Local District Special Education Public Report for 2014-2015

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels.

N: NO Y: YES

** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year ** In				
Year 2014-2015 Indicator 1: Graduation Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Collection Date: August 2014)		0		
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma *** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" **Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school *** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection *** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection *** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection				
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" ** Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection	Indicator 1: Graduation Rates - Performance Indicator	cator		
school with a regular diploma Local Data ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" NIC 75.0% Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection Local State State Target Met State Target	Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle	ction Date	: August 2	014)
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection Data Target NIC 75.0% NIC Met State Target Target Met State Target	,			
*** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school Local State State Target *** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection	school with a regular diploma		0 10.10	
Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school Local State State Target ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection		Data	Target	Target
NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school Local State State Target ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection	·			
Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school Local Data ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection				
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection **Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) **Local Data State State Target **Target Target	NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort"	NIC	75.0%	NIC
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection **Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2014) **Local Data State State Target **Target Target				
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection ** Data Collection ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection	Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator	[
school ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection Local Data State Target Target Target	Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June	2014)		
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection Data Target Target	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high			Met
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection	school	Local	State	State
Data Collection Data Collection		Data	Target	Target
	·			
NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" NIC 14.0% NIC				
	NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort"	NIC	14.0%	NIC

Indicator 3: Assessment - Performance Indicator Data Source: ESEA Accountability Data

B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.

Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	
LAL	88.4%	95.0%	N	Math	88.4%	95.0%	N	

C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards

Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target
Grade 3	8.3%			Grade 3	16.7%		
Grade 4	25%			Grade 4	25%		
Grade 5	15.4%			Grade 5	15.4%		
Grade 6	-			Grade 6	-		
Grade 7	-			Grade 7	-		
Grade 8	-			Grade 8	-		
HS	NA			HS	NA		

- Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation
- Did not meet the state "n" size of 10 at grade level

NA Not applicable at grade level

*** No data reported

Indicator 4A: <u>Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator</u>
Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2014)

Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?

Ν

Indicator 4B: <u>Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator</u>

Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2014)

Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and

(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant

Ν

(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.

N

Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator

Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2014)

- ** Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection
- Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2014 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.	31.2%	48.5%	N
B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	16.5%	16.0%	N
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	11%	7.4%	N

Indicator 6: Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator

Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2014)

- ** Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection
- : Indicates suppressed for minimum "n" size of 10

NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2014 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	23.8%	43.0%	N
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	28.6%	35.5%	Y

Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Indicator</u> **Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager**

NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"

NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

- Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the	NIC	72.0%	NIC

percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.			
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	66.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement - Performance Inc Data Source: Survey Report	<u>dicator</u>		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the			
data	NIC	84.5%	NIC

Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator
Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2012, 2013, 2014) and Fall Surveys
(October 2012, October 2013, October 2014)

Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
the result of mappropriate recrumountry			
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Indi			
Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 1		(! .	
Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories			N
of inappropriate identification?		io roodit	.,
Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part E			
Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2014 to June 30, 2015)	015 for th	e period Ju	uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the	_	_	Met
State established time line of receiving parental	Local	State	State
consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation	Data	Target	Targe
must be conducted, within that timeframe			
* Indicates no reported data on November 15 th			
collection			
*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate	70.00/	400.00/	N.
evaluate	78.9%	100.0%	N
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliand	ce Indicato	r	
Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2			
	015 for th		uly 1,
2014 to June 30, 2015) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve	s indicator I in the pub	e period Ju that were lic reportin	g.
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission.	s indicator I in the pub	e period Ju that were lic reportin	g.
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an	s indicator I in the pub rification po Local	that were lic reportinurposes or	g. Ily and Met State
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third	s indicator I in the pub rification p	that were lic reportin urposes or	g. Ily and Met State
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	s indicator I in the pub rification po Local	that were lic reportinurposes or	g. Ily and Met State
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15 th	s indicator I in the pub rification po Local	that were lic reportinurposes or	g. Ily and Met State
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable"	s indicator I in the pub rification po Local	that were lic reportinurposes or	g. Ily and Met State
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" ** 0 students referred from Part C to part B	s indicator I in the pub rification po Local	that were lic reportin urposes or State Target	g. Ily and Met State
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" ** 0 students referred from Part C to part B	s indicator I in the pub rification p Local Data	that were lic reportinurposes or	g. Ily and Met State Targe
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" ** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance	s indicator I in the pub rification p	that were lic reportin urposes or State Target	g. Ily and Met State Targe
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" ** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	s indicator I in the pub rification p	that were lic reportin urposes or State Target	g. Ily and Met State Targe
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" ** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance	s indicator I in the pub rification p	that were lic reportin urposes or State Target	g. Ily and Met State Targe

based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"	NA%	100.0%	NA

Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcomes
Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey

NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"

-: Indicates did not meet minimum "n" size of 10 NA: Indicates "Not Applicable" (Not High School district)

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NA%	48.0%	NA
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NA%	75.0%	
C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in	NA%	86.0%	NA

secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time		
they left school and were enrolled in higher		
education, or in some other postsecondary		
education or training program; or competitively		
employed or in some other employment) divided by		
the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in		
secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time		
they left school)] times 100.		