

State of New Jersey Department of Education PO Box 500 Trenton, NJ 08625-0500

CHRIS CHRISTIE Governor

KIM GUADAGNO Lt. Governor September 7, 2010 ROCHELLE R. HENDRICKS Acting Commissioner

Dr. Constance Clark-Snead, Superintendent Teaneck Public School District 1 Merrison Street Teaneck, NJ 07666-4616

Dear Dr. Clark-Snead:

Subject: Special Education Monitoring Report – Teaneck Public School District

This correspondence has been sent to inform you of the results of the New Jersey Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs' (NJOSEP) monitoring of the Teaneck Public School District's implementation of federal and state special education requirements. Between July 21, 2009 and March 23, 2010, monitors from the NJOSEP conducted onsite monitoring visits, verification visits and desk audits to determine the Teaneck Public School District's compliance with federal and state special education requirements. The members of the monitoring team were Samuel Jordan, Linda Chavez, Tracey Pettiford-Bugg and Steven Pasternak.

The special education monitoring system is data driven and aligned with the federally required State Performance Plan (SPP) indicators, including the federal monitoring priorities established by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (IDEA 2004). Specifically, the NJOSEP monitoring process is focused on improving educational results and functional outcomes for students with disabilities and ensuring compliance with those special education requirements related to positive student outcomes.

The special education monitoring process focused on requirements related to the following areas:

- Transition to Adult Life
- State Assessment
- Discipline Procedures
- Placement in the Least Restrictive Environment
- Parent Involvement
- Disproportionate Representation of Specific Racial-Ethnic Groups in Special Education
- Evaluation and Reevaluation
- Individualized Education Program
- Programs and Services

The Teaneck School District was selected for the self-assessment/monitoring process based on trend data that indicated a high percentage of students with disabilities placed in separate public and private settings (See Sections IV – Table of Findings). The district is reminded that all placement decisions shall be made in accordance with New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:14-3.7 and 4.2.

## Monitoring Results

The enclosed Table of Findings details the onsite monitoring results with regard to the following:

## Status of improvement plan activities

For each area in need of continuous improvement identified by the district through its self-assessment, the status of improvement activities designed to improve student outcomes is provided. If not identified as completed, the district must demonstrate implementation of improvement plan activities in accordance with the timelines delineated in the improvement plan. The NJOSEP will continue to monitor implementation of the improvement plan activities through additional onsite visits and desk audits.

## Noncompliance identified by the district during the self-assessment

For any finding of noncompliance identified by the district during self-assessment, the status of correction is provided. Corrective action activities with timelines for correction have been directed by NJOSEP for those areas that have not been corrected. The Table of Findings reflects verification of correction that occurred through March 23, 2010.

## Noncompliance identified during onsite monitoring

For any finding of noncompliance identified during the onsite monitoring visit, the status of correction is provided. Findings of noncompliance identified as a result of the onsite visit must be corrected within one year of the date of this report. Corrective action should include, as necessary: development and/or revision of policies and procedures, staff training, implementation of the identified IDEA and N.J.A.C. requirements and implementation of an oversight mechanism to ensure correction and ongoing compliance. The monitoring team leader, Mr. Samuel Jordan, will contact Dr. Maureen Edwards to discuss procedures for verification of correction of the findings of noncompliance listed in the Table of Findings.

The results of the special education monitoring must be reviewed at the next meeting of the district's board of education. A copy of the minutes from the board of education meeting, documenting the review by the board, must be submitted to the address below:

Mr. Samuel Jordan New Jersey Department of Education Office of Special Education Programs 501 River Street Paterson, NJ 07524

Questions regarding the enclosed monitoring report should be directed to Dr. Peggy McDonald, manager of the Bureau of Program Accountability, at 609-292-7605.

The NJOSEP appreciates the cooperation of district staff members during the self-assessment/monitoring process.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gantwerk, Assistant Commissioner Division of Student Services

BG/PM/SJ Enclosure c: Andrew Smarick Peggy McDonald Samuel Jordan Aaron R. Graham Steven Pasternak Maureen Edwards 2

Teaneck School District	
Areas of Noncompliance Identii Area	Status of Compliance/Corrective Action
I) Individualized Education Program (IEP) requirements for students ages 16 and above N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7(e)12; 20 J.S.C. § 1414(d)(1)(A)(i)(VIII); and B4 CFR §300.320(b) and (c)].	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment and identified during a targeted review of implementation of transition requirements was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
2) Invitation to IEP meetings provided to students and agencies providing or paying for transition services [N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(k)2x and 3.7(e)13, 3.7(h); 20 U.S.C. § (414 (d)(1)(A)(i)(1)(VIII); and 34 CFR §300.322.b(2)].	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audit conducted on February 2, 2010.
B) IEP requirements for students liges 14 and above, in accordance vith N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7(e)11.	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.
A summary of academic achievement and functional performance shall be provided to each student prior to graduation, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14- .11(b)4; 20 U.S.C. § 414(c)(5)(B); and 34 CFR 300.305(e)(3).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audit conducted on February 2, 2010.
	SESSMENT - Noncompliance was not identified during self-assessment or onsite

