## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2014-2015

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

			Hoboke CHART Year 201	ERS			
				nance India port (Colle		: August 2	2014)
	youth with n a regular	IEPs grad diploma	uating fror	n high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle	ction	ed data on		e Year			
NIC Indica	ites "Not in	Graduatio	n Cohort"		85.7%	75.0%	Y
				ce Indicator			
		IEPs drop			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort"			e Year	0%	14.0%	Y	
		ment - Per Accountabi		Indicator			
accommoo assessme	dations; reg nt against g	gular asses	sment wit	in a regula h accommo s; alternate	dations; a	lternate	•
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	-	95.0%	-	Math	-	95.0%	-
		r children v nt standard		against grad	le level sta	andards an	d

Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target
Grade 3	-			Grade 3	-		
Grade 4	-			Grade 4	-		
Grade 5	-			Grade 5	-		
Grade 6	-			Grade 6	-		
Grade 7	-			Grade 7	-		
Grade 8	-			Grade 8	-		
HS	6.7%			HS	0%		
*** No dat Indicator Data Sour		nsion/Expu	ulsion - Pe	rformance I ndalism Re		ystem(July	, 1,
Was the d in the rate greater tha	istrict ident s of susper an 10 days	ified by the nsions and in a schoo	expulsion: ol year?	having a sig s of childre mpliance Ir	n with IEP		N
Data Sour 2013 - Ju Does the o in the rate	ce: Electro ne 30, 201 district have	<b>nic Violen 4)</b> e: (a) a sig sions and e	nificant dis	crepancy, l of greater	porting Sy	ethnicity,	<b>, 1,</b>
(b) policies discrepand developme	s, procedur cy and do r ent and imp	res or prac not comply plementatio	tices that c with requir on of IEPs,	contribute to rements rel the use of al safeguaro	ating to the positive be	е	N
Data Sour	ce: <u>Annua</u>	l Data Rep	ort (Collec	ance Indica tion Date: 15th collec	October 1	. <u>5, 2014)</u>	
- Indicates	s no report	ed data on	6-21 age	group			
	LRE data ude Non-P			vere collecto S.	ed on Octo	ber 15 20 <sup>-</sup>	14 and

97.4% 0% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6	ober 15 201	Y Y Y
2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6%	16.0% 7.4% 15, 2014) ober 15 201	Y
<u>or</u> : October : ction ted on Octo Local	L <mark>5, 2014)</mark> ober 15 201	
<u>or</u> : October : ction ted on Octo Local	L <mark>5, 2014)</mark> ober 15 201	
ted on Octo	ober 15 201	4 and
	State Target	Met State Target
-	43.0%	-
	25.5%	
		Data Target

of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times	Data	Target	Target
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance Inc</u> Data Source: Survey Report Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means	Local	State	Met State
		00.070	
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	66.0%	NIC
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC
percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. 2. The percent of children who were functioning			

Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Ind</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 1			
Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categori of inappropriate identification?	representa		N
Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part E Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2014 to June 30, 2015)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
<ul> <li>must be conducted, within that timeframe</li> <li>* Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> </ul>			
*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate	100%	100.0%	Y
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliand Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2014 to June 30, 2015) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission.	<b>:015 for th</b> s indicator l in the pub	e period J that were blic reportin	g.
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> <li>**** No Eligible students</li> </ul>	**	100.0%	**
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	Indicator		
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe

based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"	NIC	100.0%	NIC
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcomes Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" -: Indicates did not meet minimum "n" size of 10 NA: Indicates "Not Applicable" (Not High School distr	ict)		
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<ul> <li>A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.</li> <li>B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one</li> </ul>	NIC	48.0%	NIC
year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	75.0%	NIC
respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left	NIC	75.0%	NIC

secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in		
secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time		
they left school)] times 100.		