Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			AMMONTO ATLAN Year 201	ITIC			
				nce Indicat port (Colle		· August 2	016)
Percent of	youth with a regular	IEPs grad			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	91.6%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle							
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	6%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	<u>y Data</u> with IEPs sment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo ;; alternate	dations; al	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	98.3%	95.0%	Y	Math	98.3%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 4 15.8% 60.5% N Grade 4 10.5% 66.1% N Grade 5 10% 60.5% N Grade 5 15% 66.1% N Grade 6 8.8% 60.5% N Grade 6 17.6% 66.1% N Grade 7 7.8% 60.5% N Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade 4 0 Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade 1 0 Grade 4 0.5% N Grade 7 66.1% N Grade 1 Grade 1 Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade 1 Grade 1 Grade 7 0% Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Date 700000000000000000000000000000000			05.404				70.00/	
Grade 5 10% 60.5% N Grade 5 15% 66.1% N Grade 6 8.8% 60.5% N Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 7 7.8% 60.5% N Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade 18 21.3% 60.5% N Grade 4 4.3% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level . No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district thas no minimum 'N' size for previous year . N Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepa	Grade 3	19.4%	65.4%	Ν	Grade 3	23.3%	70.3%	
Crade 0 10% 00.7% N Crade 6 17.6% 66.1% N Grade 7 7.8% 60.5% N Grade 6 17.6% 66.1% N Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade 4 0 Grade 4 0% 66.1% N 66.1% N Grade 4 0 Grade 4 0% Grade 4 66.1% N 66.1% N Orace 4 13% 60.5% N HS 4.3% 66.1% N 66.1% N Orace 4 13% 60.5% N HS 4.3% 66.1% N 10 10 for performance at grade level N N N N N 10 <td></td> <td>15.8%</td> <td></td> <td>Ν</td> <td></td> <td>10.5%</td> <td></td> <td></td>		15.8%		Ν		10.5%		
Grade 7 7.8% 60.5% N Grade 7 0% 66.1% N Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade HS 21.3% 60.5% N HS 4.3% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level . No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year ** - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year ** No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator N Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safe	Grade 5	10%		Ν	Grade 5	15%		
Grade 8 18% 60.5% N Grade 8 14% 66.1% N Grade HS 21.3% 60.5% N HS 4.3% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - N - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>Grade 6</td> <td>8.8%</td> <td>60.5%</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>Grade 6</td> <td>17.6%</td> <td>66.1%</td> <td>N</td>	Grade 6	8.8%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 6	17.6%	66.1%	N
Grade HS 21.3% 60.5% N Grade HS 4.3% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and	Grade 7	7.8%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 7	0%	66.1%	0
HS 21.3% 60.5% N HS 4.3% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator N Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection	Grade 8	18%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 8	14%	66.1%	N
at grade level No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year ** No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Imaget State Target Met State Target		21.3%	60.5%	N		4.3%	66.1%	N
in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Met	at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Ju	de level get as the o ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u> cce: Electro ne 30, 201	district has r nsion/Expu nic Violence 5)	no minin <mark>Ilsion -</mark> e and V	num 'N' size <u>Performane</u> andalism Re	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy	is year or ostem(July	
Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Nete: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Met	in the rate	s of susper	nsions and e	expulsio				N
in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 State	Data Sour 2014 - Ju	ce: Electro ne 30, 201	nic Violenco 5)	e and V	andalism Re	eporting Sy	stem(July	1,
discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target Met State A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21	in the rate	of suspens	sions and ex	pulsion	• •	•	•	N
Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target Met State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image: Collection Date: October 15 2015	discrepan developm	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply woolementation	vith requ	uirements rel s, the use of	lating to the positive be	Э	N
do not include Non-Public School students. Local State Met Local Data State Target Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image: Comparison of the compa	Data Sour * Indicate	r ce: <u>Annual</u> s no reporte	Data Report	<u>(Collect</u>) October	ion Date: Oct 15th collecti	<u>ober 15, 20</u>	<u>15)</u>	
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21						Local	State	5 and Met State
				-	-			Target

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	19.9%	15.5%	N
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	8.8%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	32.4%	43.5%	N
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]			
times 100	67.6%	35.0%	N
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categori of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate 	92%	100.0%	N
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found cligible for Part R, and who have an	in the pub rification p	lic reportin urposes or	Ny and Met
who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	State Target
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students 		400.00/	
**** No Eligible students Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	60%	100.0%	N
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	NIC	100.0%	NIC

<u>S</u> Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Local		State
NIC	46.5%	NIC
NIC	75.5%	NIC
NIC	10.070	
	NIC	NIC 75.5%