F	2
	TABLE OF FINDINGS
	Special Education Monitoring Results
ונ	DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements
	Teaneck School District
	oncompliance was not identified during onsite monitoring.
Areas of Noncompliance Identif	
Area	Status of Compliance/Corrective Action
1a) The district shall impose the same discipline procedures for all students (removals of 10 days or less), in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.8(a) and 20 U.S.C. § 1415(k)(5)(A).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
1b) The case manager and parent shall be notified in writing of suspension/expulsion of a student with a disability at the time of removal, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.8(a); 20 U.S.C. § 1415(k)(1)(A)(H); and 34 CFR §300.530(h).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
1c) For removals of 10 days or less, students with disabilities shall be provided services in the same manner as students without disabilities, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.8(a) and 20 U.S.C. § 1415(k)(1)(D)(i).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
2) Removals of a student with a disability from his/her placement for disciplinary reasons constitutes a change in placement if the removal is more than 10 consecutive days or a series of short-term removals that accumulate to more than 10 days and constitute a pattern, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.8(e); 20 U.S.C. § 1415(k)(1)(D); and 34 CFR §300.530(d).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.

	3
	TABLE OF FINDINGS
	Special Education Monitoring Results
10	DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements
	Teaneck School District
6) When it is determined that a	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite
removal of a student with a	monitoring visit.
disability constitutes a change of	
placement, the relevant IEP team	
members and the parent shall	
meet to determine if the conduct	
was a manifestation of the	
student's disability, in accordance	
with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-Appendix A	
and 20 USC §1415(k)(1)(E)i.	
7) When it is determined that the	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite
conduct is a manifestation of the	monitoring visit.
student's disability, the IEP team	
shall conduct a functional	
behavioral assessment, implement	
a behavioral intervention plan and	
ensure that the student is returned	
to his/her placement (unless	
parents agree to a change of placement), in accordance with	
N.J.A.C. 6A:14-Appendix A; 20	
USC §1415(k)(1)(F); and 34 CFR	
§300.530(f).	

2

Section IV: LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT – Noncompliance was not identified during onsite monitoring.

The Teaneck School District was selected for the self-assessment process for the 2007-2008 school year due to a high percentage of students with disabilities placed in separate public and private settings. The determination was based on the Annual Data Reports submitted to NJOSEP in December 2003, December 2004 and December 2005. The district rates for 2007, 2008 and 2009 were 12.4%, 13.9% and 13.2%, respectively, demonstrating an overall increase in the percent of students with disabilities educated in separate settings. The district did not meet the SPP target for all three years. The district is reminded that all placement decisions shall be made in accordance with New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:14-3.7 and 4.2. The district is required to continue to participate in technical assistance offered by the NJOSEP, for the purpose of continuous improvement, regarding the education of students with disabilities in general education settings with appropriate supports and services.

	4 TABLE OF FINDINGS Special Education Monitoring Results DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements Teaneck School District
Area(s) in Need of Continuous Area	Improvement Status of Improvement Plan
The district developed improvement plan activities to expand opportunities for inclusion within the general education setting, specifically, the enhancement of supplementary aids and services in district schools.	The district is in the process of implementing its improvement plan activities in accordance with the established timelines. Training was provided to staff members on April 23, 2010 by NJOSEP personnel regarding the decision-making process for placing students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment.
Areas of Noncompliance Identit	fied during Self-Assessment
Area	Status of Compliance/Corrective Action
2) IEPs shall include an explanation of the extent, if any, to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children [20 USC § 1412(a)(5) and § 1414(d)(1)(A)(i)(V); 34 CFR §300.115 and §300.320(a)(5) and NJAC 6A:14-3.7(e)6]	Review of records and interviews with staff indicated that IEPs, of students removed from general education greater than 20% of the school day, did not consistently document the supplemental aids and services considered and the reasons they were rejected. Noncompliance was due to inconsistent implementation of district procedures.
4) IEPs shall include a comparison of the benefits provided in the regular class and the benefits provided in the special education class, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-4.2(a)8(ii)	Review of records and interviews with staff indicated that IEPs, of students removed from general education greater than 20% of the school day, did not consistently document a comparison of the benefits of general education and special education. Noncompliance was due to inconsistent implementation of district procedures.
5) IEPs shall include potentially beneficial or harmful effects which a placement (general education) may have on the student with disabilities or the other students in the class (IV,2) [20 USC § 1412(a)(5); 34 CFR §300.116(d)]	Review of records and interviews with staff indicated that IEPs, of students removed from general education greater than 20% of the school day, did not consistently document the potentially beneficial and/or harmful effects of a placement (general education) on the student and other students in the class. Noncompliance was due to inconsistent implementation of district procedures.
6) For students in a separate setting, IEPs shall include activities to transition students to a less	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.

