## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

			YMOUTH ATLAN Year 201				
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	NA	78.0%	NA
				nce Indica Date: June		-	
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							
NA – Indicates not a High School District NA					NA	13.0%	NA
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo s; alternate	dations; a	lternate	)
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	- %	95.0%		Math	-	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo achievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 4       -       60.5%       -       Grade 4       -       66.1%       -         Grade 5       -       60.5%       -       Grade 5       -       66.1%       -         Grade 6       -       60.5%       -       Grade 6       -       66.1%       -         Grade 7       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 14       -       60.5%       -       HS       -       66.1%       NA         Grade 14       -       60.5%       -       HS       NA       66.1%       NA         -       Notarget as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       -       No data reported       -       Na       66.1%       NA         Indicator 4A:       Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N       N         Was the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater		1			1			
Grade 5       -       60.5%       -       Grade 5       -       66.1%       -         Grade 6       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade 9       -       60.5%       -       HS       NA       66.1%       NA         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district thas no minimum 'N' size for previous year       -       No target as the district thas no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No data reported       -       Did not meet the state 'n'' size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       -       No target as the district thas no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No data reported       -       Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       -       -       -       -       -       -       Note: The tare of suspension /Expulsion - Compliance Indicator <td>Grade 3</td> <td>-</td> <td>65.4%</td> <td>-</td> <td>Grade 3</td> <td>-</td> <td>70.3%</td> <td>-</td>	Grade 3	-	65.4%	-	Grade 3	-	70.3%	-
Grade 6       .       60.5%       .       Grade 7       .       66.1%       .         Grade 7       .       60.5%       .       Grade 8       .       66.1%       .         Grade 8       .       60.5%       .       Grade 8       .       66.1%       .         Grade 1       .       60.5%       .       Grade 8       .       66.1%       .         Grade 1       .       .       60.5%       .       Grade 8       .       66.1%       .         Grade 1       .       .       .       .       .       66.1%       .       .         Grade 2       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .	Grade 4	-	60.5%	-	Grade 4	-	66.1%	-
Grade 7       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade HS       -       60.5%       -       HS       NA       66.1%       -         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No data reported       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       -       Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator       -       Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development a	Grade 5	-	60.5%	-	Grade 5	-	66.1%	-
Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade HS       -       60.5%       -       HS       NA       66.1%       NA         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       -       The component of the complexity of the comple	Grade 6	-	60.5%	-	Grade 6	-	66.1%	-
Grade HS       -       60.5%       -       Grade HS       NA       66.1%       NA         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No data reported       -       Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *       Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public S	Grade 7	-	60.5%	-	Grade 7	-	66.1%	-
HS       -       60.5%       -       HS       NA       66.1%       NA         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No data reported       -       Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator         Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator       N         Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *       Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       * <tr< td=""><td>Grade 8</td><td>-</td><td>60.5%</td><td>-</td><td>Grade 8</td><td>-</td><td>66.1%</td><td>-</td></tr<>	Grade 8	-	60.5%	-	Grade 8	-	66.1%	-
at grade level       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         ** No data reported         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local       State Target       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Imaget State		-	60.5%	-		NA	66.1%	NA
greater than 10 days in a school year?         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       Met State Data         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Met	at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Jun Was the d	de level get as the ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u> rce: Electro ne 30, 201 listrict ident	district has l ension/Exp onic Violenc 5) ified by the	no minim ulsion - I e and Va State as	um 'N' size Performano ndalism Re	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy gnificant di	is year or ystem(July screpancy	1,
in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Met	Indicator Data Sour	4B: <u>Suspe</u> ce: Electro	ension/Exp onic Violenc	ulsion - (				1,
discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21	in the rate	of suspens	sions and e	xpulsions				N
Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         Met State Target       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: Collection Date: October 15 2015	discrepan developm	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentatio	with requi	rements rel , the use of	lating to the positive <b>be</b>	Э	N
<ul> <li>* Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group</li> <li>Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.</li> <li>Local Data State Target</li> <li>A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21</li> </ul>	Indicator Data Sour	5: <u>School</u> ce: <u>Annual</u>	Age LRE - Data Report	Perform (Collectio	ance Indica on Date: Oct	<mark>ator</mark> ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.       Local       State       Met         Local       State       Target       Met         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image       Image       Image	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	October	15th collecti	ion		
do not include Non-Public School students.       Local       State       Met         Local       Data       State       Target       Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: Comparison of the state       Image: Comparison of the state       Image: Comparison of the state	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	6-21 age	group			
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21						ed on Octo	ber 15 201	5 and
								Met State Target
				-	-	75.7%	49.0%	Y

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	10.8%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	5.4%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	75%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]		05.00/	
times 100	25%	35.0%	Y
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<ol> <li>Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.</li> <li>The percent of children who were functioning</li> </ol>	NIC	67.0%	NIC
within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	<u>dicator</u>		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the			
	69.2%	85.0%	N
<ul> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>	69.2%	<u>r</u>	1
<ul> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data</li> <li>Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 2014)</li> </ul>	69.2% cator D15) and F	all Surveys	1

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories			N
of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate</li> </ul>	100%	100.0%	Y
2015 to June 30, 2016) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3,	l in the pub rification p	olic reportin urposes or	Ny and Met
who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	State Targe
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> </ul>			
**** No Eligible students	100%	100.0%	Y
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	Indicator		
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the			
student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	NA	100.0%	NA

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<b>A.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	ΝΑ	46.5%	NA
<b>B.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
<ul> <li>school)] times 100.</li> <li>C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.</li> </ul>	NA	86.0%	NA