Local District Special Education Public Report for 2014-2015

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			ATLANTI ATLAN Year 201	ITIC			
				nance India port (Colle		: August 2	014)
Percent of		IEPs grad			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle	ction	ed data on		e Year			
NIC Indica	ites "Not in	Graduatio	n Cohort"		58.4%	75.0%	N
				ce Indicator			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	f high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle	ction	ed data on Graduatio		e Year	18.2%	14.0%	N
		ment - Per Accountabil		Indicator			
accommod assessme	dations; reg nt against g	gular asses	sment witl standards	in a regula h accommo s; alternate	dations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	90.3%	95.0%	Ν	Math	89.5%	95.0%	N
		r children v nt standard		against grad	de level sta	andards and	d

Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target
Grade 3	2.5%			Grade 3	11.2%		
Grade 4	4.4%			Grade 4	8.7%		
Grade 5	6.4%			Grade 5	10.3%		
Grade 6	2.4%			Grade 6	4.8%		
Grade 7	7.9%			Grade 7	4.5%		
Grade 8	8%			Grade 8	6.7%		
HS	2.4%			HS	0.6%		
*** No dat Indicator Data Sour		nsion/Expu	ulsion - Pe	rformance I ndalism Re		ystem(July	ı 1,
Was the d in the rate greater tha	istrict ident s of susper an 10 days	ified by the nsions and in a schoo	expulsion: ol year?	having a sig s of childre mpliance Ir	n with IEPs		N
Data Sour 2013 - Ju Does the c in the rate	ce: Electro ne 30, 201 district have	nic Violen 4) e: (a) a sig sions and e	nificant dis	crepancy, I of greater t	porting Sy	ethnicity,	1,
(b) policies discrepand developme	s, procedui cy and do r ent and imp	res or prac not comply plementation	tices that c with requir on of IEPs,	contribute to rements rel the use of al safeguare	ating to the positive be	е	N
Data Sour	ce: <u>Annua</u>	l Data Rep	ort (Collec	ance Indica tion Date: 15th collec	October 1	. <u>5, 2014)</u>	
Note: The	s no report LRE data ude Non-F	for public 1	reporting w	vere collecto	ed on Octo	ber 15 20 <i>°</i>	I4 and

Dr : Oc ctior ted (n	ctobe	48.5% 16.0% 7.4% 2014) er 15 20° State	N N Met State
Dr Control	11.6% ctober n on Oc Local	ctobe	7.4% 2014) er 15 201 State	N N Met State
or : Oc ction ted (n on Oc Local	ctobe	2014) er 15 20 ⁻⁷ State	4 and Met State
or : Oc ction ted (n on Oc Local	ctobe	2014) er 15 20 ⁻⁷ State	4 and Met State
ted (n on Oc Local	ctobe	er 15 20 ⁻ State	Met State
	Data			
			Target	Targe
	15.1%		43.0%	N
	83%		35.5%	N
	ic		83%	83% 35.5%

interpretation of the data

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the	NIC	72.0%	NIC

of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times	Data	Target	Target
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance Inc</u> Data Source: Survey Report Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means	Local	State	Met State
		00.070	
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	66.0%	NIC
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC
percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. 2. The percent of children who were functioning			

Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Ind</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 1			
Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categori of inappropriate identification?	representa		N
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2014 to June 30, 2015)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
 must be conducted, within that timeframe * Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection 			
*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate	82.9%	100.0%	N
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliand Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2014 to June 30, 2015) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission.	:015 for th s indicator l in the pub	e period Ju that were lic reportin	g.
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" ** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students 	**	100.0%	**
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	Indicator		
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe

based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"	NIC	100.0%	NIC
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcomes Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" -: Indicates did not meet minimum "n" size of 10 NA: Indicates "Not Applicable" (Not High School distr	rict)		
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school). 	NIC	48.0%	NIC
school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
school)] times 100.	NIC	75.0%	NIC
•	NIC	75.0%	NIC

secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in		
secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time		
they left school)] times 100.		