	5	
TABLE OF FINDINGS Special Education Monitoring Results IDEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements Teaneck School District		
restrictive environment, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-4.2(a)4.		
Corrective Action for Areas 2, 4 ar procedures for placement decision-n	<b>d 5:</b> The district is required to conduct additional training with child study team members regarding naking.	
and ensure that each IEP is revised	meeting of the IEP team for each student whose IFP was identified as noncompliant by the NJOSEP monitors to include the required components. Names of students whose IEPs were found to be noncompliant by the ctor of Special Education by NJOSEP.	
For any IEP where an explanation of special and general education class	all students with disabilities removed from general education settings for greater than 20% of the school day. The extent to which the student will be educated with nondisabled peers, the comparison of benefits of the coms and/or the potential beneficial or harmful effects of a placement in general education is not addressed, convened to review and revise the IEP.	
The Director of Special Education is	directed to implement an oversight mechanism to ensure correction and ongoing compliance.	
Section V: PARENT INVOL		
Areas of Noncompliance Identi	fied during Self-Assessment	
Area	Status of Compliance/Corrective Action	
1) Parents shall be given written notice of a meeting containing all the required components, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14- 2.3(k)3,5; 20 U.S.C. § 1414(b)(1); and 34 CFR §300.304(a).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.	
4) Parental consent shall be obtained whenever a member of the IEP team is excused from participating in a meeting, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14- 2.3(a)6; 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(1)(C)(i) through (iii); and 34 CFR §300.321(e).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.	

	6
	TABLE OF FINDINGS
	Special Education Monitoring Results
10	DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements
	Teaneck School District
Areas of Noncompliance Identif	fied during the Onsite Monitoring Visit
Area	Status of Compliance
2) Written notice, which includes required components, shall be provided to parents following meetings, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(f) and 2.3(g)1- 7; 20 U.S.C. § 1414(b)(1)(c)(4)(A); and 34 CFR §300.304(a)(4) and §300.305(a).	A review of records and interviews with staff members indicated that written notices, which document the results of identification meetings and eligibility meetings, did not include all required components consistently, due to a lack of district procedures.
3) Eligibility meetings shall include required participants, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14- 2.3(k)1(i-vii); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(1)(B); and 34 CFR §300.321(a).	A review of records and interviews with staff members indicated that all required participants were not consistently present at eligibility meetings, due to a lack of implementation of district procedures.
5) Foreign language nterpreters/translators and sign anguage interpreters for the deaf shall be provided, when necessary, by the district at no cost to the barent, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.4(a)1 and 34CFR 6300.503(c) and §300.504(d).	A review of records and interviews with staff members indicated that interpreters/translators were not consistently provided and a record of their participation was not consistently maintained at meetings, due to a lack of implementation of district procedures.
monitoring.	IONATE REPRESENTATION – Noncompliance was not identified during onsite
Areas of Noncompliance Identif	
Area	Status of Compliance/Corrective Action

Aica	
12) When an initial evaluation is	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audit
completed, a copy of the	conducted on February 2, 2010.
evaluation report(s) and	
documentation and information that	
will be used for determination of	

	7
	TABLE OF FINDINGS
	Special Education Monitoring Results
l. I	DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements
	Teaneck School District
eligibility shall be provided to the	
parent not less than 10 calendar	
days prior to the meeting to	
determine eligibility, in accordance	
with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.5(a and b);	
20 U.S.C. § 1414(b)(4); and 34	
CFR §300.306(a).	
Section VII: EVALUATION	AND REEVALUATION
Areas of Noncompliance Identi	fied during Solf-Assessment
Area	Status of Compliance/Corrective Action
2) Interventions in the general	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite
education setting shall be provided	monitoring visit.
o students exhibiting academic	
lifficulties and shall be utilized, as	
appropriate, prior to referring a	
student for an evaluation, in	
accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-	
3.3(b); 20 U.S.C. § 1413(f)(2); and	
34 CFR §300.226(b).	
B) The staff of the general	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite
ducation program shall maintain	verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.
vritten documentation regarding	
pe, frequency, duration and	
ffectiveness of each intervention	
sed, in accordance with N.J.A.C.	
A:14-3.3(c).	
) Within 20 calendar days of	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite
eccipt of the written request for an	verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.
valuation, the district shall	
onvene a meeting with required articipants, in accordance with	
I.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.3(e).	
) The district shall obtain consent	Noncompliance identified by the district in its solf accessment was varified as somested during the anality
om the parent or adult student, at	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
equired times, in accordance with	

