Local District Special Education Public Report for 2014-2015

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			NGSWAY F GLOUCE Year 201	-			
				nance India port (Colle		: August 2	2014)
Percent of	_	IEPs grad			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle	ction	ed data on		e Year		U	
NIC Indica	ites "Not in	Graduatio	n Cohort"		87.1%	75.0%	Y
				ce Indicator			
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school			f high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort"			e Year	6.9%	14.0%	Y	
		ment - Per .ccountabil		Indicator			
accommoo assessme	dations; reg nt against g	gular asses	sment wit standards	in a regula h accommo s; alternate	dations; a	lternate	,
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	75.6%	95.0%	N	Math	74.2%	95.0%	N
		r children v nt standard		against grad	de level sta	andards an	d

Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target
Grade 3	NA			Grade 3	NA	1	
Grade 4	NA			Grade 4	NA		
Grade 5	NA			Grade 5	NA		
Grade 6	NA			Grade 6	NA		
Grade 7	24.1%			Grade 7	13.8%		
Grade 8	18.8%			Grade 8	12%		
HS	10.6%			HS	5.2%		
*** No dat		nsion/Exp	ulsion - Pe	rformance ndalism Re			
Was the d in the rate greater tha	s of susper an 10 days	ified by the nsions and in a schoo	expulsion: bl year?	having a sig s of childre	n with IEPs		N
Data Sour 2013 - Ju Does the c	ce: Electro ne 30, 201 district have	onic Violen 4) e: (a) a sig	nificant dis	mpliance Ir ndalism Re crepancy, I	porting Sy	ethnicity,	
	of suspens ar for childr			of greater t	than 10 da	ys in a	N
discrepand developme	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply plementation	with requir	contribute to rements rel the use of al safeguare	ating to the positive be	e	N
				ance Indica ction Date:		<u>5, 2014)</u>	
** Indicate	es no repor	rted data o	n October	15th collec	tion		
- Indicates	s no report	ed data on	6-21 age	group			
	LRE data ude Non-P			vere collecte s.	ed on Octo	ber 15 201	14 and

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.	47%	48.5%	N
B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	3.9%	16.0%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	10%	7.4%	Ν
Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date:</u> ** Indicates no reported data on October 15th collec: - : Indicates suppressed for minimum "n" size of 10 NA: Indicates not a pre-school district Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected do not include Non-Public School students.	tion		4 and
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	NA	43.0%	NA
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100			
times 100	NA	35.5%	NA
Indicator 7: Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Inc Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" NA: Indicates not a pre-school district - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data		yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Data		raige

	NIC	84.5%	NIC
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data		04 50	
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement - Performance Inc Data Source: Survey Report	<u>licator</u>		
they exited the program.	NA	59.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time			
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	70.0%	NA
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	51.0%	NA
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	66.0%	NA
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program. 	NA	77.0%	NA
percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.			

Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indiactor 10: Dianzonartionality Compliance Indi			r
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Indi Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 1			
Has the district been identified with disproportionate		tion of	
racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categori			N
of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part E Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2014 to June 30, 2015)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the			Met
State established time line of receiving parental	Local	State	State
consent for initial evaluation or, if the State	Data	Target	Targe
establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation			
must be conducted, within that timeframe			
* Indicates no reported data on November 15 th			
collection			
*** 0 students received parental consent to			
evaluate	94.7%	100.0%	N
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliand			
Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2014 to June 30, 2015)	015 for th	e period J	uly 1,
2014 (0 Julie 30, 2013)			
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this			
submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected			0
Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve	rification p	urposes or	nly and
were not for revising the original data submission.			Mat
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an	Local	State	Met State
IEP developed and implemented by their third	Data	Target	Targe
birthdays.			90
* Indicates no reported data on November 15 th			
collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable"			
** 0 students referred from Part C to part B			
**** No Eligible students	NA	100.0%	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance	Indicator		
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System			r.
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above			Met
with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local	State Target	State
	Data	Tennet	Targe

based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"	NIC	100.0%	NIC
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcomes Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" -: Indicates did not meet minimum "n" size of 10 NA: Indicates "Not Applicable" (Not High School distr	ict)		
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one 	NIC	48.0%	NIC
year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	75.0%	NIC
respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left	NIC	75.0%	NIC

secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in		
secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time		
they left school)] times 100.		