## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2014-2015

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

|                      |                              | _                           | RIS SCHO<br>MORI<br>Year 201 |  | т             |                 |                        |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
|                      |                              |                             |                              | nance India<br>port (Colle               |               | : August 2      | 014)                   |
| Percent of           |                              | IEPs grad                   |                              |  | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| Data Colle           | ction                        | ed data on                  |                              | e Year                                   |               |                 | U                      |
| NIC Indica           | ites "Not in                 | Graduatio                   | n Cohort"                    |  | 79.7%         | 75.0%           | Y                      |
|                      |                              |                             |                              | ce Indicator                             |               | L .             |                        |
| Percent of<br>school | youth with                   | IEPs drop                   | ping out o                   | f high                                   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| Data Colle           | ction                        | ed data on<br>Graduatio     |                              | e Year                                   | 4%            | 14.0%           | Y                      |
|                      |                              | ment - Per<br>Accountabi    |                              | Indicator                                |               |                 |                        |
| accommod<br>assessme | dations; reg<br>nt against g | gular asses                 | sment wit<br>standards       | in a regula<br>h accommo<br>s; alternate | dations; a    | lternate        | 1                      |
| Subject              | LEA<br>Data                  | State<br>Target             | Met<br>State<br>Target       | Subject                                  | LEA<br>Data   | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| LAL                  | 63.1%                        | 95.0%                       | N                            | Math                                     | 64.2%         | 95.0%           | N                      |
|                      |                              | r children v<br>nt standard |                              | against grad                             | le level sta  | andards and     | d                      |

| Subject<br>LAL                                       | LEA<br>Data  | LEA<br>Target  | Met LEA<br>Target                         | Subject<br>MATH  | LEA<br>Data              | LEA<br>Target   | Met<br>LEA<br>Target |
|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Grade 3  | 31.4%  |  |   | Grade 3  | 31.4%                    |                 |                      |
| Grade 4  | 23.2%  |  |   | Grade 4  | 23.2%                    |                 |                      |
| Grade 5  | 33.8%  |  |   | Grade 5  | 23.1%                    |                 |                      |
| Grade 6  | 15%  |  |   | Grade 6  | 17.5%                    |                 |                      |
| Grade 7  | 18.4%  |  |   | Grade 7  | 17.9%                    |                 |                      |
| Grade 8  | 13.2%  |  |   | Grade 8  | 7.9%                     |                 |                      |
| HS   | 13.2%  |  |   | HS   | 14.3%                    |                 |                      |
| *** No dat   |  | nsion/Exp  | ulsion - Pe                               | rformance  <br>ndalism Re                                  |                          | /stem/ lub      | <i>i</i> 1           |
| Was the d<br>in the rate<br>greater tha<br>Indicator | s of susper<br>an 10 days<br><b>4B: <u>Suspe</u></b> | ified by the<br>nsions and<br>in a schoo<br>nsion/Expr | l expulsion<br>ol year?<br>ulsion - Co    | having a sig<br>s of childrei<br>mpliance Ir               | n with IEPs              | s for           | N                    |
| 2013 - Ju<br>Does the o<br>in the rate               | ne 30, 201<br>district have                          | <b>4)</b><br>e: (a) a sig<br>sions and e               | nificant dis<br>expulsions                | ndalism Re<br>crepancy, l<br>of greater t                  | by race or               | ethnicity,      | v <b>1</b> ,         |
| (b) policies<br>discrepand<br>developme              | s, procedur<br>cy and do r<br>ent and imp            | res or prac<br>not comply<br>plementatio               | tices that c<br>with requi<br>on of IEPs, | contribute to<br>rements rel<br>the use of<br>al safeguare | ating to the positive be | e               | N                    |
| Data Sour  | ce: <u>Annua</u>                                     | l Data Rep   | ort (Collec                               | ance Indica<br>ction Date:                                 | October 1                | <u>5, 2014)</u> |                      |
|  | es no repor<br>s no report                           |  |   | 15th collec<br>group                                       | tion                     |                 |                      |
|  | LRE data   |  |   | vere collecto<br>s.  | ed on Octo               | ber 15 201      | I4 and               |

