Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			CRESS BERG Year 201	EN					
				nce Indicat		. A	016)		
				port (Colle	ction Date	: August 2			
	rcent of youth with IEPs graduating from high hool with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target		
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year					
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	97.8%	78.0%	Y		
	Indicator 2: <u>Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2015)								
Percent of school	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target		
Data Colle									
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	0%	13.0%	Y		
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	<u>y Data</u> with IEPs sment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo s; alternate	odations; a	lternate)		
allemale a	lonieverner	it standard	S. Met				Met		
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	State Target		
LAL	96.1%	95.0%	Y	Math	94.1%	95.0%	N		
	C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards								
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target		

		0 - 404					
Grade 3	75%	65.4%	Y	Grade 3	62.5%	70.3%	
Grade 4	31.3%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 4	25%	66.1%	
Grade 5	69.2%	60.5%	Y	Grade 5	46.2%	66.1%	
Grade 6	26.3%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 6	36.8%	66.1%	N
Grade 7	23.8%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 7	9.5%	66.1%	N
Grade 8	28.6%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 8	14.3%	66.1%	N
Grade HS	21.3%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	13.3%	66.1%	N
at grac - No tar ** No da Indicator	de level get as the o ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u>	district has n	no minin ulsion -	for participat num 'N' size Performanc andalism Re	for previou	is year	
Was the d in the rates greater tha	s of susper an 10 days	ified by the nsions and e in a school	expulsio year?	s having a signs of childre	n with IEPs	s for	N
Data Sour 2014 - Jui	ce: Electro ne 30, 201	nic Violenc 5)	e and V	Compliance andalism Re iscrepancy, I	porting Sy	stem(July	1,
in the rate	of suspens		xpulsion	s of greater			N
discrepand developme	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentation	vith requ	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	ating to the positive be	Э	N
Data Sour * Indicate	ce: <u>Annual</u> s no report	Data Report	<u>(Collecti</u> October	nance Indica on Date: Oct 15th collecti e group	ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
		for public re ublic Schoc		were collecto Its.	ed on Octo Local Data	ber 15 201 State Target	Met State
		n with IEPs ss 80% or r	-	-	30.1%	49.0%	Target

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	10.5%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	7.7%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

they exited the program.

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	50%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]			<u> </u>
times 100	12.5%	35.0%	Y
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time			

77.0%

NIC

NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?					
		uly 1,			
Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
96.3%	100.0%	N			
in the pub	lic reportin urposes or State				
100%	100.0%	Y			
100%	100.070	T			
ndicator					
	1	Met			
Local Data	State Target	State Target			
	yes that is the provident of the provide	State Join for the period Juin Local Data State Target 96.3% 100.0% ance Indicator Juin 016 for the period Juin Juin ance Indicator Juin 016 for the period Juin Juin ance Indicator Juin Juin Juin State Target Juin Juin Juin			

Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
63.6%	46.5%	Y
81.8%		Y
	63.6%	Local Data State Target