of
class. [20 U.S.C. § 1412(a)(5); 34 CFR §300.116(d)]	implementation of district procedures.
6) For students in a separate setting, IEPs shall include	Review of records indicated that IEPs for student in separate settings did not include
activities to transition students to a less restrictive	activities to transition them to a less restrictive environment due to lack of
environment, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-4.2(a)4.	implementation of district procedures.

Corrective Action: The district is required to conduct training with child study team members regarding procedures for documenting the explanation of the extent to which students are educated with nondisabled peers, including participation in nonacademic and extracurricular activities, the comparison of the benefits of general education and special education placements, and the potential beneficial or harmful effects that placement may have on the student with disabilities or other students in the class. In addition, the district is required to conduct training with child study team members regarding procedures for developing activities to transition students in separate settings to a less restrictive environment.

The district is required to conduct a meeting of the IEP team for each student whose IEP was identified as noncompliant by the NJOSEP monitors and ensure that each IEP is revised to include the required components. Names of students whose IEPs were found to be noncompliant by the monitors will be provided to the Director of Special Education by NJOSEP.

The district must review the IEPs of all students with disabilities removed from general education settings for greater than 20% of the school day. For any IEP where the rationale for removal is noncompliant and/or does not include the activities to transition students in a separate setting to a less restrictive environment, where required, a meeting of the IEP team must be convened to review and revise the IEP.

Section W. PARENT INVOLVEMENT

Areas of Noncompliance		
Area	Status of Compliance	
1) Parents shall be given written notice of a meeting containing all the required components, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(k)3,5; 20 U.S.C. §1414(b)(1); and 34 CFR §300.304(a).	Review of records indicated that notice of a meeting did not include transition as a purpose, when required, due to lack of implementation of district procedures.	
2) Written notice, which includes required components, shall be provided to parents following meetings, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(f) and 2.3(g)1-7; 20 U.S.C. §1414(b)(1)(c)(4)(A); 34 CFR §300.304(a)(4); and 34 CFR §300.305(a).	Review of records indicated that written notice did not include all the required components (specifically, the description of the action and the explanation for taking the action) and written notice was not consistently provided within 15 days due to lack of implementation of district procedures.	

Section V. DISPROPORITIONATE REPRESENTATION-Noncompliance was not identified during monitoring.

Section VI: EVALUATIONS AND REEVALUATION

Areas of Noncompliance	
Area	Status of Compliance
4) Within 20 calendar days of receipt of the written request for an evaluation, the district shall convene a meeting with required participants, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.3(e).	Noncompliance identified during onsite monitoring was verified as corrected during a desk audit conducted on February 22, 2011.
7) The district shall obtain consent from the parent or adult student, at required times, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(a); 20 U.S.C. §1414(a)(1)(D); and 34 CFR §300.300(a).	Noncompliance identified during onsite monitoring was verified as corrected during a desk audit conducted on February 22, 2011.
9) Each evaluation of a student shall include functional assessment, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.4(f)4(i-vi); 20 U.S.C. §1414(b)(4) and (5); and 34 CFR §300.306(c)(i).	Review of records indicated that initial evaluations did not consistently include an observation of the student in other than a testing setting and an interview with the child's teacher due to lack of implementation of district procedures.
10) Within three years of the previous classification, a multi-disciplinary reevaluation shall be completed, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.8(a) and 20 U.S.C. §1414(a)(2)(B)(ii).	Noncompliance identified during onsite monitoring was verified as corrected during a desk audit conducted on February 22, 2011.
14) Each child study team member shall certify in writing whether his or her report reflects his or her conclusion of eligibility of the student, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.4(h)5.	Review of records indicated that all child study team members who participated in th evaluation did not consistently certify their agreement with the conclusion of eligibility due to lack of implementation of district procedures.
15) A copy of the evaluation report(s) and documentation and information that will be used for a determination of eligibility shall be given to the parent or adult student not less than 10 calendar days prior to the eligibility meeting, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.5(a); 20 U.S.C. §1414(b)(4); and 34 CFR §300.306(a).	Review of records indicated that evaluations reports were not consistently provided t parents 10 days prior to the eligibility conference due to lack of implementation of district procedures.

Areas of Noncompliance Area **Status of Compliance** 1) IEP meetings shall be held with an appropriately Review of records indicated that IEP meetings did not consistently include the configured IEP team, in accordance with N.J.A.C. participation of a general education teacher due to lack of implementation of district 6A:14-2.3(k)2(i-x)1; 20 U.S.C. §1414(d)(1)(B); and 34 procedures. CFR §300.321(a). 2) IEPs shall include required considerations and Review of records indicated that IEPs did not consistently include all the required statements, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7©1components (specifically, goals for counseling, frequency, location and duration of 11, (e) 1-17, and (f); 20 U.S.C. §1414(d)(3)(A)(B); and related services, and the consideration of the need for extended school year services 34 CFR §300.324(a)(1)(2). due to lack of implementation of district procedures.

Corrective Action: The district is required to conduct training with child study team members regarding procedures for documenting the consideration of extended school year, including counseling goals where required and identifying the frequency, location and duration of related services.

The district is required to conduct a meeting of the IEP team for each student whose IEP was identified as noncompliant by the NJOSEP monitors and ensure that each IEP is revised to include the required components. Names of students whose IEPs were found to be noncompliant by the monitors will be provided to the Director of Special Education by NJOSEP.

The district must review the IEPs of all students with disabilities, including those requiring related services. For any IEP where the consideration of extended school year services, counseling goals or frequency, duration and location of related services is noncompliant, a meeting of the IEP team must be convened to review and revise the IEP.

Section VIII, PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

Section VIIIEP

 Areas of Noncompliance
 Status of Compliance

 Area
 Status of Compliance

 3) Group size for resource, speech-language programs and supplementary instruction shall meet requirements, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-4(b)3 and 4.6(h).
 Review of records indicated that class sizes for resource programs exceeded the number of allowed students due to a lack of implementation of district procedures.

4

All documentation required to demonstrate completion of corrective action activities must be submitted to the following address:

Ms. Caryl Carthew New Jersey Department of Education Office of Special Education Programs Finlaw Building, 4th Fioor 199 East Broadway Salem, New Jersey 08079

.

٠