	8
TABLE OF FINDINGS Special Education Monitoring Results IDEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements Teaneck School District	
N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(a); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(a)(1)(D); and 34 CFR §300.300(a).	
9) Each evaluation of a student shall include functional assessment, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.4(f)4(i-vi); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(b)(4) and (5); and 34 CFR §300.306(c)(i).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.
10) Within three years of the previous classification, a multi- disciplinary reevaluation shall be completed, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.8(a) and 20 U.S.C. § 1414(a)(2)(B)(ii).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.
11) Reevaluation planning meetings shall include required participants, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(k)2(i-x); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(c)(1)(A)(i); and 34 CFR §300.305(a).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.
12) By June 30 of a student's last year in a program for preschoolers with disabilities, a reevaluation shall be conducted, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.8(g); 20 J.S.C. § 1414(c); and CFR §300.305(b)(2)(e).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during an onsite verification visit conducted on March 10, 2010.
I3) Eligibility for special education and/or related services shall be determined by meeting the criteria in one or more of the eligibility categories, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.5(c)1-14 and 3.6(b)1-3; 20 U.S.C. § 1401(3); and 34 CFR §300.306(b).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audit conducted on February 2, 2010.

	9
10	TABLE OF FINDINGS Special Education Monitoring Results DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements Teaneck School District
15) A copy of the evaluation report(s) and documentation of eligibility shall be given to the parent or adult student not less than 10 calendar days prior to the eligibility meeting, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.5(a); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(b)(4); and 34 CFR §300.306(a).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audit conducted on February 2, 2010.
16) To facilitate the transition from early intervention to preschool, a child study team member of the district shall participate in the preschool transition planning conference arranged by the Department of Health and Senior Services, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.3(e)1(i-iv); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(1)(D); and 34 CFR §300.321(f).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
	ied during the Onsite Monitoring Visit
Area	Status of Compliance
5) A vision and audiometric screening shall be conducted for every student referred to the child study team for evaluation. The school nurse shall review and summarize available health and medical information and transmit the summary to the child study team, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.4(j).	A review of records and interviews with staff members indicated that available health and medical information was not consistently obtained prior to identification meetings, due to a lack of implementation of district procedures.

	10
	Special Education Monitoring Results
IC	DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements
	Teaneck School District
14) Each child study team member shall certify in writing whether his or her report is in accordance with the conclusion of eligibility of the student, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.4(h)5.	A review of records and interviews with staff members indicated that child study team members did not consistently certify in writing whether their reports were in accordance with the conclusion of eligibility, due to a lack of implementation of district procedures.
Section VIII: IEP – Noncomp	liance was not identified during onsite monitoring.
Areas of Noncompliance Identif	
Area	Status of Compliance/Corrective Action
1) IEP meetings shall be held with an appropriately configured IEP team, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(k)2(i-x)1; 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(1)(B); and 34 CFR §300.321(a).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audi conducted on February 2, 2010.
2) IEPs shall include required considerations and statements, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14- 3.7(c)1-11, (e) 1-17, and (f); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(3)(A)(B); and 34 CFR §300.324(a)(1)(2).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audi conducted on March 23, 2010.
3) IEP meetings shall be conducted annually, or more often if necessary, to review and/or revise the IEP and determine placement, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7(i); 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d); and 34 CFR §300.324(b)(1).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audi conducted on February 8, 2010.
4) The annual review of the IEP for a preschool student with a disability shall be completed by June 30 of the student's last year in the preschool program, in	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during a desk audi conducted on February 8, 2010.

	TABLE OF FINDINGS
	Special Education Monitoring Results
IC	DEA 2004 and New Jersey Administrative Code Requirements
Teaneck School District	
accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14- 3.7(i)1; 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d); and 34 CFR §300.324(b)(1).	
5) The student's IEP shall be accessible to each general education teacher, special education teacher, related service provider and other service providers who are responsible for its implementation. The district shall inform each teacher and provider of his/her responsibilities related to implementing the student's IEP and the specific accommodations, modifications and supports to be provided for the student, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7(a)2-3 and 34 CFR §300.323(d).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.
6) IEPs shall be implemented as written, in accordance with 20 U.S.C. § 1412 (a)(16)(D).	Noncompliance identified by the district in its self-assessment was verified as corrected during the onsite monitoring visit.

11

Section IX: PROGRAMS AND SERVICES - Noncompliance was not identified during self-assessment or onsite monitoring.

All documentation required to demonstrate completion of corrective action activities must be submitted to the following address in accordance with the timelines listed in the above Table of Findings.

Mr. Samuel Jordan New Jersey Department of Education Office of Special Education Programs 501 River Street Paterson, NJ 07524