|   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.   | 56.9%         | 48.5%           | Y                      |
| B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.   | 7.2%          | 16.0%           | Y                      |
| C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.   | 12.8%         | 7.4%            | N                      |
| Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date:</u><br>** Indicates no reported data on October 15th collec<br>- : Indicates suppressed for minimum "n" size of 10<br>NA: Indicates not a pre-school district<br>Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected<br>do not include Non-Public School students.  | tion          |                 | 4 and                  |
|   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Targe  |
|   |               |                 | rarge                  |
| IEPs attending a regular early childhood program<br>and receiving the majority of special education and<br>related services in the regular early childhood<br>program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3   | 49.1%         | 43.0%           | Y                      |
| <ul> <li>A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.</li> <li>B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]</li> </ul> | 49.1%         | 43.0%           |                        |
| IEPs attending a regular early childhood program<br>and receiving the majority of special education and<br>related services in the regular early childhood<br>program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3<br>through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.<br>B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with<br>IEPs attending a separate special education class,<br>separate school or residential facility) divided by the  | 49.1%<br>5.5% | 43.0%<br>35.5%  | Y                      |
| IEPs attending a regular early childhood program<br>and receiving the majority of special education and<br>related services in the regular early childhood<br>program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3<br>through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.<br>B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with<br>IEPs attending a separate special education class,<br>separate school or residential facility) divided by the<br>(total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]   | 5.5%          |                 | Y                      |

| Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved positive social-emotional skills<br>(including social relationships) | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the   | NIC           | 72.0%           | NIC                    |

|   | 82.6%         | 84.5%           | N                     |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"<br>- Indicates the number of survey responses<br>were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the<br>data   | 90 E%         | 04 50/          | NJ                    |
| disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.   |               |                 |                       |
| Percent = # of respondent parents who report<br>schools facilitated parent involvement as a means<br>of improving services and results for children with  | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| Indicator 8: Parent Involvement - Performance Inc<br>Data Source: Survey Report   | licator       |                 |                       |
| they exited the program.  | NIC           | 59.0%           | NIC                   |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time  |               |                 |                       |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.                     | NIC           | 70.0%           | NIC                   |
| Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet<br>their needs.   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.   | NIC           | 51.0%           | NIC                   |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.                     | NIC           | 66.0%           | NIC                   |
| Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved acquisition and use of knowledge and<br>skills (including early language/ communication<br>and early literacy) | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| <ol> <li>The percent of children who were functioning<br/>within age expectations in Outcome A by the time<br/>they exited the program.</li> </ol>  | NIC           | 77.0%           | NIC                   |
| percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.   |               |                 |                       |

| Has the district been identified for disproportionate re<br>racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela<br>the result of inappropriate identification?   |  |  | N                     |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|
| Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Ind</u><br>Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 1  |  |  |                       |
| Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories of inappropriate identification?  | representa                                       |  | N                     |
| Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u><br>Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2<br>2014 to June 30, 2015)   |  |  | uly 1,                |
| Percent of children who were evaluated within the<br>State established time line of receiving parental<br>consent for initial evaluation or, if the State<br>establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation  | Local<br>Data                                    | State<br>Target                          | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| <ul> <li>must be conducted, within that timeframe</li> <li>* Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> </ul>   |  |  |                       |
| *** 0 students received parental consent to<br>evaluate   | 98%  | 100.0%                                   | N                     |
| Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliand<br>Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2<br>2014 to June 30, 2015)<br>Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this<br>submitted after October 15, 2015 cannot be reflected<br>Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve<br>were not for revising the original data submission. | <b>015 for th</b><br>s indicator<br>l in the pub | e period Ju<br>that were<br>lic reportin | g.                    |
| Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3,<br>who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an<br>IEP developed and implemented by their third<br>birthdays.  | Local<br>Data                                    | State<br>Target                          | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| <ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> <li>**** No Eligible students</li> </ul>   | 100%   | 100.0%                                   | Y                     |
| Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u><br>Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System  |  | 100.0%                                   | ļ ĭ                   |
| Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above<br>with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable<br>postsecondary goals that are annually updated and   | Local<br>Data                                    | State<br>Target                          | Met<br>State<br>Targe |

| based upon an age appropriate transition<br>assessment, transition services, including courses<br>of study, that will reasonably enable the student to<br>meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP<br>goals related to the student's transition services<br>needs. There also must be evidence that the<br>student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where<br>transition services are to be discussed and<br>evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any<br>participating agency was invited to the IEP Team<br>meeting with the prior consent of the parent or<br>student who has reached the age of majority)<br>divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and<br>above)] times 100.  |               |                 |                        |
|--|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"   | NIC           | 100.0%          | NIC                    |
| Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcomes<br>Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey<br>NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"<br>-: Indicates did not meet minimum "n" size of 10<br>NA: Indicates "Not Applicable" (Not High School distr   | rict)         |                 |                        |
|  | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| <ul> <li>A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.</li> <li>B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left at the time they left at the time they left school or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school).</li> </ul> | NIC           | 48.0%           | NIC                    |
| school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left  |               |                 |                        |
| school)] times 100.  | NIC           | 75.0%           | NIC                    |
| •  | NIC           | 75.0%           | NIC                    |

| secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time<br>they left school and were enrolled in higher<br>education, or in some other postsecondary<br>education or training program; or competitively<br>employed or in some other employment) divided by<br>the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time   |  |  |
| they left school)] times 100.   |  |  |